Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lua Perimal-Lewis, Flinders University, Australia

REVIEWED BY Sónia Vladimira Correia, Lusofona University, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Bruno Bonnechère 🖂 bruno.bonnechere@uhasselt.be

RECEIVED 03 October 2024 ACCEPTED 14 January 2025 PUBLISHED 31 January 2025

CITATION

Dereje D, Lamba D, Abessa TG, Kenea C, Ramari C, Osama M, Kossi O, Boma PM, Panda J, Kushnir A, Mourad J, Mapinduzi J, Fourtassi M, Daniels K, Deutsch J and Bonnechère B (2025) Unlocking the potential of serious games for rehabilitation in low and middle-income countries: addressing potential and current limitations. Front. Digit. Health 7:1505717. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2025.1505717

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Dereje, Lamba, Abessa, Kenea, Ramari, Osama, Kossi, Boma, Panda, Kushnir, Mourad, Mapinduzi, Fourtassi, Daniels, Deutsch and Bonnechère. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Unlocking the potential of serious games for rehabilitation in low and middle-income countries: addressing potential and current limitations

Diriba Dereje^{1,2}, Dheeraj Lamba³, Teklu Gemechu Abessa^{2,4}, Chala Kenea^{2,5}, Cintia Ramari^{2,6}, Muhammad Osama^{7,8}, Oyéné Kossi^{2,9}, Paul Muteb Boma^{10,11}, Jules Panda^{10,11,12}, Anna Kushnir^{2,13}, Joanna Mourad^{2,14}, Jean Mapinduzi^{2,15}, Maryam Fourtassi¹⁶, Kim Daniels^{2,17}, Judith Deutsch¹⁸ and Bruno Bonnechère^{2,17,19*}

¹Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Institute of Health, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia, ²REVAL Rehabilitation Research Center, Faculty of Rehabilitation Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium, ³Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Institute of Health, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia, ⁴Department of Special Needs and Inclusive Education, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia, ⁵Department of Information Science, Faculty of Computing and Informatics, Jimma Institute of Technology, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia, ⁶BCTRIMS, Brazilian Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, ⁷Foundation University College of Physical Therapy, Foundation University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan, ⁸Brainstorm Research, Islamabad, Pakistan, ⁹ENATSE, Parakou, Benin, ¹⁰Virtual Rehabilitation Center of Lubumbashi, Institut de Recherche en Science de la Santé, Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of Congo, ¹¹Reference Centre for Sickle Cell Disease of Lubumbashi, Institut de Recherche en Science de la Santé, Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of Congo, ¹²Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of Congo, ¹³Elita Rehabilitation Center, Lviv, Ukraine, ¹⁴Psychomotor Therapy Institute, Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon, ¹⁵Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Department of Clinical Sciences, National Institute of Public Health (INSP), Bujumbura, Burundi, ¹⁶Laboratory of Life and Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Tangier, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tétouan, Morocco, ¹⁷Department of PXL-Healthcare, PXL University of Applied Sciences and Arts, Hasselt, Belgium, ¹⁸Rutgers School of Health Professions, Newark, NJ, United States, ¹⁹Technology-Supported and Data-Driven Rehabilitation, Data Sciences Institute, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium

KEYWORDS

serious games, rehabilitation, low and middle-income countries, healthcare access, technology, gamification, digital health

1 Introduction

In low and middle-income countries (LMICs), the burden of disability and the need for rehabilitation services are substantial (1). Rehabilitation encompasses a wide array of interventions and is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as "a set of interventions designed to optimize functioning and reduce disability in individuals with health conditions in interaction with their environment" (2). According to the WHO, an estimated 2.4 billion people globally are in need of rehabilitation, with a significant portion residing in LMICs (3). These countries indeed face a variety of challenges due to pervasive poverty, limited healthcare infrastructure, and constrained resources, which contribute to the high prevalence of disability and limited access to necessary rehabilitation services (4). Disabilities not only arise from congenital conditions and non-communicable diseases but are also exacerbated by external factors such as armed conflicts and inadequate medical facilities (5). Therefore, the need for rehabilitation is

expected to rise due to population aging and the increasing prevalence of chronic conditions, making access to quality rehabilitation services more critical than ever (3), as the WHO projects that non-communicable diseases will account for 80% of the disease burden in LMICs by 2030 (6). Additionally, demographic shifts show rapid population aging in these regions, with estimates suggesting a 200% increase in older populations by 2050 (7). These trends, combined with high rates of trauma and injury, create an urgent need for accessible rehabilitation services.

The integration of rehabilitation into health systems can improve quality of life, reduce healthcare costs, and enhance workforce productivity (8). Studies have indeed demonstrated that every dollar invested in rehabilitation service yields a 9-11 return through reduced healthcare costs and improved workforce participation (9). Research shows that integrated rehabilitation programs reduce hospital readmission rates by up to 30% and improve patients' functional outcomes by 40%-60% (10). Nevertheless, LMICs often face significant challenges in providing equitable access to quality rehabilitation care (2). These countries indeed often face a multitude of obstacles, including limited resources, infrastructure constraints, and geographical barriers, all of which impede their ability to provide equitable access to high-quality rehabilitation care (11). The most critical points are the shortage of rehabilitation professionals (with ratios as low as 0.5 therapists per 10,000 population in some regions), limited infrastructure (particularly in rural areas) (12), and financial barriers where out-of-pocket expenses can exceed 40% of household income (13). These factors further exacerbate the already substantial burden of rehabilitation needs in these regions (14).

In recent years, digital technology has demonstrated significant potential for delivering public health, health systems and health interventions remotely in LMICs (15). Particularly, serious mobile games and open-source technologies have promising evidence in supporting improved efficacy of public health solutions in LMIC settings (16). Serious games are a form of interactive digital media designed for a specific purpose beyond mere entertainment (17). They are specifically "games that do not have entertainment, enjoyment, or fun as their primary purpose." Serious games aim to achieve objectives such as education, training, human resource management, and health improvement (18). These games encompass interactive computer applications that may include extensive hardware components, offering users valuable skills, knowledge, or attitudes while remaining challenging, entertaining, and engaging. Serious games integrate therapeutic exercises with engaging game mechanics, such as challenges, rewards, and progress tracking, to motivate patients to participate actively in their rehabilitation programs. Have demonstrated considerable potential in improving rehabilitation outcomes in high-income countries (19). By integrating therapeutic exercises and engaging gameplay mechanics, serious games offer an innovative approach to rehabilitation that can potentially address the challenges faced by LMICs and help to overcome the shortage of rehabilitation specialists.

This paper seeks to explore the potential of serious games to bridge the gap in rehabilitation access in LMICs, particularly by offering cost-effective, accessible solutions to regions where conventional rehabilitation services may be unavailable or prohibitively expensive. Serious games have the potential to reduce the burden on healthcare systems by allowing patients to participate in home-based rehabilitation (20, 21), thereby alleviating the strain on limited healthcare facilities. Additionally, serious games can address barriers to rehabilitation adherence, as they offer engaging, user-friendly formats that increase motivation and engagement among patients. We will examine the potential of serious games for rehabilitation in LMICs, evaluating both their opportunities and limitations. By highlighting the current barriers and proposing strategies to overcome these challenges, we aim to demonstrate how serious games can be transformative tools for rehabilitation in LMICs. Furthermore, we will discuss how technological advancements, policy support, and stakeholder collaborations can contribute to making serious games an accessible and effective component of rehabilitation in LMICs, ultimately reducing healthcare disparities and improving outcomes for patients.

2 Potential of serious games in LMICs

Digital games can enhance rehabilitation by improving both quality and efficiency. They offer a welcome alternative to traditional methods, mitigating the potential for monotony and providing scalable therapeutic interventions. Serious games have several characteristics that make them particularly suitable for rehabilitation in LMICs. First, they can provide accessible and cost-effective rehabilitation solutions (22). In regions with limited healthcare resources, serious games can be deployed on low-cost devices such as smartphones or tablets, enabling remote access to rehabilitation programs (23). This not only expands the reach of rehabilitation services but also reduces the financial burden on individuals and healthcare systems, through the provision of home-based therapy and reduced therapist time per patient. Furthermore, their digital nature facilitates widespread distribution via the internet, enabling personalized experiences and accessibility in home and remote settings (24).

Second, serious games have the potential to enhance patient motivation and engagement, which is crucial for successful rehabilitation (17). By incorporating game elements such as rewards, challenges, and social interaction, serious games can make therapy sessions more enjoyable and encourage patients to adhere to their rehabilitation programs (25). This can be especially beneficial in LMICs where access to traditional rehabilitation centres might be limited, and patients may struggle with adherence due to various barriers (26).

Third, serious games can address cultural and contextual factors by offering localized content and incorporating culturally relevant narratives and characters (27). Cultural sensitivity is crucial in healthcare, and serious games can be designed to resonate with the values, beliefs, and practices of the target communities. This customization can enhance the acceptability and engagement of rehabilitation interventions in LMICs,

making them more effective in addressing the specific needs of the population (28). Locally developed serious games offer sustainability and customization to cater to cultural preferences and specific patient needs. They can be adapted for various conditions and task-oriented training, helping patients transfer acquired skills to real-life daily tasks.

3 Current situation

To assess the current use of serious games in LMICs, we conducted a bibliometric analysis. This method quantitatively evaluates research trends and the impact of studies within a specific field (29). We systematically searched two major databases, Web of Science and Scopus, for publications related to serious games, rehabilitation, and LMICs. A total of 1,564 articles were included in the analysis, with data processed using VOSviewer to visualize and map collaboration networks (30).

Our analysis identified 88 countries with at least one author contributing to these studies. To ensure meaningful statistical analysis while maintaining representativeness, we established a threshold of 10 publications per country, resulting in 42 countries for further analysis. This threshold was chosen based on several considerations: (i) it provides a balanced trade-off between including a sufficient number of countries for robust comparative analysis while excluding those with limited research activity, (ii) it aligns with statistical requirements for minimum sample sizes in comparative studies (31, 32), and (iii) it captures approximately 90% of the total publication output while reducing noise from countries with sporadic research contributions. As shown in Figure 1, the retained countries formed significant research clusters in Europe, the Middle East, North America, and Australia. Unfortunately, the African continent shows minimal representation, with only Algeria contributing 12 publications.

However, different mHealth solutions have already been developed and implemented in Africa, mostly to provide relevant information to patients and increase health literacy (33): Hello Doctor (South Africa): advice and medical assistance; Mobile Widewife (Nigeria): voice messages sent to pregnant women for follow-up pregnancy; M-Pedigree (Kenya): drug identification; My Healthline (Cameroon): answers to questions on sexuality, family planning and HIV/AIDS; mHero (Liberia): information on the virus outbreak Ebola; Djobi (Mali, Senegal): mobile application contributing to reducing infant mortality and kindergarten in Senegal and Mali through mutual health insurance. These first experiences have shown the interest that SSA has regarding the use of mobile telephony for health actions. In rehabilitation Captain Log's has been used in Uganda to improve knowledge and cognition (34), MyDailyRoutine, is a serious game designed to assist patients with cerebral dysfunction, incorporating activities like virtual coffee preparation (35). RehabCity simulates a city environment, requiring users to engage in everyday tasks within its virtual streets, buildings, and parks (36). But commercial solutions (e.g., Nintendo Wii Fit) have also been successfully implemented to provide rehabilitation in low-income community in South-Africa (37). Other studies have also demonstrated the feasibility and a good adherence to rehabilitation program provided with the Microsoft Xbox Kinect in LMICs (38).

4 Limitations and challenges

Despite their potential, serious games for rehabilitation in LMICs face various limitations and challenges that need to be addressed before taking full advantage of their benefits. Figure 2 outlines a consolidated framework for implementing serious games in LMICs, serving as a foundation for addressing current limitations and maximizing the effectiveness of rehabilitation





strategies. This framework, based on an implementation research model, incorporates multilevel strategies to overcome the infrastructural, cultural, and educational barriers prevalent in these regions and illustrates the complex interplay between these domains and how they influence the successful implementation. It highlights that success depends not only on the technology itself but also on the broader ecosystem in which it is implemented. The adoption of serious games faces multiple barriers beyond technical issues. These include ethical considerations, policy limitations, administrative challenges, disparities in healthcare access, and insufficient resources. There are also concerns about the quality and reliability of research evidence. From a technical standpoint, key obstacles include disconnected systems that lack long-term sustainability, undefined industry standards, questionable data accuracy, limited technological infrastructure, and insufficient skilled personnel. To address these challenges, several approaches have been suggested: gaining support from policymakers, fostering partnerships across different sectors, increasing financial support, developing consistent regulatory guidelines, conducting flexible research studies, enhancing healthcare workers' skills, and maintaining open dialogue with the public through clear communication channels (39).

More in details, one significant challenge is the lack of technological infrastructure, digital health literacy or skills and access to appropriate devices (40). Many LMICs struggle with limited internet connectivity, inadequate power supply, and outdated hardware, which can hinder the effective deployment of serious games of other portable technologies (41). However, Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has seen a surge in mobile phone, computer, and internet usage. The GSMA projects substantial growth in the SSA mobile market, forecasting a compound annual growth rate of 4.6% between 2019 and 2025, outpacing the global average of 3% (42). This rapid expansion positions SSA as one of the world's fastest-growing regions for mobile phone subscriptions.

However, investments in improving technological infrastructure is crucial, including initiatives to expand internet connectivity and provide affordable and suitable devices for game-based rehabilitation. Power generation shortages, leading to electricity load shedding and power cuts is also a common occurrence in LMICs, which can be a major barrier in the implementation of serious games for healthcare and rehabilitation purposes (43).

Additionally, the cultural context of LMICs may influence the design and content of serious games. Localization efforts must consider language barriers, cultural sensitivities, and the diversity of healthcare practices to ensure meaningful engagement and effective healthcare outcomes (44). One crucial note is that a significant portion of the population in LMICs may not be accustomed to technology, impacting user acceptance and

adoption. Collaborating with local stakeholders, such as healthcare providers, patients, and community representatives, during the development of serious games can ensure their relevance and cultural sensitivity (45). This approach helps bridge the gap between inadequate or unavailable rehabilitative therapy and effective medical treatment.

Developing serious games in collaboration with local stakeholders, including healthcare providers, patients, and community representatives, can help ensure that the games are relevant and sensitive to the cultural context (45), this will ensure the medical transition between unsuitable and unavailable rehabilitative therapy as well as effective therapy.

However, integrating serious games into existing healthcare systems presents challenges, as it necessitates collaborative efforts among game developers, healthcare providers, policymakers, and local communities (46). This requires interdisciplinary cooperation and resource allocation, highlighting the obstacles faced in successfully implementing and sustaining serious games in rehabilitation practices (47).

5 Strategies to maximize effectiveness and accessibility

As digital health initiatives progress from the experimental phase to wider implementation, there is a growing emphasis on effective expansion and integration to offer lasting advantages to healthcare systems (Figure 2). To overcome the limitations and challenges, several strategies can be implemented. Insights from real-world case studies of serious games scaling in LMICs highlight five crucial focal areas for achieving success (48).

First, these programs or initiatives must possess inherent qualities that provide concrete solutions to unmet needs, incorporating input from end-users right from the beginning. Partnerships between game developers, rehabilitation specialists, and local stakeholders are essential to ensure the development of culturally appropriate and context-specific serious games (49). Collaborative efforts can also help leverage existing infrastructure, expertise, and resources to facilitate the deployment and evaluation of serious games (50). By involving all relevant stakeholders from the early stages of development, the games can be tailored to meet the specific needs and preferences of the target population (51).

Second, it is vital for all stakeholders to be actively engaged, welltrained, and motivated to support new implementations. Capacity building initiatives and the role of north-south training programs (52) can be implemented to enhance the skills of healthcare professionals in utilizing serious games for rehabilitation. This would empower local healthcare providers to effectively integrate serious games into their practice and maximize their potential impact. Training can include not only technical aspects of using the games but also understanding their potential benefits and limitations, as well as strategies to ensure patient compliance and engagement (53). At the WHO level, a rehabilitation competency framework has been developed to provide foundations for curricula for rehabilitation specialists (54). It is advocated to include serious games and new technologies related to rehabilitation in the competency framework.

Third, the technical design should prioritize simplicity, interoperability, and adaptability. Efforts should be made to improve technological infrastructure in LMICs, including expanding internet connectivity and ensuring access to affordable and suitable devices for game-based rehabilitation. Africa currently indeed faces the challenge of having the lowest internet penetration rate among continents, with 42% of its population having access to the internet (55). The introduction of the new technology necessitates a careful adjustment to the specific context, taking into consideration local physical barriers, particularly the availability of clinical facilities (56). Furthermore, it requires a conscientious adaptation to the existing lack of internet access, which involves advocating for offline applications and carefully limiting contacts between users and healthcare professionals. This may require collaboration with governments, non-governmental organization, and private sector entities to invest in and support the necessary infrastructure improvements.

The fourth focus is on the policy landscape, emphasizing the need for alignment with comprehensive healthcare policies and securing sustainable funding, including contributions from the private sector when applicable. From a public health perspective, it is imperative to engage in concerted and harmonized endeavours aimed at the successful integration of novel interventions into the healthcare system. These efforts span three distinct tiers: the macro level (57), encompassing legal, regulatory, and economic facets; the meso level (46), which pertains to local health services and community dynamics; and the micro level (50), which is intricately linked with patient-level considerations. The integration of multilevel models elucidating the interplay between immediate and distal determinants of health has markedly enriched our comprehension of the mechanisms underlying health disparities. Consequently, the inclusion of these multifaceted dimensions is of paramount significance in our analytical pursuits.

Lastly, consideration must be given to the external ecosystem, ensuring the availability of the necessary infrastructure to support large-scale digital initiative deployment. The development of local scientific research capability is a crucial undertaking, supported by the need to gather evidence that supports the effectiveness of innovative solutions (58). This necessitates careful examination of local and cultural nuances, in addition to technical limitations like inadequate infrastructure. Currently, research efforts focused on exploring emerging serious games advancements and assessing their effectiveness predominantly occur in countries with highincome economies. As a result, the practical implementation of these discoveries in LMICs presents complex difficulties, underscoring the need for prompt development of local scientific evidence.

It is imperative to determine the viability and acceptance of modified technology within the scope of both patients and professionals. Following this assessment, there is a need to determine the amount of evidence at the regional level, which is particularly relevant in the context of evidence-based practice. It is crucial to acknowledge that the rehabilitation goals in LMICs may differ from those in high-income nations, highlighting the need for research endeavours that are specific to the local context (59).

6 Conclusion

Serious games have the potential to revolutionize rehabilitation in LMICs by overcoming barriers to access and delivering costeffective, engaging, and culturally relevant interventions. However, to unlock this potential, it is crucial to address the current limitations and challenges. By fostering collaborations, improving infrastructure, and promoting capacity building, serious games can become transformative tools that contribute to the improvement of rehabilitation outcomes and the reduction of healthcare disparities in LMICs.

Embracing this innovative approach can pave the way for a more inclusive and effective healthcare system, ensuring that all individuals have access to the rehabilitation services they need for a better quality of life. As technology continues to advance and more research is conducted on the effectiveness of serious games in LMICs, it is essential to remain adaptable and open to continuous improvement. By working together, researchers, developers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers can harness the potential of serious games to create a positive impact on rehabilitation and ultimately enhance the lives of individuals in LMICs.

Author contributions

DD: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TA: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. CK: Writing – review & editing. CR: Writing – review & editing. MO: Writing – review & editing. OK: Writing – review & editing. PB: Writing – review & editing. JP: Writing – review & editing. AK: Writing – review & editing. JMo: Writing – review & editing. JMa: Writing – review & editing. MF: Writing – review & editing. KD: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JD: Writing – review & editing. BB: Conceptualization, Formal

References

1. Jesus TS, Landry MD, Dussault G, Fronteira I. Human resources for health (and rehabilitation): six rehab-workforce challenges for the century. *Hum Resour Health.* (2017) 15(8). doi: 10.1186/s12960-017-0182-7

2. WHO. Fact Sheets: Rehabilitation. Geneva: WHO (2020). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/rehabilitation

3. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the global burden of disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet.* (2020) 396:2006–17. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0

4. Htwe O, Yuliawiratman BS, Tannor AY, Nor Asikin MZ, Soh E, Groote W DE, et al. Barriers and facilitators for increased accessibility to quality rehabilitation services in low- and middle- income countries: a systematic review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* (2024) 60:514–22. doi: 10.23736/S1973-9087.24.08154-1

5. Boutayeb A. Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures. New York, NY: Springer (2010). p. 531-46. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-78665-0_32

Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the NASCERE program (joint research program between Flanders and Jimma University), the VLIR-UOS through their ITP program and the BOF BILA program from UHasselt.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

 Ndubuisi NE. Noncommunicable diseases prevention in low- and middle-income countries: an overview of health in all policies (HiAP). *Inquiry*. (2021) 58:0046958020927885. doi: 10.1177/0046958020927885

7. Khavinson V, Popovich I, Mikhailova O. Towards realization of longer life. Acta Biomed. (2020) 91:e2020054. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i3.10079

8. WHO. *The World Rehabilitation Alliance*. Geneva: WHO (2023). Available online at: https://www.who.int/initiatives/world-rehabilitation-alliance

9. Ramos QMR, Neill R, Bachani AM, Trujillo AJ. The return of investing healthcare resources in rehabilitation: should governments push the pedal or slowdown? *Res Sq.* (2024). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-4219351/v1

10. Dombrowski W, Yoos JL, Neufeld R, Tarshish CY. Factors predicting rehospitalization of elderly patients in a postacute skilled nursing facility rehabilitation program. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2012) 93:1808–13. doi: 10.1016/j. apmr.2012.04.018

11. Kayola G, Mataa MM, Asukile M, Chishimba L, Chomba M, Mortel D, et al. Stroke rehabilitation in low- and middle-income countries. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* (2023) 102:S24–32. doi: 10.1097/PHM.00000000002128

12. Felter CE, Zalewski K, Jermann R, Palmer PL, Baier AE, Falvey JR. Rural health: the dirt road less traveled. *Phys Ther.* (2022) 102:pzac112. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzac112

13. Conradie T, Berner K, Louw Q. Describing the rehabilitation workforce capacity in the public sector of three rural provinces in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. *IJERPH.* (2022) 19:12176. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191912176

14. Eldar R, Jelić M. The association of rehabilitation and war. Disabil Rehabil. (2003) 25:1019–23. doi: 10.1080/0963828031000137739

15. WHO. WHO guideline Recommendations on Digital Interventions for Health System Strengthening. Geneva: World Health Organization (2019). Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK541902/ (accessed December 11, 2024)

16. Borda A, Molnar A, Heys M, Musyimi C, Kostkova P. Editorial: digital interventions and serious mobile games for health in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1153971. doi: 10.3389/fpubh. 2023.1153971

17. Bonnechère B. Serious Games in Physical Rehabilitation: From Theory to Practice. New York, NY: Springer (2018). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-66122-3

18. Lau HM, Smit JH, Fleming TM, Riper H. Serious games for mental health: are they accessible, feasible, and effective? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychiatry.* (2017) 7:209. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00209

19. Mo N, Feng JY, Liu HX, Chen XY, Zhang H, Zeng H. Effects of exergaming on musculoskeletal pain in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Serious Games.* (2023) 11:e42944. doi: 10.2196/42944

20. Rozevink SG, van der Sluis CK, Garzo A, Keller T, Hijmans JM. HoMEcare aRm rehabiLItatioN (MERLIN): telerehabilitation using an unactuated device based on serious games improves the upper limb function in chronic stroke. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* (2021) 18:48. doi: 10.1186/s12984-021-00841-3

21. Cattaneo A, Fragasso M, Magni M, Mostachetti I, Perri E, Vitali A. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*. Amsterdam: IOS Press (2023) 301. p. 83–8. doi: 10.3233/SHTI230017

22. Vieira C, Ferreira da Silva Pais-Vieira C, Novais J, Perrotta A. Serious game design and clinical improvement in physical rehabilitation: systematic review. *JMIR Serious Games*. (2021) 9:e20066. doi: 10.2196/20066

23. Bonnechère B, Kossi O, Mapinduzi J, Panda J, Rintala A, Guidetti S, et al. Mobile health solutions: an opportunity for rehabilitation in low- and middle income countries? *Front Public Health.* (2023) 10:1072322. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022. 1072322

24. Rizzo A, Kim GJ. A SWOT analysis of the field of virtual reality rehabilitation and therapy. *Presence*. (2005) 14:119–46. doi: 10.1162/1054746053967094

25. Bonnechère B, Van Vooren M, Jansen B, Van Sint JS, Rahmoun M, Fourtassi M. Patients' acceptance of the use of serious games in physical rehabilitation in Morocco. *Games Health J.* (2017) 6:290–4. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2017.0008

26. Naicker AS, Htwe O, Tannor AY, De Groote W, Yuliawiratman BS, Naicker MS. Facilitators and barriers to the rehabilitation workforce capacity building in low- to middle-income countries. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* (2019) 30:867–77. doi: 10. 1016/j.pmr.2019.07.009

27. Fendt-Newlin M, Jagannathan A, Webber M. Cultural adaptation framework of social interventions in mental health: evidence-based case studies from low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* (2020) 66:41–8. doi: 10.1177/0020764019879943

28. Winskell K, Sabben G, Akelo V, Ondeng'e K, Obong'o C, Stephenson R, et al. A smartphone game-based intervention (tumaini) to prevent HIV among young Africans: pilot randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* (2018) 6:e10482. doi: 10.2196/10482

29. Öztürk O, Kocaman R, Kanbach DK. How to design bibliometric research: an overview and a framework proposal. *Rev Manag Sci.* (2024). doi: 10.1007/s11846-024-00738-0

30. van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*. (2010) 84:523–38. doi: 10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3

31. Donthu N, Kumar S, Mukherjee D, Pandey N, Lim WM. How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: an overview and guidelines. *J Bus Res.* (2021) 133:285–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070

32. Rogers G, Szomszor M, Adams J. Sample size in bibliometric analysis. Scientometrics. (2020) 125:777-94. doi: 10.1007/s11192-020-03647-7

33. Ouedraogo I, Some BMJ, Oyibo K, Benedikter R, Diallo G. Using serious mobile games to improve health literacy in rural sub-Saharan Africa: a literature review. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.768252

34. Boivin MJ, Nakasujja N, Sikorskii A, Opoka RO, Giordani B. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate if computerized cognitive rehabilitation improves

neurocognition in Ugandan children with HIV. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. (2016) 32:743–55. doi: 10.1089/aid.2016.0026

35. Baranyi R, Perndorfer R, Lederer N, Scholz B, Grechenig T. Mydailyroutine—a serious game to support people suffering from a cerebral dysfunction. 2016 IEEE International Conference on Serious Games and Applications for Health (SeGAH) (2016). p. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/SeGAH.2016.7586283

36. Vourvopoulos A, Faria AL, Ponnam K, Bermudez i Badia S. Rehabcity: design and validation of a cognitive assessment and rehabilitation tool through gamified simulations of activities of daily living. *Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology*; New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery (2014). p. 1–8. doi: 10.1145/2663806. 2663852

37. Smits-Engelsman BCM, Jelsma LD, Ferguson GD. The effect of exergames on functional strength, anaerobic fitness, balance and agility in children with and without motor coordination difficulties living in low-income communities. *Hum Mov Sci.* (2017) 55:327–37. doi: 10.1016/j.humov.2016.07.006

38. Draghi TTG, Smits-Engelsman B, Godoi-Jacomassi D, Cavalcante Neto JL, Jelsma D, Tudella E. Short- and long-term changes in balance after active video game training in children with and without developmental coordination disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Motor Control.* (2024) 28:174–92. doi: 10.1123/mc. 2023-0070

39. Iyamu I, Gómez-Ramírez O, Xu AX, Chang H-J, Watt S, Mckee G, et al. Challenges in the development of digital public health interventions and mapped solutions: findings from a scoping review. *Digit Health*. (2022) 8:205520762211022. doi: 10.1177/20552076221102255

40. Laar AS, Harris ML, Shifti DM, Loxton D. Perspectives of health care professionals' on delivering mHealth sexual and reproductive health services in rural settings in low-and-middle-income countries: a qualitative systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2022) 22:1141. doi: 10.1186/s12913-022-08512-2

41. Mitchell-Gillespie B, Hashim H, Griffin M, AlHeresh R. Sustainable support solutions for community-based rehabilitation workers in refugee camps: piloting telehealth acceptability and implementation. *Global Health*. (2020) 16:82. doi: 10. 1186/s12992-020-00614-y

42. FDI Intelligence. Available online at: https://www.fdiintelligence.com/content/ feature/will-mobile-phone-penetration-maintain-african-momentum-76565 (Accessed December 04, 2024).

43. Bakht MP, Salam Z, Bhatti AR, Ullah Sheikh U, Khan N, Anjum W. Technoeconomic modelling of hybrid energy system to overcome the load shedding problem: a case study of Pakistan. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0266660. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0266660

44. Brooks M, Holden KR, Durón RM, McElligott JT, Summer A. Feasibility of developing a pediatric telehealth network in Honduras with international consultation support. *Rural Remote Health.* (2017) 17:3965. doi: 10. 22605/RRH3965

45. Mueller S, Soriano D, Boscor A, Saville N, Arjyal A, Baral S, et al. MANTRA: development and localization of a mobile educational health game targeting low literacy players in low and middle income countries. *BMC Public Health.* (2020) 20:1171. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09246-8

46. Smith T, McNeil K, Mitchell R, Boyle B, Ries N. A study of macro-, meso- and micro-barriers and enablers affecting extended scopes of practice: the case of rural nurse practitioners in Australia. *BMC Nurs.* (2019) 18:14. doi: 10.1186/s12912-019-0337-z

47. Dias SB, Diniz JA, Konstantinidis E, Savvidis T, Zilidou V, Bamidis PD, et al. Assistive HCI-serious games co-design insights: the case study of i-PROGNOSIS personalized game suite for Parkinson's disease. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 11:612835. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.612835

48. Labrique AB, Wadhwani C, Williams KA, Lamptey P, Hesp C, Luk R, et al. Best practices in scaling digital health in low and middle income countries. *Global Health.* (2018) 14:103. doi: 10.1186/s12992-018-0424-z

49. Bunt L, Greeff J, Taylor E. Enhancing serious game design: expert-reviewed, stakeholder-centered framework. *JMIR Serious Games*. (2024) 12:e48099. doi: 10. 2196/48099

50. Richter M, Dragano N. Micro, macro, but what about meso? The institutional context of health inequalities. *Int J Public Health*. (2018) 63:163–4. doi: 10.1007/s00038-017-1064-4

51. Sharma W, Lim WM, Kumar S, Verma A, Kumra R. Game on! A state-of-the-art overview of doing business with gamification. *Technol Forecast Soc Change*. (2024) 198:122988. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122988

52. O'Brien P, Kajja I, Potter JM, O'Hara NN, Kironde E, Petrisor B. Role of north-south partnership in trauma management: Uganda sustainable trauma orthopaedic program. J Orthop Trauma. (2018) 32(Suppl 7):S21–4. doi: 10.1097/BOT.000000000001290

53. McCallum S. Gamification and serious games for personalized health. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* (2012) 177:85–96.

54. Mills J-A, Cieza A, Short SD, Middleton JW. Development and validation of the WHO rehabilitation competency framework: a mixed methods study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2021) 102:1113–23. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2020.10.129

55. Statista. Internet Penetration Rate in Africa as of June 2022, Compared to the Global Average. Hamburg: STATISTA (2024). Available online at: https://www.statista.com/statistics/1176654/internet-penetration-rate-africa-compared-to-global-average/

56. Bevrani H, Tikdari AG, Hiyama T. Power system load shedding: key issues and new perspectives. Int J Electric Comp Eng. (2010) 4:886–91.

57. Sawatzky R, Kwon J-Y, Barclay R, Chauhan C, Frank L, van den Hout WB, et al. Implications of response shift for micro-, meso-, and macro-level healthcare decisionmaking using results of patient-reported outcome measures. *Qual Life Res.* (2021) 30:3343–57. doi: 10.1007/s11136-021-02766-9 58. Hwang BY, Park SH, Lee NR, Kim TY. The effect of research support capability on researchers' satisfaction based on the characteristics of institutes. *J Open Innov Technol Mark Complex.* (2024) 10:100195. doi: 10.1016/j.joitmc. 2023.100195

59. Louw Q, Dizon J, Niekerk S-Mv, Ernstzen D, Grimmer K. Contextualised evidence-based rehabilitation recommendations to optimise function in African people with stroke. In: Louw Q, editor. Collaborative Capacity Development to Complement Stroke Rehabilitation in Africa. Human Functioning, Technology and Health. Cape Town, ZA: AOSIS (2020). Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/books/NBK574240/ (accessed August 17, 2023)