



Thyroid Function Changes and Pubertal Progress in Females: A Longitudinal Study in Iodine-Sufficient Areas of East China

Yingying Wang^{1,2†}, Dandan He^{3†}, Chaowei Fu^{1,2}, Xiaolian Dong⁴, Feng Jiang^{1,2}, Meifang Su⁵, Qian Xu^{1,2}, Peixin Huang⁶, Na Wang^{1,2*}, Yue Chen⁷ and Qingwu Jiang^{1,2}

¹ Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ² Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety of Ministry of Education, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of School Hygiene, Minhang District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai, China, ⁴ Department of Chronic Disease Control and Prevention, Deqing County Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Huzhou, China, ⁵ Department of Chronic Disease Control and Prevention, Yuhuan City Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Taizhou, China, ⁶ Department of Chronic Disease Control and Prevention, Haimen City Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Nantong, China, ⁷ School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Noriyuki Koibuchi, Gunma University, Japan

Reviewed by:

Johannes Wolfgang Dietrich, Ruhr University Bochum, Germany Madan Madhav Godbole, Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences (SGPGI), India

*Correspondence:

Na Wang na.wang@fudan.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Thyroid Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 15 January 2021 Accepted: 06 April 2021 Published: 11 May 2021

Citation:

Wang Y, He D, Fu C, Dong X, Jiang F, Su M, Xu Q, Huang P, Wang N, Chen Y and Jiang Q (2021) Thyroid Function Changes and Pubertal Progress in Females: A Longitudinal Study in Iodine-Sufficient Areas of East China. Front. Endocrinol. 12:653680. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.653680 **Background:** The onset of puberty is influenced by thyroid function, and thyroid hormones (THs) fluctuate substantially during the period of pubertal development. However, it needs to be further clarified how THs change at specific puberty stages and how it influences pubertal development in girls. So far, longitudinal data from China are scarce.

Methods: A cohort study was conducted among girls during puberty in iodine-sufficient regions of East China between 2017 to 2019. Serum thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), free triiodothyronine (FT3), and free thyroxine (FT4) were determined for each participant. Thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (THSPs), including the ratio of FT4 to FT3 (FT4/FT3), Jostel's TSH index (TSHI), and thyroid feedback quantile-based index (TFQI), were calculated. Puberty category scores (PCS), calculated based on the Puberty Development Scale (PDS), was used to assess the stage of puberty. Girls were grouped into three categories according to PCS changes (\triangle PCS) and six categories according puberty stage (B_PF_P: pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up; B_PF_L: pre-pubertal at baseline and post-pubertal at follow-up, respectively; B_LF_L: late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively; B_LF_T: late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively to be baseline and follow-up, respectively; B_LF_T: late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively; B_LF_T: pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up.

Results: The levels of serum TSH and FT3 decreased while serum FT4 increased during the study period (P<0.001). In multiple linear regression analyses, after adjustment for covariables, FT3 decreased by an additional 0.24 pmol/L (95% CI: -0.47 to -0.01) in the

1

higher \triangle PCS group than the lower \triangle PCS group. Compared with the B_LF_L group, the B_PF_T group showed an additional decline in FT3 (β = -0.39 pmol/L, 95%CI: -0.73 to -0.04), the B_TF_T group showed a lower decline in TSH (β =0.50 mU/L, 95% CI: 0.21 to 0.80) and a lower decline in TSHI (β =0.24, 95%CI: 0.06 to 0.41), respectively. There was no association of \triangle FT4 or \triangle TFQI with \triangle PCS or the puberty pattern.

Conclusions: Serum TSH and FT3 decreased while serum FT4 increased among girls during puberty. Both the initial stage and the velocity of pubertal development were related to thyroid hormone fluctuations.

Keywords: thyroid hormones, puberty, cohort study, iodine sufficient area, female

INTRODUCTION

Thyroid hormones (THs) play a critical role in physical growth (1), nervous system development (2), body metabolism, and energy expenditure (3). The hypothalamic secretion of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone induces pituitary production of the thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), which in turn stimulates thyroid follicular cells to produce thyroxine (T4). T4 can be converted to active triiodothyronine (T3) by deiodination in extrathyroidal tissues, and this process is under the control of circulating T4 through negative feedback loops of HPT (4). Free triiodothyronine (FT3) and free thyroxine (FT4) are the free states for T3 and T4 after being released into the blood. TSH, FT3, and FT4 have been considered as the sensitive markers to evaluate thyroid function (5). Thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (THSPs) are often used as the indicators of pituitary thyrotropic function, including Jostel's TSH index (TSHI) (6), and thyroid feedback quantile-based index (TFQI) (7). The ratio of FT4 to FT3 (FT4/FT3 ratio) may reflect the degree of peripheral T4 to T3 conversion activity (8).

Puberty is a key period from childhood to adulthood with substantial physical and psychological changes (9). In this period, reproductive systems are maturated by hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis (HPG) activation. Evidence suggests that the puberty onset may be influenced by thyroid function (10), and THs fluctuate substantially during the period of pubertal development (11). It is unclear how much THs change for individuals at specific puberty stages or with specific pubertal development patterns. Moreover, studies focusing on the associations between puberty and THs in China are scare and are limited to cross-sectional designs (12).

Thyroid diseases are more likely to occur among females than males in adulthood (13), and epidemiological data have revealed direct effects of estrogen on thyroid function and growth regulation, as well as their potential mechanisms (14). There are inconsistent study results for the gender variations in TSH, FT3, and FT4 levels during puberty. Radicioni et al. found no significant difference in THs between girls and boys during prepuberty and puberty (15). Marwaha et al. reported that both TSH and FT4 levels decreased with age from 5 to 17 years in girls, but this trend was not observed in boys (16). Zurakowski et al. reported the age-related trend for TSH and FT3 during the period of pubertal development for both sexes, but the trend was more marked in females (17). In this longitudinal study, we aimed to evaluate the associations of THs and THSPs with pubertal development among school girls.

METHODS

Study Sites and Subject Selection

A purposive sampling method was used to select four iodinesufficient regions in East China. A description of the study design and sampling method has been detailed in a previous study (18). In brief, one junior middle school was randomly selected from each of the four regions and students were mainly local residents. A total of 481 girls in grade 7 of each school were enrolled into the cohort in October to November 2017 after excluding those with iodine supplements, thyroid disorders, and pituitary abnormalities. Among the 453 girls who participated in the follow-up investigation in 2019, 439 girls had complete data on pubertal stages and thyroid function measures, without any clinical signs or symptoms indicating possible thyroid diseases (Figure 1). Informed written consent was obtained from all the participants and their parents, and this study was approved by the ethical review board of the School of Public Health of Fudan University (#2012-03-0350S).

Anthropometric Measurements

Standing height (cm), weight (kg), and waist circumferences (cm) were measured with participants wearing light clothing and no footwear, using standard protocols. Height and waist circumference (WC) were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters (kg/m (2)).

Urine Iodine Content and Thyroid Hormones Determinations

Each participant provided 15-ml spot urine samples in the mornings on Monday and Thursday of a week at both baseline and follow-up for the measurement of urine iodine content (UIC). Approximately 5-ml fasting blood samples were drawn through antecubital vein puncture around 8 am to 9 am. They were immediately centrifuged and the serums were then



separated. Samples were kept frozen at -80°C until analysis. Concentrations of thyroid hormones, including TSH, FT3, and FT4 were determined using a chemiluminescent immunoassay (19) following standard methods with proper quality control (ADVIA Centaur CP, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc, New York, USA).

UIC was determined using the method of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). ICP-MS method is fast and accurate with easier sample preparation, offering a strong congruence with the S-K method (20). Daily iodine exposure doses were estimated using the formula frequently used for the estimate of daily exposure doses for other similar chemicals (21): DUIO = UIC×DUCrO/(UCr×W) (DUIO: daily urine iodine output, µg; UIC: urine iodine content, µg/L; DUCrO: daily urine creatinine output, mmol; UCr: urine creatinine content, µmol/L; W: weight). Daily urine iodine output (DUIO) and weighted daily urine iodine output (WDUIO) was calculated as follows: (1) DUIO (µg) = UIC×EXP (0.0102×H-0.6854) × 1000 ÷ UCr (logtransformed DUCrO= 0.0102×H-0.6854, H: height) (2) WDUIO (µg) = 2/7 × DUIO on Monday + 5/7 × DUIO on Thursday (18).

Thyroid Homeostasis Structure Parameters

Three thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (THSPs), including the FT4/FT3 ratio, Jostel's TSH index (TSHI), and thyroid feedback quantile-based index (TFQI), were calculated by using the following formula: (1) FT4/FT3 ratio = FT4/FT3; (2) TSHI = ln(TSH) + 0.1345 × FT4; and (3) TFQI = (FT4- μ _{FT4})/ σ _{FT4}-[1-(TSH- μ _{TSH})/ σ _{TSH}] (7).

Pubertal Development Assessments

The Puberty Development Scale (PDS) was used to evaluate pubertal status (22). A Puberty Category Score (PCS) was calculated based on three items including menarche (score 0 or 1, namely "without or with"), breast development (score 1 to 5), and body hair growth (score 1 to 4) and five stages (I - V) were defined (Stage I: score = 2 and without menarche; Stage II: score = 3 and without menarche; Stage III: score > 3 and without menarche; Stage IV: score \leq 7 and with menarche; Stage V: scored \geq 8 and with menarche). Stage I to III were combined as "pre-early-mid-pubertal", stage IV and stage V were defined as "late-pubertal" and "post-pubertal", respectively.

Pubertal development patterns were categorized into six groups according to pubertal stage at baseline and follow-up ("B_PF_P: pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up," "B_PF_L: prepubertal at baseline and late-pubertal at follow-up, respectively", "B_PF_T: pre-pubertal at baseline and post-pubertal at follow-up, respectively", "B_LF_L: late-pubertal at both baseline and followup", "B_LF_T: late-pubertal at baseline and post-pubertal at followup, respectively" and "B_TF_T: post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up") (12). In addition, girls were also divided into three groups according to the changes in PCS (\triangle PCS) ("lower: $\triangle \leq 1$ ", "middle: $\triangle = 2$ ", "higher: $\triangle \geq 3$ ").

Statistical Analysis

The current analysis used data from 439 girls with complete information on pubertal stages and thyroid hormones and excluded those with thyroid autoimmunity. For comparison of baseline characteristics, ANOVA was used for continuous variables, and χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test was used to compare the changes in thyroid hormones. Multiple linear regression models were utilized to estimate the associations of THs and THSPs with pubertal development. The statistical significance level was defined as $\alpha = 0.05$ of two-side probability. All analyses were performed by using the R program (version 4.0.4, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and all figures were performed using GraphPad Prism software (version 7, GraphPad Prism, California, USA).

RESULTS

Characteristics, Thyroid Hormones (THs), and Thyroid Homeostasis Structural Parameters (THSPs)

Information on characteristics, anthropometric indexes, thyroid hormones (THs), and thyroid homeostasis structural parameters (THSPs) of girls is shown in **Tables 1** and **2**. Compared with the 13 to 14-year age group at baseline, younger girls (11 to 12-year age group) were more likely to experience higher $\triangle PCS$ and to be in the B_PF_P or B_PF_L group (P<0.001). Waist circumference (WC) increased with age and the changes ($\triangle WC$) were more evident in girls with higher $\triangle PCS$ or in the B_PF_P or B_PF_L group (P<0.001). Serum TSH and FT3 decreased and serum FT4 increased significantly during the study period (P<0.001).

Changes in Thyroid Hormones (\triangle THs) and Thyroid Homeostasis Structural Parameters (\triangle THSPs) and Their Associations With Puberty Category Scores (\triangle PCS) and Puberty Pattern

In multiple linear regression analyses, after adjustment for covariables (Model 2), FT3 decreased additional 0.24 pmol/L (95% CI: -0.47 to -0.01) in the higher \triangle PCS group than the lower \triangle PCS group. Compared with the B_LF_L group, the B_PF_T

group showed additional decline in FT3 ($\beta = -0.39 \text{ pmol/L}, 95\%$ CI: -0.73 to -0.04), the B_TF_T group showed lower decline in TSH ($\beta = 0.50 \text{ mU/L}, 95\%$ CI: 0.21 to 0.80)and lower decline in TSHI ($\beta = 0.24, 95\%$ CI: 0.06 to 0.41), respectively. There was no association of \triangle FT4 or \triangle TFQI with \triangle PCS or puberty pattern (**Tables 3**, **4**).

The corresponding β s and 95% CIs in stratified analyses are depicted in **Figures 2** and **3**. Higher \triangle TSH was observed in the B_LF_T group, especially in younger girls. \triangle FT3 was negatively associated with \triangle PCS in girls aged 13 to 14 years at the baseline, those from Haimen or Deqing, as well as those with higher \triangle BMI or higher \triangle WC.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort study, we found that serum TSH and FT3 declined while serum FT4 was elevated among girls during the study period, and those changes were pronounced among those undergoing faster puberty progress (higher \triangle PCS). Girls in the pre-early-mid-pubertal stage or primary stage at baseline and in post-pubertal stage or advanced stage at follow-up (B_PF_T), experienced larger changes in THs compared with those in the completed pubertal category (B_TF_T).

The puberty-dependent differences in TSH, FT3, and FT4 observed in our study were consistent with the results from most previous cross-sectional data (15, 23, 24), which suggests a complicated interplay between the hypothalamic-pituitarygonadal axis (HPG) and the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis (HPT) during pubertal development. HPG is active in the embryonic and early postnatal stages of life and is subsequently restrained during childhood, and its reactivation culminates in puberty initiation (25). Menarche is one of the landmarks of pubertal events, representing the development of the endometrium stimulated by a surge of estrogen (26). Testosterone stimulates the expression of insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and sodium iodide symporter (NIS) genes in thyrocytes of both sexes, while estrogen stimulates them only in females (27). Estrogen may regulate the thyroid function metabolism and promote thyrocytes proliferation by mediating the binding on the estrogen receptor (ER), the soluble intracellular nuclear receptors (14).

Our study indicates that both stage and velocity of pubertal development relate to the fluctuations in THs. We considered girls in late-pubertal stage at both baseline and follow-up (B_LF_L) as the reference group who had experienced menarche with the same pubertal stage during the study period. Compared with the B_LF_L group, girls with initial puberty and without menarche at the beginning of the study (B_PF_L and B_PF_T) showed larger Δ THs and Δ THSPs, whereas those with advanced puberty and with menarche at the beginning of the study charges. Similarly, girls with faster pubertal progress (higher Δ PCS) correlated with larger Δ THs. The onset of menarche for these girls occurred in the study period. Previous studies suggested that reference ranges for

TABLE 1 | Characteristics, anthropometric indexes, thyroid hormones (THs), and thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (THSPs) of girls with different puberty category score (PCS) changes.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Characteristics	Total	Puberty category scores changes (△PCS) ^a			
No (%) Age at baseline (N,%) 439(100) 203(46.24) 107(24.37) 129(29.36) <0.001			lower (∆≤1)	middle (∆=2)	higher (∆≥3)	P value
Age atseline (N, %) Unit 2 years 0.001 11-12 years 196(44.42) 68(34.63) 68(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(24.18) 59(25.18)	No (%)	439(100)	203(46.24)	107(24.37)	129(29.38)	
11-12 years 196(44.42) 68(4.87) 49(4.62) 79(40.51) 31-14 years 244(65.58) 136(65.33) 59(24.18) 50(20.49) 350% (Minnang & Yuhuan) 224(61.03) 24(61.03) 108(50.23) 58(56.98) 40(22.79) BMI (kg/m*, Mean ± 50) 215(48.07) 108(50.23) 58(56.98) 40(22.74) 40.010 Changes (L) 18.74 + 3.11 19.55 + 3.31 18.55 + 2.86 17.63 + 2.51 40.012 Changes (L) 10.01 + 1.50 1.76 + 1.93 11.04 + 1.34 1.04 + 1.34 0.001 Changes (L) 70.72 + 3.33 18.55 + 2.86 16.75 + 5.68 0.401 Changes (L) 67.55 + 7.74 66.71 + 7.30 65.96 + 8.88 62.37 + 6.58 0.001 Changes (L) 77.33 + 6.03 14.32 + 7.13 45.92 + 6.40 0.001 Changes (L) 63.37 + 6.57 + 7.74 66.31 + 1.50.3 63.84 + 2.0 57.60 0.001 Changes (L) 63.33 - 7.479 48.33 48.20 57.60 0.001 Changes (L) 33.07 + 7.91 63.	Age at baseline (N,%)					< 0.001
13-14 years 24465.58 138(65.33 59(24.18) 59(20.48) Rea with different proportions of lodized-sait consumption	11-12 years	195(44.42)	68(34.87)	48(24.62)	79(40.51)	
Area with different proprians of iodized-salt consumption (%) 50% (Mininang & Yuhuan) 224(51.03) 95(24.11) 49(21.83) 49(21.87) <td>13-14 years</td> <td>244(55.58)</td> <td>135(55.33)</td> <td>59(24.18)</td> <td>50(20.49)</td> <td></td>	13-14 years	244(55.58)	135(55.33)	59(24.18)	50(20.49)	
(n, *a) *** *300* (Minnag & Yuhuan) 224(61.03) 96(2.41) 49(21.89) 49(22.79) *300* (Minnag & Yuhuan) 215(48.97) 108(50.23) 5826.98) 49(22.79) BMI (kg/m², Maar ± SD) 20.05 ± 3.07 20.72 ± 3.30 18.55 ± 2.95 17.63 ± 2.51 <0.001	Area with different proportions of iodized-salt consumption					0.012
scoor Secord Secord<	(N,%)					
3-00% (Hammar & Deang) 215(48.97) 108(60.23) 58(26.38) 49(22.79) Bassine (2017) 18.74 ± 3.11 19.55 ± 3.31 18.55 ± 2.98 19.06 ± 2.51 -0.001 Changes (2017) 2.05 ± 3.07 20.72 ± 3.31 18.55 ± 2.98 19.06 ± 2.47 -0.021 Bassine (2017) 2.05 ± 3.07 20.72 ± 3.31 18.55 ± 2.96 17.63 ± 2.98 0.001 Follow-up (2019) 67.98 ± 7.17 88.91 ± 7.55 67.33 ± 6.60 67.05 ± 6.88 0.001 Changes (20) 2.99 ± 6.35 2.15 ± 5.50 1.44 ± 7.13 4.59 ± 6.40 0.001 Weighted daily urne iodine output [µg, Median (P ₂₀ -P ₇₀] 89.76 78.87 94.81 101.66 -0.001 Gasseline (2017) (67.31 ± 6.30) (60.37 ± 10.60) (63.61 ± 96.72) (71.89 ± 10.20) -0.001 Changes (2) 2.02 2.77.4 36.38 34.52 57.60 0.001 Changes (2) 1.50(1.56.2.03 1.54(1.56 ± 2.16) 1.52(1.42 ± 5.71) 2.01(1.42 ± 2.87) 0.386 Changes (2) -0.210 1.56(1.52.45.61 <td>≤90% (Minhang & Yuhuan)</td> <td>224(51.03)</td> <td>95(42.41)</td> <td>49(21.88)</td> <td>80(35.71)</td> <td></td>	≤90% (Minhang & Yuhuan)	224(51.03)	95(42.41)	49(21.88)	80(35.71)	
$\begin{array}{c $	>90% (Haimen & Deging)	215(48.97)	108(50.23)	58(26.98)	49(22.79)	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	BMI (kg/m ² , Mean ± SD)	· · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,	. ,	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Baseline (2017)	18.74 ± 3.11	19.55 ± 3.31	18.55 ± 2.95	17.63 ± 2.51	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Canges}(\end{A}) & 1.30 \pm 1.65 & 1.16 \pm 1.96 & 1.44 \pm 1.34 & 1.40 \pm 1.34 & 0.268 \\ \begin{timeses}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $	Follow-up (2019)	20.05 ± 3.07	20.72 ± 3.30	19.96 ± 2.98	19.06 ± 2.47	< 0.001
WC (cm, Mean ± SD) Baseline (2017) 65.25 ± 7.74 66.71 ± 7.30 65.96 ± 8.88 62.37 ± 6.58 0.001 Changes (△) 2.99 ± 6.35 2.15 ± 5.60 1.43 ± 7.13 4.59 ± 6.40 <0.001	Changes (Λ)	1.30 + 1.65	1.16 + 1.96	1.44 + 1.34	1.40 + 1.34	0.268
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{hore} \mbox{pc} $	WC (cm. Mean + SD)					
Follow-up (2019) Charges (Δ) 67.98 ± 7.17 (2.69 ± 6.35 68.91 ± 7.55 (2.15 ± 5.60 67.33 ± 6.60 (2.15 ± 5.60 67.05 ± 6.88 (3.001 0.041 (4.032 Baseline (2017) 89.76 78.87 94.81 101.66 <0.001	Baseline (2017)	65.25 + 7.74	66.71 + 7.30	65.96 + 8.88	62.37 + 6.58	< 0.001
Changes (Δ) 2.69 ± 6.35 2.15 ± 5.60 0.14 ± 7.13 4.59 ± 6.40 <0.001 Weighted daily urine iodine output [µg, Median (P ₂₆ -P ₇₆)] 89.76 78.87 94.81 101.66 <0.001	Eollow-up (2019)	67 98 + 7 17	68 91 + 7 55	67.33 + 6.60	67.05 + 6.88	0.041
Weighted daily urine iodine output [µg, Median (P _{2s} -P _{7s})] End 2 for the form of t	Changes (Δ)	2 69 ± 6.35	2 15 + 5 60	1.43 ± 7.13	4 59 + 6 40	<0.001
Baseline (2017) 89.76 78.87 94.81 101.66 <0.001 Follow-up (2019) 47.30 (63.31–136.72) (71.89–152.06) (70.189–152.06) Changes (Δ) (33.30–74.79) (30.33–63.920) (32.66–78.70) (40.33–86.40) Changes (Δ) -32.02 -27.74 -36.38 -34.52 0.248 (73.63–-4.80) (63.41–3.75) (53.26–78.70) (40.33–86.40) (49.04–4.55) TSH [mU/L, Median (P ₂₀ -P ₇₀]] - - -22.02 -27.74 -36.38 -34.52 0.248 cholow-up (2019) (1.90(1.35–2.66) 1.81(1.34–2.64) 1.92(1.35–2.57) 2.01(1.42–2.87) 0.356 cholow-up (2019) -0.42(-0.94–0.08) -0.28(-0.81–0.16) -0.37(-1.03–0.10) -0.56(-1.04–0.17) 0.024 FT3 [pmol/L, Median (P ₂₀ -P ₇₀]] -	Weighted daily urine jodine output [ug. Median (Pos~Prs)]	2.00 ± 0.00	2.10 ± 0.00	1.10 ± 1.10	1.00 ± 0.10	20.001
Education (2017) (67.51-135.04) (50.37-110.60) (63.61-136.72) (71.89-152.06) Follow-up (2019) 47.30 44.33 45.20 57.60 0.001 (33.30-74.79) (30.33-63.920) (32.67-87.70) (40.33-66.40) - - Changes (Δ) -27.74 -36.38 -34.52 0.248 - - TSH [mU/L, Median (P2s-P7s]] -1.90(1.35-2.66) 18.1(1.42-26.41) (43.25-27) 2.01(1.42-2.87) 0.3569 Changes (Δ) -0.42(-0.94-0.03) 1.54(1.05-2.15) 1.50(1.04-2.00) 1.48(1.09-1.89) 0.589 Changes (Δ) -0.42(-0.94-0.03) 0.54(5.27-6.00) 5.48(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001	Baseline (2017)	89.76	78 87	94.81	101.66	<0.001
Follow-up (2019) (20.3)	Dasenne (2017)	(57 51135 04)	(50.37-110.60)	(63 61-136 72)	(71.80-152.06)	<0.001
Tokon-rgb (2019) 14.30 <td>Follow-up (2010)</td> <td>(07.01~100.04)</td> <td>(00.07~110.00)</td> <td>(00.01~100.12)</td> <td>57.60</td> <td>0.001</td>	Follow-up (2010)	(07.01~100.04)	(00.07~110.00)	(00.01~100.12)	57.60	0.001
Changes (Δ) -32.02 -27.74 -36.38 -34.52 0.2480 (-73.634.80) (-63.431.81) (-81.2610.41) (-89.044.55) TSH [mU/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₈)] -<	1 0110W-up (2013)	(22 20 74 70)	(20 22 62 020)	(22.66.78.70)	(40.33, 86.40)	0.001
Changes (Δ) 1-22.1/4 1-30.35 1-53.32<	Changes (A)	(33.30~14.19)	(30.33~03.920)	(32.00~10.10)	(40.33~60.40)	0.040
TSH [mU/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅)] (10.00-4.0.0) (10.1.00-1.0.1) (10.1.00-1.0.1) (10.0.0-4.0.0) baseline (2017) 1.90(1.35-2.66) 1.81(1.34-2.64) 1.92(1.35-2.57) 2.01(1.42-2.87) 0.356 follow-up (2019) 1.50(1.05-2.03) 1.54(1.05-2.15) 1.50(1.04-2.00) 1.48(1.09-1.89) 0.589 PT3 [pm0/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅)] -0.42(-0.94-0.08) -0.28(-0.81-0.16) -0.37(-1.03-0.10) -0.56(-1.040.17) 0.024 FT3 [pm0/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅)] 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001	Changes (ZZ)	-32.02	-27.74	-30.30	-34.32	0.240
For proof. (words) (*22=*75)] 1.90(1.35-2.66) 1.81(1.34-2.64) 1.92(1.35-2.57) 2.01(1.42-2.87) 0.356 follow-up (2019) 1.50(1.05-2.03) 1.54(1.05-2.15) 1.50(1.04-2.00) 1.48(1.09-1.89) 0.589 Changes (Δ) -0.42(-0.94-0.08) -0.28(-0.81-0.16) 0.37(-1.03-0.10) 0.56(-1.040.17) 0.024 F73 [pmo/L, Median (P2s-P7s)] 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001	TSH [m]]/I Modian (D , D)]	(=73.03~=4.00)	(=03.43~=1.01)	(=01.20~=10.41)	(=09.04~=4.00)	
baseline (2017) 1.50(1.53-2.03) 1.54(1.53-2.04) 1.32(1.53-2.04) 1.32(1.53-2.04) 1.32(1.53-2.04) 0.5490 Changes (Δ) -0.42(-0.94-0.08) -0.28(-0.81-0.16) -0.37(-1.03-0.10) -0.56(-1.040.17) 0.024 FT3 [pmol/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅]] -0.42(-0.94-0.08) -0.28(-0.81-0.16) -0.37(-1.03-0.10) -0.56(-1.040.17) 0.024 baseline (2017) 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.16) <0.001	baseline (2017)	1 00(1 25 2 66)	1 91/1 24 2 64)	1 00/1 25 0 57)	201/1/20287)	0.256
Theorem (2019) 1.30(130-2.10) 1.30(130-2.00) 1.30(130-2.00) 0.56(-1.040.17) 0.024 FT3 [pmol/L, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅)] 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001	follow up (2010)	1.50(1.05~2.00)	1.61(1.04~2.04)	1.92(1.00~2.07)	2.01(1.42~2.07)	0.550
Charges (Δ) -0.42(-0.94-0.08) -0.26(-0.81-0.16) -0.37(-1.03-0.10) -0.36(-1.040.17) 0.034 FT3 [pm0/L, Median (P225-P75)] 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001		0.40(0.04.0.00)	0.00(0.01.0.16)	0.07(1.04~2.00)	0.56(1.04-0.17)	0.009
F15 [µπ0/L, Median [P ₂₅ -P ₇₅]] 5.66(5.27-6.00) 5.44(5.10-5.80) 5.68(5.28-6.03) 5.87(5.55-6.16) <0.001	Changes (<u>()</u>	-0.42(-0.94~0.08)	-0.28(-0.81~0.16)	-0.37(-1.03~0.10)	-0.56(-1.04~-0.17)	0.024
Description 5.560(2.7 + 0.00) 5.44(5, 10 - 5.80) 5.68(2.28 - 6.16) <0.001	F 13 [pmoi/L, wedian (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]					-0.001
1010W-Up (2019) 4.81(4.47~5.15) 4.73(4.42~5.07) 4.81(4.47~5.17) 4.82(4.64~5.30) 4.001 Changes (Δ) -0.76(-1.10~-0.45) -0.71(-1.05~-0.43) -0.81(-1.18~-0.57) -0.85(-1.19~-0.42) 0.108 FT4 (pmol/L, Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] baseline (2017) 14.89(13.44~16.51) 15.23(13.86~16.63) 14.94 14.63(13.01~16.05) 0.031 follow-up (2019) 16.50(15.30~17.73) 16.52(15.41~17.69) 16.44 16.57(15.33~17.76) 0.991 Changes (Δ) 1.57(-0.11~3.14) 1.43(-0.23~2.80) 1.52(-0.18~3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001	baseline (2017)	5.66(5.27~6.00)	5.44(5.10~5.80)	5.68(5.28~6.03)	5.87 (5.55~6.16)	<0.001
Changes (△) -0.76(-1.10~-0.45) -0.71(-1.05~-0.43) -0.81(-1.18~-0.57) -0.80(-1.19~-0.42) 0.108 FT4 [pmol/L, Median (P25~P75)] 14.89(13.44~-16.51) 15.23(13.86~-16.63) 14.94 14.63(13.01~-16.05) 0.031 follow-up (2019) 16.50(15.30~17.73) 16.52(15.41~-17.69) 16.44 16.57(15.33~17.76) 0.991 Changes (△) 1.57(-0.11~3.14) 1.43(-0.23~2.80) 1.52(-0.18~3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P25~P75)] 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001	O(1000 - Up (2019))	4.81(4.47~0.10)	4.73(4.42~3.07)	4.81(4.41~5.17)	4.92(4.64~5.30)	<0.001
F14 [pmo//, Median (P ₂₅ -P ₇₅]] baseline (2017) 14.89(13.44~16.51) 15.23(13.86~16.63) 14.94 14.63(13.01~16.05) 0.031 follow-up (2019) 16.50(15.30~17.73) 16.52(15.41~17.69) 16.44 16.57(15.33~17.76) 0.991 Changes (△) 1.57(-0.11~3.14) 1.43(-0.23~2.80) 1.52(-0.18~3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅]] baseline (2017) 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001		-0.76(-1.10~ -0.45)	-0.71(-1.05~-0.43)	-0.81(-1.18~-0.57)	-0.85(-1.19~-0.42)	0.108
baseline (2017) 14.89(13.44~16.51) 15.23(13.86~16.63) 14.94 14.63(13.01~16.05) 0.031 follow-up (2019) 16.50(15.30~17.73) 16.52(15.41~17.69) 16.44 16.57(15.33~17.76) 0.991 Changes (△) 1.57(-0.11~3.14) 1.43(-0.23~2.80) 1.52(-0.18~3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001	F14 [pmoi/L, Median ($P_{25} \sim P_{75}$)]			14.04		0.001
follow-up (2019) 16.50(15.30~17.73) 16.52(15.41~17.69) 16.44 16.57(15.33~17.76) 0.991 Changes (△) 1.57(-0.11~3.14) 1.43(-0.23~2.80) 1.52(-0.18~3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001	baseline (2017)	14.89(13.44~16.51)	15.23(13.86~16.63)	14.94 (13.12~16.64)	14.63(13.01~16.05)	0.031
Changes (△) 1.57(-0.11-3.14) 1.43(-0.23-2.80) 1.52(-0.18-3.30) 1.80(0.07~3.70) 0.193 FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 5	follow-up (2019)	16.50(15.30~17.73)	16.52(15.41~17.69)	16.44 (15.26~17.79)	16.57(15.33~17.76)	0.991
FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 2.69(2.41~2.99) 2.78(2.51~3.12) 2.7(2.39~2.99) 2.56(2.27~2.78) <0.001	Changes (Δ)	1.57(-0.11~3.14)	1.43(-0.23~2.80)	1.52(-0.18~3.30)	1.80(0.07~3.70)	0.193
baseline (2017) 2.69(2.41-2.99) 2.78(2.51-3.12) 2.7(2.39-2.99) 2.56(2.27-2.78) <0.001	FT4/FT3 [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]					
follow-up (2019) 3.45(3.14~3.75) 3.51(3.21~3.81) 3.45(3.13~3.8) 3.33(3.07~3.59) 0.002 Changes (△) 0.79(0.42~1.08) 0.71(0.39~1.03) 0.8(0.42~1.18) 0.88(0.51~1.17) 0.111 TSHI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 2.63(2.37~3.01) 2.72(2.37~3.04) 2.63(2.24~3.05) 2.65(2.36~3) 0.863 follow-up (2019) 2.63(2.24~3) 2.65(2.27~3.04) 2.63(2.22~2.98) 2.55(2.21~2.97) 0.542 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] -0.015(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	baseline (2017)	2.69(2.41~2.99)	2.78(2.51~3.12)	2.7(2.39~2.99)	2.56(2.27~2.78)	< 0.001
Changes (△) 0.79(0.42~1.08) 0.71(0.39~1.03) 0.8(0.42~1.18) 0.88(0.51~1.17) 0.111 TSHI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] 0.88(0.51~1.17) 0.111 Daseline (2017) 2.68(2.37~3.01) 2.72(2.37~3.04) 2.63(2.24~3.05) 2.65(2.36~3) 0.863 follow-up (2019) 2.63(2.24~3) 2.65(2.27~3.04) 2.63(2.22~2.98) 2.55(2.21~2.97) 0.542 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	follow-up (2019)	3.45(3.14~3.75)	3.51(3.21~3.81)	3.45(3.13~3.8)	3.33(3.07~3.59)	0.002
TSHI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] baseline (2017) 2.68(2.37~3.01) 2.72(2.37~3.04) 2.63(2.34~3.05) 2.65(2.36~3) 0.863 follow-up (2019) 2.63(2.24~3) 2.65(2.27~3.04) 2.63(2.22~2.98) 2.55(2.21~2.97) 0.542 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	Changes (Δ)	0.79(0.42~1.08)	0.71(0.39~1.03)	0.8(0.42~1.18)	0.88(0.51~1.17)	0.111
baseline (2017) 2.68(2.37~3.01) 2.72(2.37~3.04) 2.63(2.34~3.05) 2.65(2.36~3) 0.863 follow-up (2019) 2.63(2.24~3) 2.65(2.27~3.04) 2.63(2.22~2.98) 2.55(2.21~2.97) 0.542 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)] baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	TSHI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]					
follow-up (2019) 2.63(2.24-3) 2.65(2.27~3.04) 2.63(2.22~2.98) 2.55(2.21~2.97) 0.542 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P₂₅~P₇₅)] baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	baseline (2017)	2.68(2.37~3.01)	2.72(2.37~3.04)	2.63(2.34~3.05)	2.65(2.36~3)	0.863
Changes (△) -0.06(-0.35~0.28) -0.06(-0.33~0.26) -0.06(-0.32~0.23) -0.05(-0.43~0.31) 0.852 TFQI [Median (P₂₅~P₇₅)] -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	follow-up (2019)	2.63(2.24~3)	2.65(2.27~3.04)	2.63(2.22~2.98)	2.55(2.21~2.97)	0.542
TFQI [Median (P25~P75)] -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	Changes (Δ)	-0.06(-0.35~0.28)	-0.06(-0.33~0.26)	-0.06(-0.32~0.23)	-0.05(-0.43~0.31)	0.852
baseline (2017) -0.11(-0.84~0.72) 0.02(-0.75~0.74) -0.15(-1.03~0.92) -0.27(-0.92~0.48) 0.393 follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]			,/	/	
follow-up (2019) -0.2(-0.94~0.69) -0.12(-0.85~0.72) -0.22(-0.92~0.65) -0.31(-1.05~0.69) 0.293 Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	baseline (2017)	-0.11(-0.84~0.72)	0.02(-0.75~0.74)	-0.15(-1.03~0.92)	-0.27(-0.92~0.48)	0.393
Changes (△) -0.06(-0.91~0.71) -0.04(-0.86~0.71) -0.12(-0.95~0.71) -0.08(-0.96~0.78) 0.977	follow-up (2019)	-0.2(-0.94~0.69)	-0.12(-0.85~0.72)	-0.22(-0.92~0.65)	-0.31(-1.05~0.69)	0.293
	Changes (Δ)	-0.06(-0.91~0.71)	-0.04(-0.86~0.71)	-0.12(-0.95~0.71)	-0.08(-0.96~0.78)	0.977

^aPCS changes/△PCS: Changes in puberty category scores (PCS) from baseline to follow-up.

thyroid hormones in children and adolescents were substantially wider than ones in adults (15, 28, 29). A pre-pubertal surge in TSH, followed by a transient rise in circulating T3 and T4, along with an enhanced peripheral conversion of T4 to T3, was reported in 9-year-old children, while a decreasing or constant TSH, alongside a progressive decline in T3 and T4 was reported

at increasing maturation of puberty (30, 31). In addition, the fluctuations in THs might represent the elevated activities in the thyroid gland preparing for pubertal development, or an outcome of fluctuations in other factors including growth hormone (GH), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and basal luteinizing hormone (LH) (11, 32–34).

TABLE 2 | Characteristics, anthropometric indexes, thyroid hormones (THs), and thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (THSPs) of girls with different puberty patterns.

Characteristics	Total		Puberty pattern ^a				
		$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	B_PF_T	BLFL	B_LF_T	B_TF_T	P value
No (%)	439(100)	98(22.32)	62(14.12)	71(16.17)	137(31.22)	71(16.17)	
Age at baseline (N,%)							<0.001
11-12 years	195(44.42)	67(34.36)	36(18.46)	30(15.38)	46(23.59)	16(8.21)	
13-14 years	244(55.58)	31(12.70)	26(10.66)	41(16.80)	91(37.30)	55(22.54)	
Area with different proportions of iodized-salt							<0.001
consumption (N,%)							
≤90% (Minhang & Yuhuan)	224(51.03)	64(28.57)	39(17.41)	39(17.41)	56(25.00)	26(11.61)	
>90% (Haimen & Deging)	215(48.97)	34(15.81)	23(10.70)	32(14.88)	81(37.67)	45(20.93)	
BMI (kg/m ² , Mean + SD)	(- (/)	()	(- ()		
Baseline (2017)	18.74 + 3.11	16.66 + 2.12	18.45 + 2.84	18.06 + 2.03	19.38 + 3.22	21.32 + 2.95	< 0.001
Follow-up (2019)	20.05 ± 3.07	18 08 + 2 07	19 77 + 2 77	19.35 ± 2.34	20.63 + 2.91	22 66 + 3 37	<0.001
Changes (Λ)	1.30 ± 1.65	1 38 + 1 19	1.32 ± 1.49	1 28 + 1 09	1 25 + 2 27	1.28 ± 1.40	0.083
WC (cm Mean + SD)	1.00 ± 1.00	1.00 ± 1.10	1.02 ± 1.40	1.20 ± 1.00	1.20 ± 2.21	1.20 ± 1.40	0.000
Bosoling (2017)	65 05 1 7 74	60 16 1 5 40	64 54 1 7 79	60 71 4 07	67.06 . 7.05	70.56 . 7.01	-0.001
	03.23 ± 7.74	65 11 + 6 20	04.04 ± 7.70	00.71 ± 4.07	07.20 ± 7.00	71.16 + 6.02	<0.001
Chapter (A)	07.90 ± 7.17	00.11±0.09	00.27 ± 7.00	00.94 ± 0.19	1.50 ± 0.60	71.10 ± 0.93	<0.001
Changes (Z)	2.09 ± 0.33	4.60 ± 0.00	3.73 ± 0.02	3.23 ± 3.27	1.36 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 5.90	<0.001
Weighted daily urine iodine output [µg, Median							
(P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]							
Baseline (2017)	89.76	92.49	96.49	85.36	87.82	78.62	0.229
	(57.51~135.04)	(68.86~152.48)	(60.63~145.04)	(55.99~127.58)	(55.84~126.87)	(48.82~119.77)	
Follow-up (2019)	47.30	51.25	62.55	40.01	45.62	44.38	0.004
	(33.30~74.79)	(34.72~80.28)	(41.76~95.36)	(29.19~64.74)	(32.82~74.96)	(31.57~61.40)	
Changes (Δ)	-32.02	-38.10	-29.17	-35.62	-31.45	-29.80	0.786
	(-73.63~-4.80)	(-89.20~-8.75)	(-77.84~5.82)	(-70.41~-6.68)	(-71.91~-1.63)	(-65.38~-2.16)	
TSH [mU/L, Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]							
baseline (2017)	1.90	2.03	1.89	1.85	1.89	1.79	0.068
	(1.35~2.66)	(1.42~2.79)	(1.38~2.87)	(1.49~2.67)	(1.30~2.63)	(1.21~2.32)	
follow-up (2019)	1.50	1.52	1.45	1.49	1.50	1.72	0.033
	(1.05~2.03)	(1.13~2.00)	(0.94~1.80)	(0.98~1.92)	(1.07~2.16)	(1.11~2.34)	
Changes (Δ)	-0.42	-0.54	-0.53	-0.57	-0.27	-0.21	0.884
	(-0.94~0.08)	-1.04~-0.12)	(-1.13~-0.24)	(-1.32~0.08)	(-0.79~0.12)	(-0.61~0.37)	
FT3 [pmol/L, Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]							
baseline (2017)	5.66	5.83	5.93	5.41	5.59	5.40	<0.001
	(5.27~6.00)	(5.52~6.14)	(5.56~6.17)	(5.20~5.74)	(5.10~5.89)	(5.01~5.90)	
follow-up (2019)	4 81	5.02	4 91	4 66	4 74	4 72	0 024
······································	(4 47~5 15)	(4 78~5 37)	(4 63~5 21)	(4.39~4.95)	(4.37~5.09)	(4 42~5 12)	
Changes (Λ)	-0.76	-0.72	-0.95	-0.76	-0.80	-0.69	0.048
	(-1 10~ -0.45)	(-1 12~-0 29)	(-1 15~-0 66)	(-1.06~-0.48)	(-1 18~-0 49)	(-0.92~-0.29)	0.040
ET4 [nmol/L_Median (PP)]	(1.10* 0.40)	(1.12.0.20)	(1.104 0.00)	(1.00* 0.40)	(1.104 0.40)	(0.02 0.20)	
haseline (2017)	14 89	14.38	14 12	15 18	15.20	15.36	0 775
	(12/1/ 16 51)	(12 // 15 6/)	(12.08.15.07)	(12.95 17.29)	(12.61 16.50)	(12.02.16.79)	0.110
follow up (2010)	(13.44~10.31)	(12.44~15.04)	(12.90~13.97)	(13.05~17.20)	(13.01~10.39)	(13.92~10.70)	0.400
1011010-40 (2019)	(15.20, 17.72)	(15.06, 17.07)	(15 51 17 94)	(15 52 17 65)	(15 /6 17 50)	(15 04 17 49)	0.409
	(10.30~17.73)	(15.00~17.97)	(10.01~17.04)	(10.03~17.00)	(10.40~17.00)	(10.04~17.40)	0 4 4 0
Changes (Z)		2.06	2.36	1.32	1.11	0.58	0.448
	(-0.11~3.14)	(0.46~3.73)	(0.51~3.78)	(-0.39~2.90)	(-0.26~3.09)	(-0.50~2.60)	
$F14/F13$ [Median ($P_{25} \sim P_{75}$)]		0.40	0.45		0.74		
baseline (2017)	2.69	2.49	2.45	2.81	2.74	2.86	< 0.001
	(2.41~2.99)	(2.2~2.73)	(2.26~2.78)	(2.51~3.14)	(2.49~3.06)	(2.58~3.17)	
follow-up (2019)	3.45	3.27	3.36	3.6	3.49	3.44	<0.001
	(3.14~3.75)	(2.94~3.56)	(3.08~3.64)	(3.21~3.87)	(3.24~3.78)	(3.18~3.79)	
Changes (Δ)	0.79	0.8	1	0.75	0.84	0.67	0.139
	(0.42~1.08)	(0.53~1.11)	(0.56~1.31)	(0.38~1.03)	(0.41~1.07)	(0.23~0.94)	
TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]							
baseline (2017)	2.68	2.61	2.62	2.76	2.66	2.61	0.309
	(2.37~3.01)	(2.34~3.02)	(2.21~2.95)	(2.45~3.06)	(2.4~3.13)	(2.27~2.96)	
follow-up (2019)	2.63	2.6	2.52	2.62	2.65	2.73	0.565
	(2.24~3)	(2.25~3)	(2.14~2.94)	(2.25~2.91)	(2.27~3.05)	(2.31~3.04)	
Changes (\triangle)	-0.06	-0.05	-0.03	-0.19	-0.02	0	0.203
	(-0.35~0.28)	(-0.31~0.35)	(-0.5~0.31)	(-0.41~0.1)	(-0.36~0.21)	(-0.29~0.37)	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Characteristics	Total	Puberty pattern ^a					
		$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	B_PF_T	B_LF_L	B_LF_T	B_TF_T	P value
TFQI [Median (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)]							
baseline (2017)	-0.11	-0.36	-0.31	0.26	0	0	0.020
	(-0.84~0.72)	(-0.94~0.41)	(-1.19~0.43)	(-0.64~0.8)	(-0.62~0.98)	(-0.88~0.67)	
follow-up (2019)	-0.2	-0.22	-0.36	-0.15	-0.17	-0.13	0.750
	(-0.94~0.69)	(-1.02~0.72)	(-1.12~0.67)	(-0.83~0.64)	(-0.8~0.69)	(-0.85~0.82)	
Changes (\triangle)	-0.06	-0.02	0.09	-0.29	-0.15	0.04	0.139
u	(-0.91~0.71)	(-0.66~1.14)	(-0.88~1.06)	(-1.03~0.43)	(-1~0.69)	(-0.79~0.84)	

^aPuberty pattern: " $B_{P}F_{P}+B_{P}F_{L}$ ", pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up & pre-pubertal at baseline and late-pubertal at follow-up, respectively;" " $B_{P}F_{T}$, pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$ late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively" and " $B_{T}F_{T}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$ late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively" and " $B_{T}F_{T}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up."

TABLE 3 | β and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for thyroid hormones changes (Δ THs) according to puberty development by multiple liner regression analyses.

Group	N(%) ^a	<i>Median</i> (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)	β (95% CI)		
			Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
∆TSH (mU/L)					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	-0.28(-0.81~0.16)	0.00	0.00	
middle ($\Delta=2$)	107(24.37)	-0.37(-1.03~0.10)	-0.08(-0.30~0.14)	-0.09(-0.30~0.13)	
higher (∆≥3)	129(29.38)	-0.56(-1.04~ -0.17)	-0.25(-0.45~ -0.04)*	-0.14(-0.35~0.07)	
Per-1 SD	-	-	-0.09(-0.19~0.01)	-0.05(-0.15~0.05)	
Puberty pattern ^c					
$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	98(22.32)	-0.54(-1.04~ -0.12)	0.09(-0.19~0.37)	0.19(-0.10~0.47)	
B _P F _T	62(14.12)	-0.53(-1.13~ -0.24)	0.02(-0.30~0.33)	0.07(-0.23~0.38)	
BLFL	71(16.17)	-0.57(-1.32~0.08)	0.00	0.00	
B_LF_T	137(31.22)	-0.27(-0.79~0.12)	0.28(0.01~0.54)*	0.24(-0.01~0.50)	
B_TF_T	71(16.17)	-0.21(-0.61~0.37)	0.58(0.28~0.88)***	0.50(0.21~0.80)**	
△FT3 (pmol/L)					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	-0.71(-1.05~ -0.43)	0.00	0.00	
middle ($\Delta=2$)	107(24.37)	-0.81(-1.18~ -0.57)	-0.14(-0.37~0.10)	-0.14(-0.38~0.10)	
higher (∆≥3)	129(29.38)	-0.85(-1.19~ -0.42)	-0.19(-0.41~0.03)	-0.24(-0.47~ -0.01)*	
Per-1 SD	-	-	-0.07(-0.18~0.03)	-0.10(-0.21~0.01)	
Puberty pattern ^c					
$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	98(22.32)	-0.72(-1.12~ -0.29)	-0.21(-0.52~0.09)	-0.26(-0.57~0.06)	
B _P F _T	62(14.12)	-0.95(-1.15~ -0.66)	-0.36(-0.70~ -0.02)*	-0.39(-0.73~ -0.04)*	
BLFL	71(16.17)	-0.76(-1.06~ -0.48)	0.00	0.00	
B_LF_T	137(31.21)	-0.80(-1.18~ -0.49)	-0.27(-0.56~0.01)	-0.26(-0.55~0.03)	
B_TF_T	71(16.17)	-0.69(-0.92~ -0.29)	-0.12(-0.45~0.21)	-0.10(-0.42~0.24)	
△FT4 (pmol/L)					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	1.43(-0.23~2.80)	0.00	0.00	
middle ($\Delta=2$)	107(24.37)	1.52(-0.18~3.30)	0.04(-0.58~0.67)	0.07(-0.51~0.67)	
higher (∆≥3)	129(29.38)	1.80(0.07~3.70)	0.41(-0.18~1.00)	-0.17(-0.74~0.41)	
Per-1 SD	-	-	0.20(-0.08~0.49)	-0.03(0.14~ -0.31)	
Puberty pattern ^c					
$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	98(22.32)	2.06(0.46~3.73)	0.47(-0.34~1.27)	0.00(-0.78~0.77)	
B _P F _T	62(14.12)	2.36(0.51~3.78)	0.52(-0.38~1.42)	0.27(-0.58~1.12)	
BLFL	71(16.17)	1.32(-0.39~2.90)	0.00	0.00	
B_LF_T	137(31.21)	1.11(-0.26~3.09)	-0.42(-1.17~0.34)	-0.20(-0.91~0.51)	
B _T F _T	71(16.17)	0.58(-0.50~2.60)	-0.63(-1.50~0.24)	-0.18(-1.01~0.64)	

^aN(%): The number and proportions of girls with different characteristics;

^bPCS changes: Changes in puberty category scores (PCS) from baseline to follow-up;

^cPuberty pattern: "B_PF_P+B_PF_L", pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up & pre-pubertal at baseline and late-pubertal at follow-up, respectively; "B_PF_T, pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up", "B_LF_T, late-pubertal at follow-up, respectively", "B_LF_L, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up", "B_LF_T, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up", "B_LF_T, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up", "B_LF_T, post-pubertal at both base

^dModel 1: Crude β(95% Cl);

^eModel 2: Adjusted β (95% CI) after age at baseline, area, \triangle BMI, and \triangle WC.

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. ***P < 0.001.

TABLE 4 | β and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for changes in thyroid homeostasis structure parameters (Δ THSPs) according to puberty development by multiple liner regression analyses.

Group	N(%) ^a	<i>Median</i> (P ₂₅ ~P ₇₅)	β (95% CI)		
			Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
△FT4/FT3					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	0.71(0.39~1.03)	0.00	0.00	
middle ($\Delta=2$)	107(24.37)	0.8(0.42~1.18)	0.05(0, 0.18)	0.07(-0.07, 0.20)	
higher (∆≥3)	129(29.38)	0.88(0.51~1.17)	0.09(-0.04, 0.21)	0.03(-0.09, 0.16)	
Per-1 SD	-	-	0.03(-0.03, 0.09)	0.04(-0.02, 0.10)	
Puberty pattern ^c				40	
$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	98(22.32)	0.8(0.53~1.11)	0.05(-0.12, 0.22)	0.01(-0.17, 0.18)	
B_PF_T	62(14.12)	1(0.56~1.31)	0.17(-0.02, 0.36)	0.15(-0.03, 0.34)	
$B_{L}F_{L}$	71(16.17)	0.75(0.38~1.03)	0.00	0.00	
B_LF_T	137(31.22)	0.84(0.41~1.07)	0.02(-0.14, 0.18)	0.04(-0.11, 0.21)	
B _T F _T	71(16.17)	0.67(0.23~0.94)	-0.1(-0.29, 0.08)	-0.04(-0.23, 0.14)	
∆TSHI					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	-0.06(-0.33~0.26)	0.00	0.00	
middle (A=2)	107(24.37)	-0.06(-0.32~0.23)	-0.01(-0.13, 0.12)	-0.01(-0.14, 0.11)	
higher (∆≥3)	129(29.38)	-0.05(-0.43~0.31)	-0.02(-0.14, 0.09)	-0.06(-0.19, 0.06)	
Per-1 SD	-	-	0.01(-0.05, 0.07)	-0.02(-0.07, 0.04)	
Puberty pattern ^c					
$B_{P}F_{P}+B_{P}F_{L}$	98(22.32)	-0.05(-0.31~0.35)	0.15(-0.01, 0.31)	0.11(-0.05, 0.27)	
B _P F _T	62(14.12)	-0.03(-0.5~0.31)	0.09(-0.09, 0.26)	0.07(-0.11, 0.25)	
BLFL	71(16.17)	-0.19(-0.41~0.1)	0.00	0.00	
BLFT	137(31.21)	-0.02(-0.36~0.21)	0.06(-0.08, 0.21)	0.08(-0.07, 0.23)	
B _T F _T	71(16.17)	0(-0.29~0.37)	0.21(0.04, 0.38)*	0.24(0.06, 0.41)**	
∆TFQI					
PCS changes ^b					
lower (∆≤1)	203(46.24)	-0.04(-0.86~0.71)	0.00	0.00	
middle (<u></u> _=2)	107(24.37)	-0.12(-0.95~0.71)	-0.08(-0.39, 0.23)	-0.07(-0.38, 0.24)	
higher (<u></u> ≥3)	129(29.38)	-0.08(-0.96~0.78)	-0.05(-0.34, 0.24)	-0.22(-0.53, 0.08)	
Per-1 SD	-	-	0.01(-0.14, 0.15)	-0.06(-0.20, 0.09)	
Puberty pattern ^c					
$B_PF_P+B_PF_L$	98(22.32)	-0.02(-0.66~1.14)	0.31(-0.09, 0.71)	0.17(-0.24, 0.58)	
B _P F _T	62(14.12)	0.09(-0.88~1.06)	0.23(-0.21, 0.68)	0.17(-0.28, 0.62)	
$B_{L}F_{L}$	71(16.17)	-0.29(-1.03~0.43)	0.00	0.00	
B_LF_T	137(31.21)	-0.15(-1~0.69)	0.08(-0.3, 0.45)	0.15(-0.23, 0.52)	
B _T F _T	71(16.17)	0.04(-0.79~0.84)	0.25(-0.18, 0.68)	0.39(-0.04, 0.82)	

^aN(%): The number and proportions of girls with different characteristics;

^bPCS changes: Changes in puberty category scores (PCS) from baseline to follow-up;

^cPuberty pattern: " $B_{P}F_{P}+B_{P}F_{L}$ ", pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up & pre-pubertal at baseline and late-pubertal at follow-up, respectively; " $B_{P}F_{T}$, pre-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{T}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and post-pubertal at follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{T}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and post-pubertal at follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, late-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, respectively", " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and follow-up, " $B_{L}F_{L}$, post-pubertal at both baseline and post-pubertal at both baseline at both baseline at both baselin

^dModel 1: Crude β(95% Cl);

^eModel 2: Adjusted β (95% CI) after age at baseline, area, \triangle BMI, and \triangle WC.

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

The strengths of our study include the longitudinal study design in iodine-sufficient regions, the relatively comprehensive indicators for thyroid function, and the objective assessment of pubertal progress for each girl. Our study also had limitations. First, assessments of pubertal stage were confined to broader categories (pre-early-mid-pubertal/late-pubertal/post-pubertal) due to the limited sample size. Second, the participants were predominantly selected from iodine-sufficient regions in East China, and the findings may not be fully generalized to other populations. Third, there was a lack of information on thyroxinebinding globulin (TBG) and deiodinase, and thyroid's secretory capacity (SPINA-GT) or total deiodase activity (SPINA-GD) could be calculated.

CONCLUSION

In this longitudinal study, we found that serum TSH and FT3 declined while serum FT4 was elevated among girls during puberty. Both the stage and the velocity of pubertal development were related to thyroid hormone fluctuations.



FIGURE 2 | β and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for ΔTHs according to ΔPCS and puberty pattern by multiple liner regression analyses (Model 2) after being stratified by age at baseline or area with different proportions of iodized-salt consumption.



FIGURE 3 | β and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for ΔTHs according to ΔPCS and puberty pattern by multiple liner regression analyses (Model 2) after being stratified by ΔBMI level or ΔWC level.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets for this article are not publicly available because: [personal information of participants]. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to [WN, na.wang@fudan.edu.cn].

ETHICS STATEMENT

Informed written consents were obtained from all the participants and their parents, and this study was approved by the ethical review board of School of Public Health of Fudan University (#2012-03-0350S).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DH, XD, MS, PH, NW, YC, and QJ contributed to the study design. YW, DH, CF, FJ, QX, and NW contributed to data acquisition and collection. YW, NW, and YC contributed to data analysis and interpretation. YW and NW drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Bassett JHD, Williams GR. Role of Thyroid Hormones in Skeletal Development and Bone Maintenance. *Endocr Rev* (2016) 37(2):135–87. doi: 10.1210/er.2015-1106
- Salazar P, Cisternas P, Martinez M, Inestrosa NC. Hypothyroidism and Cognitive Disorders During Development and Adulthood: Implications in the Central Nervous System. *Mol Neurobiol* (2019) 56(4):2952–63. doi: 10.1007/s12035-018-1270-y
- Vaitkus JA, Farrar JS, Celi FS. Thyroid Hormone Mediated Modulation of Energy Expenditure. Int J Mol Sci (2015) 16(7):16158–75. doi: 10.3390/ ijms160716158
- Bianco AC, Dumitrescu A, Gereben B, Ribeiro MO, Fonseca TL, Fernandes GW, et al. Paradigms of Dynamic Control of Thyroid Hormone Signaling. *Endocr Rev* (2019) 40(4):1000–47. doi: 10.1210/er.2018-00275
- Gnocchi D, Steffensen KR, Bruscalupi G, Parini P. Emerging Role of Thyroid Hormone Metabolites. *Acta Physiol* (2016) 217(3):184–216. doi: 10.1111/ apha.12648
- Dietrich JW, Landgrafe G, Fotiadou EH. TSH and Thyrotropic Agonists: Key Actors in Thyroid Homeostasis. J Thyroid Res (2012) 2012:351864. doi: 10.1155/2012/351864
- Laclaustra M, Moreno-Franco B, Lou-Bonafonte JM, Mateo-Gallego R, Casasnovas JA, Guallar-Castillon P, et al. Impaired Sensitivity to Thyroid Hormones Is Associated With Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome. *Diabetes Care* (2019) 42(2):303–10. doi: 10.2337/dc18-1410
- Štěpánek L, Horáková D, Štěpánek L, Janout V, Janoutová J, Bouchalová K, et al. Free Triiodothyronine/Free Thyroxine (FT3/FT4) Ratio is Strongly Associated With Insulin Resistance in Euthyroid and Hypothyroid Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Endokrynol Pol* (2020) 72(3):8–13. doi: 10.5603/ EP.a2020.0066
- Carel J-C, Leger J. Precocious Puberty. New Engl J Med (2008) 358(22):2366– 77. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp0800459
- Wilken JA, Greenspan LC, Kushi LH, Voss RW, Windham GC. Thyroid Hormones and Timing of Pubertal Onset in a Longitudinal Cohort of Females, Northern California, 2006-11. *Paediatric Perinatal Epidemiol* (2016) 30(3):285–93. doi: 10.1111/ppe.12280
- Taylor PN, Sayers A, Okosieme O, Das G, Draman MS, Tabasum A, et al. Maturation in Serum Thyroid Function Parameters Over Childhood and Puberty: Results of a Longitudinal Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2017) 102 (7):2508–15. doi: 10.1210/jc.2016-3605
- Wang YY, Xu Q, Xu DL, Dong XL, Su MF, Qian JH, et al. Association Between Puberty With Thyroid Morphology and Function in Women. *Chin J Epidemiol* (2020) 41(6):877–83. doi: 10.3760/cmaj.cn112338-20190626-00470
- 13. Li H, Li J. Thyroid Disorders in Women. Minerva Med (2015) 106(2):109-14.
- Santin AP, Furlanetto TW. Role of Estrogen in Thyroid Function and Growth Regulation. J Thyroid Res (2011) 2011:875125. doi: 10.4061/2011/875125
- Radicioni AF, Tahani N, Spaziani M, Anzuini A, Piccheri C, Semeraro A, et al. Reference Ranges for Thyroid Hormones in Normal Italian Children and Adolescents and Overweight Adolescents. *J Endocrinol Invest* (2013) 36 (5):326–30. doi: 10.3275/8581
- Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Desai A, Kanwar R, Grewal K, Aggarwal R, et al. Reference Range of Thyroid Hormones in Normal Indian School-Age Children. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* (2008) 68(3):369–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.03048.x
- Zurakowski D, Di Canzio J, Majzoub JA. Pediatric Reference Intervals for Serum Thyroxine, Triiodothyronine, Thyrotropin, and Free Thyroxine. *Clin Chem* (1999) 45(7):1087–91. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/45.7.1087

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81602806), the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project of Public Health (Grant No.15GWZK0801), the Minhang District Natural Science Research Project (Grant No.2018MHZ010), and the Fudan-Minhang Health Complex Project (Grant No.2019FM07).

- Wang Y, Dong X, Fu C, Su M, Jiang F, Xu D, et al. Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (Tsh) Is Associated With General and Abdominal Obesity: A Cohort Study in School-Aged Girls During Puberty in East China. Front Endocrinol (2020) 11:620. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00620
- Morovat A. Methods for the Investigation of Thyroid Function. In: MJ Wheeler, editor. *Hormone Assays in Biological Fluids*, 2nd Edition. Vol 1065 (2013). p. 75–104. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-616-0_5
- Li Y, Ding S, Han C, Liu A, Shan Z, Teng W, et al. Concentration-Dependent Differences in Urinary Iodine Measurements Between Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry and the Sandell-Kolthoff Method. *Biol Trace Elem Res* (2020). doi: 10.1007/s12011-020-02381-8
- Wang H, Tang C, Yang J, Wang N, Jiang F, Xia Q, et al. Predictors of Urinary Antibiotics in Children of Shanghai and Health Risk Assessment. *Environ Int* (2018) 121:507–14. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.032
- Koopman-Verhoeff ME, Gredvig-Ardito C, Barker DH, Saletin JM, Carskadon MA. Classifying Pubertal Development Using Child and Parent Report: Comparing the Pubertal Development Scales to Tanner Staging. J Adolesc Health (2020) 66(5):597–602. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.11.308
- Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Desai AK, Kanwar R, Sastry A, Narang A, et al. The Evolution of Thyroid Function With Puberty. *Clin Endocrinol* (2012) 76 (6):899–904. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2011.04305.x
- 24. Kaloumenou I, Duntas LH, Alevizaki M, Mantzou E, Chiotis D, Mengreli C, et al. Gender, Age, Puberty, and BMI Related Changes of TSH and Thyroid Hormones in Schoolchildren Living in a Long-standing Iodine Replete Area. *Hormone Metab Res* (2010) 42(4):285–9. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1246184
- Abreu AP, Kaiser UB. Pubertal Development and Regulation. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol (2016) 4(3):254–64. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00418-0
- Karapanou O, Papadimitriou A. Determinants of Menarche. Reprod Biol Endocrinol (2010) 8:115. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-8-115
- Stanley JA, Aruldhas MM, Yuvaraju PB, Banu SK, Anbalagan J, Neelamohan R, et al. Is Gender Difference in Postnatal Thyroid Growth Associated With Specific Expression Patterns of Androgen and Estrogen Receptors? *Steroids* (2010) 75(13-14):1058–66. doi: 10.1016/j.steroids.2010.06.009
- Gunapalasingham G, Frithioff-Bojsoe C, Lund MAV, Hedley PL, Fonvig CE, Dahl M, et al. Reference Values for Fasting Serum Concentrations of Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone and Thyroid Hormones in Healthy Danish/North-European White Children and Adolescents. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* (2019) 79 (1-2):129–35. doi: 10.1080/00365513.2019.1581945
- Elmlinger MW, Kuhnel W, Lambrecht HG, Ranke MB. Reference Intervals From Birth to Adulthood for Serum Thyroxine (T-4), Triiodothyronine (T-3), Free T-3, Free T-4, Thyroxine Binding Globulin (TBG) and Thyrotropin (TSH). *Clin Chem Lab Med* (2001) 39(10):973–9. doi: 10.1515/CCLM.2001.158
- Parra A, Villalpando S, Junco E, Urquieta B, Alatorre S, Garcia-Bulnes G. Thyroid Gland Function During Childhood and Adolescence. Changes in Serum TSH, T4, T3, Thyroxine-Binding Globulin, Reverse T3 and Free T4 and T3 Concentrations. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* (1980) 93(3):306–41. doi: 10.1530/acta.0.0930306
- Michaud P, Foradori A, Rodriguez-Portales JA, Arteaga E, Lopez JM, Tellez R. A Prepubertal Surge of Thyrotropin Precedes an Increase in Thyroxine and 3,5,3'-Triiodothyronine in Normal Children. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (1991) 72(5):976–81. doi: 10.1210/jcem-72-5-976
- Jung G, Oh S-B, Lee WY, Kim HR, Nam H-K, Kim JH, et al. Thyroid Function in Girls With Central Precocious Puberty. *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* (2019) 24(2):124–8. doi: 10.6065/apem.2019.24.2.124
- Mann DR, Plant TM. The Role and Potential Sites of Action of Thyroid Hormone in Timing the Onset of Puberty in Male Primates. *Brain Res* (2010) 1364:175–85. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.09.082

 Aakre I, Strand TA, Moubarek K, Barikmo I, Henjum S. Associations Between Thyroid Dysfunction and Developmental Status in Children With Excessive Iodine Status. *PloS One* (2017) 12(11):e0187241. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0187241

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Wang, He, Fu, Dong, Jiang, Su, Xu, Huang, Wang, Chen and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.