Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tjalf Ziemssen, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Germany

REVIEWED BY Kirti Sundar Sahu, Canadian Red Cross, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Theresa D. Ahrens theresa.ahrens@iese.fraunhofer.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 26 September 2023 ACCEPTED 13 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

CITATION

Fischer RP, Volpert A, Antonino P and Ahrens TD (2024) Digital patient twins for personalized therapeutics and pharmaceutical manufacturing. Front. Digit. Health 5:1302338. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1302338

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Fischer, Volpert, Antonino and Ahrens. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Digital patient twins for personalized therapeutics and pharmaceutical manufacturing

Rene-Pascal Fischer, Annika Volpert, Pablo Antonino[†] and Theresa D. Ahrens^{*†}

Fraunhofer Institute for Experimental Software Engineering IESE, Kaiserslautern, Germany

Digital twins are virtual models of physical artefacts that may or may not be synchronously connected, and that can be used to simulate their behavior. They are widely used in several domains such as manufacturing and automotive to enable achieving specific quality goals. In the health domain, so-called digital patient twins have been understood as virtual models of patients generated from population data and/or patient data, including, for example, real-time feedback from wearables. Along with the growing impact of data science technologies like artificial intelligence, novel health data ecosystems centered around digital patient twins could be developed. This paves the way for improved health monitoring and facilitation of personalized therapeutics based on management, analysis, and interpretation of medical data via digital patient twins. The utility and feasibility of digital patient twins in routine medical processes are still limited, despite practical endeavors to create digital twins of physiological functions, single organs, or holistic models. Moreover, reliable simulations for the prediction of individual drug responses are still missing. However, these simulations would be one important milestone for truly personalized therapeutics. Another prerequisite for this would be individualized pharmaceutical manufacturing with subsequent obstacles, such as low automation, scalability, and therefore high costs. Additionally, regulatory challenges must be met thus calling for more digitalization in this area. Therefore, this narrative mini-review provides a discussion on the potentials and limitations of digital patient twins, focusing on their potential bridging function for personalized therapeutics and an individualized pharmaceutical manufacturing while also looking at the regulatory impacts.

KEYWORDS

digital patient twin, personalized therapeutics, personalized medicine, pharmaceutical manufacturing, health ecosystem, digitalization, artificial intelligence, internet of things

1 Introduction

Digital twins of physical assets have been widely used in digitalization settings in various industry sectors such as automated manufacturing, being a key enabler of the Industry 4.0 wave (1) and to deal with quality assurance also in various sectors (2, 3). In recent years the idea of digital twins for patients in combination with rising data science approaches have been established, being considered a transformation driver to advance healthcare. For example, digital patient twins (DPTs) could provide a technical solution for integrating different health attributes, such as health data from wearables, genetic information, or lab results. Wearables have become increasingly popular in recent years: According to a survey conducted from January to April 2019, around 30% of all adults in the United

States are currently using wearables on daily basis (4, 5). As a result, not only is the amount of fitness and wellness data collected increasing, but the potential applications are also growing. For instance, wearables are used for atrial fibrillation detection or for diabetes monitoring (6, 7). Moreover, the increasing availability of health data due to wearables and other medical data sources could enable precision medicine for a broader patient population by providing individual therapies to maximizing efficacy and efficiency while reducing costs for the healthcare system (8). In addition, DPTs could strengthen precision medicine approaches by providing user-friendly access to continuous health monitoring and individualized health advice. As an example, the Swedish Digital Twin Consortium has recognized the potential of DTPs and has already developed a strategy for personalized medication. This strategy states that in the future, a patient's digital twin should first be created. Then, these DPTs should be copied, and each copy should be treated with one or more of thousands of drugs to identify the most effective one to be used subsequently for the patient's real-life treatment (9).

However, DPTs are not yet widely established for routine medical purpose—neither for patients nor for medical expertsand still under development for different use cases (10, 11). Although being especially interesting as facilitator for precision medicine, DPTs as a bridge between health monitoring, personalized therapeutics, and pharmaceutical manufacturing are still not discussed at large. Especially for personalized therapeutics like genetically engineered cell-based therapies, DPTs could be a useful tool for designing these individualized therapies and for communicating these to automated processes in pharmaceutical manufacturing. Hence, this mini-review focuses on this novel function for DPTs at the link of health monitoring, precision medicine, and pharmaceutical manufacturing.

2 Background on digital twins

The concept of digital twins was first introduced by Grieves in 2002 (12) as part of a presentation about Product Lifecycle Management (PLM). Though it was not called a "digital twin" at that time, it represented every aspect the current technology also depicts, focusing on the data and information transfer between the physical and digital layers (13). Grieves and Vickers (13) propose multiple definitions and refinements of the twin and its use cases. A digital twin in general is virtual model of physical artefacts that may or may not be synchronously connected, and that can be used to simulate the behavior of the artefact. Based on the findings of Zheng, Yang, and Cheng (14) the digital twins aim at visualizing the data of a specific product with an extremely high degree of accuracy to reality.

The theoretical foundations of digital twins, as mentioned by Tao et al. (15), are split into multiple parts. Focusing on modeling and simulation, data fusion, communication, and services. Each tackles a specific challenge faced by either physical devices or conceptual ideas and allows for the interconnectivity between the physical and digital world as well as the respective environment (13, 15). These generic challenges arise for DPTs as well, but are combined with additional requirements, such as regulatory aspects for drug manufacturing or data sovereignty.

3 Monitoring healthcare attributes

In addition to traditional monitoring devices and sensors like conventional electrocardiography systems, wearables are becoming more and more popular. With the help of those, data for health, fitness, and general well-being can be conveniently recorded. Hence, they can ensure continuous and location-independent monitoring of the state of health (16). Commercially available wearables, such as smartwatches or fitness trackers, can monitor various body functions: sleep, body temperature, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, cardiovascular parameters (including resting pulse, heart rate, electrocardiogram, heart rate variability, and blood pressure), or track user mobility data such as activity duration, step count, stride length, or falls (16). However, it should be noted that the quality of wearables can differ depending on the manufacturer and that they do not have to meet essential safety and performance requirements like medical devices.

Besides wearable sensor-based monitoring devices, other Internet of Things (IoT) monitoring devices exist as well. With IoT technologies new monitoring solutions like remote monitoring can be enabled, allowing for lower costs with the same level of care quality or supporting existing monitoring solutions with additional health attributes or automated analyses (17, 18). Using these technologies multiple solutions for monitoring patient-related health data as mentioned above as well as environmental factors of the hospital like carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide levels have been developed utilizing existing sensors and off-the-shelf components like small single-board computers and open software solutions like Android (19–21). This can go as far as allowing medical professionals to access data remotely or on-site in near real-time while alarming medical staff about abnormalities in data (22, 23).

As depicted in Figure 1, the DPT (the digital counterpart) corresponds exactly to the physical patient. Some elements, e. g. smart devices like a smartwatch, are interfacing with the person directly, being used for direct monitoring purposes. Others like laboratory research may not be directly linked, but all collected datapoints are documented as part of their respective digital twin and therefore have an indirect connection to the DPT that may reuse the data. Concepts like the DPT aim to unify the aspects of monitoring, artificial intelligence models, and simulations into a single digital asset that enables better interconnectivity and interoperability between sensors and systems, similar to the digital twin concept in Industry 4.0 (24).

4 Implications for health monitoring and personalized therapeutics in the future

DPTs have the potential to transform healthcare by improving and potentially accelerating diagnosis and treatment on the one



the future.

hand, and by mapping clinical pathways or patient care processes on the other hand (11, 25). Despite these opportunities, experts note that there is still a lack of user-friendly software for patients and physicians to use digital twins (25, 26).

Currently, digital twins in the healthcare sector are mostly developed in research settings, spanning digital twins to represent the entire human body, a body system or function, an organ, a specific cell type, or even a specific subcellular or molecular level within a cell. Examples of digital twins of organs include the heart for the development of safe and effective cardiovascular products or the brain for targeted epilepsy surgery (27, 28). Furthermore, the digital twins can also be used for holographic systems. For instance, Gong et al. (29) are describing an interactive platform for visualizing the digital twin of a human heart created by computed tomography images. The integration of digital twins into the metaverse is proposed by Wang et al. (30) to promote smart healthcare. As an example, this would allow digital twins of computer tomography scanners to examine digital patient avatars.

Further, DPTs can also focus on specific diseases. Voigt et al. (31) are investigating digital twins for individualized disease management and optimized treatment of multiple sclerosis. In

addition, the digital twin enables the representation of the clinical pathway of the patient. Therefore, its attributes are based on various characteristics of multiple sclerosis, such as parameters from neurological examinations, functional tests, imaging, neurobiological and immunological data, and information about the patient's life circumstances (31). The European DIGIPREDICT project is researching a digital twin to represent patient-specific physiology and predict the course of viral infection diseases with the help of smart patches. Among other things, DIGIPREDICT aims to forecast the severity of COVID 19 symptoms. The main goal of the project is to enable the identification, monitoring, and screening of high-risk patients and thus suggesting the right (supportive) therapy (32).

Since DPTs integrate various data sources and aid clinical interpretation and decision making, they are becoming an integral part of precision medicine to select the most effective drug for individual patients. With this, DPTs could be used for management and monitoring of chronic diseases. Diabetes Type 2 is a widespread chronic disease with potential long-term organ damage due to increased blood glucose levels, with consequent dramatic loss of quality of life and immense socio-financial burden. As a result, the management of diabetes with DPTs is currently being discussed (33). For instance, DPTs are giving individualized advice on nutrition, leading to better controlled blood glucose levels. Indeed, DPTs have been already evaluated in clinical studies for diabetic patients (34). In one of those studies machine learning algorithms were applied to predict the glycemic responses to food. Based on these results, individualized recommendations were given to reduce blood glucose spikes (34). DPTs can also guide treatment decisions based on outcome prediction. For example, Venkatapurapu et al. (35) have evaluated a computational approach to predict temporal changes in mucosal health in Crohn's disease. With this approach, they aim to support physicians' decisions when considering the state of gastrointestinal tissue in patients.

Another interesting use case is the reliable prediction of drug efficacy and side effects for patients before drug administration, which is currently not fully achievable for clinicians by conventional methods. Prediction and detection of drug adverse events is currently under development in research settings (36, 37). Although clinical trials are used to assess the effectiveness and safety of new drugs, it is hardly possible to detect all side effects and drug interactions in clinical trials, as the number of participants is not enough to catch every possible side effect. As a result, adverse drug events are a considerable risk for mortality and increased costs in hospitalized patients (38).

DPTs could help to predict these side effects prior to the actual treatment. The clinical trial of Swen et al. (39) showed that genetic information can be used to reduce adverse drug reactions in patients, paving the way for routine pharmacogenetic-based prediction of drug adverse events in the future. Therefore, it is suggested that DPTs created using genetic information can be used during personalized treatments or to evaluate new drugs (40). Individualized therapeutics are especially progressing in cancer therapies, e.g., one approach is the identification of so called neoantigens and subsequent individualized vaccination for tumor immune therapy (41). Clinical studies show that this strategy is clinically effective in several cancer entities such as melanoma (42) or prostate cancer (43). However, mass production of such individualized vaccines and other Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (44) is not yet established and still challenging. DPTs could guide the design of such individualized therapeutics and allow automated pharmaceutical manufacturing at the same time.

5 Digital patient twins interfacing pharmaceutical manufacturing and regulatory aspects

Digital Twins are already being used to optimize the manufacturing process in the pharmaceutical industry by improving long production processes and long medical release times and thus increasing efficiency (9, 45) or machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques in the drug discovery and development process (46, 47). Figure 1 shows how pharmaceutical manufacturing can be connected to the DPT. While the drugs in the figure are a directly linked to the DPT, manufacturing equipment is not; it is

only indirectly connected to the DPT via the drugs relying on the data the DPT provides to adjust to the requirement. Nevertheless, the concept of personalized therapeutics, where patient data is incorporated into the manufacturing process to develop the most effective therapeutic, is still influenced by this missing connection.

Since every device used in manufacturing and in medical settings in general, including software, requires regulatory approval, novel approaches will face hurdles that need to be overcome. However, DPTs have, at least in part, been approved in the past by the European Medicines Agency for certain predictions in Phase 2 and 3 clinical trials (48). Simulation models for physiologically based pharmacokinetics have their own guidelines presented by major agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (49) or European Medicines Agency (EMA) (50) as their usage has increased and their usefulness has been proven (51, 52).

Regulatory agencies like the EMA and FDA recommend ways to incorporate new digital solutions in the development/production of new drugs in general (53–55), but do not provide specific guidelines for these concepts. This is especially prevalent for individualized drugs with the goal of lot size one, as every therapeutic potentially needs its own regulatory approval. As a result, the pharmaceutical domain calls for more specifications in digital health technologies to have a more streamlined approval process (53, 56).

6 Conclusion

The digital transformation has fundamentally changed many aspects of our daily life. However, the digitization of healthcare still lags behind other sectors. The evolving concepts around DPTs, along with data science and artificial intelligence approaches like machine learning are paving the way for novel healthcare monitoring. DPTs can be a user-friendly and easily accessible tool to increase health data literacy in the population. In combination with reliable and robust artificial intelligence algorithms for health surveillance and prediction of health risks, health management could be shifted from disease treatment to prevention. As DPTs are integrating sensitive health data, strict systems for data control and data security are needed. Interestingly, digital twins have already been proposed as an integral part of cybersecurity for healthcare applications (57) as well as manufacturing (58). Moreover, similar to other domains, health data sovereignty should be in focus to increase the trust in these new solutions to achieve the targeted goals (59). Moreover, ethical concerns regarding prediction of disease onset of untreatable diseases and other potential challenges within digital health need to be carefully considered in the future and before implementation. However, a detailed discussion of these aspects is beyond the scope of this review although being highly relevant.

Overall, DPTs are especially interesting for precision medicine and personalized therapeutics as access to individual health information is rapidly increasing. One example is the bigger access to genetic information which is paving the way for individualized prediction of drug adverse events as shown in the clinical study by Swen and colleagues (39). This is important due to high costs for innovative therapies which can be lowered using fully automated pharmaceutical manufacturing systems while improving sustainability with simulations of the efficacy and overall usefulness of the proposed therapy. Ecosystems centered around DPTs for health monitoring, design of personalized therapeutics, and prediction of drug efficacy have enormous potential for restructuring health care systems. Therefore, DPTs could be a bridging tool to pharmaceutical manufacturing of individualized therapeutics as long as regulatory aspects and quality control systems are adopted to it.

Author contributions

RPF: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AV: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PA: Writing – review & editing. TDA: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Onaji I, Tiwari D, Soulatiantork P, Song B, Tiwari A. Digital twin in manufacturing: conceptual framework and case studies. *Int J Comput Integr Manuf.* (2022) 35(8):831–58. doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2022.2027014

2. Schmidt A, Frey J, Hillen D, Horbelt J, Schandar M, Schneider D, et al. A framework for automated quality assurance and documentation for pharma 4.0. Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security: 40th International Conference, SAFECOMP 2021, York, UK, September 8–10, 2021, Proceedings 40 (Springer International Publishing, 2021). p. 226–39. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-83903-1_15

3. Zobel-Roos S, Schmidt A, Uhlenbrock L, Ditz R, Köster D, Strube J. Digital twins in biomanufacturing. In: Herwig C, Pörtner R, Möller J, editors. *Digital twins: Tools and concepts for smart biomanufacturing*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2021). p. 181–262. doi: 10.1007/10_2020_146

 Smuck M, Odonkor CA, Wilt JK, Schmidt N, Swiernik MA. The emerging clinical role of wearables: factors for successful implementation in healthcare. *NPJ Digit Med.* (2021) 4(1):45. doi: 10.1038/s41746-021-00418-3

5. Chandrasekaran R, Katthula V, Moustakas E. Patterns of use and key predictors for the use of wearable health care devices by US adults: insights from a national survey. *J Med Internet Res.* (2020) 22(10):e22443. doi: 10.2196/22443

6. Hermans AN, Gawalko M, Dohmen L, van der Velden RM, Betz K, Duncker D, et al. Mobile health solutions for atrial fibrillation detection and management: a systematic review. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2021) 111:1–3. doi: 10.1007/s00392-021-01941-9

7. Ahmad A, Rasul T, Yousaf A, Zaman U. Understanding factors influencing elderly diabetic patients' continuance intention to use digital health wearables: extending the technology acceptance model (TAM). *J Open Innov Technol Mark Complex*. (2020) 6(3):81. doi: 10.3390/joitmc6030081

8. Corral-Acero J, Margara F, Marciniak M, Rodero C, Loncaric F, Feng Y, et al. The 'digital twin'to enable the vision of precision cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41 (48):4556–64. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa159

9. Björnsson B, Borrebaeck C, Elander N, Gasslander T, Gawel DR, Gustafsson M, et al. Digital twins to personalize medicine. *Genome Med.* (2020) 12:1–4. doi: 10.1186/ s13073-019-0701-3

10. Grieves M. Conceptual ideal for PLM. Presentation for the Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) center, University of Michigan. (2002).

11. Elkefi S, Asan O. Digital twins for managing health care systems: rapid literature review. J Med Internet Res. (2022) 24(8):e37641. doi: 10.2196/37641

12. Croatti A, Gabellini M, Montagna S, Ricci A. On the integration of agents and digital twins in healthcare. J Med Syst. (2020) 44:1-8. doi: 10.1007/s10916-020-01623-5

13. Grieves M, Vickers J. Digital twin: mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In: Kahlen J, Flumerfelt S, Alves A, editors. *Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems: New Findings and Approaches.*

Acknowledgments

We thank all collaborators and colleagues for fruitful discussions on digital twins for patients.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Cham: Springer International Publishing (2017) p. 85–113. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-38756-7_4

14. Zheng Y, Yang S, Cheng H. An application framework of digital twin and its case study. *J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput.* (2019) 10:1141–53. doi: 10.1007/s12652-018-0911-3

15. Tao F, Zhang H, Liu A, Nee AY. Digital twin in industry: state-of-the-art. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform.* (2018) 15(4):2405–15. doi: 10.1109/TII.2018.2873186

16. Dunn J, Runge R, Snyder M. Wearables and the medical revolution. Per Med. (2018) 15(5):429-48. doi: 10.2217/pme-2018-0044

17. Chiuchisan I, Costin HN, Geman O. Adopting the internet of things technologies in health care systems. 2014 International Conference and Exposition on Electrical and Power Engineering (EPE) (IEEE, 2014). p. 532–5. doi: 10.1109/ICEPE.2014.6969965

18. Shaikh S, Chitre V. Healthcare monitoring system using IoT. 2017 International Conference on Trends in Electronics and Informatics (ICEI) (IEEE, 2017). p. 374–7. doi: 10.1109/ICOEI.2017.8300952

19. Islam MM, Rahaman A, Islam MR. Development of smart healthcare monitoring system in IoT environment. SN Comput Sci. (2020) 1:1–1. doi: 10.1007/ s42979-020-00195-y

20. Kumar SP, Samson VR, Sai UB, Rao PM, Eswar KK. Smart health monitoring system of patient through IoT. 2017 International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC) (IEEE, 2017). p. 551–6. doi: 10.1109/ I-SMAC.2017.8058240

21. Trivedi S, Cheeran AN. Android based health parameter monitoring. 2017 International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS) (IEEE, 2017). p. 1145–9. doi: 10.1109/ICCONS.2017.8250646

22. Abdulmalek S, Nasir A, Jabbar WA, Almuhaya MA, Bairagi AK, Khan MA, et al. IoT-based healthcare-monitoring system towards improving quality of life: a review. *Healthcare*. (2022) 10(10):1993. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10101993

23. Sahu ML, Atulkar M, Ahirwal MK, Ahamad A. Vital sign monitoring system for healthcare through IoT based personal service application. *Wirel Pers Commun.* (2022) 122(1):129–56. doi: 10.1007/s11277-021-08892-4

24. Sun W, Ma W, Zhou Y, Zhang Y. An introduction to digital twin standards. GetMobile Mob Comput Commun. (2022) 26(3):16-22. doi: 10.1145/3568113.3568119

25. James L. Digital twins will revolutionise healthcare: digital twin technology has the potential to transform healthcare in a variety of ways-improving the diagnosis and treatment of patients, streamlining preventative care and facilitating new approaches for hospital planning. *Eng Technol.* (2021) 16(2):50–3. doi: 10.1049/et.2021.0210

26. De Maeyer C, Markopoulos P. Are digital twins becoming our personal (predictive) advisors? "our digital mirror of who we were, who we are and who we

will become". Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Healthy and Active Aging: 6th International Conference, ITAP 2020, Held as Part of the 22nd HCI International Conference, HCII 2020, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 19–24, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 22 (Springer International Publishing, 2020). p. 250–68. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-50249-2_19

27. Peirlinck M, Costabal FS, Yao J, Guccione JM, Tripathy S, Wang Y, et al. Precision medicine in human heart modeling: perspectives, challenges, and opportunities. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol.* (2021) 20:803–31. doi: 10.1007/s10237-021-01421-z

28. Johnson P, Levine S, Bonnard C, Schuerer K, Pécuchet N, Gazères N, et al. Digital twin for healthcare and lifesciences. In: Crespi N, Drobot AT, Minerva R, editors. *The Digital Twin.* Cham: Springer International Publishing (2023). p. 1023–44. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-21343-4_32

29. Gong Y, Qi F, Liu Y, Wang JY, Ma T, Cheng Z, et al. An interactive platform for a high performance digital twin of a human heart. (2023). doi: 10.1109/ MetaCom57706.2023.00046

30. Wang G, Badal A, Jia X, Maltz JS, Mueller K, Myers KJ, et al. Development of metaverse for intelligent healthcare. *Nat Mach Intell.* (2022) 4(11):922–9. doi: 10.1038/ s42256-022-00549-6

31. Voigt I, Inojosa H, Dillenseger A, Haase R, Akgün K, Ziemssen T. Digital twins for multiple sclerosis. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:669811. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021. 669811

32. DIGIPREDICT. DIGIPREDICT first published summary. (2022). Available online at: https://www.digipredict.eu/members/repository/Public/Public_documents/ DIGIPREDICT_PS1_15092022.pdf

33. Chu Y, Li S, Tang J, Wu H. The potential of the medical digital twin in diabetes management: a review. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2023) 10. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023. 1178912

34. Shamanna P, Saboo B, Damodharan S, Mohammed J, Mohamed M, Poon T, et al. Reducing HbA1c in type 2 diabetes using digital twin technology-enabled precision nutrition: a retrospective analysis. *Diabetes Ther.* (2020) 11:2703–14. doi: 10.1007/s13300-020-00931-w

35. Venkatapurapu SP, Iwakiri R, Udagawa E, Patidar N, Qi Z, Takayama R, et al. Correction to: a computational platform integrating a mechanistic model of Crohn's disease for predicting temporal progression of mucosal damage and healing. *Adv Ther.* (2023) 40(2):721. doi: 10.1007/s12325-022-02144-y

36. Lee CY, Chen YP. Prediction of drug adverse events using deep learning in pharmaceutical discovery. *Brief Bioinformatics*. (2021) 22(2):1884–901. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbaa040

37. Yasrebi-de Kom IA, Dongelmans DA, de Keizer NF, Jager KJ, Schut MC, Abu-Hanna A, et al. Electronic health record-based prediction models for in-hospital adverse drug event diagnosis or prognosis: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* (2023) 30(5):978–88. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocad014

38. Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Evans RS, Lloyd JF, Burke JP. Adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. Excess length of stay, extra costs, and attributable mortality. *JAMA*. (1997) 277(4):301–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.1997.03540280039031

39. Swen JJ, van der Wouden CH, Manson LE, Abdullah-Koolmees H, Blagec K, Blagus T, et al. A 12-gene pharmacogenetic panel to prevent adverse drug reactions: an open-label, multicentre, controlled, cluster-randomised crossover implementation study. *Lancet.* (2023) 401(10374):347–56. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01841-4

40. Sun T, He X, Li Z. Digital twin in healthcare: recent updates and challenges. Digit Health. (2023) 9:20552076221149651. doi: 10.1177/20552076221149651

41. Blass E, Ott PA. Advances in the development of personalized neoantigen-based therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2021) 18(4):215–29. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00460-2

42. Khattak A, Weber JS, Meniawy T, Taylor MH, Ansstas G, Kim KB, et al. Distant metastasis-free survival results from the randomized, phase 2 mRNA-4157-P201/ KEYNOTE-942 trial.

43. Rojas LA, Sethna Z, Soares KC, Olcese C, Pang N, Patterson E, et al. Personalized RNA neoantigen vaccines stimulate T cells in pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. (2023) 618:1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06063-y

44. Blache U, Popp G, Dünkel A, Koehl U, Fricke S. Potential solutions for manufacture of CAR T cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13 (1):5225. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-32866-0

45. Erol T, Mendi AF, Doğan D. Digital transformation revolution with digital twin technology. 2020 4th International Symposium on Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Technologies (ISMSIT) (IEEE, 2020). p. 1–7. doi: 10.1109/ISMSIT50672. 2020.9254288

46. Patel V, Shah M. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in drug discovery and development. *Intell Med.* (2022) 2(3):134-40. doi: 10.1016/j.imed. 2021.10.001

47. Schütt M, Stamatopoulos K, Batchelor HK, Simmons MJ, Alexiadis A. Development of a digital twin of a tablet that mimics a real solid dosage form: differences in the dissolution profile in conventional mini-USP II and a biorelevant colon model. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* (2022) 179:106310. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps. 2022.106310

48. European Medicines Agency. Qualification opinion for Prognostic Covariate Adjustment (PROCOVATM). Reference No. EMADOC-1700519818-907465. (2022). Available online at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/qualification-opinion-prognostic-covariate-adjustment-procov atm_en.pdf

49. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry: physiologically based pharmacokinetic analyses — format and content. (2018). Available online at: https://www.fda.gov/media/101469/download

50. European Medicines Agency. Guideline on the reporting of physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling and simulation. Reference No. EMA/CHMP/ 458101/2016. (2018). Available online at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/ scientific-guideline/guideline-reporting-physiologically-based-pharmacokinetic-pbpk-modelling-simulation_en.pdf

51. Grimstein M, Yang Y, Zhang X, Grillo J, Huang SM, Zineh I, et al. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling in regulatory science: an update from the US food and drug administration's office of clinical pharmacology. *J Pharm Sci.* (2019) 108(1):21–5. doi: 10.1016/j.xphs.2018.10.033

52. Nijsen MJ, Wu F, Bansal L, Bradshaw-Pierce E, Chan JR, Liederer BM, et al. Preclinical QSP modeling in the pharmaceutical industry: an IQ consortium survey examining the current landscape. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol.* (2018) 7 (3):135–46. doi: 10.1002/psp4.12282

53. Colloud S, Metcalfe T, Askin S, Belachew S, Ammann J, Bos E, et al. Evolving regulatory perspectives on digital health technologies for medicinal product development. *npj Digit Med.* (2023) 6(1):56. doi: 10.1038/s41746-023-00790-2

54. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Framework for the use of digital health technologies in drug and biological product development. (2023). Available online at: https://www.fda.gov/media/166396/download?attachment

55. European Medicines Agency. Mandate of the EMA Innovation Task Force (ITF). Reference No. EMA/484400/2014. (2014). Available online at: https://www.ema. europa.eu/en/documents/other/mandate-european-medicines-agency-innovation-task -force-itf_en.pdf

56. European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations. EFPIA Reflection Paper on the Need for Better Defined Regulatory Pathways in the EU for Digital Health Technologies used concomitantly with Medicinal Products or as drug development tools during Clinical Development. (2021). Available online at: https://www.efpia.eu/media/636454/efpia-rp-digital-health-technologies_november -2021.pdf

57. Pirbhulal S, Abie H, Shukla A. Towards a novel framework for reinforcing cybersecurity using digital twins in IoT-based healthcare applications. 2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference: (VTC2022-spring) (IEEE, 2022). p. 1–5. doi: 10.1109/VTC2022-Spring54318.2022.9860581

58. Balta EC, Pease M, Moyne J, Barton K, Tilbury DM. Digital twin-based cyberattack detection framework for cyber-physical manufacturing systems. *IEEE Trans Autom Sci Eng.* (2023):1–18. doi: 10.1109/TASE.2023.3243147

59. Zrenner J, Möller FO, Jung C, Eitel A, Otto B. Usage control architecture options for data sovereignty in business ecosystems. *J Enterp Inf Manag.* (2019) 32(3):477–95. doi: 10.1108/JEIM-03-2018-0058