



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Gordon Woo,  
Risk Management Solutions, United Kingdom

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Hong-Hu Zhu,  
✉ zhh@nju.edu.cn

RECEIVED 20 June 2025

ACCEPTED 14 July 2025

PUBLISHED 29 July 2025

## CITATION

Zhu H-H, Li B, Maghoul P, Wang L and  
Tan D-Y (2025) Editorial: Geohazard  
monitoring, modeling, and assessment in  
harsh environments.  
*Front. Earth Sci.* 13:1650509.  
doi: 10.3389/feart.2025.1650509

## COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhu, Li, Maghoul, Wang and Tan. This  
is an open-access article distributed under  
the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other forums is  
permitted, provided the original author(s) and  
the copyright owner(s) are credited and that  
the original publication in this journal is cited,  
in accordance with accepted academic  
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction  
is permitted which does not comply with  
these terms.

# Editorial: Geohazard monitoring, modeling, and assessment in harsh environments

Hong-Hu Zhu<sup>1\*</sup>, Biao Li<sup>2</sup>, Pooneh Maghoul<sup>3</sup>, Lei Wang<sup>4</sup> and  
Dao-Yuan Tan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Building, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering, Polytechnique Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada, <sup>4</sup>Department of Civil and Architectural Engineering and Construction Management, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States

## KEYWORDS

geohazard, monitoring, climate change, geotechnical modeling, early warning, stability assessment

## Editorial on the Research Topic

Geohazard monitoring, modeling, and assessment in harsh environments

## Introduction

Geohazards—including landslides, rockfalls, volcanic eruptions, and seismic events—pose serious and growing threats to infrastructure, ecosystems, and societies, especially in environments defined by harsh climate, complex terrain, or limited accessibility. Effective risk reduction requires not only technical innovation in monitoring and modeling, but also interdisciplinary approaches that integrate geotechnical, geological, and environmental perspectives. The seven contributions to this Research Topic, accordingly, advance our understanding and management of geohazards across three interrelated fronts: (1) enhancing stability of soils and rock masses, (2) advancing hazard detection and monitoring methods, and (3) developing predictive and mitigation strategies tailored for harsh environments.

## Enhancing soil and rock stability

Soil and rock instability are fundamental challenges in geohazard-prone regions. Guo et al. investigate the reinforcing effect of geogrids on dry-shrinkage cracking of loess, demonstrating that geogrid inclusion significantly reduces crack propagation and improves soil stability—offering practical value for engineering in arid and semi-arid regions. Complementing this, Lu et al. conduct a detailed experimental study of acoustic emission signals in granite under biaxial compression. Their results yield new insights into the micro-mechanical processes preceding rock failure, supporting more robust assessment of rock stability in tectonically active

and mountainous terrain. Yang et al. further address ground deformation by examining rebound behavior in pit bottoms after excavation, emphasizing the need for region-specific approaches when designing safe and resilient underground structures.

## Advancing hazard detection and monitoring

Accurate detection and real-time monitoring of potential geohazards are critical for both early warning and understanding the mechanisms behind hazardous events. Wu et al. apply a combination of kinematic and numerical modeling techniques to assess the stability of a complex rock slope beneath a major overpass in Chongqing, China. Their multi-method approach provides a template for assessing infrastructure safety in urbanized, geologically complex settings. Meanwhile, Tak et al. employ GIS-based analysis to uncover the influence of altitude, slope, and waterway characteristics on slow-moving landslides in South Korea, providing valuable empirical data for the development of national-scale hazard information systems.

## Developing predictive and mitigation strategies

Effective mitigation depends on both innovative materials and early warning systems. Zhu et al. propose improvements to enzyme-induced calcite precipitation (EICP) for soil stabilization, demonstrating that prehydrolysis and accelerated injection rates can markedly enhance the uniformity and total amount of calcite in treated soils. This technique holds promise for stabilizing loose, erosion-prone soils in harsh environments. Addressing compound geohazards, Ratnasari et al. develop an early warning system for tsunamis triggered by volcanic collapses, using numerical modeling and a precomputed database to support rapid, real-time forecasting for disaster-prone volcanic islands.

## Conclusion

Collectively, the articles in this Research Topic highlight the benefits of interdisciplinary, multi-scale approaches to geohazard monitoring, modeling, and assessment. By bridging laboratory

innovation, field monitoring, and predictive analytics, these studies help drive progress toward more resilient communities and infrastructure in the face of growing geohazard risks. We thank all contributing authors and reviewers for their dedication and insight, and we hope this Research Topic inspires further innovation and collaboration in the geoscience and engineering communities.

## Author contributions

H-HZ: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. BL: Writing – review and editing. PM: Writing – review and editing. LW: Writing – review and editing. D-YT: Writing – original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.