

Role of IGF1R in breast cancer subtypes, stemness, and lineage differentiation

Susan M. Farabaugh^{1,2}, David N. Boone^{1,2} and Adrian V. Lee^{1,2,3*}

¹ Department of Pharmacology and Chemical Biology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, ² Women's Cancer Research Center, Magee-Womens Research Institute, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, ³ Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling is fundamental for growth and survival. A large body of evidence (laboratory, epidemiological, and clinical) implicates the exploitation of this pathway in cancer. Up to 50% of breast tumors express the activated form of the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF1R). Breast cancers are categorized into subtypes based upon hormone and ERRB2 receptor expression and/or gene expression profiling. Even though IGF1R influences tumorigenic phenotypes and drug resistance across all breast cancer subtypes, it has specific expression and function in each. In some subtypes, IGF1R levels correlate with a favorable prognosis, while in others it is associated with recurrence and poor prognosis, suggesting different actions based upon cellular and molecular contexts. In this review, we examine IGF1R expression and function as it relates to breast cancer subtype and therapy-acquired resistance. Additionally, we discuss the role of IGF1R in stem cell maintenance and lineage differentiation and how these cell fate influences may alter the differentiation potential and cellular composition of breast tumors.

LSU Health Sciences Center, USA Douglas Yee, University of Minnesota, USA

OPEN ACCESS

University Magna Graecia of

Edited by: Antonino Belfiore,

Catanzaro, Italy

Reviewed by:

Marcello Maggiolini, University of Calabria, Italy

Francesca Peruzzi,

*Correspondence:

Adrian V. Lee, Magee-Womens Research Institute, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, 204 Craft Avenue, Room A412, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA leeav@upmc.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

> Received: 15 February 2015 Accepted: 07 April 2015 Published: 24 April 2015

Citation:

Farabaugh SM, Boone DN and Lee AV (2015) Role of IGF1R in breast cancer subtypes, stemness, and lineage differentiation. Front. Endocrinol. 6:59. doi: 10.3389/fendo 2015 00059

Keywords: IGF1R, breast cancer subtypes, lineages, luminal, ERBB2⁺, ER⁺, triple negative

IGF Signaling

Insulin-like growth factor 1 and 2 (IGF1 and IGF2) are peptides that act as circulating endocrine hormones critical for normal body growth. Pituitary-derived growth hormone (GH) stimulates the liver to express IGF1, which is secreted and affects growth of multiple cell types (1, 2). Other tissues also produce IGF ligands that act in a paracrine or autocrine manner (3). IGF ligands are bound by insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP1-6) (4). While IGFBP binding increases ligand stability, IGFBP binding also decreases ligand bioavailability and competes with ligand-receptor binding.

Insulin-like growth factor 1 or IGF2 stimulates downstream signaling events primarily by binding and activating the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF1R). Insulin-like growth factor type 2 receptor (IGF2R), which is homologous to the mannose-6-phosphate receptor, does not seem to have a signaling function and may act as a sink to modulate IGF2 ligand bioavailability. IGF1R has high similarity to the insulin receptor (InsR) (5, 6). Indeed, although the affinities are much lower, IGF1 can bind and activate the InsR while, in turn, insulin can bind and activate IGF1R (7). IGF2 binds both receptors with similar affinities. In addition, a fetal form of InsR that has alternate splicing, termed as insulin receptor isoform A (InsR-A), has a high affinity for IGF2. IGF1R and InsR exist primarily as heterotetramers. However, hybrid IGF1R/InsR receptors, consisting of subunits from both receptors, can form and bind all three ligands (8–13). Therefore, there is much crosstalk and overlap in receptor signaling downstream of IGFs and insulin.

Binding of IGF1 or IGF2 to IGF1R results in autophosphorylation of the IGF1R kinase domain and activation of intracellular signaling cascades. Insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2 (IRS1 and IRS2), the main signaling adaptors for both IGF1R and InsR, are recruited to the receptor and act as docking sites (14). IRS1 and IRS2 link the activated receptors to numerous intracellular adaptor proteins and downstream signaling cascades such as PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK/ERK1 (15).

Type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor signaling cascades regulate cell growth, survival, and motility. The IGF1R pathway mediates strong anti-apoptotic signals through three known pathways. The PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK pathways both facilitate IGF1R-induced resistance to apoptosis (16). Additionally, IGF1R interacts with 14.3.3 proteins to induce the mitochondrial translocation of Raf (17). All three of these pathways converge to phosphorylate BAD and block apoptosis. Additionally, IGF1R mediates the cell cycle through MAPK/ERK activation. ERK induces proliferation through phosphorylation of transcription factors such as c-Fos and Ets-like transcription factor 1 (Elk-1) (18). IGF1R signaling also activates c-Myc, JNK, and c-Jun (19). Cell cycle progression is promoted by IGF1R-mediated increases in ribosome activity (20) and expression of cyclins A, B, and D1 (21, 22). These robust anti-apoptotic properties of IGF1R and cell cycle regulation both play critical roles in promoting IGF1R-mediated tumorigenesis.

IGF1 Signaling and Mammary Gland Function

Insulin-like growth factor 1 is critical for mammary development. In the mammary gland, GH stimulates IGF1 production from the stroma (23), and this is enhanced by estradiol (24, 25). IGF-I acts in a paracrine manner to stimulate terminal end bud (TEB) growth and form the ductal structures that extend through the mammary fat pad. Mammary gland development is decreased in IGF1 (-/-) null females (26). Interestingly, IGF1 produced within the mammary gland is more potent at stimulating mammary growth than circulating IGF1 derived from the liver (27). The IGF1 deficiency in IGF1 (-/-) null mice can be rescued by continuous 5-day injections of the des-IGF1 variant. Longer treatments with IGF1 plus estradiol enhance TEB formation and ductal morphogenesis. Interestingly, treatment with GH and estradiol does not restore development in IGF1-null mice, indicating the necessity of IGF1 for the full function and stimulation by these hormones (26).

Similar to the critical role for IGF1 in mammary gland development, IGF1R is also required for mammary gland morphogenesis. Reconstitution assays using IGF1R-deficient embryonic mammary buds demonstrate decreased growth potential and cell proliferation during morphogenesis (28). The reduction in mammary gland morphogenesis observed upon loss of IGF1/IGF1R signaling is a result of decreased cell cycle progression and increased apoptosis (29–31).

Although critical for mammary gland development, IGF1 needs to function in cooperation with growth factors such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-B) as well as the IGF1 receptors and binding proteins for efficient signaling and full mammary function (32).

The IGF Pathway in Cancer

As IGF1 plays such a critical role in cell growth, survival, and migration, it is not surprising that alterations in the IGF1 signaling pathway are linked to the development and progression of multiple cancers including breast, lung, osteosarcoma, gynecological, prostate, and gastrointestinal cancers. Many different alterations in the IGF system promote tumorigenesis: increased IGF1 and IGF2 expression (33–36), decreased levels of circulating IGFBPs (which increase ligand bioavailability) (37–39), and changes in receptor expression (40, 41).

Recent large genomic analyses now allow a comprehensive examination of genomic and molecular changes in the IGF pathway in cancer. Analysis of data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) using the cBIO portal across most cancer types shows genomic alterations in IGF ligands (IGF1, 2), receptors (IGF1R, IGF2R), binding proteins (IGFBP1-6), and IRSs (IRS1, 2, 4); this representing 18 genes (Figure 1A). The greatest change is in stomach cancer where 43% of tumors show a molecular alteration (amplification, deletion, or base pair mutation) in one or more of the 18 genes of the IGF family. Interestingly, different tumor types show distinct molecular changes. For example, sarcomas exhibit copy number changes such as DNA amplification and deletion, whereas pancreas, melanoma, and lung cancers are dominated by base pair mutations. Some tumor types display a very low to absent mutation rate such as thyroid, acute myelogenous leukemia, and glioblastoma.

In breast cancer, 15% of TCGA-documented breast cancers (42) contain genomic alterations in the IGF pathway. These alterations consist mainly of amplification and are generally rare with only IGF1R and IRS2 showing amplification in >5% of cases. When considering mRNA levels (using the TCGA provisional data on 962 breast cancers which includes RNA-seq), 45.3% of breast cancers (n = 436/962) show a molecular alteration in at least one IGF family member. IGFIR is amplified, overexpressed, or somatically mutated in 9% of tumors. Other notable changes are amplification or overexpression of IGFBP4 (9%), IGFBP5 (6%), and IRS2 (7%) (**Figure 1B**).

While somatic mutation of IGF family members has only recently been comprehensively described, a large literature has reported on germline polymorphisms. In particular, germline polymorphisms in IGF1, IGF1R, and IGFBP3 are associated with increased risk of breast (43–45), prostate (46–48), lung (49), and pancreatic (50) cancers.

While many studies have examined alterations in IGF pathway components, few measured pathway activity. For example, tumor tissue microarrays show that 87% of primary breast tumors express IGF1R (51); however, the active phosphorylated form of IGF1R/InsR, as measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC), is



in cancer. Data are from The Cancer Genome Atlas at cBio (http://www.cbioportal.org/) in February 2015. For several cancers, the data are published (pub) while for others it is provisional and in progress. **(A)** Histogram showing genomic changes (somatic base pair mutation and copy number alteration) in 13 members of the IGF pathway [notated on the *y*-axis in **(B)**]. Each bar represents the percentage of tumors, which show an alteration in these genes and each cancer type is indicated on the *X*-axis. **(B)** Genomic and

transcriptomic changes (mRNA levels) specifically in breast cancer for 13 genes indicated on the *y*-axis. Each row represents a different gene. Each gray box represents an individual tumor. The percentage of tumors showing an alteration for each gene is shown on the Y-axis next to the gene. A red box indicates the gene is amplified and blue is deleted. A box with a red outline represents RNA overexpression compared to normal breast and blue is underexpression. A green dot represents a somatic base pair mutation. Tumors without an alteration in any of the IGF family members have been removed for visualization.

only present in roughly 50% of breast cancers where it correlates with poor survival (52). We examined IGF pathway activity by combining IGF-regulated mRNA levels into an "IGF gene signature." Breast tumors expressing the IGF1 gene signature significantly correlate with numerous poor prognostic factors and expression of this signature is one of the strongest indicators of poor disease outcome (53).

IGF1R across Breast Cancer Subtypes

Breast tumors display tremendous heterogeneity among different patients due at least in part to varying molecular alterations and divergent cells of origin. In recent years, gene expression profiling has helped to define breast cancer subtypes. Molecular profiling divides breast tumors into six major subtypes, which are related



The Cancer Genome Atlas. The expressions of the indicated genes from

transformed, median centered for each gene (rows), and a heatmap was generated using MeV. The PAM50 subtype clusters are shown above with the indicated colors.

to the known major drivers (and targetable biomarkers): estrogen receptor α (ER α), progesterone receptor (PR), and erbb2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (ERBB2/HER2). The current molecular subtypes include luminal A, luminal B, ERBB2-like, triple negative/basal-like, claudin-low, and normal-like (54, 55). Each subtype can also be further classified into more defined subgroups.

The expression and role of IGF1R in various breast cancer subtypes, and in particular its role in causing resistance to targeted therapies, has been extensively studied. By identifying in which tumor types the IGF1R pathway actively drives tumor initiation and progression, we can better define the subtype(s) that may benefit from anti-IGF1R therapies. For an overview of IGF pathway expression across the breast cancer molecular subtypes, we analyzed TCGA data (42) (**Figure 2**). Most IGF pathway members, including IGF1R itself, tend to be more highly expressed in luminal A and luminal B tumors and comparatively underexpressed in basal and ERBB2⁺ tumor types (**Figure 2**). This expression only denotes mRNA levels and does not demonstrate pathway activation.

Below, we describe the main breast cancer molecular subtypes and the role IGF1R is believed to play in each.

Luminal

The majority of breast cancers fall into the luminal classification. Luminal subtypes tend to be hormone receptor positive (ER^+ , PR^+) and comprise ~50% (luminal A) and ~10–20% (luminal B) of all breast cancer cases (56). Luminal A tumors have the best overall prognosis. Luminal B tumors are similar to luminal A tumors, but are more aggressive (higher grade) and are typically diagnosed at a younger age with higher reoccurrence rates. Hormone therapies (e.g., tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors) have greatly increased the overall prognosis of the luminal subtype.

Estrogen receptor α is a major regulator of IGF signaling, due in part to transcriptional activation of IGF1R and many other IGF

signaling components such as IRS1 (57–59). Consistent with this, the hormonally driven luminal subtypes tend to have higher levels of IGF1R and IRS expression as opposed to tumors that are less hormonally driven (triple negative and ERBB2⁺) (60, 61). IGF1R is expressed in 52 and 84% of Luminal A and 57.5 and 76% of Luminal B tumors, respectively (62, 63). IGF1R expression does not affect breast cancer specific survival in luminal A tumors. Interestingly, luminal B tumors with higher total IGF1R levels have significantly better prognosis than those with low levels of IGF1R (62). Law et al. demonstrated that roughly 50% of all luminal tumors show phosphorylated, and presumably active, IGF1R (52). As IGF1R is upregulated by ER α , the better prognosis of IGF1R-expressing luminal tumors may be associated with the use of ER-targeted therapies.

Estrogen receptor α and the IGF pathway show dynamic and intricate crosstalk, resulting in bidirectional regulation of expression and activity (64). ER α transcriptionally upregulates IGF1R expression. IGF1R transcriptionally upregulates ER α in an mTOR/S6K1-dependent manner and increases ER α phosphorylation to stimulate transcriptional activity (65, 66). Importantly, during endocrine resistance, converging growth factor signaling on the PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways bypass the need for ER α activity (67–69). Surprisingly, however, use of anti-IGF1R therapy in the setting of endocrine resistance does not improve prognosis (70).

Consistent with its ERα-dependent regulation, IGF1R levels are reduced in many tamoxifen- and aromatase inhibitorresistant cell and mouse tumor models (71–73) as well as patient tumors (74). However, the remaining IGF1R is strongly phosphorylated with hyperactive IGF1R/InsR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling beyond pre-resistance levels, suggesting that the cells/tumors acquire resistance through an IGF1R-directed mechanism even in cases of reduced IGF1R levels (69, 73). Furthermore, the Yee lab has shown that while IGF1R is reduced in tamoxifen resistant breast cancer cells, InsR is still expressed and able to signal via insulin to promote growth (75).

Recently, the G protein estrogen receptor 1 (CPER/GPR30) has been identified as a potential mediator of rapid estrogens response. Increased GPER expression is associated with increased risk of metastasis and poor survival (76). Both IGF1 and insulin upregulate GPER expression through the c-Fos/AP1 pathway. IGF1 and insulin transactivate GPER to promote migration and proliferation (77, 78). ER α is required for IGF1-induced transactivation of GPER (77). Interestingly, GPER expression increases in tumors treated with tamoxifen (79) and correlates with a poorer prognosis specifically in tamoxifen treated patients (79–82). These results suggest that GPER may be a potential pathway for IGF1- and insulin-induced tamoxifen resistance.

Given basic signaling mechanisms linking the IGF and ER α pathways, combined targeted therapy has been suggested as a potential unique therapeutic strategy in breast cancer. For this reason, and following on from several preclinical studies, several trials targeting IGF1R in luminal breast cancer were performed. Unfortunately, anti-IGF1R therapy provided little benefit in this setting. It should be noted, however, that therapy was given to all patients independent of whether the IGF pathway was present or active (e.g., in the absence of biomarkers). Several *in vitro* studies suggest that biomarker selection is critical for identifying the tumors that will respond to IGF1R inhibitors (70, 83).

A major hurdle to anti-IGF1R therapy is the intricate network of feedback that occurs in this and related pathways. For example, the PI3K/Akt/mTOR/S6K pathway exerts a major negative feedback upon IGF1R/IRSs and when any part of this pathway is inhibited there is a concomitant increase in IGF1R activity. This was noted in Phase I trials of an mTOR inhibitor and validated in many preclinical studies (84). For example, inhibition of AKT in long-term estrogen deprived cell lines results in positive feedback that upregulates several upstream growth factor proteins through FoxO and ER α -regulated transcription, including IGF1R and IGF ligands (85). Combined inhibition of IGF1R/IR along with AKT inhibition and ER deprivation enhances the anti-tumor effect in vivo (85). The ability of the pathway to autoregulate and compensate for ER downregulation appears to be the cause for endocrine therapeutic resistance. The only way to impede resistance may be through inhibition of the overarching converging system, targeting multiple intercrossing growth signaling pathways to limit compensation on as many levels as possible.

ERBB2-Like

ERBB2 (HER2)-like tumors comprise ~15% of breast cancers and are typically hormone receptor negative with a 40% probability of p53 mutation (56). The ERBB2-like subtype shows poorer prognosis than luminal tumors, with early age of onset, higher tumor grade, and lymph node positivity. Patients with ERBB2-like tumors tend to have early recurrence and a poorer prognosis.

Accumulating evidence indicates crosstalk between ERBB2 and IGF signaling in breast tumorigenesis. About 10–20% of ERBB2⁺ tumors express IGF1R protein (62, 63). Active phosphorylated IGF1R/IR is found in 49% (86) and 64% (52) of ERBB2⁺ tumors. Brown et al. found phosphorylated IGF1R/IR does not correlate with prognosis in trastuzumab-treated ERBB2⁺ tumors (86). However, Yerushalmi et al. observed that ERBB2⁺ tumors expressing higher total IGF1R protein levels have decreased breast cancer specific survival compared to the lower IGF1R-expressing ERBB2⁺ counterparts (62). In this study, these ERBB2-enriched tumors are the only subtype presenting a low patient prognosis in correlation with IGF1R expression (62).

The risk of recurrence is higher for ERBB2 positive breast cancers than for ERBB2 negative breast cancers. This anti-ERBB2 therapy resistance is often due to activation of alternative growth factor receptor pathways. ERBB2-postive tumors expressing strong IGF1R membrane staining are less likely to respond to trastuzumab and vinorelbine than those with negative or low IGF1R protein expression (87). Other studies do not indicate a correlation between IGF1R protein expression and trastuzumab response (86, 88, 89) unless IGF1R expression is combined expression of downstream IGF1R signaling effectors, such as PI3K or mTOR (88).

Unlike clinical data, in vitro breast cancer cell line data suggest a strong correlation between increased IGF1R activity and trastuzumab-resistance (90-93). Interestingly, miRNAs, which typically inhibit IGF1R, show decreased expression upon trastuzumab-resistance (93, 94) and providing one possible mechanism of IGF1R upregulation. In trastuzumab-resistant cell line models, IGF1R forms a complex with ERBB2, and even a triplex with ERBB3 (95-97). This heterodimer/trimer promotes crosstalk between the growth receptor pathways. For example, IGF1induced IGF1R phosphorylation leads to ligand-independent phosphorylation of ERBB2, which circumvents trastuzumab antibody inhibition and leads to an ERBB2-based mechanism of resistance (95, 98). In trastuzumab-resistant cells, IGF1R-promoted ERBB2 phosphorylation and IGF1R-induced invasion are mediated by Src and FoxM1 (98). Co-targeting ERBB2 and IGF1R reduces Erk/AKT activation, cell proliferation, in vitro invasion, and xenograft tumor growth to a greater extent than targeting either receptor individually (98, 99). Interestingly, treating trastuzumab-resistant cells with metformin re-sensitizes cells by disrupting the ERBB/IGF1R complexes (97), again strongly suggesting that a combined therapy would hold promise for patients with ERBB2⁺ breast tumors.

Triple Negative Breast Cancer

The triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) subtype accounts for up to 10–20% of breast cancers. Approximately 75% of TNBCs have a basal-like phenotype (56). Most BRCA-mutant tumors fall into this subtype (100). TNBCs are defined by the absence of ER, PR, and ERBB2. Driver mutations and subsequent targeted therapies are currently unknown. These tumors tend to be high grade and poorly differentiated with high rates of recurrence and poor prognosis. Intriguingly, TNBCs respond well to neoadjuvant chemotherapy with high rates of pathological complete response as compared to response in other subtypes. However, TNBCs still trend toward a poorer prognosis with increased rates of recurrence. The disproportion between response and outcome suggests neoadjuvant therapies are not capable of abolishing the driving tumorigenic cell types, underlining the need for identifiable and targetable driver mutations in TNBC.

Women of African descent are three times more likely to have TNBC: 30% of breast cancers diagnosed in African-American women are TN as compared to 11-13% of non-African-American women (101-103). Interestingly, African-American women have higher IGF1R expression in normal breast tissue while Caucasian-Americans have higher levels of IGF2R (104). This differential IGF1R/IGF2R expression may explain the increased occurrence of the more aggressive TNBC subtype in African-American women. Although IGF1R levels are similar between normal and malignant African-American breast tissues, phosphorylation of IGF1R and its downstream effectors are significantly higher in the malignant samples (104). Consequently, IGF1 signaling and proliferation (detected by gene expression profiling) are higher in TNBCs from African-American women compared to European-American (51). These studies underscore the significance of IGF1R in TNBC.

About 22–46% of TNBCs express IGF1R protein (52, 62, 63) and this expression correlates with shorter survival (105). The IGF1 gene signature correlates with expression signatures of TNBC tumors and cell lines (106) where both sample types are responsive to IGF1 signaling, promoting proliferation, and cell survival (107).

Laboratory studies analyzing anti-IGF1R therapeutic response typically demonstrate a favorable response to TNBC therapies. We demonstrated that TNBC cell lines and a primary tumor xenograft are sensitive to the anti-IGF-IR/InsR tyrosine kinase inhibitor BMS-754807 (106). Surprisingly, expression of a dominantnegative IGF1R during MMTV-Wnt1-mediated tumorigenesis accelerates mammary tumor formation and promotes aggressiveness (108). Interestingly, these tumors possess IGF2 signaling as well as a suggested role for InsR signaling. Additional studies demonstrate that IGF1R inhibition does not abrogate IGFinduced phenotypes in the presence of increased IGF2/IGF2R signaling (109). In TCGA patient data, IGF2R expression is significantly higher in basal-like tumors as compared to luminal tumors (Figure 2) (p value <0.001, t-test). Taken together, these studies suggest that IGF1R inhibition may be beneficial in some triple negative breast cancers but that the benefit will be very context-dependent.

Recently, the G protein estrogen receptor 1 (GPER/GPR30) has been identified as a potential growth regulator of TNBCs (110, 111). GPER is believed to mediate rapid estrogen response independently of ER; and thus, can drive estrogen-responsive growth even in ER-negative cells. As mentioned above, IGF1 signaling induces GPER expression and GPER promotes IGF1-induced migration and proliferation (77, 78). More work need to be completed in this area to determine if GPER could be a potential biomarker for anti-IGF1R-responsive TNBCs.

Most BRCA1 tumors phenocopy TNBC (100). In line with BRCA1-mediated repression of the IGF1R promoter (112, 113), BRCA1-mutant tumors show elevated IGF1R and IGF1 levels, leading to reduced apoptosis, and enhanced survival (113–115). Importantly, inhibition of the IGF1R/PI3K/AKT pathway decreases proliferation in BRCA1-deficient cells (116). These studies suggest IGF1R signaling significantly contributes to tumor cell proliferation and survival in BRCA1-deficient breast cancers.

The Influence of IGF1R on Cell Potential and Cell Fate

IGF1R Signaling and Stemness

The IGF system regulates stem cell maintenance in normal tissue processes. In human embryonic stem cells, the stem cell niche produces IGF2, which is required for survival and expansion (117). In neural stem cells, IGF2 is believed to bind and act through the InsR-A rather than IGF1R (118). Conversely, the human embryonic niche relies on the IGF2/IGF1R axis for self renewal and stem cell expansion (117), suggesting the necessity of IGF1R-promoted signaling in maintaining the stem cell population. In the hematopoietic and muscular system, expression of a skeleton muscle-localized IGF1 transgene enhances skeletal muscle regeneration in irradiated mice in part by recruiting proliferating bone marrow-derived cells, increasing stem cell marker populations, and accelerating myogenic differentiation (119, 120). Additionally in the hematopoietic system, IGF1R levels in newborn umbilical cord blood correlates positively to the total number of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (121). These studies demonstrate a role for IGF1R in regulation of stem and progenitor cell populations.

A recent review published by Roberta Malaguarnera and Antonio Belfiore summarized in great detail the known pathways and links between the IGF1R pathway and the epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT) and stem cell-related processes across several tissue types, both normal and cancerous (122). EMT is a naturally occurring process for remodeling of tissues and wound healing where polarized epithelial cells lose adherence and gain mesenchymal characteristics, including enhanced mobility and matrix invasion. Tumors often undergo EMT in an out-ofcontext manner. Interestingly, cells undergoing EMT also acquire stem cell-associated characteristics such as the capacity for selfrenewal, gain of specific gene expression changes and cell surface markers, and ability to initiate tumorigenesis (123). The gain of these stem cell-associated properties suggests an overlap between EMT and stem cell mechanisms. In cancerous tissues, these overlapping mechanisms, now activated out-of-context, may play key roles in metastatic spread and resistance to cancer treatments.

Insulin-like growth factor 1 signaling is an integral part of both the EMT and stem cell-related processes in normal and cancerous tissues. Numerous in vitro studies demonstrate IGF1R as a driver of self renewal, stem cell surface markers, migration, and invasion in both normal and cancerous tissues and tumor initiation in hepatic, lung, prostate, and breast cancers (122). Each of these studies has begun to reveal the mechanisms of IGF1Rregulated EMT and stem phenotypes. Stem-promoting signaling pathways such as Wnt/B-catenin (124-127), Notch (128-130), and Shh (131, 132) act upstream to increase IGF1R expression with cross talk and regulation at the IGF1R promoter level by Sp1 and HMGA1 (133–136). In addition to upstream regulation by these master stem cell master controllers, IGF1R promotes positive downstream feedback through regulation and interaction with the well known EMT and stemness-linked transcription factors Zeb1 (137), NFkB (138), Snail (138), Twist (139), and p53, Sox2, Oct4, Nanog (140–142). Additionally, the tumor suppressor p53, known for inhibition of many stem cell regulators, inhibits

IGF1R (143), which in turn act to downregulate p53. Interaction with these numerous stem-related pathways and factors strongly supports the central role of IGF1R in both the induction and the maintenance of stemness and EMT.

This role for IGF1R in promotion of stem-like characteristics has been specifically demonstrated in primary breast cancer. In human primary breast cancer xenografts, total and phosphorylated IGF1R expression is significantly higher in the CD44⁺CD24⁻ sorted breast cancer stem cell population compared to the non-CD44⁺CD24⁻ population (144). IGF1R expression and upregulated AKT activity are required for maintenance of this population. IGF1R inhibition reduces the aldehyde dehydrogenase⁺ stem-like population and suppresses mammosphere-forming capacity. Notably, silencing of IGF1R reduces tumor initiating ability of the xenografts. (144). Together this data demonstrate an active role for IGF1R in driving mammary stem-like phenotypes *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

IGF1R and Lineage Fate

In addition to stem cell maintenance, two new studies suggest a link between IGF1R and cell lineage fate. In thyroid tissues, cell lineage differentiation is associated with IGF pathway activation (145). A similar trend is observed in neural cells. The lineage restricted neural progenitors primarily express IGF1R while the neural stems cells appear to rely more heavily on IGF2 and IR-A signaling (118). These studies suggest that IGF1R may be responsible for promoting cell fate, or at the very least, be restricted to maintaining differentiated cells of a specific lineage.

Morphogenesis and homeostasis of the mammary gland relies on stem cell function for the production and maintenance of the myoepithelial and luminal lineages. The TEBs contain the mammary gland stem cell niche and is where lineage differentiation and ductal morphogenesis occurs. IGF1 signaling promotes the development of the TEB. TEB formation and ductal outgrowth are grossly impaired in $IGF1^{-/-}$ mice (26), signifying that IGF1 signaling is significant for development and/or maintenance of the mammary stem cell niche. While IGF1R-null mice die postnatally before mammary gland development, mammary gland transplantation of embryonic IGF1R-null mammary buds shows reduced ductal growth similar to IGF1-null mice (28). The IGF pathway is also important in pregnancy and lactation where luminal differentiation is vital. During early pregnancy, alveolar differentiation is reduced in heterozygous IGF1 mice (146). This same lack of alveolar budding and decreased alveolar density is observed in transgenic mice containing a pregnancy-induced kinase-dead IGF1R (147). Thus, the IGF pathway seems to have a role not only in mammary gland stem cell maintenance but also in lineage specification throughout the many stages of mammary gland development.

IGF1R and Breast Cancer Lineages

Breast tumors show both intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity, suggesting distinct tumor initiation and progression pathways for each tumor type. Breast tumor heterogeneity likely results from a combination of both clonal outgrowth and aberrant differentiation of progeny. Defining the breast cancer cell of origin is a topic of major interest. Based on the cell of origin hypothesis, the heterogeneity of the tumor is restricted by a strictly linear differentiating breast cell hierarchy. Basically, the characteristics and differentiation potential of the tumor cells are restricted to the characteristics and differentiation potential of the cell of origin, which, in this case, is typically considered as a stem or progenitor cell. A variation on this cell of origin concept is that the tumor phenotype and potential is at least partially, if not primarily, determined by the genetic alterations acquired and not solely on the mammary lineage of origin. This variation suggests that the gained alterations activate or deactivate pathways out of context to alter a cell's capabilities for differentiation and cell fate. Whether the heterogeneity is determined by the cell of origin or the genetic alterations or a mixture of explanations, the underlying importance is in understanding the potential and fate of the individual tumor cells.

Type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor's role in mammary stem cell maintenance and necessity for lineage differentiation suggests that aberrantly expressed IGF1R may be capable of enhancing cell potential and altering cell fate in a tumor, perhaps even in tumors composed of fully differentiated cells. As discussed above, IGF1R expression is essential for driving luminal alveolar differentiation, linking IGF1R to the luminal lineage. In breast cancer, IGF1R expression correlates most strongly with luminal breast cancers (60, 61). This expression may be a result of ER-driven growth through IGF1R rather than a causative link between IGF1R and the luminal lineage; nevertheless, the presence of IGF1R may still affect cell signaling and perhaps cell lineage. In addition to luminal tumors, IGF1R actively promotes tumor growth and survival in p53 and BRCA1-mutant tumors, which usually emulate the basal-like subtype (113-115). Although basal-like breast cancers are defined by basal and myoepithelial marker expression (148), they present with a luminal progenitor gene signature (148-152). In support of this luminal link, recent studies suggest BRCA1-associated basal-like tumors derive from a luminal progenitor cell of origin rather than a basally positioned cell (150, 153). Elevated IGF1R expression and signaling in these basal-like tumors appear to have active roles in tumor promotion. Thus, as IGF1R is associated with cell lineage fate, IGF1R signaling may be influencing the gain and loss of lineage markers and phenotypes in these tumors. Taken all together, these data suggest that IGF1R may be connected with driving lineage fate, particularly luminal-associated fate.

Therapeutic Targeting of IGF1R as an Anti-Cancer Therapy

Based upon extensive basic, preclinical, and clinical evidence, a range of anti-IGF1R therapeutic strategies have been developed, including humanized monoclonal antibodies, which prevent ligand binding and small-molecule inhibitors that inhibit the tyrosine kinase domain. Early clinical data from anti-IGF1R trials were very promising. In a Phase I trial of the monoclonal antibody, AMG 479, a patient with chemo-refractory Ewing sarcoma had complete remission (154). In a Phase II trial, 14% of 125 patients with recurrent or refractory sarcoma responded to the monoclonal IGF1R antibody, R1507 (155, 156). In both of these trials, therapy was well tolerated. In contrast, two large NSCLC Phase III trials of figitumumab in combination with either carboplatin and paclitaxel or Tarceva, respectively, were terminated due to lack of response and severe toxicities (157, 158).

The lack of response in anti-IGF1R trials has been a large concern. The failure may be a consequence of trial design and not the efficacy of the IGF1R inhibitors themselves (159). In the Phase III trials, patients were not screened for IGF1R expression, limiting the percent of patients that even had a chance to respond to the therapy. Additionally, monoclonal antibody inhibition is specific to IGF1R and does not inhibit the InsR, which can also stimulate tumorigenesis. InsR signaling increases upon IGF1R inhibition, suggesting pathway compensation (160–163). As touched on throughout the above review, there is also substantial crosstalk between many of the growth factor signaling pathways, including IGFR, EGFR, ErbB2, and ER α . A combinatory therapy approach may be needed for efficient suppression without compensation or resistance.

Before continuing anti-IGF1R therapies, it is necessary to define additional IGF1R-based biomarkers to more accurately predict anti-tumor response and identify responsive tumors. A better understanding of the important components of the IGF1R signaling pathway and the instances where crosstalk and compensation can occur is required. Only then can we pinpoint the cancer subtypes that will benefit from IGF1R therapeutics, alone or in combination with other inhibitors.

References

- Thissen JP, Ketelslegers JM, Underwood LE. Nutritional regulation of the insulin-like growth factors. *Endocr Rev* (1994) 15:80–101. doi:10.1210/ edrv-15-1-80
- Tatar M, Bartke A, Antebi A. The endocrine regulation of aging by insulin-like signals. *Science* (2003) 299:1346–51. doi:10.1126/science.1081447
- Ellis MJ, Jenkins S, Hanfelt J, Redington ME, Taylor M, Leek R, et al. Insulinlike growth factors in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (1998) 52:175–84. doi:10.1023/A:1006127621512
- Furstenberger G, Senn HJ. Insulin-like growth factors and cancer. Lancet Oncol (2002) 3:298–302. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(02)00731-3
- Munshi S, Hall DL, Kornienko M, Darke PL, Kuo LC. Structure of apo, unactivated insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor kinase at 1.5 A resolution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr (2003) 59:1725–30. doi:10.1107/ S0907444903015415
- Chitnis MM, Yuen JS, Protheroe AS, Pollak M, Macaulay VM. The type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor pathway. *Clin Cancer Res* (2008) 14:6364–70. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4879
- Danielsen A, Larsen E, Gammeltoft S. Chromaffin cells express two types of insulin-like growth factor receptors. *Brain Res* (1990) 518:95–100. doi:10. 1016/0006-8993(90)90958-E
- Moxham CP, Duronio V, Jacobs S. Insulin-like growth factor I receptor betasubunit heterogeneity. Evidence for hybrid tetramers composed of insulinlike growth factor I and insulin receptor heterodimers. *J Biol Chem* (1989) 264:13238–44.
- Soos MA, Siddle K. Immunological relationships between receptors for insulin and insulin-like growth factor I. Evidence for structural heterogeneity of insulin-like growth factor I receptors involving hybrids with insulin receptors. *Biochem J* (1989) 263:553–63.
- Treadway JL, Morrison BD, Goldfine ID, Pessin JE. Assembly of insulin/insulin-like growth factor-1 hybrid receptors in vitro. J Biol Chem (1989) 264:21450–3.

Overview

Type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor plays a key role in cancer promotion, resistance, and recurrence across breast cancer subtypes. Understanding the role of IGF1R in individual subtypes is critical to better targeting. The current research highlights IGF1R as necessary for stem cell maintenance and expansion. In turn, additional studies demonstrate the need and expression of IGF1R in more differentiated populations. Taken together, these studies suggest that IGF1R may not only be driving stem cell characteristics, leading to increased therapy resistance, but also that altered IGF1R signaling in tumor cells may influence expression of lineage-linked traits and direct lineage potential and fate, contributing to the heterogeneity of tumors.

Acknowledgments

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the University of Pittsburgh. This work was supported in part by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01CA94118 (AL), a postdoctoral fellowship from the Department of Defense W81XWH-14-1-0063 (SF), and a postdoctoral fellowship from Susan G. Komen for the Cure (DB). AL is a recipient of a Scientific Advisory Council award from Susan G. Komen for the Cure, and a Hillman Foundation Fellow. The authors acknowledge support from the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute and UPMC.

- Pandini G, Vigneri R, Costantino A, Frasca F, Ippolito A, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, et al. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) receptor overexpression in breast cancers leads to insulin/IGF-I hybrid receptor overexpression: evidence for a second mechanism of IGF-I signaling. *Clin Cancer Res* (1999) 5:1935–44.
- Pandini G, Frasca F, Mineo R, Sciacca L, Vigneri R, Belfiore A. Insulin/insulinlike growth factor I hybrid receptors have different biological characteristics depending on the insulin receptor isoform involved. J Biol Chem (2002) 277:39684–95. doi:10.1074/jbc.M202766200
- Boone DN, Lee AV. Targeting the insulin-like growth factor receptor: developing biomarkers from gene expression profiling. *Crit Rev Oncog* (2012) 17:161–73. doi:10.1615/CritRevOncog.v17.i2.30
- Myers MG Jr, Sun XJ, Cheatham B, Jachna BR, Glasheen EM, Backer JM, et al. IRS-1 is a common element in insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I signaling to the phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase. *Endocrinology* (1993) 132:1421–30. doi:10.1210/endo.132.4.8384986
- Dearth RK, Cui X, Kim HJ, Kuiatse I, Lawrence NA, Zhang X, et al. Mammary tumorigenesis and metastasis caused by overexpression of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1) or IRS-2. *Mol Cell Biol* (2006) 26:9302–14. doi:10.1128/ MCB.00260-06
- Shelton JG, Steelman LS, White ER, McCubrey JA. Synergy between PI3K/Akt and Raf/MEK/ERK pathways in IGF-1R mediated cell cycle progression and prevention of apoptosis in hematopoietic cells. *Cell Cycle* (2004) 3:372–9. doi:10.4161/cc.3.2.624
- Peruzzi F, Prisco M, Morrione A, Valentinis B, Baserga R. Anti-apoptotic signaling of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor through mitochondrial translocation of c-Raf and Nedd4. *J Biol Chem* (2001) 276:25990–6. doi:10. 1074/jbc.M103188200
- Buchwalter G, Gross C, Wasylyk B. Ets ternary complex transcription factors. Gene (2004) 324:1–14. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2003.09.028
- Mittelstadt PR, Salvador JM, Fornace AJ Jr, Ashwell JD. Activating p38 MAPK: new tricks for an old kinase. *Cell Cycle* (2005) 4:1189–92. doi:10.4161/cc.4.9. 2043

- Sunami T, Byrne N, Diehl RE, Funabashi K, Hall DL, Ikuta M, et al. Structural basis of human p70 ribosomal S6 kinase-1 regulation by activation loop phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* (2010) 285:4587–94. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109. 040667
- Mahmoudabady M, Mathieu M, Touihri K, Hadad I, Da Costa AM, Naeije R, et al. Cardiac insulin-like growth factor-1 and cyclins gene expression in canine models of ischemic or overpacing cardiomyopathy. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* (2009) **9**:49. doi:10.1186/1471-2261-9-49
- 22. Tognon CE, Sorensen PH. Targeting the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) signaling pathway for cancer therapy. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* (2012) **16**:33–48. doi:10.1517/14728222.2011.638626
- Kleinberg DL, Ruan W, Catanese V, Newman CB, Feldman M. Non-lactogenic effects of growth hormone on growth and insulin-like growth factor-I messenger ribonucleic acid of rat mammary gland. *Endocrinology* (1990) 126:3274–6. doi:10.1210/endo-126-6-3274
- Ruan W, Catanese V, Wieczorek R, Feldman M, Kleinberg DL. Estradiol enhances the stimulatory effect of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) on mammary development and growth hormone-induced IGF-I messenger ribonucleic acid. *Endocrinology* (1995) 136:1296–302. doi:10.1210/endo.136. 3.7867584
- Walden PD, Ruan W, Feldman M, Kleinberg DL. Evidence that the mammary fat pad mediates the action of growth hormone in mammary gland development. *Endocrinology* (1998) 139:659–62. doi:10.1210/endo.139. 2.5718
- Ruan W, Kleinberg DL. Insulin-like growth factor I is essential for terminal end bud formation and ductal morphogenesis during mammary development. *Endocrinology* (1999) 140:5075–81. doi:10.1210/endo.140.11.7095
- Richards RG, Klotz DM, Walker MP, Diaugustine RP. Mammary gland branching morphogenesis is diminished in mice with a deficiency of insulinlike growth factor-I (IGF-I), but not in mice with a liver-specific deletion of IGF-I. *Endocrinology* (2004) 145:3106–10. doi:10.1210/en.2003-1112
- Bonnette SG, Hadsell DL. Targeted disruption of the IGF-I receptor gene decreases cellular proliferation in mammary terminal end buds. *Endocrinology* (2001) 142:4937–45. doi:10.1210/endo.142.11.8500
- Hadsell DL, Bonnette SG, Lee AV. Genetic manipulation of the IGF-I axis to regulate mammary gland development and function. *J Dairy Sci* (2002) 85:365–77. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74083-6
- Lee AV, Zhang P, Ivanova M, Bonnette S, Oesterreich S, Rosen JM, et al. Developmental and hormonal signals dramatically alter the localization and abundance of insulin receptor substrate proteins in the mammary gland. *Endocrinology* (2003) 144:2683–94. doi:10.1210/en.2002-221103
- Stull MA, Rowzee AM, Loladze AV, Wood TL. Growth factor regulation of cell cycle progression in mammary epithelial cells. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia (2004) 9:15–26. doi:10.1023/B:JOMG.0000023585.95430.f4
- Kleinberg DL, Ruan W. IGF-I, GH, and sex steroid effects in normal mammary gland development. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia (2008) 13:353–60. doi:10.1007/s10911-008-9103-7
- Scott J, Cowell J, Robertson ME, Priestley LM, Wadey R, Hopkins B, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-II gene expression in Wilms' tumour and embryonic tissues. *Nature* (1985) 317:260–2. doi:10.1038/317260a0
- Wu HK, Squire JA, Catzavelos CG, Weksberg R. Relaxation of imprinting of human insulin-like growth factor II gene, IGF2, in sporadic breast carcinomas. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1997) 235:123–9. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.6744
- Chan JM, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, Gann PH, Ma J, Wilkinson P, et al. Plasma insulin-like growth factor-I and prostate cancer risk: a prospective study. *Science* (1998) 279:563–6. doi:10.1126/science.279.5350.563
- Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Michaud DS, Deroo B, et al. Circulating concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-I and risk of breast cancer. *Lancet* (1998) 351:1393–6. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(97)10384-1
- 37. Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Minder C, O'Dwyer ST, Shalet SM, Egger M. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Lancet* (2004) 363:1346–53. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16044-3
- Shi R, Yu H, McLarty J, Glass J. IGF-I and breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer (2004) 111:418–23. doi:10.1002/ijc.20233
- Sugumar A, Liu YC, Xia Q, Koh YS, Matsuo K. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding protein 3 and the risk of premenopausal breast cancer: a meta-analysis of literature. *Int J Cancer* (2004) 111:293–7. doi:10. 1002/ijc.20253

- Yu H, Rohan T. Role of the insulin-like growth factor family in cancer development and progression. J Natl Cancer Inst (2000) 92:1472–89. doi:10.1093/ jnci/92.18.1472
- Gallagher EJ, LeRoith D. Minireview: IGF, insulin, and cancer. *Endocrinology* (2011) 152:2546–51. doi:10.1210/en.2011-0231
- TCGA. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumors. *Nature* (2012) 490:61–70. doi:10.1038/nature11412
- Yu H, Li BD, Smith M, Shi R, Berkel HJ, Kato I. Polymorphic CA repeats in the IGF-I gene and breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2001) 70:117–22. doi:10.1023/A:1012947027213
- 44. Wagner K, Hemminki K, Israelsson E, Grzybowska E, Soderberg M, Pamula J, et al. Polymorphisms in the IGF-1 and IGFBP 3 promoter and the risk of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2005) **92**:133–40. doi:10.1007/s10549-005-2417-x
- 45. Deming SL, Ren Z, Wen W, Shu XO, Cai Q, Gao YT, et al. Genetic variation in IGF1, IGF-1R, IGFALS, and IGFBP3 in breast cancer survival among Chinese women: a report from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2007) 104:309–19. doi:10.1007/s10549-006-9420-8
- 46. Tsuchiya N, Wang L, Horikawa Y, Inoue T, Kakinuma H, Matsuura S, et al. CA repeat polymorphism in the insulin-like growth factor-I gene is associated with increased risk of prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Int J Oncol* (2005) 26:225–31. doi:10.3892/ijo.26.1.225
- Cheng I, Stram DO, Penney KL, Pike M, Le Marchand L, Kolonel LN, et al. Common genetic variation in IGF1 and prostate cancer risk in the Multiethnic Cohort. J Natl Cancer Inst (2006) 98:123–34. doi:10.1093/jnci/djj013
- Habuchi T. Common genetic polymorphisms and prognosis of sporadic cancers: prostate cancer as a model. *Future Oncol* (2006) 2:233–45. doi:10.2217/14796694.2.2.233
- Moon JW, Chang YS, Ahn CW, Yoo KN, Shin JH, Kong JH, et al. Promoter -202 A/C polymorphism of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 gene and non-small cell lung cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* (2006) 118:353–6. doi:10. 1002/ijc.21339
- Dong X, Javle M, Hess KR, Shroff R, Abbruzzese JL, Li D. Insulin-like growth factor axis gene polymorphisms and clinical outcomes in pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* (2010) 139:464–73.e1–3. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2010.04.042
- 51. Nielsen TO, Andrews HN, Cheang M, Kucab JE, Hsu FD, Ragaz J, et al. Expression of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor and urokinase plasminogen activator in breast cancer is associated with poor survival: potential for intervention with 17-allylamino geldanamycin. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64:286–91. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-1242
- Law JH, Habibi G, Hu K, Masoudi H, Wang MY, Stratford AL, et al. Phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor-i/insulin receptor is present in all breast cancer subtypes and is related to poor survival. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68:10238–46. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2755
- Creighton CJ, Casa A, Lazard Z, Huang S, Tsimelzon A, Hilsenbeck SG, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I activates gene transcription programs strongly associated with poor breast cancer prognosis. J Clin Oncol (2008) 26:4078–85. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.13.4429
- 54. Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2001) **98**:10869–74. doi:10. 1073/pnas.191367098
- Kittaneh M, Montero AJ, Gluck S. Molecular profiling for breast cancer: a comprehensive review. *Biomark Cancer* (2013) 5:61–70. doi:10.4137/BIC. S9455
- Eroles P, Bosch A, Perez-Fidalgo JA, Lluch A. Molecular biology in breast cancer: intrinsic subtypes and signaling pathways. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2012) 38:698–707. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2011.11.005
- 57. Lee AV, Jackson JG, Gooch JL, Hilsenbeck SG, Coronado-Heinsohn E, Osborne CK, et al. Enhancement of insulin-like growth factor signaling in human breast cancer: estrogen regulation of insulin receptor substrate-1 expression in vitro and in vivo. *Mol Endocrinol* (1999) 13:787–96. doi:10.1210/ mend.13.5.0274
- 58. Salerno M, Sisci D, Mauro L, Guvakova MA, Ando S, Surmacz E. Insulin receptor substrate 1 is a target for the pure antiestrogen ICI 182,780 in breast cancer cells. *Int J Cancer* (1999) 81:299–304. doi:10.1002/(SICI) 1097-0215(19990412)81:2<299::AID-IJC21>3.3.CO;2-#
- 59. Maor S, Mayer D, Yarden RI, Lee AV, Sarfstein R, Werner H, et al. Estrogen receptor regulates insulin-like growth factor-I receptor gene expression in

breast tumor cells: involvement of transcription factor Sp1. *J Endocrinol* (2006) **191**:605–12. doi:10.1677/joe.1.07016

- Bhargava R, Beriwal S, McManus K, Dabbs DJ. Insulin-like growth factor receptor-1 (IGF-1R) expression in normal breast, proliferative breast lesions, and breast carcinoma. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* (2011) 19:218–25. doi:10.1097/PAI.0b013e3181ffc58c
- 61. Kolacinska A, Chalubinska J, Zawlik I, Szymanska B, Borowska-Garganisz E, Nowik M, et al. Apoptosis-, proliferation, immune function-, and drug resistance-related genes in ER positive, HER2 positive and triple negative breast cancer. *Neoplasma* (2012) 59:424–32. doi:10.4149/neo_2012_055
- Yerushalmi R, Gelmon KA, Leung S, Gao D, Cheang M, Pollak M, et al. Insulinlike growth factor receptor (IGF-1R) in breast cancer subtypes. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) 132:131–42. doi:10.1007/s10549-011-1529-8
- 63. Shin SJ, Gong G, Lee HJ, Kang J, Bae YK, Lee A, et al. Positive expression of insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor is associated with a positive hormone receptor status and a favorable prognosis in breast cancer. J Breast Cancer (2014) 17:113–20. doi:10.4048/jbc.2014.17.2.113
- 64. Hawsawi Y, El-Gendy R, Twelves C, Speirs V, Beattie J. Insulin-like growth factor oestradiol crosstalk and mammary gland tumourigenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2013) **1836**:345–53. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2013.10.005
- Becker MA, Ibrahim YH, Cui X, Lee AV, Yee D. The IGF pathway regulates ERalpha through a S6K1-dependent mechanism in breast cancer cells. *Mol Endocrinol* (2011) 25:516–28. doi:10.1210/me.2010-0373
- 66. Aaltonen KE, Rosendahl AH, Olsson H, Malmstrom P, Hartman L, Ferno M. Association between insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) negativity and poor prognosis in a cohort of women with primary breast cancer. BMC Cancer (2014) 14:794. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-14-794
- 67. Zhang Y, Moerkens M, Ramaiahgari S, De Bont H, Price L, Meerman J, et al. Elevated insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor signaling induces antiestrogen resistance through the MAPK/ERK and PI3K/Akt signaling routes. *Breast Cancer Res* (2011) 13:R52. doi:10.1186/bcr2883
- Baxi SM, Tan W, Murphy ST, Smeal T, Yin MJ. Targeting 3-phosphoinosidedependent kinase-1 to inhibit insulin-like growth factor-I induced AKT and p70 S6 kinase activation in breast cancer cells. *PLoS One* (2012) 7:e48402. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048402
- Miller TW. Endocrine resistance: what do we know? Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book (2013). doi:10.1200/EdBook_AM.2013.33.e37
- Robertson JF, Ferrero JM, Bourgeois H, Kennecke H, De Boer RH, Jacot W, et al. Ganitumab with either exemestane or fulvestrant for post-menopausal women with advanced, hormone-receptor-positive breast cancer: a randomised, controlled, double-blind, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2013) 14:228–35. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70026-3
- Brockdorff BL, Heiberg I, Lykkesfeldt AE. Resistance to different antiestrogens is caused by different multi-factorial changes and is associated with reduced expression of IGF receptor Ialpha. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2003) 10:579–90. doi:10.1677/erc.0.0100579
- Knowlden JM, Hutcheson IR, Barrow D, Gee JM, Nicholson RI. Insulinlike growth factor-I receptor signaling in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer: a supporting role to the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Endocrinology* (2005) 146:4609–18. doi:10.1210/en.2005-0247
- 73. Massarweh S, Osborne CK, Creighton CJ, Qin L, Tsimelzon A, Huang S, et al. Tamoxifen resistance in breast tumors is driven by growth factor receptor signaling with repression of classic estrogen receptor genomic function. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68:826–33. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2707
- 74. Arnedos M, Drury S, Afentakis M, A'Hern R, Hills M, Salter J, et al. Biomarker changes associated with the development of resistance to aromatase inhibitors (AIs) in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* (2014) 25:605–10. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt575
- Fagan DH, Uselman RR, Sachdev D, Yee D. Acquired resistance to tamoxifen is associated with loss of the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor: implications for breast cancer treatment. *Cancer Res* (2012) 72:3372–80. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-12-0684
- 76. Arias-Pulido H, Royce M, Gong Y, Joste N, Lomo L, Lee SJ, et al. GPR30 and estrogen receptor expression: new insights into hormone dependence of inflammatory breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2010) 123:51–8. doi:10. 1007/s10549-009-0631-7
- 77. De Marco P, Bartella V, Vivacqua A, Lappano R, Santolla MF, Morcavallo A, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I regulates GPER expression and function in cancer cells. *Oncogene* (2013) 32:678–88. doi:10.1038/onc.2012.97

- De Marco P, Romeo E, Vivacqua A, Malaguarnera R, Abonante S, Romeo F, et al. GPER1 is regulated by insulin in cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2014) 21:739–53. doi:10.1530/ERC-14-0245
- 79. Ignatov A, Ignatov T, Weissenborn C, Eggemann H, Bischoff J, Semczuk A, et al. G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPR30 and tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) 128:457–66. doi:10.1007/ s10549-011-1584-1
- Ignatov A, Ignatov T, Roessner A, Costa SD, Kalinski T. Role of GPR30 in the mechanisms of tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer MCF-7 cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2010) 123:87–96. doi:10.1007/s10549-009-0624-6
- Mo Z, Liu M, Yang F, Luo H, Li Z, Tu G, et al. GPR30 as an initiator of tamoxifen resistance in hormone-dependent breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* (2013) 15:R114. doi:10.1186/bcr3581
- Sjostrom M, Hartman L, Grabau D, Fornander T, Malmstrom P, Nordenskjold B, et al. Lack of G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) in the plasma membrane is associated with excellent long-term prognosis in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2014) 145:61–71. doi:10.1007/s10549-014-2936-4
- Shang Y, Mao Y, Batson J, Scales SJ, Phillips G, Lackner MR, et al. Antixenograft tumor activity of a humanized anti-insulin-like growth factor-I receptor monoclonal antibody is associated with decreased AKT activation and glucose uptake. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2008) 7:2599–608. doi:10.1158/ 1535-7163.MCT-07-2401
- O'Reilly KE, Rojo F, She QB, Solit D, Mills GB, Smith D, et al. mTOR inhibition induces upstream receptor tyrosine kinase signaling and activates Akt. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66:1500–8. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-2925
- Fox EM, Kuba MG, Miller TW, Davies BR, Arteaga CL. Autocrine IGF-I/insulin receptor axis compensates for inhibition of AKT in ER-positive breast cancer cells with resistance to estrogen deprivation. *Breast Cancer Res* (2013) 15:R55. doi:10.1186/bcr3449
- Browne BC, Eustace AJ, Kennedy S, O'Brien NA, Pedersen K, McDermott MS, et al. Evaluation of IGF1R and phosphorylated IGF1R as targets in HER2positive breast cancer cell lines and tumours. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) 136:717–27. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-2260-9
- Harris LN, You F, Schnitt SJ, Witkiewicz A, Lu X, Sgroi D, et al. Predictors of resistance to preoperative trastuzumab and vinorelbine for HER2-positive early breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2007) 13:1198–207. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1304
- Smith BL, Chin D, Maltzman W, Crosby K, Hortobagyi GN, Bacus SS. The efficacy of herceptin therapies is influenced by the expression of other erbB receptors, their ligands and the activation of downstream signalling proteins. *Br J Cancer* (2004) **91**:1190–4. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602090
- Kostler WJ, Hudelist G, Rabitsch W, Czerwenka K, Muller R, Singer CF, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) expression does not predict for resistance to trastuzumab-based treatment in patients with Her-2/neu overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol (2006) 132:9–18. doi:10.1007/s00432-005-0038-8
- Jerome L, Alami N, Belanger S, Page V, Yu Q, Paterson J, et al. Recombinant human insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 inhibits growth of human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-overexpressing breast tumors and potentiates herceptin activity in vivo. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66:7245–52. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-05-3555
- Oliveras-Ferraros C, Vazquez-Martin A, Martin-Castillo B, Perez-Martinez MC, Cufi S, Del Barco S, et al. Pathway-focused proteomic signatures in HER2overexpressing breast cancer with a basal-like phenotype: new insights into de novo resistance to trastuzumab (herceptin). *Int J Oncol* (2010) 37:669–78. doi:10.3892/ijo_00000716
- Nahta R. Deciphering the role of insulin-like growth factor-I receptor in trastuzumab resistance. *Chemother Res Pract* (2012) 2012:648965. doi:10. 1155/2012/648965
- Corcoran C, Rani S, Breslin S, Gogarty M, Ghobrial IM, Crown J, et al. miR-630 targets IGF1R to regulate response to HER-targeting drugs and overall cancer cell progression in HER2 over-expressing breast cancer. *Mol Cancer* (2014) 13:71. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-71
- 94. Ye XM, Zhu HY, Bai WD, Wang T, Wang L, Chen Y, et al. Epigenetic silencing of miR-375 induces trastuzumab resistance in HER2-positive breast cancer by targeting IGF1R. *BMC Cancer* (2014) 14:134. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2407-14-134
- 95. Nahta R, Yuan LX, Zhang B, Kobayashi R, Esteva FJ. Insulin-like growth factor-I receptor/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 heterodimerization

contributes to trastuzumab resistance of breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2005) **65**:11118–28. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3841

- 96. Huang X, Gao L, Wang S, McManaman JL, Thor AD, Yang X, et al. Heterotrimerization of the growth factor receptors erbB2, erbB3, and insulin-like growth factor-I receptor in breast cancer cells resistant to herceptin. *Cancer Res* (2010) **70**:1204–14. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3321
- Liu B, Fan Z, Edgerton SM, Yang X, Lind SE, Thor AD. Potent anti-proliferative effects of metformin on trastuzumab-resistant breast cancer cells via inhibition of erbB2/IGF-1 receptor interactions. *Cell Cycle* (2011) 10:2959–66. doi:10. 4161/cc.10.17.16359
- Sanabria-Figueroa E, Donnelly S, Foy K, Buss M, Castellino RC, Paplomata E, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor signaling increases the invasive potential of HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cells via Src-FAK and FoxM1. *Mol Pharmacol* (2015) 87(2):150–61. doi:10.1124/mol.114.095380
- Chen C, Zhang Y, Li J, Tsao SW, Zhang MY. Superior antitumor activity of a novel bispecific antibody cotargeting human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 and type I insulin-like growth factor receptor. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2014) 13:90–100. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0558
- 100. Turner NC, Reis-Filho JS, Russell AM, Springall RJ, Ryder K, Steele D, et al. BRCA1 dysfunction in sporadic basal-like breast cancer. *Oncogene* (2007) 26:2126–32. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210014
- 101. Carey LA, Perou CM, Livasy CA, Dressler LG, Cowan D, Conway K, et al. Race, breast cancer subtypes, and survival in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. *JAMA* (2006) 295:2492–502. doi:10.1001/jama.295.21.2492
- 102. Stead LA, Lash TL, Sobieraj JE, Chi DD, Westrup JL, Charlot M, et al. Triplenegative breast cancers are increased in black women regardless of age or body mass index. *Breast Cancer Res* (2009) 11:R18. doi:10.1186/bcr2242
- Boyle P. Triple-negative breast cancer: epidemiological considerations and recommendations. Ann Oncol (2012) 23(Suppl 6):vi7–12. doi:10.1093/annonc/ mds187
- 104. Kalla Singh S, Tan QW, Brito C, De Leon M, De Leon D. Insulin-like growth factors I and II receptors in the breast cancer survival disparity among African-American women. *Growth Horm IGF Res* (2010) 20:245–54. doi:10.1016/j. ghir.2010.03.001
- 105. Hartog H, Horlings HM, Van Der Vegt B, Kreike B, Ajouaou A, Van De Vijver MJ, et al. Divergent effects of insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor expression on prognosis of estrogen receptor positive versus triple negative invasive ductal breast carcinoma. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2011) **129**:725–36. doi:10.1007/s10549-010-1256-6
- 106. Litzenburger BC, Creighton CJ, Tsimelzon A, Chan BT, Hilsenbeck SG, Wang T, et al. High IGF-IR activity in triple-negative breast cancer cell lines and tumorgrafts correlates with sensitivity to anti-IGF-IR therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* (2011) 17:2314–27. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-1903
- 107. Davison Z, De Blacquiere GE, Westley BR, May FE. Insulin-like growth factordependent proliferation and survival of triple-negative breast cancer cells: implications for therapy. *Neoplasia* (2011) 13:504–15. doi:10.1593/neo.101590
- 108. Rota LM, Albanito L, Shin ME, Goyeneche CL, Shushanov S, Gallagher EJ, et al. IGF1R inhibition in mammary epithelia promotes canonical Wnt signaling and Wnt1-driven tumors. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74:5668–79. doi:10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-14-0970
- 109. Mancini M, Gariboldi MB, Taiana E, Bonzi MC, Craparotta I, Pagin M, et al. Co-targeting the IGF system and HIF-1 inhibits migration and invasion by (triple-negative) breast cancer cells. *Br J Cancer* (2014) **110**:2865–73. doi:10. 1038/bjc.2014.269
- 110. Girgert R, Emons G, Grundker C. Inactivation of GPR30 reduces growth of triple-negative breast cancer cells: possible application in targeted therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2012) **134**:199–205. doi:10.1007/ s10549-012-1968-x
- 111. Steiman J, Peralta EA, Louis S, Kamel O. Biology of the estrogen receptor, GPR30, in triple negative breast cancer. Am J Surg (2013) 206:698–703. doi:10. 1016/j.amjsurg.2013.07.014
- 112. Abramovitch S, Glaser T, Ouchi T, Werner H. BRCA1-Sp1 interactions in transcriptional regulation of the IGF-IR gene. *FEBS Lett* (2003) 541:149–54. doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(03)00315-6
- 113. Maor S, Yosepovich A, Papa MZ, Yarden RI, Mayer D, Friedman E, et al. Elevated insulin-like growth factor-I receptor (IGF-IR) levels in primary breast tumors associated with BRCA1 mutations. *Cancer Lett* (2007) 257:236–43. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2007.07.019

- 114. Abramovitch S, Werner H. Functional and physical interactions between BRCA1 and p53 in transcriptional regulation of the IGF-IR gene. *Horm Metab Res* (2003) 35:758–62. doi:10.1055/s-2004-814154
- 115. Hudelist G, Wagner T, Rosner M, Fink-Retter A, Gschwantler-Kaulich D, Czerwenka K, et al. Intratumoral IGF-I protein expression is selectively upregulated in breast cancer patients with BRCA1/2 mutations. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2007) 14:1053–62. doi:10.1677/ERC-06-0075
- 116. Kang HJ, Yi YW, Kim HJ, Hong YB, Seong YS, Bae I. BRCA1 negatively regulates IGF-1 expression through an estrogen-responsive element-like site. *Cell Death Dis* (2012) 3:e336. doi:10.1038/cddis.2012.78
- 117. Bendall SC, Stewart MH, Menendez P, George D, Vijayaragavan K, Werbowetski-Ogilvie T, et al. IGF and FGF cooperatively establish the regulatory stem cell niche of pluripotent human cells in vitro. *Nature* (2007) 448:1015–21. doi:10.1038/nature06027
- 118. Ziegler AN, Chidambaram S, Forbes BE, Wood TL, Levison SW. Insulin-like growth factor-II (IGF-II) and IGF-II analogs with enhanced insulin receptora binding affinity promote neural stem cell expansion. *J Biol Chem* (2014) 289:4626–33. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.537597
- 119. Musaro A, Giacinti C, Borsellino G, Dobrowolny G, Pelosi L, Cairns L, et al. Stem cell-mediated muscle regeneration is enhanced by local isoform of insulin-like growth factor 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2004) 101:1206–10. doi:10.1073/pnas.0303792101
- Mourkioti F, Rosenthal N. IGF-1, inflammation and stem cells: interactions during muscle regeneration. *Trends Immunol* (2005) 26:535–42. doi:10.1016/ j.it.2005.08.002
- 121. Savarese TM, Strohsnitter WC, Low HP, Liu Q, Baik I, Okulicz W, et al. Correlation of umbilical cord blood hormones and growth factors with stem cell potential: implications for the prenatal origin of breast cancer hypothesis. *Breast Cancer Res* (2007) 9:R29. doi:10.1186/bcr1674
- 122. Malaguarnera R, Belfiore A. The emerging role of insulin and insulin-like growth factor signaling in cancer stem cells. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2014) 5:10. doi:10.3389/fendo.2014.00010
- 123. Mani SA, Guo W, Liao MJ, Eaton EN, Ayyanan A, Zhou AY, et al. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells. *Cell* (2008) 133:704–15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.027
- 124. Playford MP, Bicknell D, Bodmer WF, Macaulay VM. Insulin-like growth factor 1 regulates the location, stability, and transcriptional activity of betacatenin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2000) 97:12103–8. doi:10.1073/pnas. 210394297
- 125. Desbois-Mouthon C, Cadoret A, Blivet-Van Eggelpoel MJ, Bertrand F, Cherqui G, Perret C, et al. Insulin and IGF-1 stimulate the beta-catenin pathway through two signalling cascades involving GSK-3beta inhibition and Ras activation. *Oncogene* (2001) **20**:252–9. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204064
- 126. Vanamala J, Reddivari L, Radhakrishnan S, Tarver C. Resveratrol suppresses IGF-1 induced human colon cancer cell proliferation and elevates apoptosis via suppression of IGF-1R/Wnt and activation of p53 signaling pathways. *BMC Cancer* (2010) **10**:238. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-238
- 127. Ye P, Hu Q, Liu H, Yan Y, D'Ercole AJ. Beta-catenin mediates insulin-like growth factor-I actions to promote cyclin D1 mRNA expression, cell proliferation and survival in oligodendroglial cultures. *Glia* (2010) 58:1031–41. doi:10.1002/glia.20984
- 128. Eliasz S, Liang S, Chen Y, De Marco MA, Machek O, Skucha S, et al. Notch-1 stimulates survival of lung adenocarcinoma cells during hypoxia by activating the IGF-1R pathway. *Oncogene* (2010) **29**:2488–98. doi:10.1038/onc.2010.7
- 129. Hsu HJ, Drummond-Barbosa D. Insulin signals control the competence of the Drosophila female germline stem cell niche to respond to Notch ligands. Dev Biol (2011) 350:290–300. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.11.032
- 130. Medyouf H, Gusscott S, Wang H, Tseng JC, Wai C, Nemirovsky O, et al. Highlevel IGF1R expression is required for leukemia-initiating cell activity in T-ALL and is supported by Notch signaling. *J Exp Med* (2011) 208:1809–22. doi:10.1084/jem.20110121
- 131. Rao G, Pedone CA, Del Valle L, Reiss K, Holland EC, Fults DW. Sonic hedgehog and insulin-like growth factor signaling synergize to induce medulloblastoma formation from nestin-expressing neural progenitors in mice. *Oncogene* (2004) 23:6156–62. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1207818
- 132. Fernandez C, Tatard VM, Bertrand N, Dahmane N. Differential modulation of Sonic-hedgehog-induced cerebellar granule cell precursor proliferation by the IGF signaling network. *Dev Neurosci* (2010) **32**:59–70. doi:10.1159/000274458

- 133. Foti D, Iuliano R, Chiefari E, Brunetti A. A nucleoprotein complex containing Sp1, C/EBP beta, and HMGI-Y controls human insulin receptor gene transcription. *Mol Cell Biol* (2003) 23:2720–32. doi:10.1128/MCB.23.8.2720-2732. 2003
- Werner H, Maor S. The insulin-like growth factor-I receptor gene: a downstream target for oncogene and tumor suppressor action. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2006) 17:236–42. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2006.06.007
- 135. Aiello A, Pandini G, Sarfstein R, Werner H, Manfioletti G, Vigneri R, et al. HMGA1 protein is a positive regulator of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor gene. *Eur J Cancer* (2010) 46:1919–26. doi:10.1016/j.ejca. 2010.02.050
- 136. Sarfstein R, Belfiore A, Werner H. Identification of insulin-like growth factor-I receptor (IGF-IR) gene promoter-binding proteins in estrogen receptor (ER)positive and ER-depleted breast cancer cells. *Cancers (Basel)* (2010) 2:233–61. doi:10.3390/cancers2020233
- 137. Graham TR, Zhau HE, Odero-Marah VA, Osunkoya AO, Kimbro KS, Tighiouart M, et al. Insulin-like growth factor-I-dependent up-regulation of ZEB1 drives epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68:2479–88. doi:10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-07-2559
- 138. Kim HJ, Litzenburger BC, Cui X, Delgado DA, Grabiner BC, Lin X, et al. Constitutively active type I insulin-like growth factor receptor causes transformation and xenograft growth of immortalized mammary epithelial cells and is accompanied by an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition mediated by NF-kappaB and snail. *Mol Cell Biol* (2007) 27:3165–75. doi:10.1128/MCB. 01315-06
- 139. Dupont J, Fernandez AM, Glackin CA, Helman L, Leroith D. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1)-induced twist expression is involved in the antiapoptotic effects of the IGF-1 receptor. J Biol Chem (2001) 276:26699–707. doi:10.1074/jbc.M102664200
- 140. Takahashi K, Suzuki K. Association of insulin-like growth-factor-I-induced DNA synthesis with phosphorylation and nuclear exclusion of p53 in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. *Int J Cancer* (1993) 55:453–8. doi:10.1002/ijc. 2910550322
- 141. Shan J, Shen J, Liu L, Xia F, Xu C, Duan G, et al. Nanog regulates selfrenewal of cancer stem cells through the insulin-like growth factor pathway in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* (2012) 56:1004–14. doi:10.1002/ hep.25745
- 142. Xu C, Xie D, Yu SC, Yang XJ, He LR, Yang J, et al. Beta-catenin/POU5F1/SOX2 transcription factor complex mediates IGF-I receptor signaling and predicts poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res* (2013) 73:3181–9. doi:10. 1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4403
- 143. Girnita L, Girnita A, Larsson O. Mdm2-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2003) **100**:8247–52. doi:10.1073/pnas.1431613100
- 144. Chang WW, Lin RJ, Yu J, Chang WY, Fu CH, Lai A, et al. The expression and significance of insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor and its pathway on breast cancer stem/progenitors. *Breast Cancer Res* (2013) 15:R39. doi:10.1186/ bcr3423
- 145. Malaguarnera R, Frasca F, Garozzo A, Giani F, Pandini G, Vella V, et al. Insulin receptor isoforms and insulin-like growth factor receptor in human follicular cell precursors from papillary thyroid cancer and normal thyroid. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2011) **96**:766–74. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-1255
- 146. Loladze AV, Stull MA, Rowzee AM, Demarco J, Lantry JH III, Rosen CJ, et al. Epithelial-specific and stage-specific functions of insulin-like growth factor-I during postnatal mammary development. *Endocrinology* (2006) 147:5412–23. doi:10.1210/en.2006-0427
- 147. Sun Z, Shushanov S, Leroith D, Wood TL. Decreased IGF type 1 receptor signaling in mammary epithelium during pregnancy leads to reduced proliferation, alveolar differentiation, and expression of insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and IRS-2. *Endocrinology* (2011) **152**:3233–45. doi:10.1210/en. 2010-1296
- 148. Cheang MC, Voduc D, Bajdik C, Leung S, Mckinney S, Chia SK, et al. Basal-like breast cancer defined by five biomarkers has superior prognostic value than triple-negative phenotype. *Clin Cancer Res* (2008) 14:1368–76. doi:10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-07-1658

- Cheang MC, Van De Rijn M, Nielsen TO. Gene expression profiling of breast cancer. Annu Rev Pathol (2008) 3:67–97. doi:10.1146/annurev.pathmechdis.3. 121806.151505
- 150. Lim E, Vaillant F, Wu D, Forrest NC, Pal B, Hart AH, et al. Aberrant luminal progenitors as the candidate target population for basal tumor development in BRCA1 mutation carriers. *Nat Med* (2009) 15:907–13. doi:10.1038/nm.2000
- 151. Prat A, Parker JS, Karginova O, Fan C, Livasy C, Herschkowitz JI, et al. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the claudin-low intrinsic subtype of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* (2010) 12:R68. doi:10.1186/bcr2635
- Perou CM, Borresen-Dale AL. Systems biology and genomics of breast cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* (2011) 3:a003293. doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a003293
- 153. Molyneux G, Geyer FC, Magnay FA, Mccarthy A, Kendrick H, Natrajan R, et al. BRCA1 basal-like breast cancers originate from luminal epithelial progenitors and not from basal stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* (2010) 7:403–17. doi:10.1016/j. stem.2010.07.010
- 154. Tolcher AW, Sarantopoulos J, Patnaik A, Papadopoulos K, Lin CC, Rodon J, et al. Phase I, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic study of AMG 479, a fully human monoclonal antibody to insulin-like growth factor receptor 1. *J Clin Oncol* (2009) **27**:5800–7. doi:10.1200/JCO.2009.23.6745
- 155. Pappo AS, Patel SR, Crowley J, Reinke DK, Kuenkele KP, Chawla SP, et al. R1507, a monoclonal antibody to the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, in patients with recurrent or refractory Ewing sarcoma family of tumors: results of a Phase II Sarcoma Alliance for Research through Collaboration study. *J Clin Oncol* (2011) **29**:4541–7. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.34.0000
- 156. Pappo AS, Vassal G, Crowley JJ, Bolejack V, Hogendoorn PC, Chugh R, et al. A Phase 2 trial of R1507, a monoclonal antibody to the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R), in patients with recurrent or refractory rhabdomyosarcoma, osteosarcoma, synovial sarcoma, and other soft tissue sarcomas: results of a Sarcoma Alliance for Research Through Collaboration study. *Cancer* (2014) **120**:2448–56. doi:10.1002/cncr.28728
- 157. Yang Y, Yee D. Targeting insulin and insulin-like growth factor signaling in breast cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia (2012) 17:251–61. doi:10. 1007/s10911-012-9268-y
- 158. Langer CJ, Novello S, Park K, Krzakowski M, Karp DD, Mok T, et al. Randomized, Phase III trial of first-line figitumumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin versus paclitaxel and carboplatin alone in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol (2014) 32:2059–66. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.54.4932
- 159. Lee AV, Yee D. Targeting IGF-1R: at a crossroad. Oncology (Williston Park) (2011) 25:535-6.
- 160. Zhang H, Pelzer AM, Kiang DT, Yee D. Down-regulation of type I insulin-like growth factor receptor increases sensitivity of breast cancer cells to insulin. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67:391–7. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1712
- 161. Buck E, Gokhale PC, Koujak S, Brown E, Eyzaguirre A, Tao N, et al. Compensatory insulin receptor (IR) activation on inhibition of insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R): rationale for cotargeting IGF-1R and IR in cancer. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2010) **9**:2652–64. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0318
- 162. Dinchuk JE, Cao C, Huang F, Reeves KA, Wang J, Myers F, et al. Insulin receptor (IR) pathway hyperactivity in IGF-IR null cells and suppression of downstream growth signaling using the dual IGF-IR/IR inhibitor, BMS-754807. Endocrinology (2010) 151:4123–32. doi:10.1210/en.2010-0032
- 163. Ulanet DB, Ludwig DL, Kahn CR, Hanahan D. Insulin receptor functionally enhances multistage tumor progression and conveys intrinsic resistance to IGF-1R targeted therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2010) **107**:10791–8. doi:10. 1073/pnas.0914076107

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Farabaugh, Boone and Lee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.