Non-destructive testing technology for corrosion wall thickness reduction defects in pipelines based on electromagnetic ultrasound
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Pipeline transportation is the main means of transportation of oil, natural gas and other energy sources. During transportation, corrosive substances in oil and natural gas can cause damage to the pipeline structure. A non-destructive testing technology for pipeline corrosion based on electromagnetic ultrasound technology was proposed to improve the stability and safety of energy pipeline transportation systems. This technology utilized empirical mode decomposition and singular spectrum analysis to denoise electromagnetic ultrasound signals. The designed electromagnetic signal denoising algorithm completely removed mild noise pollution. When using this method to detect pipeline corrosion, the maximum calculation error of pipeline wall thickness was 0.1906 mm, and the lowest was 0.0015 mm. When detecting small area corrosion deficiency, the amplitude of the detection signal increased with the depth, up to a maximum of around 24 V, which accurately reflected small area defects. This non-destructive testing technology for pipelines can effectively detect the pipeline corrosion, which is helpful for the regular maintenance of pipeline energy transmission systems.
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1 Introduction

Pipelines have the characteristics of low cost and high convenience in transporting energy such as natural gas and oil, making them the main mode of energy transportation (Sampath et al., 2021). Oil and natural gas energy pipelines are mostly made of alloys or plastics, Natural gas and oil contain a large amount of substances such as carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide, which can mix or react during transportation, causing corrosion to the inner walls of pipelines (Marinina et al., 2022). Pipeline corrosion is a major threat to the safe operation of pipelines. There are various forms of corrosion defects, including localized pitting, which refers to strong corrosion in small areas of the material surface (Islamov et al., 2019). Sedimentary corrosion is caused by sediment coverage (Litvinenko et al., 2022b). Large area corrosion refers to uniform corrosion that covers a large surface area (Da et al., 2020). The accumulation of corrosion on the inner wall of pipelines can lead to a decrease in wall thickness and strength. This may ultimately lead to pipeline leakage...
or rupture, causing serious economic losses, or causing serious environmental damage (Litvinenko et al., 2022a). Therefore, it is crucial to regularly inspect and maintain the inner walls of pipelines. Non-destructive testing technology can complete regular inspections of corrosion on the inner walls of pipelines (Karyakina et al., 2021). Electromagnetic ultrasonic non-destructive testing is a commonly used non-destructive testing technique that is sensitive to various defects in the detection target (Pryakhin and Azarov, 2024). However, this technology has low conversion efficiency during the detection process and is susceptible to interference, resulting in significant errors in the detection results (Fetisov et al., 2023). Therefore, a noise suppression algorithm and different defect feature recognition algorithm for electromagnetic ultrasonic non-destructive testing are designed. Improvements are made to improve the detection accuracy of electromagnetic ultrasonic non-destructive testing (EUT) technology.

Non-destructive testing technology is widely applied in many fields (Lucas et al., 2022). Olisa et al. proposed a non-destructive evaluation method based on guided wave ultrasonic testing to investigate the impact of composite damage on metal structures. Guided wave ultrasonic testing had the ability to remotely detect metal damage. However, parameter characteristics were influenced by structure and environment (Ren et al., 2022). The existing research had not fully explored the correlation between composite damage and guided wave ultrasonic detection parameter characteristics, and further research was needed (Olisa et al., 2021). Garcia Marquez and Gomez Munoz proposed a new method based on cross-correlation and wavelet transform to detect delamination faults in wind turbine blades. This experiment was conducted on real blades, using ultrasonic guided waves to analyze faulty and non-faulty blades. This method effectively identified signal energy mutations and locate faults (Garcia Marquez and Gomez Munoz, 2020). Chabot et al. proposed a multi-sensor monitoring method based on phased array ultrasonic detection technology. This overcame the challenge of lack of structural health control in direct energy deposition processes in additive manufacturing. Phased array ultrasonic testing could detect defects in directly deposited energy manufactured components online and quantitatively predict their size (Safullin and Tian, 2023). This detection method opened the way for in-situ control of direct energy deposition (Chabot et al., 2020). Gupta et al. further explored the application and advantages of non-destructive testing technology in various industries. They conducted an investigation and analysis of various commonly used non-destructive testing technologies. Most non-destructive testing techniques could be used not only for structural integrity testing, but also for quality analysis and casting process improvement (Gupta et al., 2022). Chen et al. proposed a method using nonlinear ultrasonic testing technology to accurately measure fatigue cracks. Traditional C-scan imaging reduced accuracy when crack directionality was poor or gaps were narrow. However, finite amplitude nonlinear ultrasonic testing was sensitive to micro damage at the optimal voltage and was not affected by macroscopic crack states, which effectively tested fatigue damage (Chen et al., 2020).

Pipeline transportation is currently the mainstream way of transporting various types of energy (Korobov and Podoprignora, 2019). How to improve the quality and service life of pipelines is also the main research direction of pipeline transportation at present. Parlak et al. investigated and analyzed intelligent cleaning devices used for steel pipelines to ensure the safety and integrity of oil and gas pipelines. They classified and discussed its working principle and application. They studied multiple sensor technologies and compared their accuracy in anomaly detection. Intelligent pipeline cleaners not only maintained pipeline safety, but also had environmental benefits (Parlak and Yavasoglu, 2023). Karkoub et al. proposed a new method for pipeline detection using small mobile robots and a reflective omnidirectional vision system to reduce maintenance costs for oil and gas pipelines. The system parameters were optimized using simulated annealing optimization method, proving the feasibility of this technology. This robot system could be used for pre-scanning, reducing the need for expensive tools, thereby reducing inspection time and cost (Karkoub et al., 2020). Ma et al. explored non-destructive testing methods to ensure the safe operation of pipelines in energy transportation. They compared the advantages and disadvantages of non-destructive testing technology and non-cleaning robot detection systems. In addition, the application of data models and management in defect quantification, classification, fault prediction, and maintenance was studied. These results revealed the importance and development trend of non-destructive testing technology in pipeline maintenance (Ma et al., 2021). Danijan et al. designed a robot inspection system for non-destructive testing of pipelines to improve pipeline quality and reduce pipeline obsolescence caused by cracks, corrosion, and other factors. The inspection robot used ultrasonic detection technology and color perception to inspect pipelines. This robot could not only determine the corrosion situation of pipelines, but also detect the occurrence and growth of cracks in pipelines (Danijan et al., 2022). Elankavi et al. developed pipeline inspection robots and classified them based on their movement types. This overcome the inconvenience of manual intervention in the internal repair and maintenance of pipelines. By designing and validating different models, their performance was compared. These different types of robots had different functions in pipeline internal maintenance, providing important insights for selection, development, and research (Elankavi et al., 2020). Wang et al. proposed a new type of transducer that generates spiral Lamb waves in pipelines to study the mechanism of transducer action in electromagnetic ultrasonic testing, and established a finite element model to simulate the wave generation and propagation of the transducer. The results show that existing transducers with winding coils are not suitable for generating spiral waves (Wang et al., 2020). In order to solve the problems of poor accuracy and high noise in electromagnetic ultrasonic testing technology in pipeline inspection, Li et al. designed a pipeline crack quantitative detection device consisting of three uniformly distributed probes on the circumference. The results showed that the positioning error of the crack was less than 6.75%. Based on the characteristic coefficient method, the quantitative error of crack size is less than 8.75% (Li et al., 2022).

In summary, the inner wall of the pipeline will come into direct contact with the conveyed content. Corrosion or damage can easily occur under the impact of conveyed content, leading to leakage and loss of conveyed content. Regular inspection and maintenance of the inner wall of pipelines can effectively improve their service life and prevent losses in a timely manner. However, the inner wall of the pipeline cannot be directly inspected. Non-destructive testing
technology can complete the detection of targets without causing damage. Therefore, this study proposes to use ultrasonic testing technology to detect and analyze the corrosion of the inner wall of pipelines.

The innovation of this study lies in the design of a signal denoising method based on Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) and Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) to denoise EUT signals. This study also proposes a defect signal feature extraction algorithm based on signal envelope, which further improves the detection accuracy of EUT. The main contribution of this study is to design a new signal denoising method and a signal feature extraction method for processing detection signals. This improves the target of EUT detection accuracy and enhances the stability and safety of energy transportation.

## 2 Pipeline inner wall corrosion defect detection technology based on electromagnetic ultrasonic testing

The research on pipeline inner wall corrosion defect detection technology based on EUT includes two parts. Section 1 is the study of EUT from signal preprocessing and noise cancellation. Section 2 is the study of EUT based on defect signal feature recognition and extraction.

### 2.1 Signal preprocessing of electromagnetic ultrasonic testing based on empirical mode decomposition

EUT combines the principles of electromagnetics and ultrasonic to detect defects, foreign objects, or other structural issues in materials. This technology applies electromagnetic fields to the tested material during detection and uses ultrasound to detect changes in the internal structure of the target for structural detection (Deepak et al., 2021; Nikolaev et al., 2018). The ultrasonic excitation force mechanism of this method in detection will change according to the material of the detection target. The mechanism of electromagnetic ultrasonic excitation force includes Lorentz force, magnetization force, and magnetostrictive force (Petisov, 2024). Oil and natural gas transmission pipelines are iron pipelines made of ferromagnetic materials. The mechanisms of ultrasonic excitation in these materials include Lorentz force, magnetization force, and magnetostrictive force. Figure 1 shows the detection principle.

During EUT, the permanent magnet will generate a bias magnetic field around it. This magnetic field will interact with the excitation coil to generate an alternating magnetic field. The particles on the pipe wall will begin to vibrate and form ultrasonic waves. The echo signal is the key signal for EUT to achieve non-destructive testing. However, the echo signal is susceptible to various types of pollution interference, resulting in a significant decrease in detection accuracy. EMD is a time series data processing technique used for analyzing nonlinear and non-stationary signals (Zhou et al., 2021). This technology can segment complex echo signal data into a series of intrinsic mode functions. The number of extremes and zeros in all intrinsic mode function signals is basically the same, with a difference of no more than 1. At any position of the intrinsic mode function signal, the mean of the maximum and minimum envelope lines of the signal is 0. Figure 2 shows the EMD steps.

The steps for processing electromagnetic ultrasound detection echo signals using EMD are as follows: Firstly, signal preprocessing. Necessary preprocessing is performed on the collected electromagnetic ultrasound detection echo signals, such as filtering to remove noise (Shi et al., 2023a; Shi et al., 2023b; Shi et al., 2023c). Secondly, EMD decomposition involves inputting the preprocessed signal into the EMD algorithm and iteratively decomposing the signal into several IMFs and residual signals (Wu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2024; Zheng et al., 2024). Each IMF represents an inherent oscillation mode of the signal. Thirdly, screen IMFs, analyze the obtained IMFs, identify which ones contain useful signal features, and which ones may contain noise or irrelevant information. Fourthly, signal reconstruction can selectively reconstruct certain IMFs as needed to obtain signals that remove noise or highlight specific features. Fifth, post-processing, further processing of the reconstructed signal, such as wavelet transform denoising, feature extraction, etc., to meet specific analysis needs. Fifth, result analysis: Analyze the processed signal, extract useful information such as defect size, position, etc., and provide explanations (Towsyfyan et al., 2020; Stroykov et al., 2021). This study assumes that the original signal is \( s(t) \) and solves for all extreme points of \( s(t) \). The average envelope of the original signal is calculated using a cubic spline function (Shammasov et al., 2022). Cubic spline function is currently a commonly used interpolation method. At this point, the intrinsic mode function can be calculated according to Eq. (1) (Bolobov et al., 2022).

\[
x_1(t) = s(t) - a_1(t)
\]  

(1)
In Eq. 1, $x_i(t)$ represents the first candidate intrinsic mode function. $a_i(t)$ represents the average envelope of the original signal. If $x_i(t)$ meets the two basic requirements of the intrinsic mode function, it is defined as the first intrinsic mode function. If it does not meet the requirements, the above steps are repeated using $x_i(t)$ as the source signal, represented by Eq. 2.

$$x_{1k}(t) = x_{1(k-1)}(t) - a_{1k}(t)$$ (2)

In Eq. 2, $k$ represents the repeated operations number. If the candidate intrinsic mode function satisfies the standard deviation condition in Eq. 3 after $k$ operations, the first intrinsic mode function component $c_1(t)$ can be decomposed.

$$SD = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{|x_{1(k-1)}(t) - x_{1k}(t)|^2}{x_{1(k-1)}^2} \leq 0.3$$ (3)

In Eq. 3, $T$ represents the duration of the source signal. $c_1(t)$ is separated from $s(t)$ to generate a new raw signal, represented by Eq. 4.

$$r_1(t) = s(t) - c_1(t)$$ (4)

In Eq. 4, $r_1(t)$ represents the original signal after removing the first intrinsic mode function. After generating a new original signal $r_1(t)$, the above steps are repeated by replacing $s(t)$ with $r_1(t)$ until the newly generated original signal can no longer extract the intrinsic mode function. After completing EMD, the original signal $s(t)$ can be expressed as Eq. 5.

$$s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(t) + r_n(t)$$ (5)

In Eq. 5, $c_i$ represents the intrinsic mode function component of the decomposed signal. $n$ represents the quantity of repetitions. $r_n$ represents the residual component of the original signal. After completing the EMD of the original signal, the original signal is reconstructed based on these results. Based on the frequency of the reconstructed signal, EMD can be considered as a high-pass, low-pass, and band-pass filter. When reconstructing the high-frequency intrinsic mode function components, it can be regarded as a high-pass filter (Pshenin and Zakirova, 2023). When reconstructing the low-frequency intrinsic mode function components, it can be regarded as a low-pass filter, removing the high-frequency and low-frequency intrinsic mode components. When only reconstructing the intrinsic mode components of the middle part, it can be regarded as a band-pass filter (Kumavat et al., 2021; Aleksander et al., 2023). The signal features obtained by different filtering methods are different (Tian et al., 2024). In the echo signal, the energy of the noise signal is significantly lower than that of the characteristic signal. Therefore, the energy method can be used to screen the intrinsic mode components of the signal. However, this method is prone to losing a large amount of useful information during the screening process. There is no correlation between the noise in the echo signal, while the correlation between the feature signals is strong. Therefore, this study proposes to use the signal feature similarity method to screen the components of the intrinsic mode function. The correlation evaluation method used is a similarity comparison method. The similarity between different components is calculated using Euclidean distance. The correlation between different components is judged. The similarity is represented by Eq. 6 (Vasiliev et al., 2021).

$$D(i) = dist(IME_i, x(t)) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{T} (x(t) - IME_i)^2}$$ (6)

In Eq. 6, $D(i)$ represents the Euclidean distance of different components.

2.2 Noise reduction processing of electromagnetic ultrasound signals based on singular spectrum analysis

After filtering and reconstructing the signal using EMD, it is not possible to completely eliminate the noise in the original signal. SSA is a non-parametric statistical method used for analyzing time series data. This method can effectively extract trends, periodic components, noise, etc. from time series. SSA also has good processing ability for non-stationary and nonlinear data. Figure 3 shows the basic process of SSA (Nikolayev and Zaripova, 2021).

When performing SSA on EUT signals, it is necessary to first convert the original sequence into a trajectory matrix. When embedding the original sequence of ultrasound detection signals, it is necessary to first decompose the original sequence number $s(t)$ into an $L \times K$ dimensional matrix, represented by Eq. 7.

$$X = (x_i)_{i=1}^{L} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_K \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_L & \cdots & x_N \end{bmatrix}$$ (7)

In Eq. 7, $L$ and $K$ are parameters that determine the window size in SSA. $X$ represents the transformed trajectory matrix. After converting the original time series into a trajectory matrix, singular value decomposition is also required. The trajectory matrix is decomposed into singular values and singular vectors, represented by Eq. 8.

$$X^T X U_i = \lambda_i U_i$$ (8)
In Eq. 8, $X^T$ represents the transpose of matrix $X$. $\lambda_i$ represents the characteristic value. $U_i$ represents the feature vector. It is assumed the singular spectrum $d$ of the original signal in the time series and the right eigenvector $V_i$ of the singular spectrum, denoted by Eq. 9 (Nüßler and Jonuscheit, 2021).

$$
\begin{cases}
    d = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \ldots, \sqrt{\lambda_L}) \\
    V_j = \frac{X^T U_j}{d_j}
\end{cases}
$$

(9)

Then, matrix $X$ is represented by Eq. 10.

$$
X = \sum_{i=1}^{L} d_i U_j V_i^T
$$

(10)

After completing singular value decomposition on the original sequence, grouping can begin. Grouping is the process of dividing the trajectory matrix into $R$ different groups based on the different characteristics of the signal. After completing the grouping, the singular vectors after grouping can be converted into time series to complete the SSA of the original signal sequence. When performing SSA on the original signal, window size and reconstruction order are two very important parameters. The window size is generally determined by $L$ and $K$, represented by Eq. 11.

$$
\begin{cases}
    1 < L \leq \frac{N}{2} \\
    K = N - L + 1
\end{cases}
$$

(11)

In Eq. 11, $N$ represents the length of the original signal. When performing SSA on the original signal, the window must be large enough to decompose subtle noise signals. When the window size is too large, it can lead to a significant increase in the computational cost of SSA (Baktizin et al., 2020). The reconstruction order is selected through the singular spectral inflection point method. Feature signals and noise are distinguished based on the magnitude of singular values. The characteristic signal corresponds to a large singular value and exhibits a curved variation. The noise corresponds to small singular values and the curve is smooth. The inflection point marks the transition from feature signals to noise. When the difference in singular values is large, the inflection point is obvious. The inflection point is not significant when the difference is small. When selecting the window size of SSA, it should first obtain the frequency domain characteristics of the signal. However, electromagnetic ultrasound signals are time-domain signals. Therefore, this study uses the fast Fourier transform to perform time-frequency domain conversion on EUT signals, which is represented by Eq. 12.

$$
X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j2\pi nk/L}
$$

(12)

In Eq. 12, $X(k)$ represents the discrete signal after fast Fourier transform. $x(n)$ represents the signal to be converted, $j$ represents the index of parameter $K$. In SSA, the single component corresponding to each singular value is usually arranged according to frequency. The first singular value usually corresponds to the main trend or lowest frequency component of the time series. This means that the components obtained through the first singular value reconstruction can well preserve the main features and trends of the entire sequence in both the time and frequency domains. Therefore, by analyzing the performance of the first reconstruction component, it is possible to evaluate whether the selected window size is reasonable (Abed and de Brito, 2020). The Hurst exponent is a statistical index used to analyze time series data, quantifying the long-term memory or trend regression of the data. Therefore, when selecting the reconstruction order of SSA, this study uses the Hurst exponent as the evaluation indicator, represented by Eq. 13 (Kruschwitz et al., 2023).

$$
H = \frac{\ln \left( \max \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (s(i) - \bar{R}(N)) \right) - \min \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (s(i) - \bar{R}(N)) \right) \right)}{\ln(cN)}
$$

(13)

In Eq. 13, $s(i)$ represents the cumulative value of the original signal at time $[1, t]$. $\bar{R}(N)$ represents the mean of the original signal. $c$ is a constant usually taken as 0.5. After preprocessing the electromagnetic supermarket detection data through EMD, preliminary signal noise reduction can be achieved, but small noise cannot be processed. Therefore, this study proposes to use SSA for secondary processing of EUT signals. Figure 4 shows the designed electromagnetic ultrasound signal denoising processing technology based on EMD-SSA.

When using this technology to process EUT signals, it is necessary to first use EMD to decompose the original signal. Intrinsic mode components are extracted and qualified intrinsic mode function components are selected for SSA, further eliminating small noise in the original signal. After secondary noise reduction processing, the intrinsic mode function component signal can be reconstructed.

### 2.3 Identification and extraction of defect signal features in electromagnetic ultrasonic testing

After denoising the electromagnetic ultrasonic signal, the changes in ultrasonic waveform under different degrees of corrosion can be analyzed. Corresponding features can be extracted, and
the corrosion situation of pipelines can be determined. Pipeline corrosion is usually divided into large area and small area corrosion based on the corrosion area. The changes in ultrasonic waveform under different corrosion areas are inconsistent. Figure 5 shows the specific changes.

In Figure 5, T represents the head wave generated by electromagnetic ultrasonic excitation pulse, $F_1$ represents the primary echo; $F_2$, $F_2'$ and $F_2''$ represents the secondary echo without corrosion, large area corrosion and small area corrosion; $F_3$ represents the tertiary echo of small area corrosion. When there is no corrosion phenomenon in the pipeline, the time difference between the primary echo formed by ultrasonic on the inner side of the pipeline wall and the secondary echo formed on the outer wall of the pipeline is small. When large-scale corrosion occurs in pipelines, the time difference between the primary and secondary echoes will further decrease. When small-scale corrosion occurs in pipelines, electromagnetic ultrasonic waves will form three echoes between the pipe walls. The time difference between the first and second echoes is consistent with the time difference when large-scale corrosion occurs. The time difference between the second and third echoes is extremely short. To identify the degree of corrosion on the inner wall of the pipeline, it is necessary to extract and analyze the features of the echo signals during detection. In corrosion detection, the time difference of echo occurrence is the most direct feature. Therefore, this study uses the arrival time of echoes as the determination method. Common time difference analysis methods include threshold method, peak envelope method, etc. The peak envelope method is a technique used for analyzing and processing signals. The core of this method is to identify and utilize the peak value of the signal to construct an envelope and describe the main characteristics of the signal. This method has a good processing effect on nonlinear and non-stationary signals. Therefore, this study analyzes the EUT echo signal using this method. When extracting the features of EUT echo signals using this method, the analytical

signal of the real signal can be defined as Eq. 14.

$$\tilde{a}(t) = a(t) + \tilde{a}(t)$$ (14)

In Eq. 14, $a(t)$ represents the real signal. $\tilde{a}(t)$ represents the parsing signal. $\tilde{a}(t)$ represents the Hilbert transform of the real signal, represented by Eq. 15.

$$\tilde{a}(t) = a(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a(t)}{t-\tau} d\tau$$ (15)

In Eq. 15, $\tau$ represents the echo delay time. When using the Hilbert transform to extract the features of the echo signal, the amplitude and phase of the echo signal can be synchronously understood. The peak envelope curve can be calculated by amplitude. The signal frequency can be calculated based on the phase. Signal energy spectrum can be drawn through energy calculation. A three-dimensional time-frequency map can be drawn through the above three dimensions. The localization of pipeline corrosion defects can be completed based on the three-dimensional time-frequency map of the echo signal.

3 Experimental results analysis of non-destructive testing technology for corrosion defects on pipeline inner walls

The experimental results analysis of non-destructive testing technology for corrosion defects on pipeline inner walls includes three parts. Firstly, an experimental platform for detecting corrosion defects on the inner wall of pipelines was established. Secondly, the feasibility of signal denoising processing technology was verified. Finally, the effectiveness of pipeline corrosion detection was analyzed.

3.1 Experimental setup and experimental design

In this study, MATLAB was used to analyze the performance of the model noise reduction algorithm, and to analyze the treatment effect of different degrees of noise pollution. During the experimental verification of the pipeline inner wall corrosion defect detection technology, three experimental scenarios are designed. The first is used for the simulation detection of large area corrosion defects, the second is used for the simulation detection of small area corrosion defects, and the third is the actual detection of the pipeline inner wall. In the detection of large area corrosion defect, RAM-5000-SNAP device was used for ultrasonic excitation and reception. In the detection of small area corrosion defect, RAM-4000-SNAP device was used for the experiment, and the actual detection of the pipeline is the detection device in the pipeline. The experimental devices of the three experiments are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6A is a large area corrosion defect detection device, including load ohm, oscilloscope, amplifier and impedance matching equipment. Figure 6B is the actual detection device of the pipeline, which consists of three parts, including the driving section on both sides and the detection section of the intermediate part. Figure 6C shows the in-line detector.
3.2 Analysis of the experimental results

3.2.1 Analysis of simulation test results for echo signal noise elimination

Wavelet Soft Threshold (WST) and Wavelet Hard Threshold (WDT) are two common techniques for denoising ultrasound signals. To verify the feasibility of the EMD-SSAEUT signal denoising algorithm designed, MATLAB was used as the experimental platform to compare and analyze the denoising processing effects of the three methods mentioned above. Figure 7 shows the signal processing effects of three methods.

Figure 7A shows the original noise signal. Figure 7B shows the signal processed by WST. Figure 7 shows the signal processed by WDT. Figure 7 shows the signal processed by EMD-SSA. After WST processing, the fluctuation amplitude of the original noise signal was significantly reduced. However, there were still significant fluctuations in the stable signal region. The processing effect of WDT method was worse than that of WST. The fluctuation in stable areas was more significant. After being processed by EMD-SSA, the original noise signal still had a certain noise interference at the signal’s stable point. To further verify the signal denoising effect of EMD-SSA, the Signal-to-noise Ratio (SNR) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) processed by three processing methods were compared in Figure 8.

Figure 8A shows the SNR comparison results of three processing methods. As the SNR of the original signal increased, the SNR of the denoised signal also gradually increased. When the original SNR was 0, the SNR of the signal processed by WST was about 5 dB. The SNR processed by WDT and WST was consistent. The SNR after EMD-SSA processing was approximately 12 dB. When the original SNR increased to 20 dB, the SNR after WST processing was about 17 dB, the SNR after WDT processing was about 23 dB, and the SNR after EMD-SSA processing was about 30 dB. Figure 8B shows the MSE comparison results of three processing methods. With the increase of MSE, the MSE processed by these three processing methods was also increasing. The MSE after EMD-SSA treatment was consistently lower than the other two methods. When the initial MSE was 0.005 dB, the MSE after EMD-SSA processing was about 0.5 dB, while the MSE after WST and WDT processing were both above 1.0 dB. When the initial MSE was 0.007 dB, the MSE after WST and WDT processing was basically the same. The MSE after EMD-SSA treatment was much lower than that after WST and WDT treatment.

3.2.2 The treatment effect of EMD-SSA on different levels of pollution

In the detection of large-scale corrosion defects, firstly, this study compared the effectiveness of this noise reduction method on different noises under the same parameters. Figure 9 shows the processing effect of mild noise.

Figure 9A shows the original noise signal with mild pollution. The voltage amplitude of the original noise signal reached 4 V. The amplitude fluctuation of the original noise signal was irregular, and the signal was relatively chaotic, but the signal extremum could
still be observed. Figure 9B shows the noise signal after EMD-SSA processing. After EMD-SSA processing, there was a very clear pattern of signal fluctuations. There were three significant peaks in a sampling length of 600. EMD-SSA effectively removed mild noise pollution. Figure 10 shows the treatment effect of moderate pollution.

Figure 10A shows the raw noise signal with mild noise pollution. The original noise signal had no pattern. The effective signal band was basically completely covered, and the magnitude of the extreme value of the effective signal could not be determined. Figure 10B shows the signal after EMD-SSA denoising processing. After denoising, the effective signal bands were completely separated. However, the amplitude of the effective signal band was also significantly reduced. Figure 11 shows the treatment effect of severe noise pollution.
Figure 11A shows the original noise signal with severe noise pollution. The effective band and noise signal in the original noise signal completely covered each other, making it difficult to distinguish them. Figure 11B shows the signal after EMD-SSA denoising processing. EMD-SSA can remove most of the noise in severe noise pollution, completely distinguishing the effective band from the noise signal. However, the noise removal effect near the effective band was poor, and significant noise phenomena could still be observed.

3.2.3 Detection of different corrosion defect areas and practical application of pipelines

This study also compared the signal processing effects of large-area corrosion defects under different thicknesses of steel plates in Table 1.

In Table 1, when the thickness of the steel plate was 19 mm, the SNR after signal processing reaches the highest value of 16.1354 dB. The SNR gain at this time was 3.859. The SNR of the signal at this thickness was also the lowest before denoising, only 1.1846 dB. When the thickness of the steel plate was 48 mm, the SNR gain after signal denoising was the highest, reaching 12.011. At this point, the SNR of the signal before denoising was 1.2515 dB. The SNR after signal denoising was 15.0648 dB. When the thickness of the steel plate was 36 mm, the SNR after signal denoising was the lowest, only 12.6526 dB. The SNR before signal denoising at this thickness was 2.9784 dB, and the SNR gain was 4.251. This study also compared the pipeline thickness values calculated from the processed signals in Table 2.
FIGURE 12
Time-domain envelope spectrum and energy spectrum changes of small-area defects. (A) Time domain envelope spectrum variation. (B) Changes in energy spectrum.

TABLE 3 Test results of the pipeline detection device.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe number</th>
<th>Detection channel</th>
<th>Detection of pipeline wall thickness (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 2, when the pipe wall thickness was 48 mm, the error between the calculated pipe wall thickness and the actual thickness based on the processed signal was the highest, reaching 0.1906 mm. When the wall thickness was 12 mm, the calculated wall thickness based on the processed signal had the smallest error with the actual thickness, only 0.0015 mm. Among the 8 different thicknesses of pipe walls, only three thicknesses had a calculation error greater than 0.1000 mm. When the thickness of the pipe wall was 19 mm, the calculation error was 0.1452 mm. When the thickness of the pipe wall was 24 mm, the calculation error was 0.1258 mm. Figure 12 shows the time-domain envelope spectrum and energy spectrum changes when there is a small area of corrosion defect.

Figure 12A shows the variation of the time-domain envelope spectrum of small area defects. As the depth of the defect increased, the fluctuation and amplitude of the time-domain envelope spectrum gradually increased. When the defect depth was 1.5 mm, the amplitude was about 4.5 V. When the defect depth was 3.0 mm, the voltage amplitude was about 5.2 mm. Figure 12B shows the energy spectrum of small area defects. As the defect increased, the signal energy value also continued to increase. When there was no defect, the energy was about 14. After the defect depth increased to 3.0 mm, the energy value rose to about 25. Table 3 shows the pipeline’s actual inspection results.

In Table 3, the calculation results of the wall thickness of the detection pipeline for probes 1 and 3 were basically consistent, indicating that there were no corrosion defects on the wall of probes 1 and 3. In the detection channel of probe 2, the thickness of the detection tube wall was about 7.0 mm, which was significantly lower than the 9.0 mm thickness at probes 1 and 3. There was severe corrosion on the pipe wall at probe 2 of the detection device. By detecting the axial and circumferential positions of the device, the location of pipeline corrosion defects could be determined.

4 Conclusion

A pipeline corrosion detection technology based on electromagnetic ultrasonic non-destructive testing technology has been studied and designed. This can improve the stability of the energy delivery system and reduce the paralysis of the pipeline energy delivery system caused by pipeline corrosion and damage. This study utilized EMD and SSA to denoise EUT signals and improve the accuracy of EUT in pipeline corrosion detection. When the initial MSE was 0.005 dB, the MSE after EMD-SSA processing was about 0.5 dB, while the MSE after WST and WDT processing were both above 1.0 dB. The EMD-SSA signal denoising method effectively removed mild noise pollution, completely separating the effective signal band from noise pollution. When the thickness of the pipe wall was 19 mm, the SNR difference before and after processing of the electromagnetic ultrasound signal was the largest, increasing by a total of 14.9508 dB. When the thickness of the pipe wall was 48 mm, the error between the calculated pipe wall thickness and the actual thickness based on the processed signal was the highest, reaching 0.1906 mm. When the wall thickness was 12 mm, the calculated wall thickness based on
the processed signal had the smallest error with the actual thickness, only 0.0015 mm. As the depth of small area defects increased, the signal amplitude gradually increased. When there were no defects, the maximum amplitude was only about 14 V. When the defect depth increased to 3.0 mm, the amplitude increased to above 20 V. The research and design of a pipeline corrosion defect detection technology based on electromagnetic ultrasonic non-destructive testing technology can accurately locate the location of corrosion defects in pipelines and determine the degree of corrosion when the pipe wall thickness is less than 48 mm. The design results of the study contribute to improving the transportation safety of energy sources such as oil and gas. The EUT signal denoising technology designed can effectively eliminate noise interference in pipeline detection. However, the signal denoising method designed has a poor denoising effect on severe noise pollution, resulting in the loss of effective signals. In the future, the noise resistance of electromagnetic ultrasonic pipeline non-destructive testing technology can be further improved to increase the corrosion detection accuracy of pipeline non-destructive testing technology.
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