Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Anagha Joshi, University of Bergen, Norway

REVIEWED BY

Melissa Fazzari, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, United States Jiangfeng Ye, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore

*CORRESPONDENCE Huixia Yang yanghuixia@bjmu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Systems Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 30 May 2022 ACCEPTED 01 November 2022 PUBLISHED 16 November 2022

CITATION

Deng Y, Zhou Y, Shi J, Yang J, Huang H, Zhang M, Wang S, Ma Q, Liu Y, Li B, Yan J and Yang H (2022) Potential genetic biomarkers predict adverse pregnancy outcome during early and mid-pregnancy in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:957010. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.957010

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Deng, Zhou, Shi, Yang, Huang, Zhang, Wang, Ma, Liu, Li, Yan and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Potential genetic biomarkers predict adverse pregnancy outcome during early and midpregnancy in women with systemic lupus erythematosus

Yu Deng^{1,2}, Yiran Zhou³, Jiangcheng Shi⁴, Junting Yang⁵, Hong Huang⁶, Muqiu Zhang^{1,2}, Shuxian Wang^{1,2}, Qian Ma⁷, Yingnan Liu^{1,2}, Boya Li^{1,2}, Jie Yan^{1,2} and Huixia Yang^{1,2*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China, ²Beijing Key Laboratory of Maternal Fetal Medicine of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Beijing, China, ³Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China, ⁴School of Life Sciences, Tiangong University, Tianjin, China, ⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China, ⁶Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁷Department of Clinical Laboratory, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China

Background: Effectively predicting the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome (APO) in women with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) during early and midpregnancy is a challenge. This study was aimed to identify potential markers for early prediction of APO risk in women with SLE.

Methods: The GSE108497 gene expression dataset containing 120 samples (36 patients, 84 controls) was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was performed, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were screened to define candidate APO marker genes. Next, three individual machine learning methods, random forest, support vector machine-recursive feature elimination, and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator, were combined to identify feature genes for APO risk was assessed using area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and calibration curves. The potential functions of these feature genes were finally analyzed by conventional gene set enrichment analysis and CIBERSORT algorithm analysis.

Results: We identified 321 significantly up-regulated genes and 307 downregulated genes between patients and controls, along with 181 potential functionally associated genes in the WGCNA analysis. By integrating these results, we revealed 70 APO candidate genes. Three feature genes, *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4*, were identified by machine learning methods. Of these, *SEZ6* (AUC = 0.753) showed the highest in-sample predictive performance for APO risk in pregnant women with SLE, followed by *NRAD1* (AUC = 0.694) and *LPAR4* (AUC = 0.654). After performing leave-one-out cross validation, corresponding AUCs for *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* were 0.731, 0.668, and 0.626, respectively. Moreover, CIBERSORT analysis showed a positive correlation between regulatory T cell levels and *SEZ6* expression (P < 0.01), along with a negative correlation between M2 macrophages levels and *LPAR4* expression (P < 0.01).

Conclusions: Our preliminary findings suggested that *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* might represent the useful genetic biomarkers for predicting APO risk during early and mid-pregnancy in women with SLE, and enhanced our understanding of the origins of pregnancy complications in pregnant women with SLE. However, further validation was required.

KEYWORDS

systemic lupus erythematosus, adverse pregnancy outcome, early and midpregnancy, bioinformatic analysis, machine learning

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) was a systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease that affected many organ systems (1). The incidence of SLE ranged from about 40 to 200 cases per 100,000 individuals, depending on ethnicity (2). The global prevalence of SLE was rising annually (3), and women of childbearing age were the most susceptible to SLE (4). A previous study (5) reported that pregnant women with active SLE exhibit a markedly increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes (APOs), such as preeclampsia (PE), abortion, preterm birth, stillbirth, renal failure and fetal growth restriction (6). Early prediction of APO risk in women with SLE was crucial for reducing maternal and infant mortality.

Previous studies (5, 7–10) had reported multiple predictors of APO in women with SLE. Mankee et al. (7) identified positive

lupus anticoagulant (LAC) in the first trimester as a strong predictor of pregnancy loss. Buyon et al. (5) showed that LAC (odds ratio [OR], 8.32; CI, 3.59-19.26), antihypertensive use (OR, 7.05; CI, 3.05-16.31), a Physician's Global Assessment score greater than 1 (OR, 4.02; CI, 1.84-8.82), and low platelet count (OR, 1.33; CI, 1.09-1.63 per decrease of 50 K cells/L) were powerful predictors of APO in pregnant women with SLE. Kim et al. (8) confirmed that soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt1) was a robust predictor of severe APO during early pregnancy in patients with SLE and/or antiphospholipid antibodies. Vicoveanu et al. (10) determined an SLE Disease Activity Index 2000 (SLEDAI-2k) score greater than or equal to 4 from the first trimester and maternal body mass index to be the top predictors for APO in women with SLE. However, even after intensive research, prediction strategies for the first and second trimester are lacking. Since the advent of sequencing technology, transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics had been utilized in SLE research during pregnancy (11). These methods had greatly improved understanding of the etiology, pathogenesis, and molecular mechanisms.

This study was aimed to find potential genetic biomarkers associated with APO risk in pregnant women with SLE based on transcriptomics data. We selected the GSE108497 dataset from 8 US centers and 1 Canadian center (12) to discover APO feature genes in peripheral blood. We identified 70 APO candidate genes by performing both differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis and weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). We then identified three feature genes: seizure related 6 homolog (*SEZ6*), non-coding RNA in the aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A pathway (*NRAD1*), and lysophosphatidic acid receptor 4 (*LPAR4*) by employing machine learning (ML) methods. Finally, we determined the prediction performance of

Abbreviations: APO, adverse pregnancy outcome; AUC, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GO, gene ontology; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; GW, gestational week; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LAC, lupus anticoagulant; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; LOOCV, leave-one-out cross validation; *LPAR4*, lysophosphatidic acid receptor 4; ML, machine learning; *NRAD1*, non-coding RNA in the aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A pathway; OR, odds ratio; PE, preeclampsia; RF, random forest; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; *SEZ6*, seizure related 6 homolog; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SLE-APO, SLE patients with adverse pregnancy outcome; SLE-NC, SLE patients with normal pregnancy outcome; SLEDAI-2k, SLE Disease Activity Index 2000; SVM-RFE, support vector machine-recursive feature elimination; Treg, regulatory T cell; WGCNA, weighted gene co-expression network analysis.

SEZ6, NRAD1, and LPAR4 using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and calibration curves. ROC curve analysis and calibration curves showed that SEZ6 exhibited satisfactory predictive performance (AUC = 0.753) and discrimination ability to predict the risk of APO. The AUC of 0.731 based on leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) displayed SEZ6 had a fair predictive performance. The level of immune cell infiltration was highly linked with the progression and outcome of SLE (13, 14). We observed significant differences in the infiltration of critical immune cells, such as plasma cells, naïve CD4 T cells, and monocytes, between the SLE patients with APO (SLE-APO) and with normal pregnancy outcome (SLE-NC) groups. SEZ6 was positively correlated with regulatory T (Treg) cells levels (P < 0.01), and LPAR4 was negatively correlated with M2 macrophages abundance (P < 0.01). These integrative results of this study provide insight to assist in the identification of high-risk patients and enable early identification of APO in pregnant women with SLE.

Methods

Data sources

All gene expression profiles analyzed in this study were obtained from the GSE108497 GEO dataset, included peripheral whole blood samples from SLE-APO and SLE-NC, in 8 US centers and 1 Canadian center during September 2003 to August 2013 (12). According to the descriptions in Hong et al. (12), APO were defined as: (1) fetal deaths > 12 gestational week [GW] unexplained by chromosomal abnormalities, anatomical malformations, or congenital infections; (2) neonatal death before discharge due to preterm complications; (3) preterm delivery or termination of pregnancy < 36 GW owing to growth restriction or placental insufficiency; and (4) small for gestational age of less than the fifth percentile at birth. Peripheral blood samples collected at five specific time points (P1, < 16 GW; P2, 16-23 weeks; P3, 24-31 weeks; P4, 32-40 weeks; and P5, 8-20 weeks postpartum) were utilized for microarray analysis, as described by Hong et al. (12). Because we aimed to investigate APO in early and mid-pregnancy, we retained samples only from individuals with a GW under 24 weeks (total SLE-APO, n =36; total SLE-NC, *n* = 84; P1 SLE-APO, *n* = 18; P1 SLE-NC, *n* = 45; P2 SLE-APO, *n* = 18; P2 SLE-NC, *n* = 39).

DEGs analysis

DEGs were screened using the "limma" package in R software (v. 3.6.1) (15). The genes with an absolute log2 fold change greater than 0.5 and a *P*-value less than 0.05 were considered DEGs (16).

WGCNA

WGCNA was applied to analyze co-expressed gene modules and identify potential genes associated with clinical traits. WGCNA was performed using the "WGCNA" package in R (17). A power of β =6 and a scale-free R² = 0.9 were adopted as soft-thresholding parameters to construct a signed, scale-free coexpression gene network. Subsequently, the adjacency matrix was converted into a topological overlap matrix, and the topological overlap dissimilarity was used as hierarchical clustering input.

Functional enrichment analysis of APO candidate genes

APO candidate genes were identified as intersecting genes of DEGs and the turquoise module in WGCNA. After obtaining the APO candidate genes, disease ontology (DO), Gene Ontology (GO), and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses were performed using the "DOSE" and "clusterprofiler" packages (including"enrichGo" and "enrichKEGG" functions) in R (18, 19).

Feature genes screening by ML

ML algorithms had advantages in multi-omics integrated analysis and provided potent data mining tools for discovering new clinical predictors (20-23). To screen feature genes, we selected random forest (RF) (24), support vector machinerecursive feature elimination (SVM-RFE) (25), and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) models (26). RF, SVM-RFE, and LASSO analyses were performed using the R packages "randomForest", "caret", and "glmnet", respectively. RF was a non-parametric method using random decision trees for classification, and was used for many biological applications ranging from gene selection to disease prediction (24). SVM-RFE was an efficient machine learning algorithm for selection and visualization of the most relevant features through non-linear kernels (25). The LASSO regression was used for feature selection and dimension reduction (26). We identified feature genes by creating Venn diagrams of RF, SVM-RFE and LASSO results, as reported previously (27, 28).

Evaluation of predictive performance

Receiver operating characteristic curves (ROCs) and calibration curves were used to evaluate the predictive ability of feature genes. To further examine the predictive performance of feature genes, LOOCV method was applied. Calibration curves were constructed using the "calibrate" function in "rms" package of R software with 1000 bootstrap resampling (29).

Gene set enrichment analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software (30). For each gene set of interest, an enrichment score was calculated and statistical significance was determined by comparing against the expected result of 10000 randomly permutations of the original data set (31). Gene sets with a nominal *p*-value < 0.05 and a false discovery rate (FDR) of < 0.25 were accepted as significantly enriched.

Immune cell infiltration analysis

The CIBERSORT (32) algorithm in the "CIBERSORT" R package was used to quantify the relative proportions of infiltrating immune cells for each sample and evaluate their correlation with the expression of feature genes.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using R software (v.3.6.1). P < 0.05 was considered significant, P < 0.01 was considered highly significant, and P < 0.001 was considered very highly significant.

Results

Data preprocessing and DEG screening

We analyzed data from 36 SLE-APO patients and 84 SLE-NC individuals during early to mid-pregnancy in 8 US centers and 1 Canadian center (Figure 1). The baseline characteristics of SLE-NC and SLE-APO groups were listed in Supplementary Table 1. The original expression datasets from GSE108497 showed acceptable normalization (Figure 2A). Based on the defined criteria, we obtained 628 DEGs between SLE-APO and SLE-NC. Of these, 321 were significantly upregulated and 307 were significantly downregulated in the SLE-APO group relative to the SLE-NC group (Figure 2B). Heat map construction revealed that DEGs between the SLE-APO and SLE-NC groups were distinguishable (Figure 2C).

Identification of clinically significant modules and genes in WGCNA network

To further investigate candidate genes associated with clinical traits of SLE-APO, we performed the WGCNA analysis. To begin

with, for construct a scale-free network, we set the soft threshold (β) = 6 (scale-free R² = 0.90; Supplementary Figure 1A). Subsequently, modules with high similarity were merged and the co-expression module (turquoise) was identified (Supplementary Figure 1B). Correlation analysis between co-expression modules and disease revealed that the MEturquoise module was significantly negatively correlated with SLE-APO (r = -0.24, P = 0.009; Figure 3A). Furthermore, the correlation between the gene significance and module membership was 0.19 (P = 0.01; Figure 3B). We identified 181 genes closely associated with SLE-APO in the WGCNA network (Figure 3C).

DO, GO, and KEGG enrichment analysis of APO candidate genes

To reduce the false positive rate, we performed the Venn diagram analysis and obtained 70 APO candidate genes (Figure 3C). To further explore the biological functions of these candidate genes, we performed DO, GO, and KEGG. DO enrichment analysis showed that APO candidate genes were significantly enriched in multiple myopathy- and cardiomyopathyrelated pathways (Supplementary Figure 2A). Previous research found that SLE patients with APO had a higher rate of subclinical cardiovascular disease (33). GO enrichment analysis results were divided in biological process, cellular component, and molecular function (Supplementary Figure 2B). For biological process, 70 APO candidate genes were significantly enriched in vascular associated smooth muscle cell migration and glomerular visceral epithelial cell differentiation. For cellular component, genes were associated with the postsynaptic membrane and synaptic membrane. In the molecular function category, APO candidate genes were mainly enriched in gated channel, ion channel, potassium channel and ligand-gated ion channel activity. KEGG enrichment analysis showed that APO candidate genes were mainly enriched in progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation, cell cycle, and oocyte meiosis pathways (Supplementary Figure 2C).

Screening and verification of feature genes *via* ML models

Three ML models (SVM-RFE, RF, and LASSO) were applied to screen feature genes from 70 APO candidate genes. The SVM-RFE model highlighted 13 genes (Supplementary Table 2), and the highest prediction accuracy was up to 73.9% (Supplementary Figure 3A). RF analysis highlighted 15 genes, with *SEZ6* ranked highest by importance score (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figure 3B, and Supplementary Table 3). The LASSO algorithm identified 19 genes (Supplementary Figure 3C, Supplementary Table 4). By determining the intersection of these three ML models, we identified three feature genes: *SEZ6, NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* (Figure 3E).



The AUC and calibration curve were the metric to evaluate the prediction performance. We evaluated the capability of the diagnostic classifier in distinguishing between SLE-APO and SLE-NC, showing that *SEZ6* had the highest in-sample predictive ability (AUC = 0.753) followed by *NRAD1* (AUC = 0.694), and *LPAR4* (AUC = 0.654) (Figure 4A). The LOOCV results showed that the AUC for the *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* was 0.731, 0.668, and 0.626, respectively (Figure 4B). Then, the calibration curve of *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* for predicting the risk of the SLE-APO exhibited good agreement between predictions and actual observations (Figures 4C–4E).

GSEA of feature genes

All three feature genes exhibited lower expression in the SLE-APO group than in the SLE-NC group (Supplementary Figure 4). To investigate the biological roles of these feature genes, we performed GSEA in the low-expression group of the three feature genes.

The genes downregulated in the *SEZ6* low-expression group were significantly associated with arachidonic acid metabolism, cardiac muscle contraction, ribosome, thiamine metabolism and epithelial cell signaling in helicobacter pylori infection (Figure 5A). Genes downregulated in the *NRAD1* low-expression group were enriched in complement and coagulation cascades, ferroptosis, hippo signaling pathway–multiple species, phototransduction and epithelial cell signaling in helicobacter pylori infection (Figure 5B). The downregulated genes in the *LPAR4* low-expression group showed a strong correlation with allograft rejection, asthma, autoimmune thyroid disease, phototransduction, and ribosome pathways (Figure 5C).

Furthermore, *SEZ6* expression was positively correlated with *LPAR4* expression and negatively correlated with *NRAD1* expression in SLE-APO group (Figure 5D).



Infiltrating immune cells in SLE-APO

We performed immune cell infiltration analysis between the SLE-NC and SLE-APO groups. The fraction of immune cells in the SLE-NC and SLE-APO groups as estimated by CIBERSORT were shown in Figure 6A. The SLE-APO group exhibited a significantly higher proportion of plasma cells (P < 0.05) and naïve CD4 T cells (P < 0.01) and significantly lower proportion of monocytes (P < 0.01) than the SLE-NC group (Figure 6B). To further elucidate the immune-related functions of *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4*, we performed a correlation analysis between these genes and immune infiltrating cells. The results showed that *SEZ6* was positively correlated with Treg cells levels (P < 0.01), and *LPAR4* was negatively correlated with M2 macrophage (P < 0.01) abundance (Figure 6C).



Identification of feature genes. (A) Heatmap of the correlation between module eigengenes and SLE-NC and SLE-APO. (B) Scatter plot for correlation between the gene significance for SLE-APO and Module Membership in the turquoise module. (C) Venn diagram illustrating the intersections of WGCNA-identified co-expressed genes and DEGs. (D) Genes ranked by importance for the RF algorithm. (E) Venn diagram displaying 3 feature intersecting genes according to the SVM-RFE, RF and LASSO analysis.

Discussion

SLE was a complex autoimmune disease with unpredictable severity, especially during pregnancy (34). Previous research had shown that most cases of SLE exacerbation (42.8%) occurred in the third trimester (35). Preterm premature rupture of membranes (16.6%), PE or eclampsia (15.6%), and preterm labor (12.7%) were the most common complications of SLE during pregnancy (36). Therefore, more studies were needed to identify biomarkers that could help predicting APO during early

and mid-pregnancy. Recent studies had shown that two metabolites (LysoPC C22:5 and tryptophan) (37) and three proteomic predictors (SVEP1, LCAT, TGM2) (38) could accurately predict APO in pregnant woman with SLE during mid-pregnancy. Nevertheless, many challenges remained regarding the prediction of APO risk in women with SLE during the first-trimester, requiring further research.

In this study, we employed multiple gene screening modes (DEG analysis, WGCNA and ML algorithms) and identified feature genes to identify potential markers for early prediction of



APO risk in women with SLE. We identified *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* as closely related to APO in women with SLE. ROC curve analysis and calibration curves showed that *SEZ6* exhibited fair predictive performance (LOOCV-validated AUC = 0.731) and satisfactory discrimination ability to predict the risk of APO. Notably, significant differences were observed in the infiltration of critical immune cells, such as plasma cells, naïve CD4 T cells, and monocytes, between the SLE-APO and SLE-NC groups. *SEZ6* was positively correlated with Treg cells levels (P < 0.01), and *LPAR4* was negatively correlated with M2 macrophages levels (P < 0.01).

The functions of SEZ6, NRAD1, and LPAR4 were closely linked with immune regulation or pregnancy complications. The SEZ6 family included SEZ6, SEZ6-like (SEZ6L) and SEZ6L2. SEZ6 was essential for nervous system development and maintenance (39). A recent study (40) showed that SEZ6 family were novel complement regulator and that SEZ6 protein restricted C3b/inactive C3b opsonization in Chinese hamster ovary cells through classical and alternative pathways. *NRAD1*, also known as LINC00284, was localized to the nucleus and bound chromatin to affect gene expression (41). A recent study (42) demonstrated that *NRAD1* was significantly downregulated in PE placental samples. Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) exerted a variety of biological effects, including motility and proliferation, by binding to the LPA receptor LPAR1-6. In a previous study (43), WGCNA of gene expression profiles in placental tissue from pregnant women with severe PE indicated that *LPAR4* might play key roles in PE development. mRNA expression level of *LPAR4* had been shown to be significantly higher in the placentas of PE patients than in normal placentas (44).

GSEA results revealed that most downregulated genes in the low *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4* expression groups were mainly enriched in metabolic, ribosome and immune pathways. Downregulated genes in the *SEZ6* low-expression group were significantly enriched in arachidonic acid and thiamine



metabolism. Arachidonic acid could be metabolized into prostaglandins through the cyclooxygenase pathway (45). A recent study (46) showed that prostaglandin D2 (PGD2) and PGD2 receptors (PTGDRs) induced basophil activation and infiltration in the kidney of SLE patients by mediating C-X-C theme ligand 12 (CXCL12). This study suggested that PTGDR-1 and PTGDR-2 represented promising therapeutic targets to prevent flares in SLE patients. Besides, maternal thiamine metabolism was closely related to fetal development, and thiamine diphosphate levels had been shown to be higher in preterm than in full-term infants and lower in multiples than in singletons (47). GSEA results further suggested a close relationship between *SEZ6*, *LPAR4* and ribosomal related pathway. Ribosome biogenesis and translation were particularly critical for cell growth and proliferation (48). Jie et al. (49) reported that knockdown of *ribosomal protein L39* (*RPL39*) inhibited the proliferation, migration, and invasion of trophoblast cells *in vitro*. APO was closely related to abnormal biological function of trophoblasts, since trophoblast cells were vital to placental and fetus development (50, 51). Therefore, the expression of *SEZ6* and *LPAR4* might play potential pathogenic role in APO. Furthermore, the enriched pathways of *NRAD1*



and *LPAR4* included immune system pathways, such as those related to complement and coagulation cascades, asthma, and autoimmune thyroid disease. The disorder of the immune system had been associated with numerous APO (52, 53). A recent study (52) reported that activation of complement and coagulation cascades was the main pathophysiological pathway enriched in early-onset severe PE. Pregnant women with early-onset severe PE had significantly higher deposits of C5b-9 and Von Willbrand factor compared to those without complications.

A recent study (53) showed that autoimmune thyroid disease was associated with increased risk of fetal growth restriction, small-for-gestation age, PE and preterm birth.

The level of immune cell infiltration was closely related to the progression and outcome of SLE (13, 14). To further investigate the role of immune cell infiltration in SLE-APO, we performed a comprehensive evaluation using CIBERSORT and conducted correlation analysis between infiltrating immune cells and *SEZ6*, *NRAD1*, and *LPAR4*. The SLE-APO group exhibited a

significantly higher proportion of plasma cells (P < 0.05) and naïve CD4+ T cells (P < 0.01), and a significantly lower proportion of monocytes (P < 0.01) than the SLE-NC group. Previous studies (54, 55) had shown that plasma cells played a key role in the development of SLE and were positively associated with disease activity in patients with SLE. The plasma cells signature had been shown to increase in SLE pregnancies with fetal complications in the third trimester (12). Naïve CD4 T cells could differentiate into T helper cell (Th), follicular T helper and Treg subpopulations after T cell receptors activation in different cytokine environments (56). Previous study had found DNA methylation defects played an important role in the pathogenesis of SLE (57). Xin L et al. (58) found that committed Th1 CD4+ T cell differentiation blocked pregnancy induced Foxp3 expression with antigen specific fetal loss. Previous research (59) revealed significant fewer monocytes in SLE patients than in healthy individuals. Because monocytes regulated multiple immune responses, the decrease in monocytes would lead to an abnormal regulation of the immune response and played key roles in the pathogenesis of SLE (60). Monocyte activation was significant for normal pregnancy. Monocytederived macrophages played influential roles in trophoblast invasion, remodeling of spiral arteries, and immune homeostasis at the maternal-placental interface (61, 62).

Our results showed that SEZ6 was positively correlated with Treg cells levels (P < 0.01), and LPAR4 was negatively correlated with M2 macrophages levels (P < 0.01). Miscarriage, PE, and implantation failure were closely associated with the maldistribution and dysfunction of Treg cells (63). The number of decidual effector Treg cells was reduced in patients with early miscarriage (64), and clonally expanded effector Treg cells were significantly reduced in patients with PE (65), as compared to normal pregnancies. Macrophages were classically polarized into classically activated macrophages (M1) and alternatively activated macrophages (M2) in response to stimulation by different immune microenvironments (66). Studies (67, 68) had shown that a decrease in the proportion of M2-like macrophages and an increase in total macrophages was detrimental to embryo implantation. Therefore, we hypothesized that SEZ6 and LPAR4 might exert immunomodulatory roles in the development of APO. Further studies were needed to elucidate the complex interactions between genes and immune cells.

Our study contributes to the existing literature in three major aspects. First, because most previous studies (37, 38, 69) focused on data from the second trimester to predict the risk of APO in women with SLE, data from first trimester was urgent needed. For this reason, we specifically focused on women in early and mid-pregnancy. Second, noninvasive prenatal testing had received widespread attention since its introduction in 2011 because it only required maternal peripheral blood (70). This had led to increasing interest in developing peripheral blood-based biomarkers for pregnant women (71–73). Thus, in the present study, we performed transcriptome analysis from

peripheral blood samples taken during pregnancy from nine North America centers (12). Based on the large-scale application of noninvasive prenatal testing, the validation of the findings of this study in a larger population was feasible. Third, this study employed various methods, including DEG analysis, WGCNA, and ML, to find new biomarkers. Our findings provided new ideas for future research on APO risk in women with SLE.

However, there were some limitations in our study. First, although we included a fairly large group of 120 samples from patients in nine centers, our results were exploratory in nature and require further validation. Second, while the AUC of *SEZ6* showed a satisfactory value, the results needed to be interpreted with caution considering our results were based on within-sample performance. Third, we did not evaluate the performance of our biomarkers with known APO risk factors, such as LAC status, sFlt1 values, and SLEDAI-2k scores. Fourth, the underlying mechanisms by which these feature genes affected pregnant women with SLE remained unknown. Further studies on the relationship between these genes and immune cell infiltration were also necessary.

In conclusion, we identified three predictive gene biomarkers (SEZ6, NRAD1, and LPAR4) of APO in pregnant women with SLE, among which SEZ6 and LPAR4 were closely associated with immune cell infiltration. We found SEZ6, NRAD1, and LPAR4 might be sensitive biomarkers of APO risk in pregnant women with SLE. Our findings help to improve our understanding of the pathogenesis of APO in women with SLE, and will contribute to the development of personalized clinical management of pregnant women with SLE.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE108497.

Author contributions

YD and HY designed the study and collected the dataset. YD, YZ, JS, JTY, and HH analyzed the dataset. YD wrote the manuscript. MZ, SW, YL, QM, BL, and JY contributed to the discussion. YD, YZ, JS, JTY, HH, and HY revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFC2700700) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81830044).

Acknowledgments

We appreciated GEO database for providing the original study data. We thank Dr. Zifeng Cui (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University First Hospital) for providing amendment opinions, and Dr. Xueyan Han and Dr. Meixia Shang (Department of Medical Statistics, Peking University First Hospital) for providing statistical advices.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fendo.2022.957010/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Davidov D, Sheiner E, Wainstock T, Miodownik S, Pariente G. Maternal systemic lupus erythematosus (Sle) high risk for preterm delivery and not for long-term neurological morbidity of the offspring. *J Clin Med* (2021) 10(13):2952. doi: 10.3390/jcm10132952

2. Rahman A, Isenberg DA. Systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med (2008) 358(9):929–39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra071297

3. Fatoye F, Gebrye T, Svenson LW. Real-world incidence and prevalence of systemic lupus erythematosus in Alberta, Canada. *Rheumatol Int* (2018) 38 (9):1721-6. doi: 10.1007/s00296-018-4091-4

4. Han S, Kim-Howard X, Deshmukh H, Kamatani Y, Viswanathan P, Guthridge JM, et al. Evaluation of imputation-based association in and around the integrin-Alpha-M (Itgam) gene and replication of robust association between a non-synonymous functional variant within itgam and systemic lupus erythematosus (Sle). *Hum Mol Genet* (2009) 18(6):1171–80. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ ddp007

5. Buyon JP, Kim MY, Guerra MM, Laskin CA, Petri M, Lockshin MD, et al. Predictors of pregnancy outcomes in patients with lupus: A cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* (2015) 163(3):153–63. doi: 10.7326/m14-2235

6. Sammaritano LR. Management of systemic lupus erythematosus during pregnancy. *Annu Rev Med* (2017) 68:271–85. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-042915-102658

7. Mankee A, Petri M, Magder LS. Lupus anticoagulant, disease activity and low complement in the first trimester are predictive of pregnancy loss. *Lupus Sci Med* (2015) 2(1):e000095. doi: 10.1136/lupus-2015-000095

8. Kim MY, Buyon JP, Guerra MM, Rana S, Zhang D, Laskin CA, et al. Angiogenic factor imbalance early in pregnancy predicts adverse outcomes in patients with lupus and antiphospholipid antibodies: Results of the promisse study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (2016) 214(1):108.e1-.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.09.066

9. Larosa M, Le Guern V, Guettrot-Imbert G, Morel N, Abisror N, Morati-Hafsaoui C, et al. Evaluation of lupus anticoagulant, damage, and remission as predictors of pregnancy complications in lupus women: The French Gr2 study. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2022) 61(9):3657–3666. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab943

10. Vicoveanu P, Vasilache IA, Nemescu D, Carauleanu A, Scripcariu IS, Rudisteanu D, et al. Predictors associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in a cohort of women with systematic lupus erythematosus from Romania-an observational study (Stage 2). *J Clin Med* (2022) 11(7):1964. doi: 10.3390/jcm11071964

11. Mi X, Zou B, Zou F, Hu J. Permutation-based identification of important biomarkers for complex diseases *Via* machine learning models. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):3008-. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22756-2

12. Hong S, Banchereau R, Maslow BL, Guerra MM, Cardenas J, Baisch J, et al. Longitudinal profiling of human blood transcriptome in healthy and lupus pregnancy. J Exp Med (2019) 216(5):1154–69. doi: 10.1084/jem.20190185

13. Parodis I, Gatto M, Sjöwall C. B cells in systemic lupus erythematosus: Targets of new therapies and surveillance tools. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2022) 9:952304. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.952304

14. Pan L, Lu MP, Wang JH, Xu M, Yang SR. Immunological pathogenesis and treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus. *World J Pediatr* (2020) 16(1):19–30. doi: 10.1007/s12519-019-00229-3

15. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for rna-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2015) 43(7):e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007

16. Rohban S, Cerutti A, Morelli MJ, d'Adda di Fagagna F, Campaner S. The cohesin complex prevents myc-induced replication stress. *Cell Death Dis* (2017) 8 (7):e2956. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2017.345

17. Langfelder P, Horvath S. Wgcna: An r package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinf* (2008) 9:559. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

18. Sahu AD, SL J, Wang Z, Zhang G, Iglesias-Bartolome R, Tian T, et al. Genome-wide prediction of synthetic rescue mediators of resistance to targeted and immunotherapy. *Mol Syst Biol* (2019) 15(3):e8323. doi: 10.15252/msb.20188323

19. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. Clusterprofiler: An r package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *Omics* (2012) 16(5):284-7. doi: 10.1089/omi.2011.0118

20. Bertini A, Salas R, Chabert S, Sobrevia L, Pardo F. Using machine learning to predict complications in pregnancy: A systematic review. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* (2021) 9:780389. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.780389

21. Reel PS, Reel S, Pearson E, Trucco E, Jefferson E. Using machine learning approaches for multi-omics data analysis: A review. *Biotechnol Adv* (2021) 49:107739. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107739

22. Sun Y, Zheng W, Zhang L, Zhao H, Li X, Zhang C, et al. Quantifying the impacts of pre- and post-conception tsh levels on birth outcomes: An examination of different machine learning models. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2021) 12:755364. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.755364

23. Yu H, Lam K-O, Wu H, Green M, Wang W, Jin J-Y, et al. Weighted-support vector machine learning classifier of circulating cytokine biomarkers to predict radiation-induced lung fibrosis in non-Small-Cell lung cancer patients. *Front Oncol* (2021) 10:601979. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.601979

24. Díaz-Uriarte R, Alvarez de Andrés S. Gene selection and classification of microarray data using random forest. *BMC Bioinf* (2006) 7:3. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-7-3

25. Sanz H, Valim C, Vegas E, Oller JM, Reverter F. Svm-rfe: Selection and visualization of the most relevant features through non-linear kernels. *BMC Bioinf* (2018) 19(1):432. doi: 10.1186/s12859-018-2451-4

26. Tibshirani R. Regression shrinkage and selection Via the lasso. J R Stat Society: Ser B (Methodological) (1996) 58(1):267-88. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x

27. Liu Y, Cui S, Sun J, Yan X, Han D. Identification of potential biomarkers for psoriasis by DNA methylation and gene expression datasets. *Front Genet* (2021) 12:722803. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.722803

28. Zeng H, Liu X, Zhang Y. Identification of potential biomarkers and immune infiltration characteristics in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension using bioinformatics analysis. *Front Cardiovasc Med* (2021) 8:624714. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2021.624714

29. Amar D, Zhang H, Tan KS, Piening D, Rusch VW, Jones DR. A brain natriuretic peptide-based prediction model for atrial fibrillation after thoracic surgery: Development and internal validation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* (2019) 157(6):2493–9.el. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.01.075

30. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2005) 102(43):15545–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102

31. Zhou L, Opalinska J, Sohal D, Yu Y, Mo Y, Bhagat T, et al. Aberrant epigenetic and genetic marks are seen in myelodysplastic leukocytes and reveal Dock4 as a candidate pathogenic gene on chromosome 7q. *J Biol Chem* (2011) 286 (28):25211–23. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.235028

32. Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat Methods* (2015) 12 (5):453–7. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3337

33. Lin P, Rhew E, Ness RB, Peaceman A, Dyer A, McPherson D, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes and subsequent risk of cardiovascular disease in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus Sci Med* (2014) 1(1):e000024. doi: 10.1136/ lupus-2014-000024

34. Blitz MJ, Fleischer A. Severe maternal morbidity associated with systemic lupus erythematosus flare in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol* (2018) 2018:5803479–. doi: 10.1155/2018/5803479

35. Cavallasca JA, Laborde HA, Ruda-Vega H, Nasswetter GG. Maternal and fetal outcomes of 72 pregnancies in Argentine patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (Sle). *Clin Rheumatol* (2008) 27(1):41–6. doi: 10.1007/s10067-007-0649-3

36. Pastore DEA, Costa ML, Surita FG. Systemic lupus erythematosus and pregnancy: The challenge of improving antenatal care and outcomes. *Lupus* (2019) 28(12):1417–26. doi: 10.1177/0961203319877247

37. Lee SM, Lee EM, Park JK, Jeon HS, Oh S, Hong S, et al. Metabolic biomarkers in midtrimester maternal plasma can accurately predict adverse pregnancy outcome in patients with sle. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9(1):15169. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-51285-8

38. Jeon HS, Lee SM, Jung YM, Oh S, Park JK, Lee EB, et al. Proteomic biomarkers in mid-trimester amniotic fluid associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *PLoS One* (2020) 15(7): e0235838. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235838

39. Pigoni M, Hsia HE, Hartmann J, Rudan Njavro J, Shmueli MD, Müller SA, et al. Seizure protein 6 controls glycosylation and trafficking of kainate receptor subunits Gluk2 and gluk3. *EMBO J* (2020) 39(15):e103457. doi: 10.15252/ embj.2019103457

40. Qiu WQ, Luo S, Ma SA, Saminathan P, Li H, Gunnersen JM, et al. The Sez6 family inhibits complement by facilitating factor I cleavage of C3b and accelerating the decay of C3 convertases. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:607641. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.607641

41. Vidovic D, Huynh TT, Konda P, Dean C, Cruickshank BM, Sultan M, et al. Aldh1a3-regulated long non-coding rna Nrad1 is a potential novel target for triplenegative breast tumors and cancer stem cells. *Cell Death Differentiation* (2020) 27 (1):363–78. doi: 10.1038/s41418-019-0362-1

42. Peñailillo R, Monteiro LJ, Acuña-Gallardo S, García F, Velásquez V, Correa P, et al. Identification of Loc101927355 as a novel biomarker for preeclampsia. *Biomedicines* (2022) 10(6):1253. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10061253

43. Vidal MS, Deguit CDT, Yu GFB, Amosco MD. G-Protein coupled receptor dysregulation may play roles in severe Preeclampsia&Mdash;a weighted gene correlation network analysis of placental gene expression profile. *Cells* (2022) 11 (5):763. doi: 10.3390/cells11050763

44. Fujii T, Nagamatsu T, Schust DJ, Ichikawa M, Kumasawa K, Yabe S, et al. Placental expression of lysophosphatidic acid receptors in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia. *Am J Reprod Immunol* (2019) 82(5):e13176. doi: 10.1111/aji.13176

45. Wang T, Fu X, Chen Q, Patra JK, Wang D, Wang Z, et al. Arachidonic acid metabolism and kidney inflammation. *Int J Mol Sci* (2019) 20(15):3683. doi: 10.3390/ijms20153683

46. Ye Z, Shen Y, Jin K, Qiu J, Hu B, Jadhav RR, et al. Arachidonic acid-regulated calcium signaling in T cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis promotes synovial inflammation. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):907. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21242-z

47. Weber D, Stuetz W, Bernhard W, Franz A, Raith M, Grune T, et al. 5methyltetrahydrofolate and thiamine diphosphate in cord-blood erythrocytes of preterm versus term newborns. *Eur J Clin Nutr* (2013) 67(10):1029–35. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2013.158

48. Kang J, Brajanovski N, Chan KT, Xuan J, Pearson RB, Sanij E. Ribosomal proteins and human diseases: Molecular mechanisms and targeted therapy. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* (2021) 6(1):323. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00728-8

49. Jie Q, Sun F, Li Q, Zhu J, Wei Y, Yang H, et al. Downregulated ribosomal protein L39 inhibits trophoblast cell migration and invasion by targeting e-cadherin in the placenta of patients with preeclampsia. *FASEB J* (2021) 35(4): e21322. doi: 10.1096/fj.202002061R

50. Li YH, Zhou WH, Tao Y, Wang SC, Jiang YL, Zhang D, et al. The galectin-9/ Tim-3 pathway is involved in the regulation of nk cell function at the maternal-fetal interface in early pregnancy. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2016) 13(1):73–81. doi: 10.1038/ cmi.2014.126

51. Papuchova H, Latos PA. Transcription factor networks in trophoblast development. Cell Mol Life Sci (2022) 79(6):337. doi: 10.1007/s00018-022-04363-6

52. Youssef L, Miranda J, Blasco M, Paules C, Crovetto F, Palomo M, et al. Complement and coagulation cascades activation is the main pathophysiological pathway in early-onset severe preeclampsia revealed by maternal proteomics. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11(1):3048. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82733-z

53. Beneventi F, De Maggio I, Bellingeri C, Cavagnoli C, Spada C, Boschetti A, et al. Thyroid autoimmunity and adverse pregnancy outcomes: A prospective cohort study. *Endocrine* (2022) 76(1):198–207. doi: 10.1007/s12020-021-02958-w

54. Ma K, Li J, Wang X, Lin X, Du W, Yang X, et al. Tlr4(+)Cxcr4(+) plasma cells drive nephritis development in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2018) 77(10):1498–506. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213615

55. Cole S, Walsh A, Yin X, Wechalekar MD, Smith MD, Proudman SM, et al. Integrative analysis reveals Cd38 as a therapeutic target for plasma cell-rich predisease and established rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res Ther* (2018) 20(1):85. doi: 10.1186/s13075-018-1578-z

56. Zhu X, Zhu J. Cd4 T helper cell subsets and related human immunological disorders. Int J Mol Sci (2020) 21(21):8011. doi: 10.3390/ijms21218011

57. Coit P, Jeffries M, Altorok N, Dozmorov MG, Koelsch KA, Wren JD, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation study suggests epigenetic accessibility and transcriptional poising of interferon-regulated genes in naïve Cd4+ T cells from lupus patients. *J Autoimmun* (2013) 43:78–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2013.04.003

58. Xin L, Ertelt JM, Rowe JH, Jiang TT, Kinder JM, Chaturvedi V, et al. Cutting edge: Committed Th1 Cd4+ T cell differentiation blocks pregnancy-induced Foxp3 expression with antigen-specific fetal loss. *J Immunol* (2014) 192(7):2970–4. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302678

59. Li Y, Lee PY, Sobel ES, Narain S, Satoh M, Segal MS, et al. Increased expression of Fcgammari/Cd64 on circulating monocytes parallels ongoing inflammation and nephritis in lupus. *Arthritis Res Ther* (2009) 11(1):R6. doi: 10.1186/ar2590

60. Shirakawa F, Yamashita U, Suzuki H. Decrease in hla-Dr-Positive monocytes in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (Sle). *J Immunol* (1985) 134(6):3560-2.

61. True H, Blanton M, Sureshchandra S, Messaoudi I. Monocytes and macrophages in pregnancy: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Immunol Rev* (2022) 308(1):77–92. doi: 10.1111/imr.13080

62. Faas MM, Spaans F, De Vos P. Monocytes and macrophages in pregnancy and pre-eclampsia. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:298. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00298

63. Tsuda S, Nakashima A, Morita K, Shima T, Yoneda S, Kishi H, et al. The role of decidual regulatory T cells in the induction and maintenance of fetal antigen-specific tolerance: Imbalance between regulatory and cytotoxic T cells in pregnancy complications. *Hum Immunol* (2021) 82(5):346–52. doi: 10.1016/j.humimm.2021.01.019

64. Mei S, Tan J, Chen H, Chen Y, Zhang J. Changes of Cd4+Cd25high regulatory T cells and Foxp3 expression in unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion patients. *Fertil Steril* (2010) 94(6):2244–7. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.11.020

65. Tsuda S, Zhang X, Hamana H, Shima T, Ushijima A, Tsuda K, et al. Clonally expanded decidual effector regulatory T cells increase in late gestation of normal pregnancy, but not in preeclampsia, in humans. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1934. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01934

 Ahamada MM, Jia Y, Wu X. Macrophage polarization and plasticity in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:734008. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2021.734008

67. Ono Y, Yoshino O, Hiraoka T, Sato E, Fukui Y, Ushijima A, et al. Cd206+ M2-like macrophages are essential for successful implantation. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:557184. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.557184 68. Ma H, Cai S, Yang L, Wang L, Ding J, Li L, et al. How do pre-pregnancy endometrial macrophages contribute to pregnancy? *J Reprod Immunol* (2022) 154:103736. doi: 10.1016/j.jri.2022.103736

69. Canto MJ, Ortiz-Santamaria V, Palau J, Cuquet J, Ojeda F. Value of secondtrimester uterine artery pulsatility index in pregnancies with systemic lupus erythematosus and/or antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* (2021), 12:1–7. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2021.1918090

70. Ravitsky V, Roy MC, Haidar H, Henneman L, Marshall J, Newson AJ, et al. The emergence and global spread of noninvasive prenatal testing. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* (2021) 22:309–38. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-083118-015053

71. Yadama AP, Maiorino E, Carey VJ, McElrath TF, Litonjua AA, Loscalzo J, et al. Early-pregnancy transcriptome signatures of preeclampsia: From peripheral blood to placenta. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10(1):17029. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-74100-1

72. Braga A, Neves E, Guimarães J, Braga J, Vasconcelos C. The dynamics of Th17 / treg ratio in sle patients during pregnancy. J Reprod Immunol (2022) 151:103622. doi: 10.1016/j.jri.2022.103622

73. Wang Y, Yang X, Yang Y, Wang W, Zhao M, Liu H, et al. High-throughput deep screening and identification of four peripheral leucocyte micrornas as novel potential combination biomarkers for preeclampsia. *J Perinatol* (2016) 36(4):263–7. doi: 10.1038/jp.2015.192