



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Darren Norris,  
Universidade Federal do Amapá, Brazil

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Chloe E. R. Hatten  
✉ cerhatten@gmail.com

RECEIVED 30 June 2025  
ACCEPTED 08 July 2025  
PUBLISHED 08 August 2025

CITATION  
Hatten CER, Ewart KM, Ndiaye A,  
Andersson AA and Merilä J (2025)  
Editorial: Diagnostic tools and research  
applications to combat wildlife trade issues.  
*Front. Ecol. Evol.* 13:1656403.  
doi: 10.3389/fevo.2025.1656403

COPYRIGHT  
© 2025 Hatten, Ewart, Ndiaye, Andersson and  
Merilä. This is an open-access article  
distributed under the terms of the [Creative  
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The  
use, distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Diagnostic tools and research applications to combat wildlife trade issues

Chloe E. R. Hatten<sup>1\*</sup>, Kyle M. Ewart<sup>2,3</sup>, Arame Ndiaye<sup>2</sup>,  
Astrid A. Andersson<sup>1</sup> and Juha Merilä<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China,

<sup>2</sup>TRACE Wildlife Forensics Network, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies (R(D)SVS) and the Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

## KEYWORDS

illegal and unsustainable wildlife trade (IUWT), wildlife forensic science, diagnostic tools, emerging applications, complex cases, multidisciplinary

## Editorial on the Research Topic

Diagnostic tools and research applications to combat wildlife trade issues

## Introduction

Illegal and unsustainable wildlife trade (IUWT) poses a significant threat to global biodiversity and ecosystems, highlighting the need for innovative solutions (Cardoso et al., 2021; Fukushima et al., 2021; Hughes, 2021). A plethora of taxa are poached worldwide for various uses, including traditional medicine, ornaments, food, fashion, and exotic pets. This wide diversity of taxa are regulated by a complex mix of policies at international and local levels, such as the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), depending on their use, form, and jurisdiction. Therefore, the complex and diverse questions related to the IUWT require a broad range of diagnostic tools, with ongoing innovation needed as new species and trade scenarios emerge.

The development and application of diagnostic tools in wildlife trade research and enforcement play a crucial role in addressing the multifaceted challenges associated with IUWT, and are essential for understanding trade patterns, enforcing laws, and preventing ecological erosion caused by wildlife poaching. Many of these tools are used for research and intelligence, and their insights can guide law enforcement, inform conservation strategies, and influence policy (e.g., Andersson et al., 2021; Hatten et al., 2023, 2024). For a diagnostic tool to serve as forensic evidence in court, it must be validated, and be conducted by a qualified forensic analyst in a laboratory which is set up to forensic standards (e.g., Ewart et al., 2018, 2021; Webster et al., 2024; Frankham et al., 2025). These factors differentiate forensic tests from research, but forensic test validation and development is often underpinned by prior IUWT research projects involving assay development and the generation of reference data. As such, advances in IUWT research and technology have expanded opportunities to combat wildlife trade through multiple aspects, including conservation, policy, investigations, and forensic science.

This Research Topic of Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, entitled “*Diagnostic Tools and Research Applications to Combat Wildlife Trade Issues*” showcases a range of emerging tools, research, and case studies highlighting the complex and multidisciplinary nature often required

to tackle wildlife crime. It can be divided into two parts: traditional forensic methods used in complex casework questions, and the development of emerging diagnostic research tools. The eight articles presented in this Research Topic, from multiple countries, explore diverse questions and crimes, and offer potential solutions and interventions for IUWT.

## Diagnostic tools and applications in IUWT

### Traditional methods applied to complex cases

Wildlife trade issues involve a multitude of species that are subject to poaching and trafficking, and enforcement may require information on a variety of investigative questions. Addressing these complexities often demands multidisciplinary approaches, integrating technologies and scientific methods such as molecular, chemical, morphological, and entomological techniques to support efforts. A key approach applied in wildlife diagnostic research and forensic casework is the use of genetic analyses to identify the species of an unknown biological item (Ogden et al., 2009). In their articles, Bar-Gal and Frazier and Bauman highlight cases in Israel and the United States, respectively, where multiple genetic techniques assist law enforcement in wildlife crimes. While many of their techniques are already established, their work emphasizes the importance of decision-making, combining methods to address simple and complex cases, and maintaining local reference databases tailored to specific species or issues. Another traditional forensic method is the use of entomology, in which insects serve as biological indicators to estimate post-mortem intervals (Bansode et al., 2025). In this Research Topic, Feugang Youmessi identifies arthropod species colonising rat cadavers (*Rattus norvegicus*) to estimate time since death, and demonstrates a high arthropod diversity that could aid wildlife crime investigations in Cameroon and across the Central African region. Although not new to forensic science (Anderson, 1999; Watson and Carlton, 2005), wildlife forensic entomology remains underutilised due to the complexity of carcass species involved and limited knowledge in this area (Tomberlin and Sanford, 2011; Anderson and Byrd, 2019).

### Emerging research and applications

Beyond traditional genetic and morphological methods, emerging technologies such as stable isotope analysis (SIA) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) offer powerful tools for tracing the origins and species of wildlife products. Hill et al. found that SIA can identify recent captive rainbow lorikeet (*Trichoglossus moluccanus*) escapees in wild populations, especially those with small isotopic niches. Invasive species, often resulting from wildlife trade, pose significant threats to native ecosystems (Cardoso et al., 2021), and their study highlights SIA's potential for managing and enforcing measures against such spill-over effects.

While identifying origin is important in some cases, species identification is the most common application of wildlife forensics (Ogden and Mailley, 2016). However, challenges arise when morphologically-similar products come from different species with varying CITES regulations. Santos et al. highlight a multi-element SIA approach to distinguish between regulated elephant ivory and unregulated mammoth ivory traded across Asia and Siberia. This cost-effective method enables fast and accurate species identification, enabling ivory to be effectively triaged and processed. Similarly, Brandis et al. found that portable XRF (pXRF) could potentially be used as a rapid and cost-effective tool to accurately identify species and provenance (captive or wild) of traded Australian *Tiliqua* skinks. These articles also highlight limitations of using SIA and pXRF for investigations and management, such as dependence on tissue type, reference databases, and elements analysed. As a result, they are not yet feasible for wildlife forensic casework due to challenges in validation and database development. However, such methods can enhance investigations when combined with other methods like DNA analysis or multi-isotope analysis, and provide additional information—such as dietary, water source, and habitat data—that DNA alone cannot offer (Prigge et al., 2024).

The integration of emerging technologies like artificial intelligence (AI) and open-source platforms significantly advances wildlife enforcement and investigations against poaching and illegal trade. As criminal activities evolve, so must our solutions (Stolzenberg and D'Alessio, 2025; U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2024). Lynam et al. review various global conservation technologies, highlighting how open-source tools are used to combat poaching. In particular, AI, especially facial-recognition, has revolutionised individual identification. Hau et al. describe a facial-recognition system combining morphometrics and AI to monitor species like the endangered humphead wrasse (*Cheilinus undulatus*), which is traded through enforcement gaps in Hong Kong into the seafood market. Such approaches enhance conservation efforts by enabling more efficient tracking of illegally sourced wildlife at both individual and species levels (Petso et al., 2022).

## Conclusion

Addressing wildlife trade issues requires a diverse, interdisciplinary approach that combines scientific research and applied diagnostic tools. Developing and applying diagnostic methods to detect, identify, and track illegal activities is crucial for mitigating threats to endangered species and combating wildlife crime. While many tools are initially developed for research, they have the potential to be adapted for forensic use through validation and the generation of appropriate reference data. Ensuring these tools generate the relevant information and are also cost-effective is essential for law enforcement, and collaboration between scientists and enforcement agencies is vital for knowledge exchange and effective implementation (Hatten et al., 2020). Through innovation, capacity building, and cooperation across sectors,

these tools can better help protect biodiversity, combat wildlife crime, and promote sustainable resource management.

## Author contributions

CH: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Visualization. KE: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. AN: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. AA: Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. JM: Writing – original draft, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. Funding was received under “Restoring and Sustaining Biodiversity in the Context of Environmental Change” Seed Fund for Collaborative Research 2022/2023, and “Developing a rapid sea cucumber species ID kit to enhance traceability and sustainability of trade” Seed Fund for PI Research – Translational and Applied Research 2024/2025, by J. Merilae, School of Biological Sciences, the University of Hong Kong.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all reviewers for their valuable contributions to the submitted manuscripts, and the Editorial

team at Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution for their support. We acknowledge our institutions, the Conservation Forensic Laboratory, and School of Biological Sciences at the University of Hong Kong, TRACE Wildlife Forensics Network, and the University of Edinburgh, UK.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Anderson, G. S. (1999). Wildlife forensic entomology: determining time of death in two illegally killed black bear cubs. *J. Forensic Sci.* 44, 856–859. doi: 10.1520/JFS14567J
- Anderson, G. S., and Byrd, J. H. (2019). “Wildlife forensic entomology,” in *Forensic Entomology, 3rd Edition*. Eds. H. Byrd and J. K. Tomberlin (Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press), 475–483.
- Andersson, A. A., Gibson, L., Baker, D. M., Cybulski, J. D., Wang, S., Leung, B., et al. (2021). Stable isotope analysis as a tool to detect illegal trade in critically endangered cockatoos. *Anim. Conserv.* 24, 1021–1031. doi: 10.1111/acv.12705
- Bansode, S., Morajkar, A., Ragade, V., More, V., and Kharat, K. (2025). Challenges and considerations in forensic entomology: A comprehensive review. *J. Forensic Legal Med.* 110, 102831. doi: 10.1016/j.jflm.2025.102831
- Cardoso, P., Amponsah-Mensah, K., Barreiros, J. P., Bouhuys, J., Cheung, H., Davies, A., et al. (2021). Scientists’ warning to humanity on illegal or unsustainable wildlife trade. *Biol. Conserv.* 263, 109341. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109341
- Ewart, K. M., Frankham, G. J., McEwing, R., Webster, L. M., Ciavaglia, S. A., Linacre, A. M., et al. (2018). An internationally standardized species identification test for use on suspected seized rhinoceros horn in the illegal wildlife trade. *Forensic Sci. International: Genet.* 32, 33–39. doi: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2017.10.003
- Ewart, K. M., Lightson, A. L., Sitam, F. T., Rovie-Ryan, J., Nguyen, S. G., Morgan, K. I., et al. (2021). DNA analyses of large pangolin scale seizures: Species identification validation and case studies. *Forensic Sci. International: Anim. Environments* 1, 100014. doi: 10.1016/j.fsiae.2021.100014
- Frankham, G. J., Ogden, R., Baker, B. W., Ewart, K. M., Johnson, R. N., Kuiper, I., et al. (2025). Standards in wildlife forensic science, with a focus on non-human DNA analysis. *Anim. Genet.* 56, e70005. doi: 10.1111/age.70005
- Fukushima, C. S., Tricorache, P., Toomes, A., Stringham, O. C., Rivera-Téllez, E., Ripple, W. J., et al. (2021). Challenges and perspectives on tackling illegal or unsustainable wildlife trade. *Biol. Conserv.* 263, 109342. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109342
- Hatten, C. E. R., Fitriana, Y. S., Prigge, T. L., Irham, M., Sutrisno, H., and Dingle, C. (2023). DNA analysis and validation for species identification of seized helmeted hornbill (*Rhinoplax vigil*) casques. *Forensic Sci. International: Anim. Environments* 3, 100058. doi: 10.1016/j.fsiae.2022.100058
- Hatten, C. E. R., Hadiprakarsa, Y. Y., Lam, J. Y., Mak, J., Toropov, P., and Dingle, C. (2024). Assessing the legal, illegal, and gray ornamental trade of the critically endangered helmeted hornbill. *Conserv. Biol.* 38, e14358. doi: 10.1111/cobi.14358
- Hatten, C. E. R., Whitfort, A., Baker, D. M., and Dingle, C. (2020). Wildlife forensic science in Hong Kong. *Wiley Interdiscip. Reviews: Forensic Sci.* 2, e1376. doi: 10.1002/wfs2.1376
- Hughes, A. C. (2021). Wildlife trade. *Curr. Biol.* 31, R1218–R1224. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.08.056
- Ogden, R., Dawney, N., and McEwing, R. (2009). Wildlife DNA forensics—bridging the gap between conservation genetics and law enforcement. *Endangered Species Res.* 9, 179–195. doi: 10.3354/esr00144
- Ogden, R., and Mailley, J. (2016). *A review of wildlife forensic science and laboratory capacity to support the implementation and enforcement of CITES*. Available online at: <https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/cop/17/WorkingDocs/E-CoP17-25-A4.pdf>. (Accessed July 17, 2025)
- Petso, T., Jamisola, R. S. Jr., and Mpoeleng, D. (2022). Review on methods used for wildlife species and individual identification. *Eur. J. Wildlife Res.* 68, 3. doi: 10.1007/s10344-021-01549-4
- Prigge, T. L., Andersson, A. A., Hatten, C. E. R., Leung, E. Y., Baker, D. M., Bonebrake, T. C., et al. (2024). Wildlife trade investigations benefit from multivariate stable isotope analyses. *Biol. Rev.* 100, 1083–1104. doi: 10.1111/brv.13175
- Stolzenberg, L., and D'Alessio, S. J. (2025). *Crime Science: Modern Technologies to Combat Crime*. 1st Edition. Routledge, Taylor & Francis. New York. doi: 10.4324/9781003558491

Tomberlin, J. K., and Sanford, M. R. (2011). "Forensic entomology and wildlife," in *Wildlife forensics: methods and applications*. Eds. J. E. Huffman and J. R. Wallace (West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons), 81–107.

U.S. Department of the Treasury (2024). *Managing Artificial Intelligence-Specific Cybersecurity Risks in the Financial Services Sector*. Available online at: <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Managing-Artificial-Intelligence-Specific-Cybersecurity-Risks-In-The-Financial-Services-Sector.pdf>. (Accessed July 17, 2025)

Watson, E. J., and Carlton, C. E. (2005). Insect succession and decomposition of wildlife carcasses during fall and winter in Louisiana. *J. Med. Entomology* 42, 193–203. doi: 10.1093/jmedent/42.2.193

Webster, L. M., Prigge, T. L., and Frankham, G. J. (2024). A guide for the validation of DNA based species identification in forensic casework. *Forensic Sci. International: Anim. Environments* 5, p.100080. doi: 10.1016/j.fsiae.2023.100080