



Locus of control and obesity

Florence Neymotin* and Louis R. Nemzer

Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA

Edited by:

Gaetano Santulli, Columbia University, USA

Reviewed by:

Michael Leon, University of California Irvine, USA

Undurti Narasimha Das, UND Life Sciences, USA

Francesca Cirulli, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy

***Correspondence:**

Florence Neymotin, Nova Southeastern University, 3301 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314, USA
e-mail: fneymotin@nova.edu

In the developed world, the hazards associated with obesity have largely outstripped the risk of starvation. Obesity remains a difficult public health issue to address, due in large part to the many disciplines involved. A full understanding requires knowledge in the fields of genetics, endocrinology, psychology, sociology, economics, and public policy – among others. In this short review, which serves as an introduction to the Frontiers in Endocrinology research topic, we address one cross-disciplinary relationship: the interaction between the hunger/satiation neural circuitry, an individual's perceived locus of control, and the risk for obesity. Mammals have evolved a complex system for modulating energy intake. Overlaid on this, in humans, there exists a wide variation in “perceived locus of control” – that is, the extent to which an individual believes to be in charge of the events that affect them. Whether one has primarily an internal or external locus of control itself affects, and is affected by, external and physiological factors and has been correlated with the risk for obesity. Thus, the path from hunger and satiation to an individual's actual behavior may often be moderated by psychological factors, included among which is locus of control.

Keywords: locus of control, obesity, leptin, HbA1c, MHLC

Rates of obesity, along with its associated health-care costs, have exploded, leading to a critical topic of research regarding the pathways and risk factors involved. Of the currently identified determinants, co-occurring traits, and characteristics of individuals who have been diagnosed as obese, one that has not, to date, garnered quite as much attention as genetic or behavioral determinants is an individual's perceived locus of control. Yet, an individual's ability to make a decision and stick with it will be determined, in part, by their personality traits. While these personality traits could represent hormonal differences, they do not always need to.

In particular, the locus of control construct is defined as a person's belief in the amount of control they have regarding specific events in their life. An internal locus refers to the belief that one's behavior or stable personal characteristics controls specific events or outcomes, while an external locus of control refers to the belief that these events or outcomes are controlled by forces external to oneself (1).

Locus of control is an important characteristic in relation to obesity because, by definition, it indicates whether an individual believes that his or her environment and choices are under his or her control. Thus, in addition to actual physical cues of hunger or satiation, the ability to interpret those cues appropriately in a given social setting will help determine how obesity develops and persists. In the case of obesity in particular, it is more complicated to determine both the correct measure of locus of control to employ, as well as to definitively show a causal, rather than simply a correlational, relationship between locus of control and obesity (2, 3).

Locus of control has been variously defined as a sense of general, nutritional, or personal mastery (2, 4–6). Alternatively, a common measure is the multi-dimensional health locus of control (MHLC)

(7). Autonomy and self-efficacy, similar in concept to locus of control, have also been identified as having a relationship with obesity (8–11). The plethora of definitions further complicates the search for the correct measure to use in establishing the appropriate and relevant relationship with obesity.

As noted, however, choosing the correct measure is only a part of the problem. While some authors have found no correlation, this was generally because of a paucity of data or issues with the measurement structure (11–13). The current consensus is that there should be at least a correlational relationship between some measure of locus of control – or another related concept – and obesity (14).

The next step is to determine whether locus of control simply happens, in most cases, to be more internal for thinner individuals, or whether the effect is causal in either direction. Proving the causality of this relationship does tend to be more complicated. Perhaps even more important is the direction of causation, with future interventions being much more effective if a more internal locus of control causes increased levels of obesity and not vice versa (5, 15–17). That said, it is also important to remember that locus of control is “mostly” considered to be a stable trait, and so it cannot easily be manipulated (18).

In order to address causality, one useful step would be to determine a theoretical structure for the mechanism by which internal locus of control may or may not help individuals reduce their risk for obesity. Literature taking this approach has followed one of several paths. Some authors have noted that an external locus generally relates more to depression and anxiety, making these individuals more likely to give up instead of persevering in the face of difficult tasks (19). Furthermore, these externally focused people, in principle, have higher levels of cortisol, very likely also affecting their long-term health (19). If cortisol simultaneously

relates to stress and causes individuals to eat more and become obese, then, while the relationship between locus and control and obesity may be causal, the relationship between obesity and long-term health could represent a correlational one. This would be concerning indeed if cortisol were the only element at play, however, that is very likely not the case.

Another possibility for the way in which locus of control affects obesity is that externally focused individuals benefit more from the assistance provided by dietitians and health-care providers, as well as from the health-care providers themselves (20, 21). Specifically, internally focused people will tend to succeed more often in weight-loss endeavors than will the externally focused portions of the population (4, 8, 22–25). Also, there is some evidence to suggest that externally focused individuals receive poorer treatment from those same dietitians, and are afforded less patience and time focused on their health behaviors (20). As evidence for the opposite direction of causation, however, it is also possible that access to a good health-care provider will actually create a more internal locus of control, leading to better adherence to medication regimes, and/or exercise and nutritional schedules (21).

In addition to their interactions with health-care providers, relationships with peers, and parents in the case of children, can also be responsible for the relationship between locus of control and obesity (26, 27). Due to discrimination by others, a higher body mass index (BMI) should relate to a more internal locus of control (6). Interestingly, some work has found that women in these cases actually have a more internal sense of control, but the authors explain that this is likely a result of overcompensating behaviors. Generally, however, researchers do find a difference between men and women in peer groups affecting levels of obesity, and often explain these differences through personal control. Notice, however, that in these cases, the direction of causality is very likely reversed, with obesity causing a more external locus of control.

Research has also shown that peer groups can be positively employed in these more externally focused cases to create healthier habits and intentions (26). Similarly, parents can influence more externally focused children by discouraging sedentary behaviors or making them less available (28). Thus, creating interventions specific to individuals with varying loci of control is a very real possibility. Notice, however, that we are once again faced with the difficulty of disentangling the joint effects of exercise, obesity, and locus of control, since higher levels of activity may, in principle, simultaneously create a more internally focused individual, as well as a less obese one.

After establishing the posited links between locus of control and obesity from various other parts of the literature, we now turn to the medical and physiological aspects of the question at hand. In particular, clinical obesity is strongly influenced by a complex web of genetic, endocrine, and environmental components that provide the physiological and psychological basis for the sensations of hunger and satiation. These sensations are, in one sense, inchoate signals processed by the conscious faculties of the brain simultaneously under the influence of the higher level locus of control perceptions. Although complicated, a great deal of progress has been made in the last two decades in describing the hormonal circuitry that controls these feelings, along with the risk factors

for unhealthy functioning of these processes (29). On a simple level, one may think of the “satiety” hormone leptin, produced by adipocytes (30) – and its “hunger” counterpart, ghrelin (31, 32), produced by the gastrointestinal tract (33), as being in competition for influencing the same set of receptors in the brain (34), especially the neuropeptide Y neurons in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (35). In 1994, the gene for leptin was first cloned (36), and falling leptin levels have been found to be a signal for starvation (37). On the other side, ghrelin, which increases feeling of hunger (38), is produced mostly by the stomach (39) as a response to a lack of food (40). Ghrelin levels are high prior to eating and lower afterward (41), thus transducing physical cues such as stomach distention into hormonal signals.

However, this simple picture obscures some important details. Since they have excess adipose tissue, obese individuals generally have higher levels of leptin (42) but, similar to the insulin resistance that is associated with type II diabetes, they do not exhibit a normal satiation response due to changes in the leptin detection circuit. Thus, leptin resistance is a strong risk factor for obesity (43), although the direction of causality is not totally uncontroversial (44). Leptin replacement therapy has, however, been found to be very effective in treating patients with dyslipidemia stemming from specific genetic mutations (45). This lends credibility to the point of view that obesity is not always the result of a lack of “willpower” or a specific worldview related to one’s personal locus of control. That is, in the cases of a congenital defect in the hunger/satiation neuronal circuitry due to a mutation, or the development of leptin resistance, negative health outcomes are difficult to avoid in the absence of specific interventions.

Type II diabetes, with its hallmark hyperglycemia, is an important comorbid condition with obesity. An indicator of average blood glucose levels is the percentage of glycated hemoglobin, denoted HbA1c. Glucose in the blood stream can become irreversibly bound to the hemoglobin of red blood cells. As a result, the fraction HbA1c of total hemoglobin is a proxy (46) for the average glucose (47) concentration over the previous 1–3 months. Obesity can also attenuate the effectiveness of drugs intended to reduce HbA1c (48). As a clinical outcome, HbA1c levels in patients with diabetes were predicted by their health loci of control (49). That is, people who credit factors other than themselves are likely to do less well (7).

In conclusion, the literature and experimental studies have documented the existence of a correlational relationship between locus of control and obesity. This relationship has not, in most of these studies, been tested for causality or directionality, so that proving more than the existence of a simple correlational relationship is still a much needed area for future research. This is a crucial area for future research because understanding exactly how obesity is affected in a physiological, environmental, and psychological fashion is an important first step to addressing the obesity epidemic that is increasing worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Rotter JB. *Social Learning and Clinical Psychology*. EnglewoodCliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall (1954).
2. Clinton KM. *Health Behaviour, Self-Efficacy and Perceived Control in School-Age Children: Foundations for Obesity Prevention*. Thesis completed at Lakehead University, 1–119 (2005).

3. Infurna FJ, Gerstorf D, Nilman R, Schupp J, Wagner GG. Long-term antecedents and outcomes of perceived control. *Psychol Aging* (2011) **26**(3):559–75. doi:10.1037/a0022890
4. Ross MW, Kalucy RS, Morton JE. Locus of control in obesity: predictors of success in a jaw-wiring programme. *Br J Med Psychol* (1983) **56**:49–56. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8341.1983.tb01531.x
5. Mata J, Silva MN, Vieira PN, Carraca EV, Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, et al. Motivational “spill-over” during weight control: increased self-determination and exercise intrinsic motivation predict eating self-regulation. *Sport Exerc Perform Psychol* (2011) **1**(S):49–59. doi:10.1037/a0016764
6. Andreoletti C, Zebrowitz LA, Lachman ME. Physical appearance and control beliefs in young, middle-aged, and older adults. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull* (2001) **27**:969–81. doi:10.1177/0146167201278005
7. O’Hea EL, Grothe KB, Bodenlos JS, Boudreaux ED, White MA, Brantley PJ. Predicting medical regimen adherence: the interactions of health locus of control beliefs. *J Health Psychol* (2005) **10**(5):705–17. doi:10.1177/1359105305055330
8. Williams GC, Grow VM, Freedman ZR, Ryan RM, Deci EL. Motivational predictors of weight loss and weight-loss maintenance. *J Pers Soc Psychol* (1996) **70**(1):115–26. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.70.1.115
9. O’Dea JA, Wilson R. Socio-cognitive and nutritional factors associated with body mass index in children and adolescents: possibilities for childhood obesity prevention. *Health Educ Res Theory Pract* (2006) **21**(6):796–805. doi:10.1093/her/cyl125
10. Tucker CM, Rice KG, Desmond FF, Hou W, Kaye LB, Smith TM. The youth form of the motivators of and barriers to health-smart behaviors inventory. *Psychol Assess* (2012) **24**(2):490–502. doi:10.1037/a0026262
11. Braet C, Van Strien T. Assessment of emotional, externally induced and restrained eating behaviour in nine to twelve-year old obese and non-obese children. *Behav Res Theory* (1997) **35**(9):863–73.
12. Leon GR, Fulkerson JA, Perry CL, Early-Zald MB. Prospective analysis of personality and behavioral vulnerabilities and gender influences in the later development of disordered eating. *J Abnorm Psychol* (1995) **104**(1):140–9. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.104.1.140
13. Allison DB, Kalinsky LB, Gorman BS. A comparison of the psychometric properties of three measures of dietary restraint. *Psychol Assess* (1992) **4**(3):391–8. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.4.3.391
14. Sonntag U, Esch T, von Hagen L, Renneberg B, Braun V, Heintze C. Locus of control, self-efficacy and attribution tendencies in obese patients – implications for primary care consultations. *Med Sci Monitor* (2010) **16**(7):CR330–5.
15. Gormanous GK, Lowe WC. Locus of control and obesity. *Psychol Rep* (1975) **37**:30. doi:10.2466/pr0.1975.37.1.30
16. Infurna FJ, Gerstorf D, Zarit SH. Examining dynamic links between perceived control and health: longitudinal evidence for differential effects in midlife and old age. *Dev Psychol* (2011) **47**(1):9–18. doi:10.1037/a0021022
17. Johnson W, Krueger RE. Higher perceived life control decreases genetic variance in physical health: evidence from a National Twin Study. *J Pers Soc Psychol* (2005) **88**(1):165–73. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.88.1.165
18. Holt CL, Clark EM, Kreuter MW, Scharff DP. Does locus of control moderate the effects of tailored health education materials? *Health Educ Res Theory Pract* (2000) **15**(4):393–403. doi:10.1093/her/15.4.393
19. Gale CR, Batty D, Deary IJ. Locus of control at age 10 years and health outcomes and behaviors at age 30 years: the 1970 British Cohort Study. *Psychosom Med* (2008) **70**:397–403. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e31816a719e
20. Stone O, Werner P. Israeli dietitians’ professional stigma attached to obese patients. *Qual Health Res* (2012) **6**:768–76. doi:10.1177/1049732311431942
21. Tucker CM, Marsiske M, Rice KG, Nielson JJ, Herman K. Patient-centered culturally sensitive health care: model testing and refinement. *Health Psychol* (2011) **30**(3):342–50. doi:10.1037/a0022967
22. Wilson PM, Mack DE, Grattan KP. Understanding motivation for exercise: a self-determination theory perspective. *Can Psychol* (2008) **49**(3):250–6. doi:10.1037/a0012762
23. Williams P, Lord SR. Predictors of adherence to a structured exercise. *Psychol Aging* (1995) **10**(4):617–24. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.10.4.617
24. Holt CL, Clark EM, Kreuter MW. Weight locus of control and weight-related attitudes and behaviors in an overweight population. *Addict Behav* (2001) **26**:329–40. doi:10.1016/S0306-4603(00)00108-8
25. Adolfsson B, Andersson I, Elofsson S, Rossner S, Uden A. Locus of control and weight reduction. *Patient Educ Couns* (2005) **56**:55–61. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2003.12.005
26. Baker CW, Little TD, Brownell KD. Predicting adolescent eating and activity behaviors: the role of social norms and personal agency. *Health Psychol* (2003) **22**(2):189–98. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.22.2.189
27. Kitzmann KM, Beech BM. Family-based interventions for pediatric obesity: methodological and conceptual challenges from family psychology. *Couple Family Psychol Res Pract* (2011) **1**(S):45–62. doi:10.1037/2160-4096.1.S.45
28. Epstein LH, Saelens BE, Myers MD, Vito D. Effects of decreasing sedentary behaviors on activity choice in obese children. *Health Psychol* (1997) **16**(2):107–13. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.16.2.107
29. Gautron L, Elmquist JK. Sixteen years and counting: an update on leptin in energy balance. *J Clin Invest* (2011) **121**(6):2087–93. doi:10.1172/JCI45888
30. Considine RV, Nyce MR, Kolaczynski JW, Zhang PL, Ohannesian JP, Moore JH, et al. Dexamethasone stimulates leptin release from human adipocytes: unexpected inhibition by insulin. *J Cell Biochem* (1997) **65**(2):254–8. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4644(199705)65:2<254::AID-JCB10>3.0.CO;2-I
31. Nakazato M, Murakami N, Date Y, Kojima M, Matsuo H, Kangawa K, et al. A role for ghrelin in the central regulation of feeding. *Nature* (2001) **409**:194–8. doi:10.1038/35051587
32. Fetissov SO, Laviano A, Kalra S, Inui A. Update on ghrelin. *Int J Peptides* (2010) **2010**:963501. doi:10.1155/2010/963501
33. Sakata I, Sakai T. Ghrelin cells in the gastrointestinal tract. *Int J Peptides* (2010) **2010**:945056. doi:10.1155/2010/945056
34. Brennan AM, Mantzoros CS. Drug insight: the role of leptin in human physiology and pathophysiology-emerging clinical applications. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* (2006) **2**(6):318–27. doi:10.1038/ncpendmet0196
35. Wang JH, Wang F, Yang MJ, Yu DF, Wu WN, Liu J, et al. Leptin regulated calcium channels of neuropeptide Y and proopiomelanocortin neurons by activation of different signal pathways. *Neuroscience* (2008) **156**(1):89–98. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.04.079
36. Zhang Y, Proenca R, Maffei M, Barone M, Leopold L, Friedman JM. Positional cloning of the mouse obese gene and its human homologue. *Nature* (1994) **372**:425–32.
37. Ahima RS, Prabakaran D, Mantzoros C, Qu D, Lowell B, Maratos-Flier E, et al. Role of leptin in the neuroendocrine response to fasting. *Nature* (1996) **382**:250–2. doi:10.1038/382250a0
38. Wren AM, Seal LJ, Cohen MA, Brynes AE, Frost GS, Murphy KG, et al. Ghrelin enhances appetite and increases food intake in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2001) **86**:5992–5. doi:10.1210/jcem.86.12.8111
39. Ariyasu H, Takaya K, Tagami T, Ogawa Y, Hosoda K, Akamizu T, et al. Stomach is a major source of circulating ghrelin, and feeding state determines plasma ghrelin-like immunoreactivity levels in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2001) **86**(10):4753–8. doi:10.1210/jcem.86.10.7885
40. Cummings DE, Frayo RS, Marmonier C, Aubert R, Chapelot D. Plasma ghrelin levels and hunger scores in humans initiating meals voluntarily without time- and food-related cues. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* (2004) **287**:E297–304. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00582.2003
41. Patterson M, Bloom SR, Gardiner JV. Ghrelin and appetite control in humans – potential application in the treatment of obesity. *Peptides* (2011) **32**(11):2290–4. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2011.07.021
42. Vasselli JR. Fructose-induced leptin resistance: discovery of an unsuspected form of the phenomenon and its significance. Focus on “fructose-induced leptin resistance exacerbates weight gain in response to subsequent high-fat feeding,” by Shapiro et al. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* (2008) **295**(5):R1365–9. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.90674.2008
43. Pan H, Guo J, Su Z. Advances in understanding the interrelations between leptin resistance and obesity. *Physiol Behav* (2014) **130**:157–69. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.04.003
44. Myers MG, Leibel RL, Seeley RJ, Schwartz MW. Obesity and leptin resistance: distinguishing cause from effect. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2010) **21**(11):643–51. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2010.08.002
45. Chong AY, Lupsa BC, Cochran EK, Gorden P. Efficacy of leptin therapy in the different forms of human lipodystrophy. *Diabetologia* (2010) **53**:27–35. doi:10.1007/s00125-009-1502-9
46. Koehnig RJ, Peterson CM, Jones RL, Saudek M, Cerami A. Correlation of glucose regulation and hemoglobin A1c in diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* (1976) **295**(8):417–20. doi:10.1056/NEJM197608192950804
47. Nathan DM, Kuenen J, Borg R, Zheng H, Schoenfeld D, Heine RJ. Translating the A1C assay into estimated average glucose values. *Diabetes Care* (2008) **31**(8):1473–8. doi:10.2337/dc08-0545

48. Bando Y, Kanehara H, Aoki K, Hisada A, Toya D, Tanaka N. Obesity may attenuate the HbA1c-lowering effect of Sitagliptin in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients. *J Diabet Investigat* (2012) 3(2):170–4. doi:10.1111/j.2040-1124.2011.00156.x
49. Feldman PJ, Steptoe A. Psychosocial and socioeconomic factors associated with glycated hemoglobin in nondiabetic middle-aged men and women. *Health Psychol* (2003) 22(4):398–405. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.22.4.398

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 15 August 2014; accepted: 19 September 2014; published online: 07 October 2014.

Citation: Neymotin F and Nemzer LR (2014) Locus of control and obesity. Front. Endocrinol. 5:159. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2014.00159

This article was submitted to Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology.

Copyright © 2014 Neymotin and Nemzer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.