frontiers | Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 02 February 2023
DOI 10.3389/fevo.2023.1138623

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Franco Biondi,
University of Nevada, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Angelina G. Perrotti
✉ aperrotti@wisc.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to Paleoecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

RECEIVED 05 January 2023
ACCEPTED 17 January 2023
PUBLISHED 02 February 2023

CITATION
Perrotti AG, Ramiadantsoa T, O'Keefe J and Nuñez Otaño N (2023) Corrigendum: Uncertainty in coprophilous fungal spore concentration estimates.
Front. Ecol. Evol. 11:1138623.
doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1138623

Corrigendum: Uncertainty in coprophilous fungal spore concentration estimates

Angelina G. Perrotti[1,2]*, Tanjona Ramiadantsoa[3,4], Jennifer O'Keefe[5] and Noelia Nuñez Otaño[6]

[1]Department of Earth, Environmental, and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI, United States, [2]Department of Geography, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States, [3]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States, [4]Madagascar Biodiversity Center, Antananarivo, Madagascar, [5]Department of Physics, Earth Science, and Space Systems Engineering, Morehead State–University, Morehead, KY, United States, [6]Laboratorio de Geología de Llanuras (FCyT-CICyTTP), Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma de Entre Ríos, Diamante, Argentina

KEYWORDS

coprophilous fungal spores, palynology, quantification methods, presence/absence analysis, megaherbivore decline

A corrigendum on
Uncertainty in coprophilous fungal spore concentration estimates

by Perrotti, A. G., Ramiadantsoa, T., O'Keefe, J., and Otaño, N. N. (2022). *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 10:1086109. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2022.1086109

In the published article, there was an error in the **Discussion** section, page 5, first paragraph. The terms "over-reported" and "under-reported" were switched in the sentence, rendering it incorrect. The corrected sentence appears below:

"Due to the asymmetrical uncertainty, we suggest that it is more likely CFS are over-reported than under-reported in studies with low total counts."

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.