#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Mark Yorek, The University of Iowa, United States

### REVIEWED BY Oscar Lorenzo, Health Research Institute Foundation Jimenez Diaz (IIS-FJD), Spain Marcelo Correia, The University of Iowa, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaofang Zhang 857154586@qq.com Lihong Wang

Nd6688@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Neuroendocrine Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 31 July 2022 ACCEPTED 16 November 2022 PUBLISHED 05 December 2022

#### CITATION

Wei J, Yang B, Wang R, Ye H, Wang Y, Wang L and Zhang X (2022) Risk of stroke and retinopathy during GLP-1 receptor agonist cardiovascular outcome trials: An eight RCTs meta-analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1007980. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1007980

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wei, Yang, Wang, Ye, Wang, Wang and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Risk of stroke and retinopathy during GLP-1 receptor agonist cardiovascular outcome trials: An eight RCTs meta-analysis

Jinjing Wei<sup>1†</sup>, Bing Yang<sup>1†</sup>, Ruxin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Haowen Ye<sup>1</sup>, Ying Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lihong Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Xiaofang Zhang<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>The Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Basic and Translational Research on Chronic Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department Clinical Experimental Center, First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

**Purpose:** To explore the risk of stroke (including ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke) in type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP-1RA) medication according to data from the Cardiovascular Outcome Trials(CVOT).

**Methods:** Randomized controlled trials (RCT) on GLP-1RA therapy and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetics published in full-text journal databases such as Medline (*via* PubMed), Embase, Clinical Trials.gov, and the Cochrane Library from establishment to May 1, 2022 were searched. We assess the quality of individual studies by using the Cochrane risk of bias algorithm. RevMan 5.4.1 software was use for calculating meta- analysis.

**Results:** A total of 60,081 randomized participants were included in the data of these 8 GLP-1RA cardiovascular outcomes trials. Pooled analysis reported statistically significant effect on total stroke risk[RR=0.83, 95%CI(0.73, 0.95), p=0.005], and its subtypes such as ischemic Stroke [RR=0.83, 95%CI(0.73, 0.95), p=0.008] from treatment with GLP-1RA versus placebo, and have no significant effect on the risk of hemorrhagic stroke[RR=0.83, 95%CI(0.57, 1.20), p=0.31] and retinopathy [RR=1.54, 95%CI(0.74, 3.23), p=0.25]

**Conclusion:** GLP-1RA significantly reduces the risk of ischemic stroke in type 2 diabetics with cardiovascular risk factors.

### KEYWORDS

ischemic stroke, stroke, T2DM, GLP-1RA, CVOTs (cardiovascular outcome trials)

# 1 Introduction

IDF(International Diabetes Federation)Releases New Global Diabetes Map 2021( http://www.diabetesatlas.org/), the data show that 537 million cases of adult diabetes worldwide by 2021. A variety of complications can arise from the progression of diabetes, and cardiovascular complications are the main cause of death in diabetics, for example, heart attack, brain attack, heart failure, malignant arrhythmia, etc. Stroke is a brain disorder that is attributed to a dramatic blood vessel rupturing or blocking within the brain, thereby preventing the flow of blood to the brain. Nearly a population of 15 million encounter stroke each year in the globe, with 33% caused to be permanently disable, and 40% caused to die (1, 2). Diabetes is now widely recognized nationally and internationally as a major and independent risk factor for stroke morbidity and mortality. A study in 2022 《the European Journal of Preventive Cardiology showed that type 2 diabetics are at high or very high risk of fatal myocardial infarction (MI) or stroke (3). Recent data from a study conducted by Wang Congjun at Beijing Tiantan Hospital in China showed that 33.4% of 833,000 acute ischemic stroke patients have combined diabetes. As the pathological basis of most ischemic strokes and some hemorrhagic strokes is atherosclerosis, While diabetes can precipitate or exacerbate the development of atherosclerotic lesions, Thus, it is so important to pay attention to the atherosclerosis-protective effect when developing individualized glucose-lowering regimens for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) that reduce the risk of stroke. GLP-1RA is a new type of glucose-lowering drug that decreases the risk of hypoglycemia by stimulating insulin secretion and lowering glucagon secretion, delaying gastric emptying, and reducing appetite to lowers HbA1c, modestly improves blood lipids and body weight (4). A meta-analysis study showed that GLP-1-based therapies appear to provide beneficial effects against atherosclerosis (5). A pooled analysis reported GLP-1RA no significant effect on atherosclerotic MACE (RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.84-1.00, p=0.05) (6). International attention is mainly focused on the cardiac and renal outcomes of GLP-1RA clinical trials, there are other good meta-analyses and umbrella reviews analyzing MACE events (including nonfatal stroke) (7-11). But less attention has been paid to the question of whether GLP-1RA treatment can reduce the risk of subtype of stroke in patients with T2DM. On the other hand, the 2013 American Heart Association (AHA)/American Stroke Association (ASA) Expert Consensus on "A New Definition of Stroke for the 21st Century" (the "Updated Consensus") concluded that, Central nervous system (CNS) infarction is defined as "ischemic cell death in the brain, spinal cord, or retina based on pathology, imaging, other objective evidence, and/or clinical evidence of ischemia" (12). Retinal

ischemia secondary to central retinal artery occlusion meets the definition of acute ischemic stroke. Therefore we aimed to perform a meta-analysis of adverse event outcomes of stroke and retinopathy in the GLP-1RA large-scale CVOT clinical trial (RCT).

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Search strategy

This meta-analysis was aligned with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (www.prisma-statement.org), the protocol was registered in The International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) (www.crd.york.ac.uk/ prospero) under (CRD42022337176). The English and Chinese literature on GLP-1RA associated with cardiovascular outcomes published since the establishment of the database until May 1, 2022 was searched manually by computer in MEDLINE (*via* PubMed), Embase, Clinical Trials.gov, and Cochrane Library databases. Search terms: "Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist", "Cardiovascular", " cardiac failure", "lixisenatide", "exenatide", "liraglutide ", "Semaglutide", "Albiglutide", "Dulaglutide", "Efpeglenatide", "placebo", "Clinical Trials".

# 2.2 Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) Randomized, double-blind, parallelgroup, multicenter study of clinical trials; (2) Type 2 diabetes mellitus who are at high cardiovascular risk (including but not limited to obesity, metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, hypertension, dyslipidemia, etc.) are the primary study subjects; (3) At least 1000 people in the test and control groups, and at least 3000 people in total; (4)Intervention with GLP-1 receptor agonist and control with placebo; (5)Data on ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke and retinal arteriopathy must be available in all trials for adverse events; (6)A more complete table of baseline patient characteristics is available; (7) Published English literature up to May 1, 2022.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Reviews, reports, and conference proceedings on GLP-1RA and cardiac arrhythmias; (2) Studies with inaccessible full text or incomplete data; (3) Repeatedly published or repeatedly included studies or studies with similar information; (4) Clinical trials that included type 1 diabetic patients.

## 2.3 Main and secondary results

### 2.3.1 Primary outcome

Total stroke events and major stroke types (including ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke).

### 2.3.2 Secondary outcomes

Retinopathy (Retinopathy means disease of the retina. There are several types of retinopathy but we only include hemorrhagic and ischemic related retinopathy)

# 2.4 Literature screening, data extraction and quality evaluation

Randomized controlled trials comparing GLP-1RA with placebo in T2DM at high cardiovascular risk were included. Outcomes of interest included stroke events and retinopathy. First, titles and abstracts were screened to assess their potential eligibility for inclusion, and then full-text checks were applied to determine final eligibility. The following information was collected using a predefined data extraction form: study information (trial name, sample size, drug name), patient characteristics (age, gender, baseline status), therapy information (regimen, dose) and outcome data (number of events per outcome). All outcomes of interest were dichotomous, first preferentially extracting data from ClinicalTrials.gov and secondarily selecting data from the original trial publication or secondary analysis of the same trial. The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was used to assess the quality of included studies (13). Bias was assessed in seven ways: selection bias (including random sequence generation, allocation concealment), implementation bias (whether subjects and trial personnel were blinded), measurement bias (whether outcome assessors were blinded), follow-up bias (whether outcome data were complete), reporting bias (whether study outcomes were selectively reported), and other bias (whether there were other sources of bias). The assessment criteria levels are classified as high, low or unclear. If one item was judged to be high, the overall risk of bias was judged to be high, and if all items were judged to be low, the risk of bias was judged to be low, otherwise it was unclear.

## 2.5 Statistical methods

Data were analyzed with RevMan 5.4.1, and effect analysis statistics were expressed as RR and 95% CI, p < 0.05 being a statistically significant difference. Heterogeneity analysis among groups between studies was executed using the  $\chi^2$  test, and the results are presented as  $I^2$ . Fixed-effects models were used for analysis if there was homogeneity among studies (p > 0.05 or  $I^2 \le 50\%$ ), and

random-effects models were used for analysis if there was heterogeneity among studies ( $p \le 0.05$  and  $I^2 > 50\%$ ). Sources of heterogeneity can be searched for by sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis when large heterogeneity exists. Due to the number of trials being less than 10, publication bias was not evaluated.

# **3 Result**

# 3.1 Procedure and outcomes of included literature

2008 literatures were initially screened in the database according to keywords, and 176 were obtained after deduplication and exclusion of review literature, irrelevant literature, incomplete data, unreported cardiovascular events, unspecified results, and incorrect study type, and then 8 clinical trials with a total of 68,001 patients were finally included after the screening process was repeated by two investigators again (Figure 1). The included reports, in chronological order, were ELIXA (14), LEADER (15), SUSTAIN-6 (16), EXSCEL (17), Harmony Outlets (18), REWIND (11), PIONEER 6 (10) and AMPLITUDE-O (9). Key trial and patient characteristics at baseline examination are shown in Table 1. All trials were of considerable size (>3000 patients). Of the 8 trials, ELIXA recruits patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome, and the study populations of the other 7 trials indicated in their inclusion criteria that they primarily included patients with stable cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular risk factors (19). In all eight trials, local investigators were encouraged to manage participants according to local guidelines.

## 3.2 Analysis of stroke types

### 3.2.1 Total stroke events

All 8 included studies can be used to analyze the effect of GLP-1RA on total stroke. No significant heterogeneity among studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.71), so the fixed-effects model was used to combine the effect sizes. Pooled analysis reported statistically significant effect on total stroke outcomes from treatment with GLP-1RA versus placebo [RR=0.83, 95%CI (0.73, 0.95), p=0.005], showed that the risk of total stroke was about 17% lower in the GLP-1RA treatment group than the placebo group.

### 3.2.2 Ischemic stroke events

All 8 included studies can be used to analyze the effect of GLP-1RA on ischemic stroke. No significant heterogeneity among studies( $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.87), so the fixed-effects model was used to combine the effect sizes. Pooled analysis reported statistically



significant effect on ischemic stroke outcomes from treatment with GLP-1RA versus placebo [RR=0.83, 95%CI(0.73, 0.95), p=0.008], showed that the risk of ischemic stroke was about 17% lower in the GLP-1RA treatment group than the placebo group.

### 3.2.3 Hemorrhagic stroke events

The eight studies collected for mate-analysis of the effect of GLP-1RA on hemorrhagic stroke are listed in Figure 2. No significant heterogeneity among studies ( $I^2 = 0\%, p = 0.74$ ), so the fixed-effects model was used to combine the effect sizes. Pooled analysis reported no significant effect on hemorrhagic stroke

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the included studies.

outcomes from treatment with GLP-1RA versus placebo [RR=0.83, 95%CI(0.57, 1.20), p=0.31].

# 3.4 Analysis of secondary outcomes

### 3.4.1 Retinal arteriopathy

All 8 included studies can be used to analyze the effect of GLP-1RA on retinal arteriopathy are listed in Figure 3. No significant heterogeneity among studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , p=0.80), so the fixed-effects model was used to combine the effect sizes. Pooled

|                                | ELIXA<br>(2015) | LEADER<br>(2016) | SUSTAIN-6<br>(2016) | EXSCEL<br>(2017) | Harmony<br>Outcomes<br>(2018) | REWIND<br>(2019) | PIONEER 6<br>(2019) | AMPLITUDE-O<br>(2020) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Drug Lixisenatide Liraglutide  |                 | Semaglutide      | Exenatide           | Albiglutide      | Dulaglutide                   | Semaglutide      | Efpeglenatide       |                       |
| paticipants                    | 6068            | 9341             | 3297                | 14752            | 9463                          | 9901             | 3183                | 4076                  |
| Usage                          | 20µg sc qd      | 1.8mg sc qd      | 0.5 or 1mg sc qw    | 2mg sc qw        | 30 or 50mg qw                 | 1.5mg sc qw      | 14mg po qd          | 4 or 6mg sc qw        |
| mean follow up<br>(years)      | 2.1             | 3.8              | 3.1                 | 3.2              | 1.6                           | 5.4              | 1.3                 | 1.8                   |
| Mean age(years)                | 60.3 ± 7        | 64.3 ± 7         | $64.6 \pm 7$        | 61.9 ± 9         | $64.1 \pm 7$                  | 66.2 ± 7         | $66.0 \pm 7$        | $64.5 \pm 7$          |
| Male n (%)                     | 4207(69.3%)     | 6003(64.3)       | 2002(60.1%)         | 9149(62%)        | 6569(69.4%)                   | 5312(53.7%)      | 2176(68.4%)         | 2732(67%)             |
| BMI(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )        | 30.2 ± 6.2      | 32.5 ± 6.3       | $32.8 \pm 6.2$      | $31.8\pm6.4$     | 32.3 ± 5.9                    | 32.3 ± 5.7       | 32.3 ± 6.5          | 32.7 ± 6.3            |
| Established CVD n<br>(%)       | 6068(100%)      | 7598(81%)        | 2735(83%)           | 10782(73%)       | 9463(100%)                    | 3109(31.4%)      | 2695(84.7%)         | 3650(89.6%)           |
| Duration of<br>diabetes(years) | 9.2 ± 8.1       | 12.8 ± 8.0       | 13.9 ± 8.1          | 13.1 ± 8.3       | 14.2 ± 8.6                    | 10.5 ± 7.2       | 14.9 ± 8.5          | 15.4 ± 8.2            |
| Mean HbA1c (%)                 | 7.7 ± 1.5       | 8.7 ± 1.6        | 8.7 ± 1.5           | 8.1 ± 1.0        | 8.7 ± 1.5                     | 7.3 ± 1.1        | 8.2 ± 1.6           | 8.9 ± 1.5             |

Data are mean ± SD, unless otherwise noted.

|  | GLP-1                  | RA       | Place                   | bo                    |                   | Risk Ratio         | Risk Ratio                                 |
|--|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup                      | Events                 | lotal    | Events                  | lotal                 | Weight            | M-H, Fixed, 95% C  | M-H. Fixed, 95% CI                         |
| 2.3.1 IOIAI SITORE                     | 44                     | 0740     | 5                       | 1055                  | 0.70/             | 4 40 10 20 2 451   |  |
| AMPLITUDE-0 2020-12                    | 11                     | 2/18     | 5                       | 1355                  | 0.7%              | 1.10 [0.38, 3.15]  |  |
| ELIXA 2015-2                           | 2                      | 3031     | 4                       | 3032                  | 0.4%              | 0.50 [0.09, 2.73]  |  |
| EXSCEL 2017-4                          | 6                      | 7344     | 13                      | 13/2                  | 1.3%              | 0.46 [0.18, 1.22]  |  |
| HARMONY 2018-3                         | 5                      | 4/1/     | 6                       | 4/15                  | 0.6%              | 0.83 [0.25, 2.73]  |  |
| LEADER 2015-12                         | 239                    | 4668     | 2/3                     | 4672                  | 21.2%             | 0.88 [0.74, 1.04]  |  |
| PIONEER-6 2018-9                       | 14                     | 1591     | 24                      | 1591                  | 2.4%              | 0.58 [0.30, 1.12]  | _  |
| REWIND 2018-8                          | 96                     | 4943     | 107                     | 4949                  | 10.7%             | 0.90 [0.68, 1.18]  |  |
| SUSTAIN-6 2016-3                       | 48                     | 1648     | 68                      | 1649                  | 6.8%              | 0.71 [0.49, 1.02]  |  |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      | 101                    | 30660    | 500                     | 29335                 | 50.0%             | 0.83 [0.73, 0.95]  | •  |
| I otal events                          | 421                    |          | 500                     |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.59 | df = 7 (P)             | = 0.71)  | ; l <sup>2</sup> = 0%   |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$       | 2.82 (P =              | 0.005)   |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
| 232 is chamic stroke                   |                        |          |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
|  | 10                     | 0710     | 5                       | 1966                  | 0.7%              | 1 00 10 24 2 041   |  |
| AMPLITUDE-0 2020-12                    | 10                     | 2/18     | 5                       | 1355                  | 0.7%              | 1.00 [0.34, 2.91]  |  |
| ELIXA 2015-2                           |                        | 3031     | 5                       | 3032                  | 0.5%              | 0.33 [0.03, 3.20]  |  |
| HARMONIX 2018 2                        | 2                      | 1344     | 5                       | 1312                  | 0.5%              | 0.40 [0.06, 2.07]  |  |
| HARMONT 2016-3                         | 212                    | 4/1/     | 244                     | 4/15                  | 0.5%              | 0.00 [0.21, 2.96]  | _  |
| DIONEED 6 2019 0                       | 213                    | 4000     | 244                     | 4072                  | 24.3%             | 0.67 [0.73, 1.03]  |  |
| PIONEER-0 2018-9                       | 14                     | 1042     | 24                      | 1040                  | 2.4%              | 0.56 [0.50, 1.12]  | -  |
| SUSTAINLE 2016-3                       | 45                     | 1648     | 50                      | 1649                  | 5.0%              | 0.80 [0.04, 1.10]  |  |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      | 40                     | 30660    | 59                      | 29335                 | 44 0%             | 0.83 [0.73, 0.95]  | •  |
| Total events                           | 371                    | 00000    | 440                     | 20000                 | 44.070            | 0.00 [0.10, 0.00]  | ,  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3 17 | df = 7 (P              | = 0.87   | $1^2 = 0\%$             |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect: Z = :         | 2.63 (P =              | 0.008)   | . 070                   |                       |                   |                    |  |
|  |                        | ,        |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
| 2.3.3 hemorrhagic stroke               |                        |          |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
| AMPLITUDE-O 2020-12                    | 1                      | 2718     | 0                       | 1355                  | 0.1%              | 1.50 [0.06, 36.70] |  |
| ELIXA 2015-2                           | 1                      | 3031     | 1                       | 3032                  | 0.1%              | 1.00 [0.06, 15.99] |  |
| EXSCEL 2017-4                          | 4                      | 7344     | 8                       | 7372                  | 0.8%              | 0.50 [0.15, 1.67]  |  |
| HARMONY 2018-3                         | 1                      | 4717     | 1                       | 4715                  | 0.1%              | 1.00 [0.06, 15.98] |  |
| LEADER 2015-12                         | 26                     | 4668     | 29                      | 4672                  | 2.9%              | 0.90 [0.53, 1.52]  |  |
| PIONEER-6 2018-9                       | 0                      | 1591     | 0                       | 1591                  |                   | Not estimable      |  |
| <b>REWIND 2018-8</b>                   | 14                     | 4943     | 12                      | 4949                  | 1.2%              | 1.17 [0.54, 2.52]  |  |
| SUSTAIN-6 2016-3                       | 3                      | 1648     | 9                       | 1649                  | 0.9%              | 0.33 [0.09, 1.23]  |  |
| Subtotal (95% CI)                      |                        | 30660    |                         | 29335                 | 6.0%              | 0.83 [0.57, 1.20]  | •  |
| Total events                           | 50                     |          | 60                      |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.56 | df = 6 (P              | = 0.74)  | l <sup>2</sup> = 0%     |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect: Z =           | 1.01 (P =              | 0.31)    |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
|  |                        |          |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Total (95% CI)                         |                        | 91980    |                         | 88005                 | 100.0%            | 0.83 [0.76, 0.91]  | •  |
| Total events                           | 842                    |          | 1000                    |                       |                   |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.3 | 2, df = 22             | (P = 0.9 | (7); l <sup>2</sup> = 0 | %                     |                   |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect: Z = :         | 3.99 (P <              | 0.0001)  |                         |                       |                   |                    | Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]   |
| Test for subaroup difference           | es: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 0.00. d  | f = 2 (P =              | 1.00). I <sup>a</sup> | <sup>e</sup> = 0% |                    | r avours [experimental] T avours [control] |
|  |                        |          |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |
|  |                        |          |                         |                       |                   |                    |  |

analysis reported no significant effect on retinal arteriopathy outcomes from treatment with GLP-1RA versus placebo [RR=1.54, 95%CI(0.74, 3.23), p=0.25].

# 4 Discussion

The results of eight RCTs on CVOT outcomes of GLP-1RA in type 2 diabetic patients, which we included, showed that GLP-1RA treatment significantly reduced the risk of total stroke (~17%) and ischemic stroke (~17%) in type 2 diabetic patients, suggesting that GLP-1RA may have some protective effect in patients with cerebrovascular stenosis. Similar studies have been done in the past, A 2022 retrospective cohort study reported longer use and higher dose of GLP-1 RAs were associated with a decreased risk of hospitalization for ischemic stroke among Asian patients with T2DM who did not have established atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases, but who did have dyslipidemia or hypertension (20). A net meta-analysis in 2021 showed GLP-1RA versus placebo Our findings indicate that GLP-1RA reduce the risk of stroke (OR 0.87, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.98; high-certainty evidence) (7). Another study in 2021 comparing the efficacy and safety of SGLT2I, GLP-1RA and DPP4i found that only GLP-1RA was associated with a lower risk of stroke compared with placebo (RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.76, 0.94) (21). Some trials showed that there was a significant (p = 0.012) 9% risk reduction of non-fatal stroke associated with the use of newer glucose-lowering drugs, which was largely driven by the 16% reduction associated with the use of GLP-1RA, with no significant heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 21.3\%$ , p = 0.206) and no evidence of publication bias (Egger test, p = 0.233) (22). Besides, a clear significant benefit on non-fatal stroke were showed on SUSTAIN-6 and REWIND for GLP-1RA and SCORED for SGLT-2i (22). This suggests that perhaps GLP-1RAs with longer half-lives appear to be beneficial for preventing MACE generally and possibly reducing the risk of stroke (23). Overall, the novelty of our study vs previous studies include the following: First, previous studies have focused more on MACE outcomes in patients with T2DM treated with GLP-1RA, capturing the risk of non-fatal stroke as a whole-as for a meta-analysis of the eight trials was already reported (24), while our study focuses on the risk of subtype of stroke after GLP-1RA



treatment in T2DM. Second, previous studies have focused on cross-sectional comparisons of the cardiac and renal outcomes of various hypoglycemic drugs, the meaning is to compare the differences in safety and efficacy of different types of hypoglycemic drugs in application. We aimed to analyze the subtype risk of GLP-1RA in T2DM, to explore whether GLP-1RA may have a protective effect on diabetic patients with different high-risk cerebrovascular factors, and to provide a theoretical basis for further studies.

An interesting and important finding that administration of GLP-1Ras did not lower the risk for hemorrhagic stroke with statistical significance, but the RR was equivalent to that for ischemic stroke. It could be just due to the number of events and power. Although this meta-analysis showed that the RRs for hemorrhagic stroke were no statistical significance, the overall absolute risk increase should be of concern (50 events in 29069 patients treated with a GLP-1RA), because of its high disability and lethality rates.

Retinopathy has been reported as an serious adverse event in the published reports and/or the supplemental materials of the eight randomized clinical trials of GLP-1 Ras. We collected the data in the public disclosure one by one, and to maintain homogeneity, we only include hemorrhagic and ischemic related retinopathy, and excluded retinopathy due to other causes (e.g., glaucoma, cataract, etc.). However, to our knowledge, retinopathy events have seldom been reported. only 17 events in 29069 patients treated with a GLP-1RA eligible for this systematic review clearly reported retinopathy events, underreporting cannot be ruled out.

The main mechanisms of cardiovascular protection by GLP-1RA are currently explored as follows: anti-inflammatory, antiatherosclerosis, reduction of reactive oxygen species production and anti-oxidative stress, reduction of thrombotic events, etc. Ex-4 inhibits oxidized LDL-induced macrophage foam cell formation by downregulating several inflammatory and adhesion molecules in monocytes and macrophages to suppress their accumulation in the arterial wall (25). Evidence from preclinical studies suggests that liraglutide was shown to

inhibit TNF-a-induced oxidative stress and inflammation in endothelial cells through calcium and AMPK-dependent mechanisms (26, 27), and reduce the occurrence and progression of atherosclerotic plaque formation at an early stage and enhance plaque stability (28). Furthermore, GLP-1RA also reduces the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 (29), GLP-1RAs are also proposed to have antioxidant and neuroprotective effects by upregulating vascular endothelial growth factor production (30) and reducing proinflammatory cytokine production (31). Oeseburg et al. showed that GLP-1RA can prevent ROS through induced expression of antioxidant genes downstream of protein kinase A (PKA) and cAMP response element-binding (CREB) protein (32). Shi et al. suggested that liraglutide could protect cells from glucotoxic damage by inhibiting ERK1/2 and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways through GLP-1RA (33). a single injection of Ex-4 inhibited thrombus growth in normoglycemic and hyperglycemic mice in an *in vivo* laser injury model of arterial thrombosis (34). In a real-world study, liraglutide was found to significantly reduce cIMT (surrogate marker of subclinical atherosclerosis) in subjects with metabolic syndrome (MetS) during 18 months of follow-up, with a statistically significant reduction after only 6 months of treatment (35). More directly, intracerebroventricular administration of liraglutide reduced the cerebral infarct volume in rats with ischaemia-reperfusion injury (23, 30). These data suggest that GLP-1RAs have anti-atherosclerotic or vasculoprotective properties, which may be the main mechanism of their beneficial effect on stroke prevention (23).

Evidence from animal studies suggests that GLP-1-based therapies may be used as cardio- or neuroprotectants, suggests that GLP-1RAs and Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4is) may provide neuroprotection (36). However, a review suggests that DPP4i do not reduce any risk of efficacy outcomes, while moderate-certainty evidence likely supports the use of GLP-1RA to reduce fatal and non-fatal stroke (7). Moreover, research shows that GLP-1RA is the only drug class that reduces the risk of stroke among the various types of new hypoglycemic drugs (21). In terms of the molecular mechanisms, GLP-1 peptides

shown more interesting actions, may cause GLUT4 upregulation in SHRs due to GLP-1 action (37). Glut4 mRNA expression and sarcolemmal translocation were also increased after GLP-1 stimulation in high-fatty acid incubated cardiomyocytes. PI3K/ Akt and AMPK $\alpha$  were involved in this response (38). Low-level expression of Glut4 was observed in motor nuclei of spinal cord, nuclei of medulla oblongata, cerebellar nuclei and Purkinje cell layer, basal ganglia, neocortex, olfactory bulb, hypothalamus, and hippocampus in rodents (39-42),GLUT4/Glut4 in brain is supposed to be involved in provision of metabolic energy for firing neurons (43), and is supposed to be also involved in hypothalamic regulation of food intake, energy expenditure, and whole-body glucohomeostasis (44). However, data from clinical trials only report therapeutic efficacy for GLP-1RAs (36). Thus, GLP-1RA administration is the most promising treatment to pursue for patients at risk of stroke or immediately after stroke (36).

Our study also has limitations. First, these studies were not specifically designed to evaluate the risk of gallbladder or biliary diseases associated with GLP-1 Ras treatment, further validation could be done in future by designing large clinical studies with stroke as an endpoint. Second, we were unable to use patientlevel data to evaluate outcomes, which limited our capability to further explore any subgroups of interest, Therefore, the findings of the subgroup analysis have to be interpreted cautiously and further investigations are needed to assess whether GLP-1RA may reduce the incidence of stroke in T2DM with multiple comorbidities. Third, the small number of events in subgroups may have allowed for underpowered subgroup analyses. Fourth, we included RCTs with a total of at least 3000 participants to limit bias due to small base size, which may have missed some of the positive or negative data. Fifth, no further animal or cellular experiments were done in this study to verify. The above limitations may weaken the persuasiveness of our study, but the majority of eligible studies selected by 2 different investigators based on strict inclusion criteria were of high quality through a comprehensive literature search of 4 databases. Thus, we believe the conclusions drawn from this meta-analysis are reasonable.

# **5** Conclusion

Overall, our study showed that GLP-1RA significantly reduced the risk of total stroke (about 27%) and ischemic stroke (about 27%) in T2DM, with statistically significant differences, and showed a neutral effect of GLP-1RA on the risk of hemorrhagic stroke and retinopathy.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

JW designed the research, JW and BY contributed to the literature database search, data collection, data extraction, data analysis, and writing of the manuscript. JW, BY, RW, HY and YW participated in the discussion. JW, BY, RW, XZ and LW reviewed and revised this article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This study was funded by Science and Technology Projects in Guangzhou (no. 20220102 0081), Talent introduction funding project of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University (no. 808026) and Basic Scientific Research Project of central Universities of Jinan University (no. 21622301).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fendo.2022.1007980/full#supplementary-material 1. Barthels D, Das H. Current advances in ischemic stroke research and therapies. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* (2020) 1866(4):165260. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.09.012

2. Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2022 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation* (2022) 145(8):e153-639. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000001052

3. Cebrian-Cuenca AM, Mata-Cases M, Franch-Nadal J, Mauricio D, Orozco-Beltrán D, Consuegra-Sánchez L, et al. Half of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus are at very high cardiovascular risk according to the ESC/EASD: data from a large Mediterranean population. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* (2022) 28(18):e32–e4. doi: 10.1093/ euripc/zwaa073

4. Andreasen CR, Andersen A, Knop FK, Vilsbøll T. Understanding the place for GLP-1RA therapy: Translating guidelines for treatment of type 2 diabetes into everyday clinical practice and patient selection. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2021) 23 Suppl 3:40–52. doi: 10.1111/dom.14500

5. Song XY, Jia HT, Jiang YB, Wang L, Zhang Y, Mu Y, et al. Antiatherosclerotic effects of the glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) based therapies in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:10202. doi: 10.1038/srep10202

6. Tang HL, Niu S, Brown J, Wei J, Shao H, Bian J, et al. Newer glucose-lowering drugs and risk of dementia: A meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2022) 70(9):2719–22. doi: 10.2337/db22-870-P

7. Kanie T, Mizuno A, Takaoka Y, Suzuki T, Yoneoka D, Nishikawa Y, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists and sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors for people with cardiovascular disease: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Db Syst Rev* (2021) 10:CD013650. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD013650

8. Sattar N, Lee MMY, Kristensen SL, Branch KRH, Prato Del S, Khurmi NS, et al. Cardiovascular, mortality, and kidney outcomes with GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet Diabetes Endo* (2021) 9(10):653–62. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00203-5

9. Gerstein HC, Sattar N, Rosenstock J, Ramasundarahettige C, Pratley R, Lopes RD, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with efpeglenatide in type 2 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2021) 385(10):896–907. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108269

10. Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, Dungan K, Eliaschewitz FG, Franco DR, et al. Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2019) 381(9):841-51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1901118

11. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, Diaz R, Lakshmanan M, Pais P, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* (2019) 394(10193):121–30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31149-3

12. Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American heart Association/American stroke association. *Stroke* (2013) 44(7):2064–89. doi: 10.1161/STR.0b013e318296aeca

13. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* (2011) 343:d5928. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928

14. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, Dickstein K, Gerstein HC, Køber LV, et al. Lixisenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute coronary syndrome. *New Engl J Med* (2015) 373(23):2247–57. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1509225

15. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, Kristensen P, Mann JF, Nauck MA, et al. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2016) 375(4):311–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1603827

16. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jódar E, Leiter LA, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. New Engl J Med (2016) 375(19):1834–44. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1607141

17. Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, Thompson VP, Lokhnygina Y, Buse JB, et al. Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2017) 377(13):1228–39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1612917

18. Hernandez AF, Green JB, Janmohamed S, D'Agostino RB, Granger CB, Jones NP, et al. Albiglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Harmony outcomes): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* (2018) 392(10157):1519–29. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32261-X

19. Kristensen SL, Rorth R, Jhund PS, Docherty KF, Sattar N, Preiss D, et al. Cardiovascular, mortality, and kidney outcomes with GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of

cardiovascular outcome trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol (2019) 7(10):776–85. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30249-9

20. Yang YS, Chen HH, Huang CN, Hsu CY, Hu K-C, Kao C-H, et al. GLP-1RAs for ischemic stroke prevention in patients with type 2 diabetes without established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* (2022) 45 (5):1184–92. doi: 10.2337/dc21-1993

21. Lin DS, Lee JK, Hung CS, Chen W-J. The efficacy and safety of novel classes of glucose-lowering drugs for cardiovascular outcomes: a network meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *Diabetologia* (2021) 64(12):2676–86. doi: 10.1007/s00125-021-05529-w

22. Maiorino MI, Longo M, Scappaticcio L, Bellastella G, Chiodini P, Esposito K, et al. Improvement of glycemic control and reduction of major cardiovascular events in 18 cardiovascular outcome trials: an updated meta-regression. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2021) 20(1):210. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01401-8

23. Maiorino MI, Signoriello S, Maio A, Chiodini P, Bellastella G, Scappaticcio L. Diabetes drugs and stroke risk: Intensive versus conventional glucose-lowering strategies, and implications of recent cardiovascular outcome trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2020) 22(1):6–15. doi: 10.1111/dom.13850

24. Giugliano D, Scappaticcio L, Longo M, Caruso P, Ida Maiorino M, Bellastella G, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonists and cardiorenal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an updated meta-analysis of eight CVOTs. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2021) 20 (1):189. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01366-8

25. Arakawa M, Mita T, Azuma K, Ebato C, Goto H, Nomiyama T, et al. Inhibition of monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells and attenuation of atherosclerotic lesion by a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, exendin-4. *Diabetes* (2010) 59(4):1030–7. doi: 10.2337/db09-1694

26. Hiatt WR, Kaul S, Smith RJ. The cardiovascular safety of diabetes drugs - insights from the rosiglitazone experience. *New Engl J Med* (2013) 369(14):1285–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1309610

27. Krasner NM, Ido Y, Ruderman NB, Cacicedo JM. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analog liraglutide inhibits endothelial cell inflammation through a calcium and AMPK dependent mechanism. *PloS One* (2014) 9(5):e97554. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097554

28. Gaspari T, Welungoda I, Widdop RE, Simpson RW, Dear AE. The GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide inhibits progression of vascular disease *via* effects on atherogenesis, plaque stability and endothelial function in an ApoE(-/-) mouse model. *Diabetes Vasc Dis Re* (2013) 10(4):353–60. doi: 10.1177/1479164113481817

29. Hogan AE, Gaoatswe G, Lynch L, Corrigan MA, Woods C, O'Connell J, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue therapy directly modulates innate immunemediated inflammation in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* (2014) 57(4):781–4. doi: 10.1007/s00125-013-3145-0

30. Sato K, Kameda M, Yasuhara T, Agari T, Baba T, Wang F, et al. Neuroprotective effects of liraglutide for stroke model of rats. *Int J Mol Sci* (2013) 14(11):21513–24. doi: 10.3390/ijms141121513

31. Kim S, Jeong J, Jung HS, Kim B, Kim YE, Lim DS, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonist, exendin-4, through modulation of IB1/JIP1 expression and JNK signaling in stroke. *Exp Neurobiol* (2017) 26 (4):227–39. doi: 10.5607/en.2017.26.4.227

32. Oeseburg H, De Boer RA, Buikema H, van der Harst P, van Gilst WH, Silljé HH, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 prevents reactive oxygen species-induced endothelial cell senescence through the activation of protein kinase a. *Arterioscl Throm Vas* (2010) 30(7):1407–U356. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.206425

33. Shi LL, Ji Y, Jiang XY, Zhou L, Xu Y, Li Y, et al. Liraglutide attenuates high glucose-induced abnormal cell migration, proliferation, and apoptosis of vascular smooth muscle cells by activating the GLP-1 receptor, and inhibiting ERK1/2 and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2015) 14:18. doi: 10.1186/ s12933-015-0177-4

34. Cameron-Vendrig A, Reheman A, Siraj MA, Xu XR, Wang Y, Lei X, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor activation attenuates platelet aggregation and thrombosis. *Diabetes* (2016) 65(6):1714–23. doi: 10.2337/db15-1141

35. Rizzo M, Rizvi AA, Patti AM, Nikolic D, Giglio RV, Castellino G, et al. Liraglutide improves metabolic parameters and carotid intima-media thickness in diabetic patients with the metabolic syndrome: an 18-month prospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2016) 15(1):162. doi: 10.1186/s12933-016-0480-8

36. Marlet IR, Olmestig JNE, Vilsboll T, Rungby J, Kruuse C, et al. Neuroprotective mechanisms of glucagon-like peptide-1-based therapies in ischaemic stroke: A systematic review based on pre-clinical studies. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* (2018) 122(6):559–69. doi: 10.1111/bcpt.12974

37. Giannocco G, Oliveira KC, Crajoinas RO, Venturini G, Salles TA, Fonseca-Alaniz MH, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibition upregulates GLUT4 translocation and expression in heart and skeletal muscle of spontaneously hypertensive rats. Eur J Pharmacol (2013) 698(1-3):74-86. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejphar.2012.09.043

38. Ramirez E, Picatoste B, Gonzalez-Bris A, Oteo M, Cruz F, Caro-Vadillo A, et al. Sitagliptin improved glucose assimilation in detriment of fatty-acid utilization in experimental type-II diabetes: role of GLP-1 isoforms in Glut4 receptor trafficking. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2018) 17(1):12. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0643-2

39. Choeiri C, Staines W, Messier C. Immunohistochemical localization and quantification of glucose transporters in the mouse brain. *Neuroscience* (2002) 111 (1):19–34. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00619-4

40. El Messari S, Ait-Ikhlef A, Ambroise DH, Penicaud L, Arluison M. Expression of insulin-responsive glucose transporter GLUT4 mRNA in the rat brain and spinal cord: an *in situ* hybridization study. *J Chem Neuroanat* (2002) 24 (4):225–42. doi: 10.1016/S0891-0618(02)00058-3

41. Leloup C, Arluison M, Kassis N, Lepetit N, Cartier N, Ferré P, et al. Discrete brain areas express the insulin-responsive glucose transporter GLUT4. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* (1996) 38(1):45–53. doi: 10.1016/0169-328X(95)00306-D

42. Vannucci SJ, Koehler-Stec EM, Li K, Reynolds TH, Clark R, Simpson IA, et al. GLUT4 glucose transporter expression in rodent brain: effect of diabetes. *Brain Res* (1998) 797(1):1–11. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(98)00103-6

43. From the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology Society of Europe (CIRSE), Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA), Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS), European Society of Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT), European Society of Neuroradiology (ESNR), European Stroke Organization (ESO), Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventional Surgery (SNIS) and World Stroke Organization (WSO), Sacks D, et al. Multisociety consensus quality improvement revised consensus statement for endovascular therapy of acute ischemic stroke. *Int J Stroke* (2018) 13 (6):612–32. doi: 10.1177/1747493018778713

44. Ren H, Lu TY, Mcgraw TE, Accili D. Anorexia and impaired glucose metabolism in mice with hypothalamic ablation of Glut4 neurons. *Diabetes* (2015) 64(2):405–17. doi: 10.2337/db14-0752