

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Toshiyo Tamura, Waseda University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE
Lindsey N. Potter

☑ lindsey.potter@hci.utah.edu

RECEIVED 20 November 2023 ACCEPTED 22 November 2023 PUBLISHED 01 December 2023

CITATION

Potter LN, Nahum-Shani I and Wetter DW (2023) Editorial: Digital technology for tobacco control: Novel data collection, study designs, and interventions.

Front. Digit. Health 5:1341759. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1341759

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Potter, Nahum-Shani and Wetter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these

Editorial: Digital technology for tobacco control: Novel data collection, study designs, and interventions

Lindsey N. Potter^{1,2*}, Inbal Nahum-Shani³ and David W. Wetter^{1,2}

¹Center for Health Outcomes and Population Equity (HOPE), Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, United States, ²Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, United States, ³Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States

KEYWORDS

tobacco cessation, just in time adaptive interventions, ecological momentary assessment, intensive longitudinal data, health inequities, digital intervention

Editorial on the Research Topic

Digital technology for tobacco control: Novel data collection, study designs, and interventions

Tobacco is the leading cause of preventable death and disease and is responsible for nearly one in five deaths in the United States (1-4). Importantly, many tobacco users have a desire to quit, with nearly half of smokers reporting quitting for at least one day in the last 12 months (5, 6). However, traditional tobacco cessation interventions such as self-help materials (7), nicotine replacement therapy (8), and physician advice (9), although efficacious in helping individuals achieve abstinence, can be resource intensive and costly, which could be a barrier for making a population-level impact. Advances in digital technologies have created unprecedented opportunities to leverage novel data collection and intervention designs to improve tobacco prevention and treatment. For example, the use of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) has revealed dynamic predictors of smoking lapse (10-19). Near-continuous GPS data collected from smartphones and physiological data collected from wearable sensors have been used to reveal contextual and physiological precipitants of lapse with more granularity than ever before (e.g., proximity to cues to smoke and autonomic indicators of self-regulatory capacity, which is important for tobacco cessation (20, 21). Importantly, the driving motivation behind the use of these technologies is that derived data can be leveraged to enhance treatment accessibility and scalability, and to deliver adaptive interventions (e.g., Just-in-Time Adaptive Interventions, or JITAIs) (22, 23). To that end, this Research Topic contains 8 articles highlighting (a) data collection approaches that leverage digital technology to gain a better understanding of tobacco use and mechanisms of change; (b) innovative intervention approaches that leverage digital technology to enhance accessibility, scalability, and the individualization of tobacco use prevention and treatment; and (c) research employing novel experimental designs and/or data analytic methods to inform tobacco use prevention and treatment.

Several conceptual pieces offer pragmatic guidance for developing digital interventions. Battalio and colleagues review the social determinants of health (SDOH) that may contribute

Potter et al. 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1341759

to tobacco-related health inequities. They present a conceptual model to address SDOH with a lens towards developing mHealth tobacco cessation interventions that are optimized to serve populations most in need (Battalio et al.). Nahum-Shani and colleagues introduce a framework with 5 guiding questions that can be used to select the most appropriate experimental approach (Nahum-Shani et al.). They call for more flexible experimental designs that can efficiently address questions about the integration and adaptation of intervention components at multiple timescales (24, 25). Cui and colleagues highlight the challenges in developing smoking cessation applications for mobile phones, which include sophisticated programming requirements and significant investment of time and money. They provide guidelines for conducting mobile smoking research using Qualtrics and discuss the flexibility, affordability and potential of this approach in facilitating more scalable mobile tobacco cessation interventions (Cui et al.).

Despite the tremendous opportunities that mHealth studies offer for understanding dynamic mechanisms of change and informing interventions, they are especially susceptible to missing data due to challenges relating to participant engagement. Two papers discuss these challenges. Sobolev and colleagues leverage data from two EMA studies of smoking cessation to explore the dynamics of engagement with mobile health data collection in real-world settings. They investigate how engagement with data collection (EMA prompts delivered and EMA prompt response) unfolds over time, and based on the results emphasize the importance of integrating multiple indicators to measure engagement (Sobolev et al.). Ji and colleagues utilize data from an EMA study of smokers attempting to quit, as well as a simulated data set, to demonstrate how improper accommodation of multilevel intensive longitudinal data structures in multiple imputation may impact study results. They emphasize the importance of properly handling clustered missingness for conclusions drawn from ILD studies that are used to inform the development of tobacco cessation interventions (Ji et al.).

Several articles examine dynamic factors that influence tobacco cessation success. Coughlin and colleagues review the state of the science of using motivational incentives in smoking cessation interventions. They highlight the benefits of digitally delivered motivational incentives for reducing barriers associated with smoking cessation interventions, such as participant burden, disengagement, and up-front costs. To help mitigate these barriers, they call for the development of digitally delivered motivational incentive interventions that are guided by several principles for constructing JITAIs, which can enhance the feasibility, effectiveness, and scalability of digital motivational incentive interventions for smoking cessation (Coughlin et al.). Scherer and colleagues examine the time-varying nature of self-regulation in real-world settings in two high-risk populations (individuals who smoke and individuals with binge-eating disorder). They demonstrate that self-regulation is not static, but rather may vary based on contextual factors (e.g., location, environmental cues to smoke, and others), and discuss the implications for interventions targeting momentary self-regulation as a means to reduce health risk behaviors (Scherer et al.).

Finally, Benson and colleagues report on a pilot RCT comparing 3 smoking cessation interventions: a JITAI that tailored treatment in real time, the National Cancer Institute QuitGuide application, and a clinic-based tobacco cessation programing that follow clinical practice guidelines. These interventions target negative affect and urge, factors that influence tobacco cessation in daily life. Based on findings that the within-person association between negative affect and urge was stronger in the post-quit than pre-quit period, and that associations differed by intervention type, the authors discuss the potential importance of personalizing interventions for decoupling momentary associations between negative affect and urge during a quit attempt (Benson et al.).

Included in this Research Topic are original reports highlighting novel frameworks, study designs, and data collection procedures, as well as intervention and methodological approaches that leverage advances in digital technologies to prevent and treat tobacco use. We believe this Research Topic demonstrates that digital technologies offer a tremendous opportunity to leverage information about an individual's progress in treatment, internal state, and context to recommend whether and how to intervene (22), which, in turn, can improve accessibility and scalability of evidence-based interventions, and reduce tobacco-related inequities at the population-level (26).

Author contributions

LP: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. IN-S: Writing – review & editing. DW: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This publication was supported by awards from the National Cancer Institute (P30CA042014, K99CA252604; R00CA252604; U01CA229437), National Institute of Drug Abuse (P50DA054039, R01DA039901), National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (UL1TR002538, 5TL1TR002540) and the Huntsman Cancer Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Potter et al. 10.3389/fdgth.2023.1341759

References

- 1. Danaei G, Ding EL, Mozaffarian D, Taylor B, Rehm J, Murray CJL, et al. The preventable causes of death in the United States: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6(4):e1000058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000058
- 2. Mokdad AH, Marks JS, Stroup DF, Gerberding JL. Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. JAMA. (2004) 291(10):1238–45. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.10.1238
- 3. Samet JM. Tobacco smoking the leading cause of preventable disease worldwide. Thorac Surg Clin. (2013) 23(2):103-+. doi: 10.1016/j.thorsurg.2013.01.009
- 4. Tran KB, Lang JJ, Compton K, Xu R, Acheson AR, Henrikson HJ, et al. The global burden of cancer attributable to risk factors, 2010–19: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet*. (2022) 400(10352):563–91. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01438-6
- 5. Dube SR, Asman K, Malarcher A, Carabollo R. Cigarette smoking among adults and trends in smoking cessation-United States, 2008 (reprinted from MMWR, vol 58, pg 1227–1232, 2009). *JAMA*. (2009) 302(24):2651–4.
- 6. Ahluwalia IB, Smith T, Arrazola RA, Palipudi KM, de Quevedo IG, Prasad VM, et al. Current tobacco smoking, quit attempts, and knowledge about smoking risks among persons aged >= 15 years—global adult tobacco survey, 28 countries, 2008–2016. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2018) 67(38):1072–6. doi: 10.15585/mmwr. mm6738a7
- 7. Lancaster T, Stead LF. Self-help interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2005) 20(3):CD001118. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001118.pub2
- 8. Stead LF, Perera R, Bullen C, Mant D, Hartmann-Boyce J, Cahill K, et al. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2012) 11: Cd000146. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000146.pub4
- 9. Stead LF, Buitrago D, Preciado N, Sanchez G, Hartmann-Boyce J, Lancaster T. Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2013) 2013 (5):Cd000165. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000165.pub4
- 10. Businelle MS, Ma P, Kendzor DE, Frank SG, Wetter DW, Vidrine DJ. Using intensive longitudinal data collected via mobile phone to detect imminent lapse in smokers undergoing a scheduled quit attempt. *J Med Internet Res.* (2016) 18(10):10. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6307
- 11. Cambron C, Haslam AK, Baucom BRW, Lam C, Vinci C, Cinciripini P, et al. Momentary precipitants connecting stress and smoking lapse during a quit attempt. *Health Psychol.* (2019) 38(12):1049–58. doi: 10.1037/hea0000797
- 12. Cambron C, Lam CY, Cinciripini P, Li L, Wetter DW. Socioeconomic status, social context, and smoking lapse during a quit attempt: an ecological momentary assessment study. *Ann Behav Med.* (2020) 54(3):141–50. doi: 10.1093/abm/kaz034
- 13. Potter LN, Schlechter CR, Nahum-Shani I, Lam CY, Cinciripini PM, Wetter DW. Socioeconomic status moderates within-person associations between risk factors and smoking lapse in daily life. *Addiction*. (2023) 118(5):925–34. doi: 10. 1111/add.16116

- 14. Potter LN, Haaland BA, Lam CY, Cambron C, Schlechter CR, Cinciripini PM, et al. A time-varying model of the dynamics of smoking lapse. *Health Psychol.* (2021) 40(1):40–50. doi: 10.1037/hea0001036
- 15. Gwaltney CJ, Shiffman S, Balabanis MH, Paty JA. Dynamic self-efficacy and outcome expectancies: prediction of smoking lapse and relapse. *J Abnorm Psychol.* (2005) 114(4):661–75. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.661
- 16. Gwaltney CJ, Bartolomei R, Colby SM, Kahler CW. Ecological momentary assessment of adolescent smoking cessation: a feasibility study. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2008) 10(7):1185–90. doi: 10.1080/14622200802163118
- 17. Vinci C, Li L, Wu C, Lam CY, Guo L, Correa-Fernandez V, et al. The association of positive emotion and first smoking lapse: an ecological momentary assessment study. *Health Psychol.* (2017) 36(11):1038–46. doi: 10.1037/hea0000535
- 18. Lam CY, Businelle MS, Aigner CJ, McClure JB, Cofta-Woerpel L, Cinciripini PM, et al. Individual and combined effects of multiple high-risk triggers on postcessation smoking urge and lapse. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2014) 16(5):569–75. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntt190
- 19. Shiffman S, Balabanis MH, Gwaltney CJ, Paty JA, Gnys M, Kassel JD, et al. Prediction of lapse from associations between smoking and situational antecedents assessed by ecological momentary assessment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2007) 91(2–3):159–68. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.05.017
- 20. Kirchner TR, Cantrell J, Anesetti-Rothermel A, Ganz O, Vallone DM, Abrams DB. Geospatial exposure to point-of-sale tobacco: real-time craving and smoking-cessation outcomes. *Am J Prev Med.* (2013) 45(4):379–85. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.05.016
- 21. West R. The multiple facets of cigarette addiction and what they mean for encouraging and helping smokers to stop. *Copd.* (2009) 6(4):277–83. doi: 10.1080/15412550903049181
- 22. Nahum-Shani I, Smith SN, Spring BJ, Collins LM, Witkiewitz K, Tewari A, et al. Just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAIs) in mobile health: key components and design principles for ongoing health behavior support. *Ann Behav Med.* (2018) 52 (6):446–62. doi: 10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8
- 23. Nahum-Shani I, Hekler EB, Spruijt-Metz D. Building health behavior models to guide the development of just-in-time adaptive interventions: a pragmatic framework. Health Psychol. (2015) 34(S):1209. doi: 10.1037/hea0000306
- 24. Nahum-Shani I, Dziak JJ, Venera H, Pfammatter AF, Spring B, Dempsey W. Design of experiments with sequential randomizations on multiple timescales: the hybrid experimental design. *Behav Res Methods*. (2023):1–23. doi: 10.3758/s13428-023-02119-z
- 25. Nahum-Shani I, Dziak JJ, Walton MA, Dempsey W. Hybrid experimental designs for intervention development: what, why, and how. *Adv Methods Pract Psychol Sci.* (2022) 5(3):25152459221114279. doi: 10.1177/25152459221114279
- 26. Hill S, Amos A, Clifford D, Platt S. Impact of tobacco control interventions on socioeconomic inequalities in smoking: review of the evidence. *Tob Control.* (2014) 23 (e2):e89–97. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2013-051110