#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sen Li, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, China

#### REVIEWED BY Remo Furtado, Brazilian Clinical Research Institute, Brazil Alfredo Caturano, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Toshitaka Yajima Washitaka.yajima@astrazeneca.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Clinical Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 30 November 2022 ACCEPTED 18 January 2023 PUBLISHED 30 January 2023

#### CITATION

Akiyama H, Nishimura A, Morita N and Yajima T (2023) Evolution of sodiumglucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors from a glucose-lowering drug to a pivotal therapeutic agent for cardio-renalmetabolic syndrome. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1111984. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1111984

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Akiyama, Nishimura, Morita and Yajima. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Evolution of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors from a glucose-lowering drug to a pivotal therapeutic agent for cardio-renal-metabolic syndrome

Hiroki Akiyama<sup>1</sup>, Akihiro Nishimura<sup>1,2</sup>, Naru Morita<sup>1</sup> and Toshitaka Yajima<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Medical Affairs, AstraZeneca K.K., Osaka, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Urayasu Central Hospital, Chiba, Japan

Cardio-renal-metabolic (CRM) syndrome, which involves type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and heart failure (HF), is a serious healthcare issue globally, with high morbidity and mortality. The disorders that comprise CRM syndrome are independent can mutually affect and accelerate the exacerbation of each other, thereby substantially increasing the risk of mortality and impairing quality of life. To manage CRM syndrome by preventing vicious interactions among individual disorders, a holistic treatment approach that can simultaneously address multiple disorders underpinning CRM syndrome is of great importance. Sodiumglucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) lower blood glucose levels by inhibiting glucose reabsorption in the renal proximal tubule and were first indicated for the treatment of T2DM. Several cardiovascular outcome trials have demonstrated that SGLT2i not only lower blood glucose but also reduce the risk of hospitalization for HF and worsening renal function in patients with T2DM. Results have also suggested that the observed cardiorenal benefits of SGLT2i may be independent of their blood glucose-lowering effects. Several randomized controlled trials subsequently assessed the efficacy and safety of SGLT2i in patients without T2DM, and revealed considerable benefits of SGLT2i treatment against HF and CKD, regardless of the presence of T2DM. Thus, SGLT2i have become an essential therapeutic option to prevent the onset, slow the progression, and improve the prognosis of CRM syndrome. This review assesses the evolution of SGLT2i from a glucose-lowering drug to a therapeutic agent for CRM syndrome by evaluating epoch-making clinical studies, including randomized control trials and real-world studies.

#### KEYWORDS

SGLT2 inhibitor, chronic heart failure, chronic kidney disease, cardiorenal protection, cardio-renal-metabolic syndrome

## Introduction

It is well known that metabolic, cardiovascular (CV), and renal diseases closely interact with each other, forming the so-called cardio-renal-metabolic (CRM) syndrome (1, 2), where each disease is not an independent complication but instead affects and exacerbates the other disorders. The risk of developing CV diseases, including heart failure (HF), in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is approximately double that observed in individuals without T2DM (3). A considerable proportion of patients with T2DM (~50%) develop chronic kidney disease (CKD), referred to as diabetic kidney disease, a leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (4-6). Moreover, decreased renal function is associated with an increased risk of the onset of HF and CV death (7–10). In fact, the prognosis of patients with concomitant T2DM, HF, and CKD is extremely poor (11). Given the vicious interactions among these disorders, holistic interventions that can simultaneously target the multiple disorders in CRM syndrome are of great importance (12).

One class of glucose-lowering drugs, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) (13), has recently attracted much attention owing to its cardiorenal benefits. Several CV outcome trials (CVOTs) have demonstrated that SGLT2i reduce the primary and secondary events associated with CV and renal diseases in patients with T2DM (14–16). In addition, several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have subsequently shown significant efficacy of SGLT2i treatment against HF and CKD regardless of the presence of T2DM (17–21). Owing to these startling results, SGLT2i have evolved from a glucose-lowering drug to an essential therapeutic option to prevent the onset, slow the progression, and improve the prognosis of CRM syndrome. This review describes the evolutionary journey of SGLT2i with reference to epoch-making studies, including RCTs and real-world observational studies.

# Identification and development of SGLT2i

The development of SGLT2i started from the discovery of phlorizin in the bark of apple trees by Petersen in 1835 and the subsequent discovery of its effects on glucosuria and plasma glucoselowering by von Mering in 1886 (22). In 1962, Alvarado and Crane showed that phlorizin competitively inhibits the cotransport of glucose and sodium in the proximal tubule of the kidney although the molecular entity of the transporter remained unknown (23). Two decades later, SGLT1, which transports D-glucose and D-galactose, was cloned from rabbit small intestine by Wright et al. at the University of California, Los Angeles (24). SGLT1 is predominantly expressed in S3 segments and assumed to be responsible for the reabsorption of 10% of the glucose filtered by the glomerulus (25). To elucidate the molecular entity of a similar glucose transporter in the proximal tubule responsible for the reabsorption of the remaining 90% of glucose, Kanai et al. at Osaka University screened a renal cDNA library using SGLT1 as a probe, which led to the identification of SGLT2 (26). In 1999, a Japanese pharmaceutical company developed T-1095, an undegradable SGLT2i. This is the first SGLT2i that was shown to enhance urinary glucose excretion and lower blood glucose levels in diabetic rats (27).

## SGLT2i for the prevention of diabetic complications

It is well known that patients with diabetes frequently develop micro- and macrovascular complications, which worsen the prognosis and quality of life (QOL) of patients. More recently, a multinational cohort study demonstrated that HF and CKD are early and frequent complications in patients with T2DM (10), and their risk of developing these complications is double that of individuals without T2DM (11). For managing diabetic complications, glucoselowering drugs are of great importance, together with diet and exercise therapy. Because patients with diabetes require long-term treatment, glucose-lowering drugs must not only be effective in terms of reducing hyperglycemia but must also be safe in terms of the risks of hypoglycemia and vascular diseases. Despite these requirements, some glucose-lowering drugs were reported to be potentially associated with an increased risk of CV events (28, 29). This led the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to issue guidance for the development of new antidiabetic drugs in 2008, mandating the so-called "CV no harm study" to evaluate the CV risks of newly launched drugs in longer-term clinical trials with prespecified CV endpoints, usually a composite primary endpoint of CV death, non-fatal stroke, and non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) (three-point major adverse CV events; 3P-MACE) or 4P-MACE (3P-MACE plus unstable angina). The European Medicines Agency (EMA) also stated the need for CV no harm studies, with safety outcomes consisting of 3P-MACE or 4P-MACE, as well as other events such as revascularization and/or worsening of HF.

In consideration of the FDA and EMA guidance, a meta-analysis of 21 phase 2b/3 clinical trials was performed to evaluate the risk of an increase in CVD in studies performed for the regulatory approval of dapagliflozin. This meta-analysis revealed numerically lower incidences of the composite of 4P-MACE as well as the individual components (30, 31). Moreover, the meta-analysis revealed that, dapagliflozin significantly reduced hospitalization for HF (HHF), with a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.361 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.156 to 0.838). Subsequently, a prospective CVOT for dapagliflozin, the DECLARE-TIMI 58 trial (n = 17,160; median follow-up, 4.2 years), was conducted (16, 32). Large CVOTs also evaluated the risk of CVD for other SGLT2i, namely, the EMPAREG-OUTCOME trial (n = 4,687; median follow-up, 3.1 years) and the CANVAS program (n = 10,142; median follow-up, 2.4 years) (14–16). There are some differences in the characteristics of patients included in these trials. One is the baseline CV risk. EMPA-REG OUTCOME enrolled patients with established CVD whereas the other trials enrolled patients with either established CVD or risk factors for CVD, resulting in a different prevalence of CVD at baseline (100% in EMPA-REG OUTCOME, 65.6% in CANVAS, and 40.6% in DECLARE-TIMI 58). Another major difference is baseline renal function. While EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS excluded patients with eGFR of <30 and  $\leq30$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively, DECLARE-TIMI 58 excluded patients with a creatinine clearance of

<60 mL/min. The percentage of patients with eGFR < 60 mL/min/ 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in each trial was 25.9% in EMPA-REG OUTCOME, 20.1% in CANVAS, and 7.4% in DECLARE-TIMI 58 (14, 33, 34). Despite these differences in patient characteristics, the three trials consistently showed non-inferiority for 3P-MACE versus placebo from the safety perspective. Furthermore, SGLT2i demonstrated superiority for 3P-MACE versus placebo in EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS but not in DECLARE-TIMI 58. This apparent difference may be explained by the lower baseline risk of CVD in patients enrolled in DECLARE-TIMI 58. Indeed, a prespecified subgroup analysis showed that dapagliflozin significantly reduced the relative risk of MACE by 16% in patients with previous MI (35). Other evidence supporting this notion is that the incidence rate of 3P-MACE was the lowest in DECLARE-TIMI 58 among the three CVOTs, indicating lowest statistical power in that study (36). Furthermore, a meta-analysis of these three trials demonstrated that SGLT2i reduced 3P-MACE by 11% compared to placebo with no heterogeneity (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.83 to 0.96, p = 0.0014; Q statistic = 1.20, p = 0.55,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (37). For the individual components, SGLT2i reduced the risk of MI with no heterogeneity (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.89 to 9.98, p = 0.0177; Q statistic = 0.03, p = 0.98;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) and CV death with a significant intertrial difference (HR 0.84, 95%CI 0.75 to 0.94, p = 00023; Q statistic = 9.95, p = 0.0069;  $I^2 = 79.9\%$ ). Furthermore, there were significant risk reductions for CV death and all-cause mortality in EMPA-REG OUTCOME but not in the other two trials. The difference in baseline CV disease risk may explain these differing outcomes. The results of a meta-analysis showing a numerically

higher incidence and a greater efficacy of SGLT2i in patients with a history of ASCVD than in patients with multiple risk factors support this notion (37).

In DECLARE-TIMI 58, non-inferiority of dapagliflozin to placebo with respect to 3P-MACE was first assessed and superiority was sequentially tested with hierarchical closed testing procedure. The study verified non-inferiority of dapagliflozin, but not superiority, as described above. In addition to 3P-MACE, the effects of SGLT2i were rigidly tested on a composite of HHF and CV death as a co-primary endpoint. DECLARE-TIMI 58 first demonstrated a statistically significant risk reduction in HHF/CV death, and EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS also reported positive effects on this outcome (14-16, 38, 39). Consistent with the results of a metaanalysis of phase 2b/3 trials, the CVOTs demonstrated that SGLT2i significantly reduced the incidence of HHF compared with placebo, with relative risk reductions ranging from 27% to 35% (Table 1). Additionally, two large RCTs, the CREDENCE trial and the VERTIS CV trial, showed beneficial effects of SGLT2i on HHF in patients with T2DM and CKD or established CVD (40, 41). Moreover, no heterogeneity among patients with and without a history of HF was reported in these five trials (Table 1). These results demonstrate the effects of SGLT2i on primary and secondary prevention of HHF in a broad range of patients with DM.

The aforementioned large RCTs also evaluated the effects of SGLT2i on renal function. For example, dapagliflozin reduced the risk of renal events, defined as a composite of a sustained eGFR decrease by  $\geq$ 40% to an eGFR of <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, ESRD, and

TABLE 1 Hospitalization for heart failure among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with and without a history of heart failure.

Trials	Events per 1,000 patient-year	HR (95% CI)		
	Treatment	Placebo		
Overall population				
EMPA-REG OUTCOME (14)	9.4	14.5	0.65 (0.50 to 0.8	
CANVAS program (15)	5.5	8.7	0.67 (0.52 to 0.8	
DECLARE-TIMI 58 (16)	6.2	8.5	0.73 (0.61 to 0.8	
CREDENCE (40)	15.7	25.3	0.61 (0.47 to 0.80	
VERTIS CV (41)	7.3	10.5	0.70 (0.54 to 0.9	
History of HF				
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	40.7	52.4	0.75 (0.48 to 1.1	
CANVAS Program	14.1	28.1	0.51 (0.33 to 0.7	
DECLARE-TIMI 58	27.7	37.2	0.73 (0.55 to 0.9	
CREDENCE	39.3	48.9	0.76 (0.48 to 1.2	
VERTIS CV	16.9	26.2	0.63 (0.44 to 0.9	
No history of HF				
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	6.4	10.8	0.59 (0.43 to 0.82	
CANVAS Program	4.3	5.7	0.79 (0.57 to 1.0	
DECLARE-TIMI 58	4.0	5.6	0.73 (0.58 to 0.9	
CREDENCE	11.7	21.5	0.54 (0.39 to 0.7	
VERTIS CV	4.7	6.0	0.79 (0.54 to 1.1	

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HF, heart failure.

03

renal death and it slowed the rate of eGFR decline (34). In addition, dapagliflozin was associated with less deterioration and more amelioration of albuminuria compared with placebo (42). These renoprotective effects were robustly examined in CREDENCE, which enrolled 4,401 patients with T2DM and CKD (40). Canagliflozin reduced the risk of a renal-specific composite of ESRD, doubling of the creatinine level, or death from renal causes, a secondary endpoint specified in a sequential hierarchical testing procedure. Furthermore, similar to HF, this renoprotective efficacy observed in large RCTs was consistent regardless of renal function at baseline, suggesting the prevention of onset and progression of CKD by SGLT2i (Table 2) (34).

In addition to these RCTs, real-world studies have investigated the cardiorenal benefits of SGLT2i in clinical settings (44–50). The CVD-REAL 2 trial, using data from six countries, showed a significant reduction in HHF, MI, stroke and all-cause mortality compared with other oral glucose-lowering drugs regardless of the history of CVD in patients with T2DM (44). A follow-up study of CVD-REAL 2 using data from 13 countries over a longer period yielded similar results when comparing SGLT2i with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4i) (49). Several other real-world studies consistently reported a reduced risk of CV events and death with SGLT2i compared with other glucose-lowering drugs or DPP-4i (46, 47, 51).

In addition to these CV benefits, the renoprotective effects of SGLT2i have been demonstrated in real-world settings. The CVD-REAL 3 trial, a multinational observational cohort study, demonstrated a significant difference in the mean annual rate of change in eGFR between SGLT2i ( $0.46 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$  per year; 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.58) and other glucose-lowering drugs ( $-1.21 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$  per year; 95% CI, -1.35 to -1.06) (45). SGLT2i were also shown to slow the decline in eGFR in patients with T2DM and CKD in a Japanese real-world study (50). Moreover, SGLT2i can reduce the risk of ESRD (45). Although these real-world studies utilized propensity-score matching, the results should be interpreted carefully due to the possible involvement of unconsidered confounders. These lines of real-world evidence can contribute to

TABLE 2 Renal composite outcomes (worsening eGFR, end-stage renal disease, or renal death) according to renal function among patients with type 2 diabetes.

Trial	Events per 1,00	00 patient-years	HR (95% CI)
	Treatment	Placebo	
Overall population			
EMPA-REG OUTCOME (14)	6.3	11.5	0.54 (0.40 to 0.75
CANVAS Program (15)	5.5	9.0	0.60 (0.47 to 0.77
DECLARE-TIMI 58 (16)	3.7	7.0	0.53 (0.43 to 0.66
CREDENCE (40)	27.0	40.4	0.66 (0.53 to 0.81
VERTIS CV (43)	9.3	11.5	0.81 (0.63 to 1.04
eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>			
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	NA	NA	0.66 (0.41 to 1.07
CANVAS Program	11.4	15.1	0.74 (0.48 to 1.15
DECLARE-TIMI 58	8.9	15.2	0.60 (0.35 to 1.02
CREDENCE (45 to <60)	33.4	63.1	0.52 (0.38 to 0.72
VERTIS CV	16.3	14.7	0.90 (0.59 to 1.38
eGFR 60 to <90 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>			
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	NA	NA	0.61 (0.37 to 1.03
CANVAS Program	4.6	7.4	0.58 (0.41 to 0.84
DECLARE-TIMI 58	4.2	7.8	0.54 (0.40 to 0.73
CREDENCE	14.9	18.5	0.81 (0.52 to 1.20
VERTIS CV	10.5	7.0	0.66 (0.46 to 0.94
eGFR $\geq$ 90 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>			
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	NA	NA	0.21 (0.09 to 0.53
CANVAS Program	3.8	8.1	0.44 (0.25 to 0.78
DECLARE-TIMI 58	2.5	4.9	0.50 (0.34 to 0.73
CREDENCE	NA	NA	NA
VERTIS CV	9.3	9.6	1.04 (0.63 to 1.73

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. NA, Not available.

complement the results of RCTs and demonstrate the cardiorenal benefit of SGLT2i in patients with T2DM in clinical settings.

The real-world studies comparing SGLT2i and other glucoselowering drugs suggest that the cardiorenal benefit seems to be independent of glucose-lowering effect. This idea was tested in a secondary analysis of clinical trials in which canagliflozin was compared with glimepiride (52). Despite the similar level of reductions in HbA1c, the decrease in systolic blood pressure was larger in the canagliflozin group than in the glimepiride group. Furthermore, the eGFR decline in the canagliflozin group was significantly slower than that in the glimepiride group. Another clinical trial enrolling Japanese patients with T2DM and CKD demonstrated that neither baseline HbA1c nor the changes in HbA1c significantly affected the decrease in the urinary albumin-tocreatinine ratio observed during 24 weeks of dapagliflozin treatment (53). Because SGLT2i lower blood glucose level by promoting the excretion of glucose into urine, their glucose-lowering effects become weaker as eGFR declines (54). Nonetheless, CVOTs reported that SGLT2i consistently reduced the risk of CV and renal outcomes even in patients with lower eGFR ( $\leq 45 \text{ mL/min}/1.73\text{m}^2$ ) (40, 55). Collectively, these findings suggest that SGLT2i confer a cardiorenal benefit independently of their glycemic effects.

The 2022 American Diabetes Association (ADA) standards of medical care in diabetes recommend a comprehensive approach to reduce the risk of diabetic complications, in which the following four factors are considered to be fundamental: management of glycemia, blood pressure, and lipids and the incorporation of specific therapies with efficacy on CV and renal outcomes (56). The importance of multifactorial interventions to target glycemia, blood pressure, and lipids is well established (57-59). Based on the evidence provided by RCTs, SGLT2i and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RA) are recommended to reduce the risk of adverse CV and renal events. The 2021 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines on CV disease prevention in clinical practice recommend SGLT2i and GLP-1 RA for reducing CV and/or cardiorenal outcomes in patients with T2DM (60). From the viewpoint of HF, SGLT2i are recommended for patients with stage A HF. The ADA consensus report for HF recommends that SGLT2i are an expected element of care in all individuals with diabetes and symptomatic HF and should be used in individuals with high CV risk, including those with stage B HF (61). However, DPP-4i and thiazolidines are not recommended for patients with diabetes with stages B, C, and D HF. For all patients with T2DM and stage  $\geq$ 3 CKD, the use of SGLT2i is strongly recommended in the 2022 ADA standards of medical care in diabetes owing to their effects on slowing CKD progression and reducing HF risk (62). This recommendation is also based on the finding that the renoprotective effects of SGLT2i were not dependent on eGFR despite an attenuated glucose-lowering effect in patients with an eGFR of <45 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (Table 2).

## SGLT2i for the treatment of HF

HF is a diabetic complication that must be managed; simultaneously, it is a serious issue for individuals without diabetes. The global prevalence of HF is estimated to be approximately 64 million, and it is the world's leading cause of hospitalization with a high risk of re-hospitalization (63, 64). As mentioned above, large CVOTs for SGLT2i have shown substantial risk reduction in HHF among patients with T2DM, including in patients with a history of HF (Table 2). The important remaining question is whether the beneficial effect of SGLT2i on HF can be extended to patients without T2DM.

The DAPA-HF trial was the first clinical trial to provide an answer to this question. The trial examined the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in addition to standard of care in patients with chronic HF and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) with or without DM (n =4,744; median follow-up, 18.2 months) (17). Dapagliflozin achieved a 26% relative risk reduction for the primary outcome, defined as a composite of death from CV causes, HHF, and urgent HF visits, regardless of DM. Furthermore, this reduction was rapidly apparent, with a sustained statistically significant efficacy by 28 days after randomization (HR at 28 days 0.51, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.94) (65). Significant risk reduction was also observed for individual components of the composite outcome, with HRs of 0.82 (95% CI 0.69 to 0.98) for the risk of death from CV causes and 0.70 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.83) for the risk of HHF. Dapagliflozin was also associated with lower risk of death with a HR of 0.83 (95% CI 0.71 to 0.97) for death from any cause, and a HR of 0.79 (95% CI 0.63 to 0.99) for a composite of arrhythmia, resuscitated cardiac arrest and sudden death (66). In addition to these hard outcomes, DAPA-HF assessed QOL and showed a significant increase in the Kansas City cardiomyopathy questionnaire (KCCQ) score in the dapagliflozin group compared with the placebo group, indicating an improvement in patient-assessed symptoms. Although there were numerically fewer events in the dapagliflozin group than in the placebo group, there was no statistically significant difference in the renal composite outcome, defined as a ≥50% sustained decline in eGFR, ESRD, or renal death (HR 0.71, 95% CI 0.44 to 1.66). Consistently, the EMPEROR-Reduced trial, another large RCT of patients with HFrEF with or without DM, reported a 25% relative risk reduction in a composite of death from CV causes and HHF in patients treated with the SGLT2i empagliflozin (18). In addition, empagliflozin reduced the incidence of the composite renal outcome, defined as chronic dialysis, renal transplantation, a sustained reduction in eGFR of  $\geq$ 40%, or sustained eGFR of <15 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> among patients with a baseline eGFR of  $\geq$ 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or <10 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> among patients with a baseline eGFR of <20 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Empagliflozin also slowed the annual rate of decline in eGFR compared with placebo. A significantly greater improvement in the KCCQ score was reported in the empagliflozin group. Although EMPEROR-Reduced did not show a significant risk reduction for death from CV causes (HR 0.92, 95% CI 0.75 to 1.12) or death from any cause (HR 0.92, 95% CI 0.77 to 1.10), a meta-analysis of DAPA-HF and EMPEROR-Reduced showed significant risk reductions for both outcomes (67). These findings collectively show a cardiorenal benefit of SGLT2i in improving the prognosis and QOL of patients with HFrEF.

Approximately half of the patients with HF have LVEF of >40%, HF with preserved or mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFpEF or HFmrEF) (68, 69). The prognosis of this population is equivalently poor compared with HFrEF, with a 5-year mortality rate of approximately 40% (70). Several drugs with demonstrated benefits in HFrEF have been evaluated in HFmrEF and HFpEF, but none have shown conclusive efficacy (71–74). The EMPEROR-Preserved trial,

which enrolled 5,988 patients with HFmrEF and HFpEF regardless of DM, evaluated the efficacy and safety of empagliflozin, and reported for the first time a positive result of a 21% relative risk reduction in a composite of CV death and HHF (HR 0.79, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.90) (20). This was accompanied by sustained, statistically significant efficacy by 18 days after randomization (HR at 18 days 0.41, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.99) (75). In that trial, empagliflozin significantly slowed the slope of the eGFR decline and relieved the patient's symptoms and physical limitations, as measured by KCCQ (20, 76).

Although the results reported in EMPEROR-Preserved were epoch-making, the study left some uncertainties to be addressed. In particular, it was unclear whether these benefits are conserved in patients with a higher LVEF spectrum (>60%), in patients who start treatment during the subacute phase (i.e. during or soon after hospitalization), or in patients with a prior LVEF of ≤40% (HFrEF) that has since improved to >40% (HF with improved EF, HFimpEF). These gaps in evidence were addressed in the DELIVER trial, which evaluated the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in patients with HFmrEF and HFpEF (n = 6,263; median follow-up, 2.3 years) (21). In this trial, dapagliflozin significantly reduced the risk of the primary outcome events, CV death or worsening HF events, compared with placebo in the overall population (HR 0.82, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.92) and in a subpopulation of patients with an LVEF of <60% (HR 0.83, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.95). Consistent with the results of EMPEROR-Preserved, an early benefit of dapagliflozin was observed because the risk reduction for the primary outcome was statistically significant at 13 days after randomization and statistical significance was sustained from 15 days onward (77). An improvement in the KCCQ score was also reported, showing that dapagliflozin can ameliorate symptoms in patients with HFmrEF and HFpEF (21). Notably, DELIVER extended the findings of EMPEROR-Preserved trial based on the results of subgroup analyses, which demonstrated a consistent efficacy among patients with an LVEF of ≥60% (HR 0.78, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.98), HFimpEF (HR 0.74, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.94), and in the subacute phase

#### TABLE 3 Randomized controlled trials of patients with heart failure.

(HR 0.78, 95% CI 0.6 to 1.03). Following evidence from a metaanalysis of DELIVER and EMPEROR-Preserved trials showing a consistent risk reduction for the composite of CV death and HHF (78), SGLT2i have been identified as the first drug that can be effective in patients with HFmrEF and HFpEF regardless of the presence of T2DM.

Four RCTs, DAPA-HF, DELIVER, EMPEROR-Reduced, and EMPEROR-Preserved, consistently showed the efficacy of SGLT2i in patients with HFrEF, HFmrEF, and HFpEF (Table 3). In addition, the SOLOIST-WHF trial assessed the efficacy and safety of sotagliflozin, a combined SGLT1 and SGLT2 inhibitor, in 1,222 patients with HF and T2DM, and demonstrated a significant risk reduction in a composite of CV death and worsening HF regardless of LVEF (>50% or  $\leq$ 50%) (79) (Table 3). These results suggest that SGLT2i can be effective in patients with HF regardless of LVEF. This is a remarkable difference from the other drugs used for HF because the vast majority of them are only used to treat HFrEF owing to their attenuated effects in the higher LVEF spectrum (80). Two pooled meta-analyses of empagliflozin (pooled EMPEROR-Reduced and EMPEROR-Preserved, n = 9,718) and dapagliflozin (pooled DAPA-HF and DELIVER, n = 11,007) further support this notion (81, 82). Significant risk reductions for a composite of CV death and HHF were reported in both pooled analyses without heterogeneity across the full LVEF spectrum.

A meta-analysis of RCTs that enrolled >1,000 patients with HF across the full LVEF spectrum provided a combined view of the effects of SGLT2i on mortality (78). For CV death and all-cause death, the overall HR was 0.87 (95% CI, 0.79 to 0.95) and 0.92 (95% CI, 0.86 to 0.99), respectively, without heterogeneity among trials. For dapagliflozin, the pooled analysis was prespecified to assess its effect on CV death and all-cause mortality because of insufficient statistical power for evaluating these hard outcomes in individual trials (82). In the overall HF population, a significant benefit of dapagliflozin on mortality was observed irrespective of LVEF, with a HR of 0.86 (95%

	DAPA-HF (17)	DELIVER (21)	EMPEROR-Reduced (18)	EMPEROR-Preserved (20)	SOLOIST-WHF (79)		
Drug	Dapagliflozin	Dapagliflozin	Empagliflozin	Empagliflozin	Sotagliflozin		
No. of patients	4,744	6,263	3,730	5,988	1,222		
LVEF (mean, %)	31.1	54.2	27.2	54.3	35		
Median NT-proBNP (median, pg/ mL)	1,437	1,011	1,910	994	1,800		
eGFR (mean, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	65.8	61.0	62.2	60.6	49.7		
T2DM (%)	45.1	44.8	49.8	49.1	100		
Outcomes, HR (95% CI)							
HHF or CV death	0.74 (0.65 to 0.85)	0.82 (0.73 to 0.92)	0.75 (0.65 to 0.86)	0.79 (0.69 to 0.90)	0.67 (0.52 to 0.85)		
HHF	0.7 (0.59 to 0.83)	0.77 (0.67 to 0.89)	0.69 (0.59 to 0.81)	0.71 (0.60 to 0.83)	0.64 (0.49 to 0.83)		
CV death	0.82 (0.69 to 0.98)	0.88 (0.74 to 1.05)	0.92 (0.75 to 1.12)	0.91 (0.76 to 1.09)	0.84 (0.58 to 1.22)		

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HHF, hospitalization for heart failure; CV, cardiovascular.

CI 0.76 to 0.97) for CV death and a HR of 0.90 (95% CI 0.82 to 0.99) for all-cause death. The meta-analysis of 21,947 patients, including outpatients and hospitalized patients, also showed that SGLT2i significantly reduced worsening HF events and improved symptoms. Collectively, SGLT2i demonstrated efficacy in reducing mortality, managing HF, and improving QOL, and prompted us to consider SGLT2i as a fundamental therapy for a broad range of patients with HF.

The 2021 ESC HF guidelines recommend dapagliflozin/ empagliflozin as one of the four cornerstone drug therapies, alongside angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI)/ angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi),  $\beta$ -blockers (BB), and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) for reducing HHF and death in all patients with HFrEF (Class I) (83). Moreover, the 2022 American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) recommend guideline-directed medical therapy for Stage C or D HFrEF, consisting of four medication classes, including SGLT2i, ARNI/ACEi/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), BB, and MRA (Class I) (84). Additionally, the Japanese Circulation Society (JCS)/ Japanese Heart Failure Society (JHFS) 2021 guideline focused update on diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure recommends SGLT2i regardless of the presence of diabetes to further decrease the risk of exacerbation of HF or CV death in patients with symptomatic HFrEF combined with optimal basic treatment (ACEi/ARB, BB, and MRA) (85).

SGLT2i were included in the 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA HF guidelines for the treatment of HFmrEF and HFpEF to reduce HHF and CV mortality (Class 2a) (84). This is a higher recommendation than those allocated to ARNI/ACEi/ARB, BBs, and MRAs for the treatment of HFmrEF and HFpEF. The results of DELIVER are expected to be reflected in future guidelines and provide further guidance for the use of SGLT2i in clinical practice independently of EF. A meta-analysis of five RCTs, including DAPA-HF, DELIVER, EMPEROR-Reduced, EMPEROR-Preserved and SOLOIST-WHF (78), will likewise help to reinforce the guideline recommendations for the use of SGLT2i in patients with HFrEF, HFmrEF, and HFpEF, as well as the clinical need to initiate guideline-directed medical therapy to improve the outcomes of patients with HF.

### SGLT2i for the treatment of CKD

Although it was not a primary endpoint, protective effects of SGLT2i on renal function were demonstrated in three CVOTs, EMPA-REG OUTCOME, CANVAS, and DECLARE-TIMI 58, in which most enrolled patients had preserved renal function (14–16). The CREDENCE and DELIGHT trials further extended the renoprotective effects of SGLT2i to patients with T2DM and impaired renal function, in whom the glucose-lowering effects of SGLT2i are attenuated (40, 86). The proposed mechanism to explain these effects of SGLT2i on renal function, including reducing intrarenal hypoxia, may be relevant to patients with CKD without diabetes. The DAPA-CKD trial first evaluated the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in patients with CKD in the presence or absence of T2DM (n = 4,304; median follow-up, 2.4 years) (19). This trial was stopped early because of overwhelming efficacy. The primary

outcome, assessed in terms of the time to the first event, was a composite of a sustained decline in eGFR of  $\geq$ 50%, ESRD, and death from renal or CV causes. Dapagliflozin showed significant efficacy in reducing the risk of this composite by 39%, with a HR of 0.61 (95% CI 0.51 to 0.72), regardless of the presence of T2DM. Furthermore, there was no heterogeneity in the effects among the causes of CKD (87). Favorable effects of dapagliflozin were observed for each component of the composite outcome (19). In addition, the rate of eGFR decline was slower in the dapagliflozin group than in the placebo group (total eGFR slope difference 0.95 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, 95% CI 0.63 to 1.27).

DAPA-CKD also assessed the effects of dapagliflozin on HF events and mortality. The HR for the CV composite of death from CV causes or HHF was 0.71 (95% CI 0.55 to 0.92) and the HR for death from any cause was 0.69 (95% CI 0.53 to 0.88) (19). These benefits were consistent with those observed in patients with HF as described above. With the evidence for T2DM, these findings further emphasize the beneficial effects of dapagliflozin in patients with CRM syndrome.

Results of the EMPA-KIDNEY trial (n = 6,609; median follow-up, 2.0 years) were recently published (88). This trial was also stopped early because of the clear efficacy of empagliflozin, as previously shown in CREDENCE and DAPA-CKD. Empagliflozin significantly reduced the risk of the primary composite outcome, progression of kidney disease (initiation of maintenance dialysis or receipt of a kidney transplant, a sustained decrease in the eGFR to <10 mL/min/ 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, a sustained decrease in eGFR by  $\geq$ 40% from baseline, or death from renal causes) or CV death, by 28% (HR 0.72, 95%CI 0.64 to 0.82) regardless of diabetes status. The HRs for each component were 0.71 (95% CI 0.62 to 0.81) for progression of kidney disease and 0.84 (95% CI 0.60 to 1.19) for CV death. Furthermore, the rate of annual decline in eGFR was slower in the empagliflozin group than in the placebo group, with a between-group difference for the change from randomization to the final follow-up visit of 0.75 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> per year (95% CI 0.54 to 0.96). Overall, EMPA-KIDNEY confirmed the efficacy of SGLT2i in patients with CKD regardless of T2DM.

The KDIGO 2022 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in CKD (89) is a focused update of the 2020 guidelines with a relatively short interval to include some recently published evidence, particularly RCTs of SGLT2i (17–20, 79). In the focused update, SGLT2i are recommended as first-line therapy for patients with T2DM and CKD, regardless of the level of glycemia, to improve their renal and CV outcomes. Based on recently published RCTs, the 2022 guideline advocates initiating SGLT2i for patients with T2DM and CKD and an eGFR of  $\geq$ 20 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> instead of  $\geq$ 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, and continuing SGLT2i treatment for as long as tolerated, even if eGFR decreases to <20 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, until kidney replacement therapy is initiated. SGLT2i are also recommended regardless of the patient's level of albuminuria. Therefore, SGLT2i are considered a foundation of pharmacologic therapy for T2DM and CKD.

## Safety considerations

The safety profiles of SGLT2i as antidiabetic drugs were rigorously assessed in the large-scale CVOTs. Although the event

rates were low, there was a consistent increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) in the SGLT2i group than in the placebo group in a meta-analysis that included EMPA-REG OUTCOME, CANVAS, and DECLARE-TIMI 58 (37). On the other hand, an increased risk of amputations and fractures was only observed in one trial, resulting in moderate to high degree of heterogeneity. A more recent metaanalysis of 15 RCTs that enrolled patients with T2DM, CKD, and/ or HF found no significant effect of SGLT2i on the incidence of amputation and fracture with no heterogeneity (90). This metaanalysis revealed a consistent increased risk of DKA as well as an increased risk of volume depletion. Although the meta-analysis included RCTs that enrolled patients with CKD, SGLT2i showed superiority but not inferiority in reducing the risk of acute kidney injury. These findings indicate the need for adequate patient education, including alerting patients to subjective symptoms of DKA and dehydration, such as lightheadedness, fatigue, abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting, to confer a greater efficacy of SGLT2i treatment.

### Discussion

In this review, we have summarized the results of RCTs and realworld data for SGLT2i treatment in patients with T2DM, HF, and CKD. Although the primary action of SGLT2i involves inhibition of SGLT2 expressed on proximal tubule cells, these drugs exhibit pleiotropic effects, which include reductions in body weight, blood pressure, intra-glomerular pressure, hyperuricemia, inflammation and oxidative stress, inhibition of the sympathetic nervous system, and improvements in erythropoiesis, cardiac energy metabolism and vascular function (91-93). The reduction in body weight is at least partly explained by a reduction in fat mass (94, 95). SGLT2i also reduce epicardial fat that releases pro-inflammatory mediators (96, 97). In addition, dapagliflozin was reported to inhibit the NLRP3 inflammasome, resulting in attenuation of fibrosis in diabetic mice (98). Further, the benefits on the heart may be mediated by improving myocardial energy efficiency. Ketone bodies were also elevated in patients treated with SGLT2i, which might contribute to improved cardiac function (99, 100). The increase in the erythropoietin level achieved by SGLT2i treatment appears to involve the suppression of hepcidin and ferritin and an increase of transferrin receptor protein 1 (101-103), which would correct anemia and improve clinical outcomes (104). Furthermore, inhibition of SGLT2 reduces the ATP-dependent tubular workload and oxygen requirements, alleviating hypoxia (105). Mitochondrial dysfunction has been implicated in both HF and CKD (106, 107), and several possible mechanisms were proposed by which SGLT2i preserve normal mitochondrial function (93). These effects probably contribute collectively to the cardiorenal protective effects of SGLT2i. Notably, an improvement in cardiac function leads to an improvement in renal function, and vice versa, owing to the mutual interactions between the heart and the kidney. Moreover, SGLT2i seem to prevent the onset of T2DM in patients with HF or CKD (108, 109), emphasizing the importance of using this drug in patients with CRM syndrome.

Although the efficacy of SGLT2i in CRM interactions is becoming increasingly clear, some issues remain unclear. Acute HF management with SGLT2i is one such example, and has received growing attention. A relatively small RCT was conducted and reported a significant clinical benefit of SGLT2i on the prognosis and symptoms in patients hospitalized for acute HF (110). A largescale RCT is ongoing (NCT04363697) and is expected to provide rigorous evidence regarding this aspect. The efficacy and safety of SGLT2i in populations excluded from previous RCTs, such as the super-elderly (age >85 years) and/or highly frail individuals are also needed. Because of the considerable time and effort required to conduct such RCTs, it will be helpful to obtain evidence using realworld data to address the remaining issues and further solidify the CRM protective effects of SGLT2i.

Considering the emerging concept of CRM interactions, SGLT2i have shown better performance than initially expected. SGLT2i have not only been used as an antidiabetic agent but have also become a new treatment option for patients with HF (irrespective of LVEF or care settings) and/or CKD. Regardless of the presence of diabetes, patient management focusing on cardiorenal protection is important in terms of prognosis and QOL. SGLT2i can contribute to better treatment strategies for a huge number of patients suffering from CRM diseases.

## Author contributions

All authors contributed to the conception of the review. HA and AN wrote the first draft, and NM and TY revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study received funding from AstraZeneca K. K. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank EMC K.K. for English language editing, which was funded by AstraZeneca K.K.

## **Conflict of interest**

Authors HA, AN, and TY are employed by AstraZeneca K.K. Author NM was employed by AstraZeneca K. K.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Moser MS, Sowers JR. Cardio-Renal-Metabolic interactions between diabetes, cardiometabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular and renal disease. 4th ed. San Juan: PR: Professional Communications, Inc (2009).

2. Kadowaki T, Maegawa H, Watada H, Yabe D, Node K, Murohara T, et al. Interconnection between cardiovascular, renal and metabolic disorders: A narrative review with a focus on Japan. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2022) 24(12):2283–96. doi: 10.1111/dom.14829

3. Kodama S, Fujihara K, Horikawa C, Sato T, Iwanaga M, Yamada T, et al. Diabetes mellitus and risk of new-onset and recurrent heart failure: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *ESC Heart Fail* (2020) 7(5):2146–74. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12782

4. Shahbazian H, Rezaii I. Diabetic kidney disease; review of the current knowledge. J Renal Inj Prev (2013) 2(2):73–80. doi: 10.12861/jrip.2013.24

5. Thomas MC, Cooper ME, Zimmet P. Changing epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus and associated chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* (2016) 12(2):73–81. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2015.173

6. Alicic RZ, Rooney MT, Tuttle KR. Diabetic kidney disease: Challenges, progress, and possibilities. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* (2017) 12(12):2032-45. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11491116

7. Group KDIGOKCW. Kdigo 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* (2013) 3:150.

8. Nayor M, Larson MG, Wang N, Santhanakrishnan R, Lee DS, Tsao CW, et al. The association of chronic kidney disease and microalbuminuria with heart failure with preserved vs. reduced ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* (2017) 19(5):615–23. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.778

9. George LK, Koshy SKG, Molnar MZ, Thomas F, Lu JL, Kalantar-Zadeh K, et al. Heart failure increases the risk of adverse renal outcomes in patients with normal kidney function. *Circ Heart Fail* (2017) 10(8). doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.116.003825

10. Birkeland KI, Bodegard J, Eriksson JW, Norhammar A, Haller H, Linssen GCM, et al. Heart failure and chronic kidney disease manifestation and mortality risk associations in type 2 diabetes: A Large multinational cohort study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2020) 22(9):1607–18. doi: 10.1111/dom.14074

11. Kadowaki T, Komuro I, Morita N, Akiyama H, Kidani Y, Yajima T. Manifestation of heart failure and chronic kidney disease are associated with increased mortality risk in early stages of type 2 diabetes mellitus: Analysis of a Japanese real-world hospital claims database. *Diabetes Ther* (2022) 13(2):275–86. doi: 10.1007/s13300-021-01191-y

12. Sasso FC, Simeon V, Galiero R, Caturano A, De Nicola L, Chiodini P, et al. The number of risk factors not at target is associated with cardiovascular risk in a type 2 diabetic population with albuminuria in primary cardiovascular prevention. post-hoc analysis of the nid-2 trial. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2022) 21(1):235. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01674-7

13. World Health Organization. World health organization model list of essential medicines. (2021). Geneva, Switzerland; World Health Organization.

14. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* (2015) 373(22):2117–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1504720

15. Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondu N, et al. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* (2017) 377(7):644–57. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611925

16. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 380(4):347–57. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1812389

17. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381(21):1995–2008. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1911303

18. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, Carson P, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with empagliflozin in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* (2020) 383(15):1413–24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2022190

19. Heerspink HJL, Stefansson BV, Correa-Rotter R, Chertow GM, Greene T, Hou FF, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* (2020) 383 (15):1436–46. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2024816

20. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Bohm M, et al. Empagliflozin in heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2021) 385(16):1451–61. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107038

21. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B, de Boer RA, DeMets D, Hernandez AF, et al. Dapagliflozin in heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 387(12):1089–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2206286

22. Von Mering J. Über künstlichen diabetes. Centralbl Med Wiss (1886) 22:5.

23. Alvarado F, Crane RK. Phlorizin as a competitive inhibitor of the active transport of sugars by hamster small intestine, in vitro. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (1962) 56:170–2. doi: 10.1016/0006-3002(62)90543-7

24. Hediger MA, Coady MJ, Ikeda TS, Wright EM. Expression cloning and cdna sequencing of the Na+/Glucose Co-transporter. *Nature* (1987) 330(6146):379-81. doi: 10.1038/330379a0

25. Lee WS, Kanai Y, Wells RG, Hediger MA. The high affinity Na+/Glucose cotransporter. re-evaluation of function and distribution of expression. J Biol Chem (1994) 269(16):12032–9. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)32677-7

26. Kanai Y, Lee WS, You G, Brown D, Hediger MA. The human kidney low affinity Na+/Glucose cotransporter Sglt2. delineation of the major renal reabsorptive mechanism for d-glucose. *J Clin Invest* (1994) 93(1):397–404. doi: 10.1172/JCI116972

27. Oku A, Ueta K, Arakawa K, Ishihara T, Nawano M, Kuronuma Y, et al. T-1095, an inhibitor of renal na+-glucose cotransporters, may provide a novel approach to treating diabetes. *Diabetes* (1999) 48(9):1794–800. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.9.1794

28. Psaty BM, Furberg CD. Rosiglitazone and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med (2007) 356(24):2522–4. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe078099

29. Graham DJ, Ouellet-Hellstrom R, MaCurdy TE, Ali F, Sholley C, Worrall C, et al. Risk of acute myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, and death in elderly Medicare patients treated with rosiglitazone or pioglitazone. *JAMA* (2010) 304(4):411–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.920

30. Langkilde AM, Johansson P, Ptasynska A, Johnsson E. Cardiovascular safety of the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin: Meta-analysis with >6000 patient-years exposure. *Circulation* (2013) . 128:A11105.

31. Sonesson C, Johansson PA, Johnsson E, Gause-Nilsson I. Cardiovascular effects of dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes and different risk categories: A metaanalysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2016) 15:37. doi: 10.1186/s12933-016-0356-y

32. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. The design and rationale for the dapagliflozin effect on cardiovascular events (Declare)-timi 58 trial. *Am Heart J* (2018) 200:83–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2018.01.012

33. Neuen BL, Ohkuma T, Neal B, Matthews DR, de Zeeuw D, Mahaffey KW, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with canagliflozin according to baseline kidney function. *Circulation* (2018) 138(15):1537–50. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035901

34. Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Cahn A, Rozenberg A, Yanuv I, Goodrich EL, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on development and progression of kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: An analysis from the declare-timi 58 randomised trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2019) 7(8):606–17. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30180-9

35. Furtado RHM, Bonaca MP, Raz I, Zelniker TA, Mosenzon O, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and previous myocardial infarction. *Circulation* (2019) 139(22):2516–27. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.039996

36. Kluger AY, Tecson KM, Barbin CM, Lee AY, Lerma EV, Rosol ZP, et al. Cardiorenal outcomes in the canvas, declare-timi 58, and empa-reg outcome trials: A systematic review. *Rev Cardiovasc Med* (2018) 19(2):41–9. doi: 10.31083/j.rcm.2018.02.907

37. Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, Im K, Goodrich EL, Bonaca MP, et al. Sglt2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. *Lancet* (2019) 393(10166):31–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32590-X

38. Fitchett D, Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Hantel S, Salsali A, et al. Heart failure outcomes with empagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk: Results of the empa-reg Outcome(R) trial. *Eur Heart J* (2016) 37(19):1526–34. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv728

39. Radholm K, Figtree G, Perkovic V, Solomon SD, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, et al. Canagliflozin and heart failure in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Results from the canvas program. *Circulation* (2018) 138(5):458–68. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034222

40. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 380(24):2295–306. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1811744

41. Cosentino F, Cannon CP, Cherney DZI, Masiukiewicz U, Pratley R, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Efficacy of ertugliflozin on heart failure-related events in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Results of the vertis cv trial. *Circulation* (2020) 142(23):2205–15. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050255

42. Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Heerspink HJL, Dwyer JP, Cahn A, Goodrich EL, et al. The effect of dapagliflozin on albuminuria in declare-timi 58. *Diabetes Care* (2021) 44 (8):1805–15. doi: 10.2337/dc21-0076

43. Cherney DZI, Charbonnel B, Cosentino F, Dagogo-Jack S, McGuire DK, Pratley R, et al. Effects of ertugliflozin on kidney composite outcomes, renal function and albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: An analysis from the randomised vertis cv trial. *Diabetologia* (2021) 64(6):1256–67. doi: 10.1007/s00125-021-05407-5

44. Kosiborod M, Lam CSP, Kohsaka S, Kim DJ, Karasik A, Shaw J, et al. Cardiovascular events associated with sglt-2 inhibitors versus other glucose-lowering drugs: The cvd-real 2 study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* (2018) 71(23):2628–39. doi: 10.1016/ j.jacc.2018.03.009

45. Heerspink HJL, Karasik A, Thuresson M, Melzer-Cohen C, Chodick G, Khunti K, et al. Kidney outcomes associated with use of Sglt2 inhibitors in real-world clinical practice (Cvd-real 3): A multinational observational cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2020) 8(1):27–35. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30384-5

46. Birkeland KI, Bodegard J, Banerjee A, Kim DJ, Norhammar A, Eriksson JW, et al. Lower cardiorenal risk with sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors versus dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes without cardiovascular and renal diseases: A Large multinational observational study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2021) 23 (1):75–85. doi: 10.1111/dom.14189

47. Patorno E, Pawar A, Wexler DJ, Glynn RJ, Bessette LG, Paik JM, et al. Effectiveness and safety of empagliflozin in routine care patients: Results from the empagliflozin comparative effectiveness and safety (Emprise) study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* (2022) 24 (3):442–54. doi: 10.1111/dom.14593

48. Filion KB, Lix LM, Yu OH, Dell'Aniello S, Douros A, Shah BR, et al. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and risk of major adverse cardiovascular events: Multidatabase retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* (2020) 370:m3342. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3342

49. Kohsaka S, Lam CSP, Kim DJ, Cavender MA, Norhammar A, Jorgensen ME, et al. Risk of cardiovascular events and death associated with initiation of Sglt2 inhibitors compared with dpp-4 inhibitors: An analysis from the cvd-real 2 multinational cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2020) 8(7):606–15. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(20) 30130-3

50. Nagasu H, Yano Y, Kanegae H, Heerspink HJL, Nangaku M, Hirakawa Y, et al. Kidney outcomes associated with Sglt2 inhibitors versus other glucose-lowering drugs in real-world clinical practice: The Japan chronic kidney disease database. *Diabetes Care* (2021) 44(11):2542–51. doi: 10.2337/dc21-1081

51. Khunti K, Kosiborod M, Kim DJ, Kohsaka S, Lam CSP, Goh SY, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes with sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors vs other glucose-lowering drugs in 13 countries across three continents: Analysis of cvd-real data. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2021) 20(1):159. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01345-z

52. Heerspink HJ, Desai M, Jardine M, Balis D, Meininger G, Perkovic V. Canagliflozin slows progression of renal function decline independently of glycemic effects. J Am Soc Nephrol (2017) 28(1):368–75. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016030278

53. Kinguchi S, Wakui H, Ito Y, Kondo Y, Azushima K, Osada U, et al. Improved home bp profile with dapagliflozin is associated with amelioration of albuminuria in Japanese patients with diabetic nephropathy: The Yokohama add-on inhibitory efficacy of dapagliflozin on albuminuria in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes study (Y-Aida study). *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2019) 18(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s12933-019-0912-3

54. Kohan DE, Fioretto P, Tang W, List JF. Long-term study of patients with type 2 diabetes and moderate renal impairment shows that dapagliflozin reduces weight and blood pressure but does not improve glycemic control. *Kidney Int* (2014) 85(4):962–71. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.356

55. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, von Eynatten M, Mattheus M, et al. Empagliflozin and progression of kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 375(4):323–34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1515920

56. Committee ADAPP. 10. cardiovascular disease and risk management: Standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care* (2022) 45:31. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S010

57. Pedersen O, Gaede P. Intensified multifactorial intervention and cardiovascular outcome in type 2 diabetes: The steno-2 study. *Metabolism* (2003) 52(8 Suppl 1):19–23. doi: 10.1016/s0026-0495(03)00213-0

58. Ueki K, Sasako T, Okazaki Y, Kato M, Okahata S, Katsuyama H, et al. Effect of an intensified multifactorial intervention on cardiovascular outcomes and mortality in type 2 diabetes (J-Doit3): An open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2017) 5(12):951–64. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30327-3

59. Ueki K, Sasako T, Okazaki Y, Miyake K, Nangaku M, Ohashi Y, et al. Multifactorial intervention has a significant effect on diabetic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Kidney Int* (2021) 99(1):256-66. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.08.012

60. Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, Carballo D, Koskinas KC, Back M, et al. 2021 Esc guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J* (2021) 42(34):3227–337. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab484

61. Pop-Busui R, Januzzi JL, Bruemmer D, Butalia S, Green JB, Horton WB, et al. Heart failure: An underappreciated complication of diabetes. a consensus report of the American diabetes association. *Diabetes Care* (2022) 45(7):1670–90. doi: 10.2337/dci22-0014

62. Committee ADAPP. 11. chronic kidney disease and risk management: Standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care* (2022) 45:10. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S011

63. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet* (2018) 392(10159):1789-858. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7

64. Ferreira JP, Kraus S, Mitchell S, Perel P, Pineiro D, Chioncel O, et al. World heart federation roadmap for heart failure. *Glob Heart* (2019) 14(3):197–214. doi: 10.1016/j.gheart.2019.07.004

65. Berg DD, Jhund PS, Docherty KF, Murphy SA, Verma S, Inzucchi SE, et al. Time to clinical benefit of dapagliflozin and significance of prior heart failure hospitalization in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *JAMA Cardiol* (2021) 6(5):499–507. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.7585

66. Curtain JP, Docherty KF, Jhund PS, Petrie MC, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on ventricular arrhythmias, resuscitated cardiac arrest, or sudden death in dapa-hf. *Eur Heart J* (2021) 42(36):3727–38. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab560

67. Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Pocock SJ, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Sglt2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: A meta-analysis of the emperor-reduced and dapa-hf trials. *Lancet* (2020) 396(10254):819–29. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31824-9

68. Shiba N, Nochioka K, Miura M, Kohno H, Shimokawa HCHART-2 Investigators. Trend of westernization of etiology and clinical characteristics of heart failure patients in Japan–first report from the chart-2 study. *Circ J* (2011) 75(4):823–33. doi: 10.1253/circj.cj-11-0135

69. Steinberg BA, Zhao X, Heidenreich PA, Peterson ED, Bhatt DL, Cannon CP, et al. Trends in patients hospitalized with heart failure and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: Prevalence, therapies, and outcomes. *Circulation* (2012) 126(1):65–75. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.080770

70. Jones NR, Roalfe AK, Adoki I, Hobbs FDR, Taylor CJ. Survival of patients with chronic heart failure in the community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail* (2019) 21(11):1306–25. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1594

71. Cleland JG, Tendera M, Adamus J, Freemantle N, Polonski L, Taylor J, et al. The perindopril in elderly people with chronic heart failure (Pep-chf) study. *Eur Heart J* (2006) 27(19):2338–45. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehl250

72. Massie BM, Carson PE, McMurray JJ, Komajda M, McKelvie R, Zile MR, et al. Irbesartan in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2008) 359(23):2456–67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0805450

73. Pitt B, Pfeffer MA, Assmann SF, Boineau R, Anand IS, Claggett B, et al. Spironolactone for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2014) 370(15):1383–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1313731

74. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Anand IS, Ge J, Lam CSP, Maggioni AP, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381(17):1609–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1908655

75. Butler J, Siddiqi TJ, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Pocock SJ, Zannad F, et al. Early benefit with empagliflozin in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Insights from the emperor-preserved trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* (2022) 24(2):245–8. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2420

76. Butler J, Filippatos G, Jamal Siddiqi T, Brueckmann M, Bohm M, Chopra VK, et al. Empagliflozin, health status, and quality of life in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: The emperor-preserved trial. *Circulation* (2022) 145(3):184–93. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057812

77. Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, Jhund P, de Boer RA, Hernandez AF, Inzucchi SE, et al. Time to clinical benefit of dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction: A prespecified secondary analysis of the deliver randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol* (2022) 7(12):1259–1263. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2022.3750

78. Vaduganathan M, Docherty KF, Claggett BL, Jhund PS, de Boer RA, Hernandez AF, et al. Sglt-2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure: A comprehensive meta-analysis of five randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* (2022) 400(10354):757–67. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01429-5

79. Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Steg PG, Cannon CP, Leiter LA, McGuire DK, et al. Sotagliflozin in patients with diabetes and recent worsening heart failure. *N Engl J Med* (2021) 384(2):117–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2030183

80. Bohm M, Bewarder Y, Kindermann I. Ejection fraction in heart failure revisitedwhere does the evidence start? *Eur Heart J* (2020) 41(25):2363–5. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehaa281

81. Packer M, Butler J, Zannad F, Pocock SJ, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, et al. Empagliflozin and major renal outcomes in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* (2021) 385 (16):1531–3. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2112411

82. Jhund PS, Kondo T, Butt JH, Docherty KF, Claggett BL, Desai AS, et al. Dapagliflozin across the range of ejection fraction in patients with heart failure: A patient-level, pooled meta-analysis of dapa-hf and deliver. *Nat Med* (2022) 28(9):1956–64. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01971-4

83. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Bohm M, et al. 2021 Esc guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* (2021) 42(36):3599–726. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368

84. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 Aha/Acc/Hfsa guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American college of Cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* (2022) 145(18):e895–e1032. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001063

85. Tsutsui H, Ide T, Ito H, Kihara Y, Kinugawa K, Kinugawa S, et al. Jcs/Jhfs 2021 guideline focused update on diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail* (2021) 27(12):1404–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2021.04.023

86. Pollock C, Stefansson B, Reyner D, Rossing P, Sjostrom CD, Wheeler DC, et al. Albuminuria-lowering effect of dapagliflozin alone and in combination with saxagliptin and effect of dapagliflozin and saxagliptin on glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease (Delight): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2019) 7(6):429–41. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587 (19)30086-5

87. Wheeler DC, Stefansson BV, Jongs N, Chertow GM, Greene T, Hou FF, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on major adverse kidney and cardiovascular events in patients with diabetic and non-diabetic chronic kidney disease: A prespecified analysis from the dapa-ckd trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2021) 9(1):22–31. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587 (20)30369-7

88. EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group, Herrington WG, Staplin N, Wanner C, Green JB, Hauske SJ, et al. Empagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 388(2):117–127. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2204233

89. Rossing P, Caramori ML, Chan JCN, Heerspink HJL, Hurst C, Khunti K, et al. Executive summary of the kdigo 2022 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease: An update based on rapidly emerging new evidence. *Kidney Int* (2022) 102(5):990–9. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2022.06.013

90. Gong C, Shen SC, Zhang K, Zhou L, Shen JJ, Zhao JY, et al. Association of sodiumglucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors with cardiovascular outcome and safety events: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. Front Cardiovasc Med (2022) 9:926979. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.926979

91. Lopaschuk GD, Verma S. Mechanisms of cardiovascular benefits of sodium glucose Co-transporter 2 (Sglt2) inhibitors: A state-of-the-Art review. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* (2020) 5(6):632-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jacbts.2020.02.004

92. Braunwald E. Gliflozins in the management of cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med (2022) 386(21):2024–34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2115011

93. Salvatore T, Galiero R, Caturano A, Rinaldi L, Di Martino A, Albanese G, et al. An overview of the cardiorenal protective mechanisms of Sglt2 inhibitors. *Int J Mol Sci* (2022) 23(7). doi: 10.3390/ijms23073651

94. Horibe K, Morino K, Miyazawa I, Tanaka-Mizuno S, Kondo K, Sato D, et al. Metabolic changes induced by dapagliflozin, an Sglt2 inhibitor, in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes treated by oral anti-diabetic agents: A randomized, clinical trial. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (2022) 186:109781. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2022.109781

95. Staels B. Cardiovascular protection by sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors: Potential mechanisms. *Am J Cardiol* (2017) 120(15):S28–36. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.05.013

96. Bouchi R, Terashima M, Sasahara Y, Asakawa M, Fukuda T, Takeuchi T, et al. Luseogliflozin reduces epicardial fat accumulation in patients with type 2 diabetes: A pilot study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2017) 16(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0516-8

97. Sato T, Aizawa Y, Yuasa S, Kishi S, Fuse K, Fujita S, et al. The effect of dapagliflozin treatment on epicardial adipose tissue volume. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* (2018) 17(1):6. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0658-8

98. Chen H, Tran D, Yang HC, Nylander S, Birnbaum Y, Ye Y. Dapagliflozin and ticagrelor have additive effects on the attenuation of the activation of the NIrp3 inflammasome and the progression of diabetic cardiomyopathy: An ampk-mtor interplay. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther* (2020) 34(4):443–61. doi: 10.1007/s10557-020-06978-y

99. Aubert G, Martin OJ, Horton JL, Lai L, Vega RB, Leone TC, et al. The failing heart relies on ketone bodies as a fuel. *Circulation* (2016) 133(8):698–705. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017355

100. Daniele G, Xiong J, Solis-Herrera C, Merovci A, Eldor R, Tripathy D, et al. Dapagliflozin enhances fat oxidation and ketone production in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* (2016) 39(11):2036-41. doi: 10.2337/dc15-2688

101. Ghanim H, Abuaysheh S, Hejna J, Green K, Batra M, Makdissi A, et al. Dapagliflozin suppresses hepcidin and increases erythropoiesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2020) 105(4):e1056-e1063. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa057

102. Docherty KF, Welsh P, Verma S, De Boer RA, O'Meara E, Bengtsson O, et al. Iron deficiency in heart failure and effect of dapagliflozin: Findings from dapa-hf. *Circulation* (2022) 146(13):980–94. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.060511

103. Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Butler J, Filippatos G, Januzzi JL, Sumin M, et al. Effect of empagliflozin on circulating proteomics in heart failure: Mechanistic insights into the emperor programme. *Eur Heart J* (2022) 43(48):4991–5002. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehac495

104. Docherty KF, Curtain JP, Anand IS, Bengtsson O, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on anaemia in dapa-hf. *Eur J Heart Fail* (2021) 23(4):617–28. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2132

105. Sen T, Heerspink HJL. A kidney perspective on the mechanism of action of sodium glucose Co-transporter 2 inhibitors. *Cell Metab* (2021) 33(4):732–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.02.016

106. Knowlton AA, Chen L, Malik ZA. Heart failure and mitochondrial dysfunction: The role of mitochondrial Fission/Fusion abnormalities and new therapeutic strategies. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* (2014) 63(3):196–206. doi: 10.1097/01.fic.0000432861.55968.a6

107. Takemura K, Nishi H, Inagi R. Mitochondrial dysfunction in kidney disease and uremic sarcopenia. Front Physiol (2020) 11:565023. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.565023

108. Inzucchi SE, Docherty KF, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, Ponikowski P, et al. Dapagliflozin and the incidence of type 2 diabetes in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: An exploratory analysis from dapa-hf. *Diabetes Care* (2021) 44 (2):586–94. doi: 10.2337/dc20-1675

109. Rossing P, Inzucchi SE, Vart P, Jongs N, Docherty KF, Jhund PS, et al. Dapagliflozin and new-onset type 2 diabetes in patients with chronic kidney disease or heart failure: Pooled analysis of the dapa-ckd and dapa-hf trials. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2022) 10(1):24–34. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00295-3

110. Voors AA, Angermann CE, Teerlink JR, Collins SP, Kosiborod M, Biegus J, et al. The Sglt2 inhibitor empagliflozin in patients hospitalized for acute heart failure: A multinational randomized trial. *Nat Med* (2022) 28(3):568–74. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01659-1