#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jens Fedder, Odense University Hospital, Denmark

REVIEWED BY Xiaozhi Zhao, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, China Jens Djurhuus, Aarhus University, Denmark

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xiansheng Zhang Zhangxiansheng@ahmu.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Reproduction, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 31 December 2022 ACCEPTED 13 March 2023 PUBLISHED 30 March 2023

#### CITATION

Liu Y, Hu X, Xiong M, Li J, Jiang X, Wan Y, Bai S and Zhang X (2023) Association of BMI with erectile dysfunction: A cross-sectional study of men from an andrology clinic. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1135024. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1135024

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Hu, Xiong, Li, Jiang, Wan, Bai and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Association of BMI with erectile dysfunction: A cross-sectional study of men from an andrology clinic

Yixun Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Xuechun Hu<sup>2</sup>, Mengneng Xiong<sup>3</sup>, Jiyan Li<sup>4</sup>, Xiaohua Jiang<sup>5</sup>, Yangyang Wan<sup>5</sup>, Shun Bai<sup>5</sup> and Xiansheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Genitourinary Diseases, The Institute of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China, <sup>3</sup>Center for Reproductive Medicine, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, <sup>4</sup>Assisted Reproduction Laboratory, Jingdezhen Maternal and Child Health Hospital, Jingdezhen, Jiangxi, China, <sup>5</sup>Reproductive and Genetic Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China, USTC), Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hubei, China, <sup>4</sup>Assisted Reproductive and Genetic Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China, USTC), Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hubei, China, <sup>4</sup>Assisted Reproductive and Genetic Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China

Abnormal body mass index (BMI) is associated with an increased risk of erectile dysfunction (ED). However, the relationship between different BMI categories and the levels of ED severity remains unclear. In the current study, 878 men from the andrology clinic in Central China were recruited. Erectile function was assessed by the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) scores. Questionnaires included questions about demographic characteristics (age, height, weight, educational status), lifestyle habits (drinking, smoking, sleep time), and medical history. Logistic regression was used to examine the association between ED risk and BMI. The incidence of ED was 53.1%. BMI was significantly higher in men from the ED group than in those from the non-ED group (P = 0.01). Compared with the normal weight group, obese men had a higher risk of ED (OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.25-3.14, P = 0.004), even after adjustment for potential confounders (OR = 1.78, 95% CI = 1.10-2.90, P = 0.02). Moreover, the positive correlation between obesity and moderate/ severe ED severity was confirmed by logistic regression analysis (moderate/ severe ED, OR = 2.71, 95% CI = 1.44-5.04, P = 0.002), even after adjusting for potential confounders (OR = 2.5195% CI = 1.24-5.09, P = 0.01). Collectively, our findings indicate a positive correlation between obesity and the risk of moderate/ severe ED. Clinicians could pay more attention to moderate/severe ED patients to maintain a healthy body weight to improve erectile function.

#### KEYWORDS

BMI, erectile dysfunction, obesity, IIEF-5, China

# Introduction

Erectile dysfunction (ED), also known as impotence, is defined as the persistent inability to attain and maintain a penile erection sufficient for satisfactory sexual intercourse (1). A previous study by the Massachusetts Male Aging Study (MMAS) reported that ED is the most common sexual health issue that affected over 150 million men worldwide in 1995 and will reach over 300 million in 2025 (2). ED is associated with substantial adverse effects on physical and psychological health (3). Moreover, ED is also an independent predictive factor for cardiovascular disease and male infertility (4, 5).

Overweight/obesity, defined as abnormal or excessive fat accumulation, causes impaired quality of life. With its rapidly increasing prevalence, obesity has become a global public health concern. Sexual dysfunction, especially ED, is common among obese males. Bacon et al. studied the risk of ED in overweight/ obese males and reported that obesity increases the risk of ED by approximately 1.3 times (6). Further study by Fillo et al. showed that the incidence rate of ED was directly attributed to the growing prevalence of obesity (7). Recently, a regional study found that the prevalence of ED was 42.1% and presented increasing BMI and waist circumference in young nondiabetic obese men (8). Among obese middle-aged men, 15% weight loss increased the mean International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) score (9). In addition to weight loss by lifestyle changes, bariatric surgery has been reported to decrease ED among patients with obesity (10).

To date, several studies have shown that an elevated body mass index (BMI) is associated with an increased risk of ED among men from Europe and North America (11, 12). However, in China, whether overweight/obesity is associated with ED remains unclear. The aim of this study was to investigate the association of BMI with erectile dysfunction in young men from Central China.

### Methods

### Patients

From May 2021 to July 2022, a total of 878 men from the andrology clinic at the First Affiliated Hospital of University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) were recruited for this cross-sectional study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) incomplete data for individual information; (2) incomplete data for the IIEF-5 score; and (3) unmarried. This study was approved by The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC Ethical Committee (2021-RE-064).

### Questionnaires

Questionnaires included questions about demographic characteristics (age, height, weight, educational status), lifestyle habits (drinking, smoking, sleep time), and medical history.

### **Erectile function**

For all participants, erectile function was assessed by the International Index of Erectile Function-5 (IIEF-5) questionnaire, which is a sensitive and specific measure for ED. The IIEF-5 questionnaire contains 5 questions on a 5-point scale. A score below 21 is considered ED, and the degree of ED is divided into three classes: severe (5–11), moderate (12–16), and mild (17–21).

### Statistical analysis

BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Qualitative variables are reported as frequencies, and quantitative variables are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as the median (interquartile range, IQR). For comparisons between the two groups, Student's t test was used for parametric data, and Pearson's chi-squared test (for trend) was used when needed. For comparison of more than two groups, Pearson's chi-squared test and chi-squared test for trend were used for normal and ordinal categorical variables, respectively. Logistic regression was used to examine the association between ED risk and BMI. Covariates initially included factors possibly associated with ED risk, including age, smoking, drinking, education, chronic diseases, sex frequency, urogenital infections, varicocele and prepuce length. All tests were 2-sided using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

### Results

The clinical characteristics of the recruited men are shown in Table 1. Among 878 participants, the mean age and BMI were 31.5 years and 24.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. According to the IIEF-5 score, all subjects were divided into two groups: the ED group (IIEF-5 score > 21, 466 subjects) and the non-ED group (IIEF-5 score  $\leq$  21, 412 subjects). The age did not differ between the two groups (P = 0.35), but BMI was significantly higher in men from the ED group than in those from the non-ED group (P = 0.01). Analysis of four BMI categories (underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese), we found that obese men were more likely to be in the ED group than in the non-ED group (15.0% vs 8.2%, P = 0.02). Educational state and sex frequency were more likely to be lower in the ED group (P =0.003 and P = 0.001, respectively). No significant differences were found in lifestyle factors (smoking and drinking) or medical history (chronic diseases, urogenital infections, varicocele and the length of prepuce).

The prevalence of ED according to sex frequency and BMI category is shown in Table 2. Among all participants, the prevalence of men with sex inactivity (less than one time per week) was 65.5%, which was higher than that of men with a sex frequency of more than one time per week (Table 2). Notably, among men with

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics and descriptive statistics of the whole cohort.

Clinical characteristics	Total (n=878)	ED (n=466)	Non-ED (n=412)	Р
Age (years), mean ± SD	31.5 ± 4.9	31.6 ± 4.9	31.3 ± 4.8	0.35
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean ± SD	24.7 ± 3.5	24.9 ± 3.7	24.4 ± 3.1	0.01
< 18.5 (underweight)	30 (3.4)	17 (3.6)	13 (3.2)	0.02
18.5-23.9 (normal weight)	360 (41.0)	184 (39.5)	176 (42.7)	
24.0-28.9 (overweight)	384 (43.7)	195 (41.8)	189 (45.9)	
$\geq$ 29 (obese)	104 (11.9)	70 (15.0)	34 (8.2)	
Smoking, n (%)				0.95
Nonsmoker	504 (57.4)	267 (57.3)	237 (57.5)	
Smoker	374 (42.6)	199 (42.7)	175 (42.5)	
Drinking, n (%)				0.93
Nondrinker	412 (46.9)	218 (46.8)	194 (47.1)	
Drinker	466 (53.1)	248 (53.2)	218 (52.9)	
Education, n (%)				0.003
Primary school	12 (1.3)	5 (1.1)	7 (1.7)	
Junior high school	148 (16.9)	99 (21.3)	49 (11.9)	
High school	148 (16.9)	78 (16.7)	70 (17.0)	
College/University	570 (64.9)	284 (60.9)	286 (69.4)	
Chronic diseases				0.11
No	788 (89.7)	411 (88.2)	377 (91.5)	
Yes	90 (10.3)	55 (11.8)	35 (8.5)	
Sex frequency (weekly), n (%)				0.001
< 1	226 (25.7)	148 (31.7)	78 (18.9)	
1-2	536 (61.1)	258 (55.4)	278 (67.5)	
> 2	116 (13.2)	60 (12.9)	56 (13.6)	
Urogenital infections, n (%)				0.31
No	774 (88.2)	406 (87.1)	368 (89.3)	
Yes	104 (11.8)	60 (12.9)	44 (10.7)	
Varicocele, n (%)				0.70
No	813 (92.6)	430 (92.3)	383 (93.0)	
Yes	65 (7.4)	36 (7.7)	29 (7.0)	
Prepuce length, n (%)				0.32
Ι	446 (50.8)	241 (51.7)	205 (49.8)	
II	174 (19.8)	93 (20.0)	81 (19.7)	
III	179 (20.4)	96 (20.6)	83 (20.1)	
IV	79 (9.0)	36 (7.7)	43 (10.4)	
IIEF–5 score (Median, IQR)	21 (19-23)	19 (16-20)	23 (22-24)	< 0.001

ED, erectile dysfunction; BMI, body mass index. P values were derived from Pearson's chi-square test and Student's t test. Prepuce length was classified into four levels according to Zhao et al (13).

TABLE 2 Prevalence of ED according to sex frequency and BMI category.

	Participants (n=878)				
	Ν	Prevalence (%)	95% CI (%)		
Sex frequency (weekly)					
< 1	226	65.5	59.1-71.4		
1-2	536	48.1	43.9-52.4		
> 2	116	51.7	42.7-60.6		
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
< 18.5 (underweight)	30	56.7	39.2-72.6		
18.5-23.9 (normal weight)	360	51.1	46.0-56.2		
24.0-28.9 (overweight)	384	50.8	45.8-55.7		
≥ 29 (obese)	104	67.3	57.8-75.6		
Overall	878	53.1	49.8-56.4		

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; ED, erectile dysfunction.

different BMI categories, the fraction of ED patients with sexual inactivity was higher than that of sexually active participants, although the significant difference was only in men with normal weight and overweight (Table S1). In addition, the prevalence of ED in our study was 53.1%, with obese men having a higher prevalence than those with a normal BMI (67.3% vs 51.1%) (Table 2).

To analyze the association between ED and clinical characteristics, we performed a logistic regression analysis model, and the results are shown in Table 3. Participants with a low education level (junior high school) had a higher risk of ED (OR = 2.04, 95% CI = 1.40-2.99, P = 0.002). In addition, the frequency of sex less than once a week was significantly associated with the risk of

ED (OR = 1.77, 95% CI = 1.12-2.80, P = 0.01). Compared with the normal weight group, obese men had a higher risk of ED (OR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.25-3.14, P = 0.004), even after adjustment for potential confounders (OR = 1.78, 95% CI = 1.10-2.90, P = 0.02).

In terms of all participants, a significantly higher frequency of obesity was found in mild (3.8%), moderate (18.3%) and severe ED (45.2%) in comparison with men with normal weight (P = 0.001) (Table 4).

The positive correlation between obesity and ED severity (mild and moderate) was confirmed by logistic regression analysis (moderate/severe ED, OR = 2.71, 95% CI = 1.44-5.04, P = 0.002; mild ED, OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 1.06-2.86, P = 0.03) (Table 5).

TABLE 3	Logistic regression	analyses	for ED	and BMI,	education	and se	ex frequency.	
---------	---------------------	----------	--------	----------	-----------	--------	---------------	--

	Crud	Crude		
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	0.35		
Smoking	1.01 (0.77-1.32)	0.95		
Drinking	1.01 (0.78-1.32)	0.93		
Education				
Primary school	0.72 (0.21-2.28)	0.58		
Junior high school	2.04 (1.40-2.99)	0.0002		
High school	1.12 (0.78-1.61)	0.53		
College/University	Ref			
Chronic diseases	1.44 (0.93-2.27)	0.11		
Sex frequency				
< 1	1.77 (1.12-2.80)	0.01		
1-2	0.87 (0.58-1.29)	0.48		
> 2	Ref			

(Continued)

#### TABLE 3 Continued

	Crude		Adjusted		
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	
Urogenital infections	1.24 (0.82-1.88)	0.32			
Varicocele	1.11 (0.67-1.85)	0.70			
Prepuce length					
Ι	Ref				
II	0.98 (0.69-1.39)	0.90			
III	0.98 (0.70-1.39)	0.93			
IV	0.71 (0.44-1.15)	0.17			
BMI	1.05 (1.01-1.10)	0.01			
< 18.5 (underweight)	1.25 (0.59-2.70)	0.56	1.18 (0.54-2.62)	0.68	
18.5-23.9 (normal weight)	Ref		Ref		
24.0-28.9 (overweight)	0.99 (0.74-1.32)	0.93	1.00 (0.74-1.34)	0.98	
$\geq 29$ (obese)	1.97 (1.25-3.14)	0.004	1.76 (1.09-2.86)	0.02	

BMI, body mass index; ED, erectile dysfunction. ED-adjusted model, adjusted for age, smoking, drinking, education, chronic diseases, sex frequency, urogenital infections, varicocele and prepuce length.

Furthermore, after adjusting for potential confounders, a high risk of moderate/severe ED was still observed in obese men (OR = 2.51 95% CI = 1.24-5.09, P = 0.01).

# Discussion

ED is a common sexual disorder and is a concern as a factor of metabolic syndrome in men (14, 15). This observational study investigated the relationship between BMI and ED risk and found that participants with obesity had a 1.3-fold greater risk of ED than participants with normal weight. After adjusting for potential confounders, obese men had an increased risk of moderate-severe ED.

The guidelines of the European Association of Urology (EAU) show a high incidence and prevalence of ED worldwide (16). In China, the prevalence of ED is approximately 20%-40% in men below 50 years of age (17). In the present study, the prevalence of ED was 53.1%, with a mean age of 31.5 years, and more than 99%

were younger than 50 years. The frequency of sexual activity in approximately one-third of the ED patients was less than one time a week, which was significantly higher than the frequency in non-ED patients (19%), indicating that sexual inactivity was more common in ED patients. Notably, a subset of subjects were men who underwent fertility evaluation. Since sexual dysfunction, including ED, leads to impaired fertility through natural conception in men of reproductive age, the ED prevalence in our study population is relatively high, although sexual dysfunction accounted for only 0.4-4.6% of male infertility (5). These results suggest that clinical screening for the risk of ED should include the assessment of male reproductive health.

We observed a U-shaped relationship between BMI and ED prevalence, with a higher prevalence in underweight and obese participants than in normal and overweight participants. The results were similar to those of a population-based study by Cheng et al. but inconsistent with those of the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (HPFS, a prospective cohort study) (18, 19). Analysis of BMI categories used in these studies

TABLE 4 Frequency distribution of BMI according to IIEF-5 score.

	Total (n=878)	< 18.5 (Q1, n=30)	18.5-23.9 (Q2, n=360)	24.0-28.9 (Q3, n=384)	≥ 29 (Q4, n=104)	<sup>а</sup> Р	<sup>b</sup> Р	۶P
IIEF-5 score, n (%)						0.57	0.94	0.001
5-11	20 (2.3)	1 (3.4)	7 (1.9)	8 (2.1)	4 (3.8)			
12-16	97 (11.1)	3 (10.0)	37 (10.3)	38 (9.9)	19 (18.3)			
17-21	349 (39.7)	13 (43.3)	140 (38.9)	149 (38.8)	47 (45.2)			
22-25	412 (46.9)	13 (43.3)	176 (48.9)	189 (49.2)	34 (32.7)			

BMI, body mass index; IIEF-5, International Index of Erectile Function questionnaire. Chi-square test for trend.

<sup>a</sup>P, Q2 vs Q1;

<sup>b</sup>P, Q2 vs Q3;

<sup>c</sup>P, Q2 vs Q4.

#### TABLE 5 Logistic regression for the association between BMI and ED severity.

		IIEF-5 score							
	5-16		17-21	22-25					
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р					
Crude									
< 18.5	1.23 (0.33-3.68)	0.73	1.26 (0.56-2.82)	0.58	Ref				
18.5-23.9	Ref		Ref		Ref				
24.0-28.9	0.97 (0.61-1.55)	0.91	0.99 (0.73-1.35)	0.95	Ref				
≥ 29	2.71 (1.44-5.04)	0.002	1.74 (1.06-2.86)	0.03	Ref				
Adjusted									
< 18.5	1.01 (0.26-3.30)	0.98	1.15 (0.50-2.68)	0.74	Ref				
18.5-23.9	Ref		Ref		Ref				
24.0-28.9	0.95 (0.58-1.56)	0.85	0.99 (0.72-1.36)	0.94	Ref				
≥ 29	2.51 (1.24-5.09)	0.01	1.48 (0.89-2.50)	0.13	Ref				

BMI, body mass index; ED, erectile dysfunction. ED-adjusted model, adjusted for age, smoking, drinking, education, chronic diseases, sex frequency, urogenital infections, varicocele and prepuce length.

revealed that both Cheng and our studies divided the underweight  $(BMI < 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  subgroup, while the HPFS study used a BMI less than 23 kg/m<sup>2</sup> as the reference group. It is possible that different BMI cutoffs in European and Asian populations classified by the WHO result in different BMI categories being used (20). As a result, the decreasing range of the U-shaped relationship between BMI and ED was not present in the HPFS study. Nevertheless, the present study extended the high prevalence of ED in underweight and obese men.

Evidence from animals to humans has reported that obesity plays a key role in male sexual dysfunction, including premature ejaculation and ED, although ED is mainly considered an age-related disease (21, 22). It has been demonstrated not only that obesity is a strong and independent risk factor for ED but also that ED risk is positively correlated with the level of obesity (7). A recent study showed that the prevalence of ED was 42% in nondiabetic young obese men from a primary care-based cohort (8). Moreover, a meta-analysis including three studies demonstrated that the prevalence of ED in obese men with a BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> was approximately 71-73% (21), which was similar to the value (67%) obtained from men with BMI > 29 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the current study. The prevalence of ED is approximately 1.3 times higher for obese men than for normal weight men, confirming the relationship between obesity and the incidence of ED.

Although obesity is a well-documented risk factor for ED, the association between obesity and the levels of ED severity remains unclear. Our results show that the prevalence of severe ED in underweight and obese men was higher than that in those who were normal weight. Notably, overweight (BMI = 24.0-28.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and normal weight men had similar incidence rates of mild, moderate and severe ED, indicating that the prevalence of ED was not increased even though they were overweight. Considering that the mean BMI (24.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) reached the overweight range, we assumed that the observations of our study could be partly explained by the enrolled populations.

Our data also revealed a positive correlation of obesity with moderate/severe ED risk after adjusting for confounders. According to the role of obesity in metabolic syndrome, the high risk of moderate/severe ED may be due to pathophysiologic processes, including oxidative stress, inflammation, and insulin and leptin resistance. This might partly explain the elevated prevalence of chronic diseases (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes) in the ED groups (ED: 11.8%, non-ED: 8.5%) in the current study. Moreover, the efficacy of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5-i) on erectile function is reduced in obese men (23). Obesity evaluation can be a useful biomarker in those with severe ED and PDE5-i nonresponders. However, unlike obesity, both crude and adjusted analyses showed that underweight was not associated with ED risk. The relatively small number of underweight men enrolled may limit the statistical power.

It is important to note that a randomized controlled trial was performed to evaluate weight reduction on ED in obese men (9). The results showed that lifestyle changes are associated with improvements in erectile function. In addition, weight loss by bariatric surgery has been reported to improve erectile function in obese men (10). Accordingly, it seems to be reversible that weight loss from exercise, diet, or surgery could reverse ED and provide a view of the cost-effectiveness for the treatment of ED.

The current study has several strengths. First, the mean age of the recruited men was 31.5 years, reducing the effect of age on ED risk. Second, several potential confounders, including age, BMI, lifestyle styles (smoking and drinking), educational status and medical history (chronic diseases, urogenital infections, varicocele and the length of prepuce), were included in our study, which gives more statistical power. Third, classification of the BMI categories was based on the recommendations of WHO Asian BMI cutoffs. Fourth, the relationship between BMI categories and the severity of ED according to the IIEF-5 was assessed. We also acknowledge that this study has several limitations. First, our study was limited to an association based on the crosssectional study design. Second, only healthy or subfertile men who underwent infertility investigations were selected, and further studies across other populations will be needed. Third, metabolic parameters and penile duplex ultrasound were not measured in the present study. Finally, recall bias may have occurred in this study when data were obtained from questionnaires.

In conclusion, the findings in this study indicate that obesity is associated with an increased risk of moderate/severe ED. Given the high prevalence of ED in obese men, clinicians could pay more attention to moderate/severe ED patients to maintain a healthy body weight to improve erectile function.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **Ethics statement**

This study was approved by The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC Ethical Committee (2021-RE-064). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

YL, SB, and XZ designed the research study. XH, MX, JL, XJ, YW, and SB contributed to the data acquisition. YL, XH, SB, and XZ analyzed the data. YL and SB wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# References

1. Shamloul R, Ghanem H. Erectile dysfunction. Lancet (2013) 381:153-65. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60520-0

2. Ayta IA, Mckinlay JB, Krane RJ. The likely worldwide increase in erectile dysfunction between 1995 and 2025 and some possible policy consequences. *BJU Int* (1999) 84:50–6. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.1999.00142.x

3. Kouidrat Y, Pizzol D, Cosco T, Thompson T, Carnaghi M, Bertoldo A, et al. High prevalence of erectile dysfunction in diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 145 studies. *Diabetes Med* (2017) 34:1185–92. doi: 10.1111/dme.13403

4. Fan Y, Hu B, Man C, Cui F. Erectile dysfunction and risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in the general population: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World J Urol* (2018) 36:1681–9. doi: 10.1007/s00345-018-2318-3

5. Lotti F, Maggi M. Sexual dysfunction and male infertility. *Nat Rev Urol* (2018) 15:287–307. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2018.20

 Bacon CG, Mittleman MA, Kawachi I, Giovannucci E, Glasser DB, Rimm EB. Sexual function in men older than 50 years of age: Results from the health professionals follow-up study. Ann Intern Med (2003) 139:161–8. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-139-3-200308050-00005

7. Fillo J, Levcikova M, Ondrusova M, Breza J, Labas P. Importance of different grades of abdominal obesity on testosterone level, erectile dysfunction, and clinical coincidence. *Am J Mens Health* (2017) 11:240–5. doi: 10.1177/1557988316642213

8. Molina-Vega M, Asenjo-Plaza M, Banderas-Donaire MJ, Hernandez-Ollero MD, Rodriguez-Moreno S, Alvarez-Millan JJ, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for erectile

# Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81901543).

# Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Xia Wu, Jingru Xu and Yanyan Shang for their valuable contributions to the data collection.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2023.1135024/ full#supplementary-material

dysfunction in young nondiabetic obese men: Results from a regional study. Asian J Androl (2020) 22:372–8. doi: 10.4103/aja.aja\_106\_19

9. Esposito K, Giugliano F, Di Palo C, Giugliano G, Marfella R, D'andrea F, et al. Effect of lifestyle changes on erectile dysfunction in obese men: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* (2004) 291:2978–84. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.24.2978

10. Aleid M, Muneer A, Renshaw S, George J, Jenkinson AD, Adamo M, et al. Early effect of bariatric surgery on urogenital function in morbidly obese men. J Sex Med (2017) 14:205–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.12.004

11. Riedner CE, Rhoden EL, Ribeiro EP, Fuchs SC. Central obesity is an independent predictor of erectile dysfunction in older men. *J Urol* (2006) 176:1519–23. doi: 10.1016/ j.juro.2006.06.049

12. Janiszewski PM, Janssen I, Ross R. Abdominal obesity and physical inactivity are associated with erectile dysfunction independent of body mass index. *J Sex Med* (2009) 6:1990–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01302.x

13. Zhao YY, Xu DL, Zhao FJ, Han BM, Shao Y, Zhao W, et al. Redundant prepuce increases the odds of chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/CPPS). *Asian J Androl* (2014) 16:774–7. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.131706

14. Hu JL, Chen HX, Chen HR, Wu Y, Sun XW, Li Z, et al. Novel noninvasive quantification of penile corpus cavernosum lesions in hyperlipidemia-induced erectile dysfunction in rabbits by two-dimensional shear-wave elastography. *Asian J Androl* (2019) 21:143–9. doi: 10.4103/aja.aja\_78\_18

15. Moon KH, Park SY, Kim YW. Obesity and erectile dysfunction: From bench to clinical implication. *World J Mens Health* (2019) 37:138–47. doi: 10.5534/wjmh.180026

16. Minhas S, Bettocchi C, Boeri L, Capogrosso P, Carvalho J, Cilesiz NC, et al. European Association of urology guidelines on Male sexual and reproductive health: 2021 update on Male infertility. *Eur Urol* (2021) 80:603–20. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2021.08.014

17. Wang W, Fan J, Huang G, Zhu X, Tian Y, Tan H, et al. Meta-analysis of prevalence of erectile dysfunction in mainland China: Evidence based on epidemiological surveys. *Sex Med* (2017) 5:e19–30. doi: 10.1016/j.esxm.2016.10.001

18. Bacon CG, Mittleman MA, Kawachi I, Giovannucci E, Glasser DB, Rimm EB. A prospective study of risk factors for erectile dysfunction. *J Urol* (2006) 176:217–21. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(06)00589-1

19. Cheng JY, Ng EM. Body mass index, physical activity and erectile dysfunction: An U-shaped relationship from population-based study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* (2007) 31:1571–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803639 20. Consultation, W.H.O.E. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* (2004) 363:157–63. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3

21. Pizzol D, Smith L, Fontana L, Caruso MG, Bertoldo A, Demurtas J, et al. Associations between body mass index, waist circumference and erectile dysfunction: A systematic review and META-analysis. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* (2020) 21:657–66. doi: 10.1007/s11154-020-09541-0

22. Yardimci A, Ulker N, Bulmus O, Sahin E, Alver A, Gungor IH, et al. Irisin improves high-fat diet-induced sexual dysfunction in obese Male rats. *Neuroendocrinology* (2022) 112:1087-103. doi: 10.1159/000523689

23. Demir O, Akgul K, Akar Z, Cakmak O, Ozdemir I, Bolukbasi A, et al. Association between severity of lower urinary tract symptoms, erectile dysfunction and metabolic syndrome. *Aging Male* (2009) 12:29-34. doi: 10.1080/13685530902777425