Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chider Chen, University of Pennsylvania, United States

REVIEWED BY Xiaoxing Kou

Sun Yat-Sen, University, China Ilaria Roato, University of Turin, Italy Bei Li, The Fourth Military Medical University, China Wenjia Liu, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Soichiro Sonoda Niikeanimalaso@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Bone Research, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 26 January 2023 ACCEPTED 13 March 2023 PUBLISHED 22 March 2023

CITATION

Sonoda S and Yamaza T (2023) Extracellular vesicles rejuvenate the microenvironmental modulating function of recipient tissue-specific mesenchymal stem cells in osteopenia treatment. *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1151429. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1151429

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sonoda and Yamaza. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Extracellular vesicles rejuvenate the microenvironmental modulating function of recipient tissue-specific mesenchymal stem cells in osteopenia treatment

Soichiro Sonoda* and Takayoshi Yamaza

Department of Molecular Cell Biology and Oral Anatomy, Kyushu University Graduate School of Dental Science, Fukuoka, Japan

Systemic transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), such as bone marrow MSCs (BMMSCs) and stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED), is considered a prominent treatment for osteopenia. However, the mechanism of action of the transplanted MSCs has been poorly elucidated. In the recipient target tissue, including bone and bone marrow, only a few donor MSCs can be detected, suggesting that the direct contribution of donor MSCs may not be expected for osteopenia treatment. Meanwhile, secretomes, especially contents within extracellular vesicles (EVs) released from donor MSCs (MSC-EVs), play key roles in the treatment of several diseases. In this context, administrated donor MSC-EVs may affect bone-forming function of recipient cells. In this review, we discuss how MSC-EVs contribute to bone recovery recipient tissue in osteopenia. We also summarize a novel mechanism of action of systemic administration of SHED-derived EVs (SHED-EVs) in osteopenia. We found that reduced telomerase activity in recipient BMMSCs caused the deficiency of microenvironmental modulating function, including bone and bone marrow-like niche formation and immunomodulation in estrogen-deficient osteopenia model mice. Systemic administration of SHED-EVs could exert therapeutic effects on bone reduction via recovering the telomerase activity, leading to the rejuvenation of the microenvironmental modulating function in recipient BMMSCs, as seen in systemic transplantation of SHED. RNase-preconditioned donor SHED-EVs diminished the therapeutic benefits of administrated SHED-EVs in the recipient osteopenia model mice. These facts suggest that MSC-EV therapy targets the recipient BMMSCs to rejuvenate the microenvironmental modulating function via telomerase activity, recovering bone density. We then introduce future challenges to develop the reproducible MSC-EV therapy in osteopenia.

KEYWORDS

mesenchymal stem cells, extracellular vesicles, microenvironmental modulation, telomerase, osteopenia

01

1 Introduction

Owing to the osteoblast differentiation capacity, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been considered a promising source for degenerative skeletal disease, such as osteopenia. Recent studies show that systemic transplantation of MSCs rescues the bone mineral reduction in both *Fas*-mutated MRL/lpr and ovariectomy-induced estrogen-deficient (OVX) mice (1, 2). However, despite the persisting therapeutic effects of systemic MSC transplantation, infrequent engraftments of donor MSCs are recognized in the recipient target tissues, including bone and bone marrow, suggesting that the direct contribution of donor MSCs does not fully explain the solid mechanism of systemic MSC transplantation in osteopenia.

Increasing reports suggest that donor MSCs exert therapeutic effects *via* releasing secretomes, such as cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors (3). Notably, recent advances in the biology of extracellular vesicles (EVs) lead to an increased understanding of intercellular communication between donor cells and recipient cells in MSC therapy (4). EV-containing bioactive molecules, such as RNAs, DNA, lipid, and proteins, are transferred to affect the functions of recipient cells (5, 6). In addition, current advances in the procedure of EV isolation promote the number of studies on EV therapy as a novel promising option (4).

As of February 2022, according to ClinicalTraials.gov (https:// clinicaltrials.gov) as a reference, there is no clinical trial using MSCderived EVs (MSC-EVs) for treating osteopenia/osteoporosis, even though less than ten clinical studies of MSC therapy are conducted in osteopenia/osteoporosis.

Previous preclinical studies nominate the various mechanisms of MSC-EV therapy. On the other hand, the precise criteria to clarify the quality of MSC-EVs in osteopenia treatment remains unclear because the definitive molecules and their targets are not identified. Here, we review the current understanding of molecular actions to recover bone reduction by systemic administration of MSC-EVs in osteopenia. We further introduce a novel mechanism of MSC-EV therapy for osteopenia treatment; the administrated MSC-EVs are involved in rejuvenizing the tissue-specific microenvironmental modulating function of recipient bone marrow MSCs (BMMSCs) through promoting *Tert* gene expression and telomerase activity, resulting in exerting the bone mineral recovery in osteopenia model animals.

Based on current preclinical knowledge, as a future perspective, we propose that focusing on the microenvironmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs as a target of MSC-EV therapy for osteopenia enables to establish a criterion for the quality control of MSC-EVs to achieve MSC-EV therapy a standard option for osteopenia.

2 Discovery and property of MSCs

In the 1970s, a Russian biologist Alexander J. Friedenstein first discovers a clonogenic cell population in bone marrow stromal cells, colony-forming units-fibroblast (CFU-F) (7). The later studies report that CFU-F-forming stromal cells exhibit spindle-shaped and plastic-adherent features and show the properties of *in vitro* and *in vivo* osteoblast differentiation (8). Sequential transplantation assay also reveals the self-renewal capacity of the bone marrow stromal cells (9, 10). Further investigations identify that the bone marrow stromal cells exhibit the multipotency into mesenchymal tissue-specific cells, such as adipocytes and chondrocytes, as well as osteoblasts (8, 11). Thus, in 1991, Arnold I. Caplan refers to the stromal cells as stem cells and named them MSCs (12). MSCs are now identified in a variety of postnatal tissues, such as adipose tissue, umbilical cord blood, umbilical cord, dental pulp, periodontal tissue, and liver (13). However, the rapidly increasing knowledge of MSCs and their clinical trials makes it challenging to compare the study outcomes.

In 2006, the International Society for Cellular Therapy addresses minimal criteria to define human MSCs (14); MSCs exhibit plastic adherent and express surface antigens positive to CD105, CD90, and CD73 and negative to CD45, CD34, CD14 or CD11b, CD79a or CD19, and human leukocyte antigen DR. MSCs are capable of differentiating into mesenchymal cells including adipocytes, chondrocytes, and osteoblasts. Currently, this criterion has been well-recognized and helpful for the characterization and application of MSCs in pre-clinical and clinical studies.

3 Mechanisms of actions of MSC-EV therapy in osteopenia

Clinical applications of systemic MSC transplantation have been explored in various diseases (15). The mechanisms of MSC therapy were explained by the *in vitro* and *in vivo* properties of multipotency or immune modulation of donor MSCs at the recipient disease sites. Especially the osteogenesis of MSCs promotes several investigations of MSC therapy in osteopenia model animals and demonstrates the bone regenerative outcomes (16).

However, a sufficient number of systemically transplanted MSCs fail to engraft in recipient target tissues (17, 18), a critical question of why single transplanted MSCs exert long-term effects without the direct potency of donor MSCs.

3.1 Properties of MSC-EVs

EVs are bilayered lipid membrane-bound small vesicles released from their parent cells, such as MSCs, and classified into two subtypes, including exosomes and microvesicles (19, 20). Exosomes are endosome-derived vesicles with a diameter of 30– 150 nm. Microvesicles, also called ectosomes, are generated from the plasma membrane as budded vesicles with a diameter of 100 nm–1 μ m. Apoptotic bodies are produced when cells are induced cell death. The size of them is 50 nm to several μ m in diameter. According to the minimal information for the study of EVs (21), EVs express membranous antigens, such as CD9, CD63, and CD81. EVs contain a variety of bioactive molecules, including nucleic acids, such as messenger RNAs, micro RNA (miRNA), and long noncoding RNA (lncRNA), lipids, and proteins, indicating that EVs perform as cargo for transferring their intercellular signals to recipient cells and control the functions of recipient cells (5, 6).

Recent several studies revealed the mechanism of actions of systemic MSC therapy for osteopenia; the transplanted donor MSCs can release paracrine factors within MSC-EVs and functionally activate recipient cells by transferring therapeutical signal(s) *via* donor MSC-EVs, leading to ameliorate the reduced bone mineral density (22).

Apoptotic bodies (ApBs) of donor MSCs play crucial roles in MSC-based therapy through the intercellular communications between transplanted donor MSCs and recipient cells (23). Donor MSC-mediated T lymphocyte apoptosis *via* FasL/Fas pathway is proposed as a mechanism of MSC therapy (24). Systemic infusion of exogenous ApBs of BMMSCs ameliorates bone mineral reduction in several osteopenia models, including MRL/*lpr*,

Caspase $3^{-/-}$, and OVX mice (25). The infused ApBs activate the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway in the recipient BMMCs by transferring ubiquitin ligase RNF146 and miR-328-3p within ApBs and regulate the differentiation of recipient osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Thus, the BMMSC ApB-mediated action is focused on the potential control of recipient bone metabolism to treat osteoporosis. However, there is no information on whether MSC-derived ApBs exhibit similar therapeutic benefits to osteopenia treatment of MSC-EVs. Further studies may be necessary to evaluate the effects and mechanism of MSC-derived ApBs in osteopenia treatment.

3.2 Target cells and therapeutic molecules in MSC-EV therapy for osteopenia

Numerous studies of MSC-EV therapy have been performed in osteopenia model animals (22, 26) (Table 1).

TABLE 1 Summary of parent cell origins, animal models, route and times of injection, actions, and target cells of MSC-derived extracellular vesicle therapy for osteopenia.

Parent cells and donor	Animal Model	Injection route and times	Action	Target cells	Ref.
BMMSCs, Human	BALB/c mice, OVX	IBM injection, two times	LncRNAs MALAT1 promotes osteoblast activity through SATB2.	Osteoblasts	(27)
BMSCs, Human	SD rats, OVX	IBM injection, twice a week, 3 weeks	MiR-935 promotes proliferation and differentiation in osteoblasts through STAT1 inhibition	Osteoblasts	(28)
Osteogenic UC- MSCs, Human	C57BL/6 mice, OVX	IP injection, every 3 days, 6 weeks	miRNAs are involved in osteogenesis and osteoclastogenesis through MAPK signaling.	Osteoblasts	(29)
AD-MSCs, Rat	SD rats, Hyperglycemia, STZ injection	IV injection, every two day, 6 weeks	Suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation in osteoclasts	Osteoclasts	(30)
AD-MSCs, Human	CD-1 mice, OVX	IV injection, twice a week for 2 weeks	OPG and MiR-21-5p inhibits osteoclastogenesis via RANKL.	Osteoclasts	(31)
AD-MSCs, Human	BALB/c mice, PGIA	IS injection, once a week for 6 weeks	MiR-21 inhibited osteoclast activation in spine.	Osteoclasts	(32)
iPSC-MSCs, Human	C57BL/6 mice, OVX	IV injection, once a week for 6 weeks	SiShn3 promotes osteogenesis and suppresses osteoclast activation <i>via</i> OPG/RANKL axis by osteoblasts.	Osteoblasts	(33)
UC-MSCs, Human	C57BL/6 mice, OVX	IV injection, two times	CLEC11A enhances osteogenesis of BMMSCs and inhibits osteoclastogenesis of monocyte.	BMMSCs, Monocytes	(34)
BMMSCs, Human	SD rats, OVX	IV injection, once a week, 4 weeks	MiR-186 promote osteogenesis of BMMSCs through Hippo signaling.	BMMSCs	(35)
BMMSCs, Rat	SD rats, OVX	IV injection, once a week, 8 weeks	Glycoprotein non-melanoma clone B promote osteogenesis of BMMSCs.	BMMSCs	(36)
BMMSCs, Mouse	Cbst KO mice	IV injection, three times a week, 8 weeks	Lnc-H19 activates lnc-H19/Tie2-NO signaling in BMMSCs and ECs through Angpt1.	BMMSCs, ECs	(37)
BMMSCs, Mouse	MRL/lpr mice	IV injection, one time	Fas rescues osteogenesis of BMMSCs via miR-29b-Notch pathway.	BMMSCs	(38)
UC-MSCs, Human	SD rats, Hindlimb unloading	IM injection, one time	miR-1263 activates YAP in BMMSCs via Mob1.	BMMSCs	(39)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Parent cells and donor	Animal Model	Injection route and times	Action	Target cells	Ref.
SHED, Human	C57BL/6 mice, OVX	IV injection, one time	RNA enhances osteogenesis and suppresses osteoclastogenesis through SEMA3A in BMMSCs.	BMMSCs	(40)
BMMSCs, Rabbits	Rabbits, OVX	IV injection, one time	Not applicable	Not applicable	(41)

ATF4, activating transcription factor 4; AD-MSCs, adipose-derived MSCs; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; Angpt1, angiopoietin 1; Bad, B-cell lymphoma 2 associated agonist of cell death; BMMSCs, bone marrow MSCs; CLEC11A, C-type lectin domain family 11, member A; EVs, extracellular vesicles; Hoxa2, homeobox A2; iPSC-MSCs, induced pluripotent stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells; IL-6, interleukin 6; IBM, intra-bone marrow; IM, intranuscular; IP, intraperitoneal; IS, intraspinal; IV, intravenous; IncRNAs, long non cording RNAs; MALAT1, metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; miRNAs, micro RNAs; NO, nitric oxide; NLRP3, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3; OPG, osteoprotegerin; OVX, ovariectomized; PGIA, proteoglycan-induced ankylosing spondylitis; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2; shn3, schnurri-3; SEMA3A, semaphorin-3A; SD rats, Sprague–Dawley rats; STAT1, signal transducer and activator of transcription 1; siShn3, small interfering RNA for schnurri-3; SATB2, special AT-rich sequence-binding protein 2; SHED, stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth; STZ, streptozotoci; Tie2, TEK receptor tyrosine kinase; UC-MSCs, umbilical cord MSCs; YAP, yes-associated protein.

3.2.1 MSC-EVs target recipient osteoblasts and osteoclasts

MSC-EV-containing RNAs enhance the proliferation and bone formation of recipient osteoblasts; lncRNA of metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 in MSC-EVs acts as a sponge of miR-34c to promote the alkaline phosphatase activity and calcified nodule formation of osteoblasts associated with the increased gene expression of special AT-rich sequence-binding protein 2, runtrelated transcription factor 2, and activating transcription factor 4 and decreased gene expression of homeobox A2 (27). MiR-935contained MSC-EVs promote the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts by inhibiting signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 expression (28). MiRNAs within MSC-EVs enhance the proliferation and osteogenesis of osteoblasts, while the osteogenic MSC-EVs do not affect the proliferation of osteoblasts (29).

MSC-EV contents, including RNAs and proteins, suppress the differentiation and activation of recipient osteoclasts; MSC-EVs suppress osteoclasts through nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3 inflammasome activation (30). Osteoprotegerin (OPG) within MSC-EVs inhibits receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL)-induced osteoclast differentiation. Both miR-21-5p and let-7b-5p within MSC-EVs inhibit osteoclastogenesis (31). MSC-EV-containing miR-21 suppresses osteoclast activation, as indicated by decreased serum levels of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b and cathepsin K, and decreased expression of interleukin 6 in bone tissue (32).

Interestingly, siShn3 artificially loaded into EVs promotes osteoblast activity and suppresses OPG/RANKL axis-activated osteoclasts (33).

3.2.2 MSC-EVs target recipient BMMSCs

Multiple injections of MSC-EVs acquire the therapeutic effects on osteopenia; MSC-EV-containing C-type lectin domain family 11, member A enhances the commitment of recipient BMMSCs from adipocytes to osteoblasts and inhibits osteoclastogenesis of recipient monocytes (34). MiR-186 within MSC-EVs promotes osteogenesis of recipient BMMSCs through Hippo signaling pathway (35). Glycoprotein non-melanoma clone B-enriched MSC-EVs promote osteogenesis of recipient BMMSCs (36). Lnc-H19 within MSC-EVs activates lnc-H19/TEK receptor tyrosine kinase-nitric oxide signaling in recipient BMMSCs *via* angiopoietin 1, leading to inducing bone formation (37).

A single administration of MSC-EVs exerts bone recovery; MSC-EVs provide Fas to rescue *Fas*-deficient osteogenesis of recipient BMMSCs *via* regulating miR-29b-Notch pathway (38). Moreover, MSC-EVs can inhibit the apoptosis of recipient BMMSCs by transferring miR-1263 to inhibit the Hippomediated signaling pathway (39).

Taken together, the action of singly administrated MSC-EVs may cause the epigenetic memorization of stemness, including self-renew and cellular functions, in recipient tissue-specific MSCs and exert the long-term recovery and maintenance of bone metabolism. Meanwhile, multiple injections of MSC-EVs for osteopenia treatment may exert undesirable inconvenience to the patients of osteopenia in the clinical application of MSC-EV therapy, suggesting that single MSC-EV administration, targeting recipient tissue-specific MSCs, may be clinically safe and beneficial application for osteopenia.

4 A novel mechanism of MSC-EV therapy through microenvironmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs

In the following sections, we will focus on the microenvironmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs, which include bone metabolism interplayed with osteoblasts and osteoclasts, as an action of MSC-EV therapy.

4.1 Microenvironmental modulating function of MSCs

MSCs have been known to interact with neighboring cells directly (cell-to-cell contact) and indirectly (paracrine factors, EVs, and apoptosis) and modulate the microenvironment for

immune cells and other tissue-specific cells, suggesting that tissuespecific MSCs potentially act as tissue-specific microenvironmental modulators in recipients after transplantation (23): in bone and bone marrow, resident BMMSCs can communicate with microenvironmental cells directly and indirectly and participate in stem cell niche formation, bone marrow hematopoiesis (42), and microenvironmental reorganization regulated by osteoblasts and osteoclasts (43, 44).

Here, we focus on two properties of immune modulation and niche-organization as the microenvironmental modulating function of MSCs (Figure 1).

4.1.1 Immunomodulatory properties of MSCs

MSCs exhibit an immunomodulatory property to a variety of immune cells, such as T lymphocytes, B lymphocytes, and macrophages (45, 46). The immunosuppressive functions of MSCs are explained by the following three mechanisms: 1) indirect intercellular communications with innate and adaptive immune cells by paracrine factors, including growth factors, cytokines, and container within EVs, such as miRNA; 2) direct intercellular communications with T lymphocytes through cell surface molecules, such as FasL and program death ligand 1; 3) efferocytosis of T lymphocyte-induced ApBs of MSCs, followed by secreting immunosuppressive factors from phagocytes (23, 47).

4.1.2 Niche-organizing properties of MSCs

When BMMSCs are subcutaneously implanted with hydroxyapatite/beta-tricalcium phosphate (HA/TCP) as a carrier into immunocompromised mice, donor BMMSC-derived osteoblasts deposit *de novo* lamellar-bone-like matrix on the carriers (48). Interestingly, in a space surrounded by the *de novo* bone-like matrix, mononuclear cells exhibit hematopoietic properties of hematopoietic colony formation and expression of hematopoietic cell markers, including Sca-1 and c-Kit stem cell markers and CD45 lymphoid progenitor cell marker (49, 50). The mononuclear cells can also systemically circulate in the recipient body, indicating that the *de novo* microenvironment is implicated in a hematopoietic niche, likely in the bone marrow. These findings indicate that BMMSCs potentially serve as hematopoietic nicheorganizing cells, as well as bone-forming cells, and contribute to the regulation of lymphocyte production and differentiation.

4.1.3 Telomerase reverse transcriptase and telomerase activity regulate the microenvironmental modulating function of BMMSCs

MSCs are defined by two important properties of self-renewal and multipotency into various types of functional cells, as likely to embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (51, 52). MSCs undergo asymmetric



FIGURE 1

Microenvironmental modulation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMMSCs). Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) mediated telomerase activity in BMMSCs regulates their self-renew and microenvironmental modulation, including immunomodulatory and niche organizing functions. BMMSCs exhibit the self-renewal capacity to maintain their stemness. BMMSCs exhibit immunomodulatory function; BMMSCs can suppress the differentiation of interleukin 17-producing helper T (Th17) cells and induce the differentiation of regulatory T cells (Tregs) in directly (cell-cell-contact) and indirectly (paracrine factors and extracellular vesicles [EVs]) manners. BMMSCs can differentiate into osteoblasts and form *de novo* lamellar bone matrix. BMMSCs can conduct recipient derived bone marrow cell-like hematopoietic cells and organize hematopoietic niche surrounded by bone matrix.

mitotic divisions and become two daughter cells. One daughter cell maintains the properties of MSCs, while the others undergo further symmetric division to produce more progenitor cells of tissue-specific cells. Thus, MSCs can provide an internal repair system in the human body by recruiting tissue-specific cells into damaged tissues and organs. MSCs must acquire the sustained self-renewal and proliferative capacity to maintain homeostasis in the human body (13).

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) is a catalytic subunit of telomerase and is essential to acquire telomerase activity in cells (53). Suppression of TERT inhibits the pluripotency and differentiation of human ESCs; meanwhile, ectopic TERT expression enhances the colony-forming ability, proliferation, and differentiation of ESCs (54) and BMMSCs (55).

Telomerase plays critical roles in stemness of stem cells (54). Telomerase reactivation in adult stem cells can help to repaired of tissue degeneration (56). Telomere attrition is considered one of the hallmarks of epigenetic changes in aging stem cells (57). Furthermore, in nuclear reprogramming process of induced pluripotent stem cells, telomere rejuvenation is occurred by regulating epigenetic modification on chromatin and DNA (58).

Telomerase activity is detectable in HSCs and MSCs, but the levels are lower than those in ESCs. The moderate levels of telomerase activity in HSCs are essential to support the rapid turnover of differentiated blood cells (59). Furthermore, telomerase knockout mice express several disorders (60, 61). Telomerase dysfunction impairs the function and engraftment of HSCs (62). Moreover, TERT-deficient mice exhibit bone loss by suppressing osteoblasts and accelerating osteoclasts (63).

Thus, TERT is a critical rate-limiting component to control telomerase activity for maintaining and regulating the sustained self-renewal, proliferation, and functions of MSCs. In other words, TERT is considered a significant key enzyme to control the microenvironmental modulating function of MSCs.

4.2 Impaired microenvironmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs in osteopenia model mice

Recipient BMMSCs isolated from non-treated osteopenia model mice (recipient OPe-BMMSCs) display deficient MSC stemness of self-renewal and osteogenic capacity. In addition, the recipient OPe-BMMSCs exhibit a suppressed immunomodulatory function to interleukin 17 helper T lymphocytes (Th17) and suppressed regulatory T lymphocytes (Tregs). Moreover, the recipient OPe-BMMSCs display damaged hematopoietic functions, including the *de novo* formation of bone marrow-like hematopoietic niche and *in vitro* induction of hematopoietic colony formation (40). Thus, the recipient OPe-BMMSCs may be epigenetically damaged in the stemness, microenvironmental modulatory function, and osteogenic ability (Figure 2). Therefore, the dysfunctions of recipient OPe-BMMSCs are considered one of the critical pathogenesis in osteopenia.

4.3 Systemic administration of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teethderived EVs target the recipient BMMSCs to rejuvenate the microenvironmental modulating function *via* their telomerase reverse transcriptase/telomerase activity in osteopenia treatment

4.3.1 Application of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth and stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth-derived EVs

Twenty years ago, Songtao Shi accidentally discovered stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED) in the remnant dental pulp tissue of his daughter's exfoliated deciduous teeth and characterized them as neural crest-derived MSCs (64). In addition to the well-defined mesenchymal immunophenotype and multipotency, SHED can exhibit multiple ectodermal and endodermal lineage cells, such as oligodendrocytes (65), dopaminergic neurons (66), endothelial cells (67, 68), insulinproducing cells (69), hepatocytes (70), and cholangiocytes (71). Furthermore, SHED display the self-renewal capacity (64, 72) associated with the low level of telomerase activity (72). Diseasespecific SHED are also discovered and rejuvenated by pharmacological approaches (73–75).

Single systemic transplantation of SHED provide therapeutic effects on autoimmune disease (72, 76), chronic liver fibrosis (77), and entero-neuropathy (78). Recently, protocols for manufacturing quality-controlled clinical-grade SHED have been established (79–81). SHED demonstrate less tumorigenicity, low immunogenicity, and relative cryopreserved stability (76). Indeed, current studies report the clinical benefits of SHED therapy in human diseases, including traumatic dental pulp tissue and type 2 diabetes (82, 83). Thus, SHED therapy are gradually achieving a promising option for treating human diseases (84).

Increasing studies report SHED-EVs carry *in vitro* and *in vivo* biological actions of SHED (47, 85). SHED-EV-containing various bioactive molecules, such as nucleic acids and protein, are transported to target cells selectively by an unknown mechanism, resulting in implicating cell-cell communication, signal transduction, immune modulation, and epigenetic reprogramming of recipient cells. Recently, the benefits of SHED-EV administration are evaluated in bone regeneration (86), neuroprotective effects (87, 88), and anti-inflammatory function (89, 90) in disease model mice.

4.3.2 SHED-EVs are mechanistically involved in systemic transplantation of SHED for osteopenia treatment

The systemic transplantations of SHED rescued the osteopenia phenotype as indicated by the significant increase in bone mineral density and trabecular bone structure (2, 72, 76). The single SHED transplantation improved the reduced osteogenic capacity of recipient BMMSCs and suppressed the differentiation and bone resorptive activity of recipient osteoclasts. The systemic



FIGURE 2

Therapeutic effects of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth releasing extracellular vesicles (SHED-EVs) on osteopenia model mice. Systemic administration of SHED-EV ameliorates osteopenia phenotype. (A) In osteopenia bone marrow, recipient BMMSCs exhibit an impaired microenvironmental modulating function including bone marrow-like niche formation and immunosuppressive regulation associated with the reduction of telomerase reverse transcriptase (*Tert*) gene expression and telomerase activity. The impaired recipient BMMSCs causes the direct suppression of osteogenesis and induced osteoclast differentiation *via* activated Th17 cells, resulting in causing bone loss. (B) Systemic administrated SHED-EVs transfer RNA contents into recipient BMMSCs. Mechanistically, RNA contents within SHED-EVs epigenetically enhance the telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (*Tert*) expression and telomerase activity in recipient BMMSCs. Finally, the activated telomerase activity rejuvenates the environmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs, subsequently recover the impaired osteogenesis and semaphoring 3A (SEMA3A) releasing of recipient BMMSCs. The upregulated SEMA3A inhibit osteoclast differentiation *via* receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL).

transplantations of SHED also recovered the abnormal immune reactions of enhanced Th17 cells and suppressed Tregs in the osteopenia model mice. Interestingly, the single systemic transplantations rescued the microenvironmental modulating function of forming *de novo* bone and bone marrow by recipient BMMSCs, indicating that SHED therapy can exert therapeutic effects on osteopenia phenotype.

Meanwhile, the very low frequency of donor SHED are engrafted in the recipient bone and bone marrow tissues in osteopenia model animals (2, 72, 76). Further systemic infusion of SHED-derived conditioned medium exert the bone regeneration in OVX mice (91), suggesting that the indirect manner of transplanted donor SHED is involved in the improvement of the reduced bone mineral density (25, 31).

Systemic SHED-EV administration ameliorates the recovery of bone loss associated with osteoclast activation and hyperactivation of Th17 cells in the osteopenia model mice (40, 92), indicating that SHED-EV therapy is an alternative option for treating osteopenia and may target the recipient BMMSC function(s).

In the following literature, we discuss a unique mechanism of action of SHED-EV therapy for osteopenia treatment, which rejuvenate the bone marrow microenvironment through recipient BMMSCs (40, 47, 92).

When SHED-EVs are systemically administrated into osteopenia model mice, SHED-EVs are up-taken in the recipient OPe-BMMSCs and the microenvironmental modulating dysfunction of recipient OPe-BMMSCs are improved. The SHED-EV administration rescues the reduced *Tert* expression and reduced telomerase activity of recipient OPe-BMMSCs. Systemic administration of RNase-preconditioned SHED-EVs mostly attenuated the phenotypical and cellular effects of SHED-EV administration.

Small RNA, such as microRNAs, is well-known to epigenetically regulate gene expression (93, 94). MIR346 can bind to a region in the 3'UTR of TERT mRNA, leading to upregulating TERT expression (95, 96). In fact, SHED-EVs contain MIR346, and when SHED-EVs are incubated with human BMMSCs, MIR346 is increased in human BMMSCs. Therefore, SHED-EV-derived MIR346 may act as a candidate to regulate *Tert* expression and telomerase activity epigenetically, leading to participation in SHED-EV therapy for osteopenia (Figure 2).

Semaphorin 3A (SEMA3A) plays an osteoprotective factor produced by osteoblasts (97, 98) and inhibits osteoclast differentiation *via* receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand by binding to neuropilin-1. The recipient osteogenic OPe-BMMSCs show the suppressed expression of SEMA3A and exhibit the decreased capacity for mineralized tissue deposition. Systemic SHED-EV administration increases the mineralized tissue deposition associated with the increased expression of SEMA3A in the recipient OPe-BMMSCs. The hyperactivated osteoclasts in osteopenia model mice are suppressed by the SHED-EV administration. Meanwhile, RNase-preconditioned SHED-EV administration attenuates the SHED-EV efficacy to the expression of SEMA3A in the recipient OPe-BMMSCs and osteoclast suppression.

Taken together, systemic administration of SHED-EVs exerts a therapeutic effect in osteopenia by improving the microenvironmental modulating function of recipient BMMSCs through enhancement of *Tert* expression and telomerase activity by SHED-EV-transferred miRNA. Thus, the rejuvenated recipient BMMSCs mainly contribute to bone reconstruction by regulating the function of osteoblasts and osteoclasts through SEMA3A (Figure 2).

5 Summary and future challenge

On regenerative treatment for osteopenia, MSC therapy is a promising option to recover bone mineral reduction by regulating the balance between osteoclast and osteoblast activity and immunomodulation. MSC-EV-mediated intercellular signal communications play an important role in MSC therapy. On the other hand, MSC-EV therapy is considered the alternative to MSC therapy for treating osteopenia. The common mechanism in MSCbased and MSC-free therapies is that MSC-EVs transfer a signal(s) to rejuvenate the impaired functions of recipient BMMSCs; this process is accompanied by epigenetic changes of telomerase activity in the recipient BMMSCs. Following that, the impaired recipient BMMSCs can acquire normalized self-renewal, stemness, and function to reconstruct the destructed bone matrix and maintain bone homeostasis. Once the self-renewal properties are epigenetically rescued in recipient BMMSCs, especially through TERT-telomerase pathway, the therapeutic effects in the recipient tissue may last in the long term. Thus, the epigenetic regulation in recipient BMMSCs is a promising target for systemic degenerative diseases, such as osteopenia. Further investigations, which are focused on epigenetic regulations in recipient tissue-specific MSCs, will open the novel door for establishing a safe and highly effective therapy not only for osteopenia but also for systemic degenerative disorders.

Generally, EVs cannot proliferate themselves (4, 21) and can circulate systemically without tissue trapping (18, 99, 100). Meanwhile, tumorigenesis and pulmonary embolism are major risks in general MSC therapy. MSC-EVs exhibit stable components under cryopreserved and freshly thawed conditions without losing their functional properties, while cryopreserved MSCs significantly lose their immunomodulatory properties and must be cultured to recover their full properties (101, 102). Thus, due to the safety and practical and functional off-the-shelf option, MSC-EV therapy may have a promising potential compared to MSC therapy.

Despite the advantages of MSC-EV therapy, there are several challenges to achieve MSC-EV therapy as a standard option for osteopenia. Clinically, the large-scale manufacturing of MSC-EVs is a considerable matter for delivering to many patients. As the quantity of MSC-EVs affects the quality, the consistent reproducibility of therapeutic effects on osteopenia relies on the quality of MSC-EVs. Therefore, to make MSC-EV therapy successful, the quality control of MSC-EVs must be primally overcome. However, the quality control of MSC-EVs is much more challenging due to the heterogeneity of MSC-EV, source difference, and donor difference of the parent MSCs (22). Although further evaluation of the mechanisms of action by MSC-EVs for osteopenia treatment will be required, once the definitive molecular mechanism is identified, the bioactive factor (s) within MSC-EVs will enable us to use it as the key molecule for quality control. Further engineering may produce artificial nanoparticles that contain enough key molecules for osteoporosis treatment in the future.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: TY and SS. Writing—original draft preparation: TY and SS. Writing—review and editing: TY and SS. Funding acquisition: TY and SS. All authors read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Early-career Scientists (JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K18945 and JP21K16932 to S.S.) and Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research (JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22K19565 to TY) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/fendo.2023.1151429

References

1. Ma L, Aijima R, Hoshino Y, Yamaza H, Tomoda E, Tanaka Y, et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells ameliorates secondary osteoporosis through interleukin-17impaired functions of recipient bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in MRL/lpr mice. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2015) 6:104. doi: 10.1186/s13287-015-0091-4

2. Liu Y, Wang L, Liu S, Liu D, Chen C, Xu X, et al. Transplantation of SHED prevents bone loss in the early phase of ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis. *J Dent Res* (2014) 93:1124–32. doi: 10.1177/0022034514552675

3. Caplan AI. Mesenchymal stem cells: Time to change the name! Stem Cells Transl Med (2017) 6:1445-51. doi: 10.1002/SCTM.17-0051

4. Phinney DG, Pittenger MF. Concise review: MSC-derived exosomes for cell-free therapy. *Stem Cells* (2017) 35:851–8. doi: 10.1002/STEM.2575

5. Tkach M, Théry C. Communication by extracellular vesicles: Where we are and where we need to go. *Cell* (2016) 164:1226–32. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2016.01.043

6. Mathieu M, Martin-Jaular L, Lavieu G, Théry C. Specificities of secretion and uptake of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles for cell-to-cell communication. *Nat Cell Biol* (2019) 21:9–17. doi: 10.1038/S41556-018-0250-9

7. Friedenstein AJ, Deriglasova UF, Kulagina NN, Panasuk AF, Rudakowa SF, Luriá EA, et al. Precursors for fibroblasts in different populations of hematopoietic cells as detected by the *in vitro* colony assay method. *Exp Hematol* (1974) 2:83–92.

8. Owen M, Friedenstein AJ. Stromal stem cells: Marrow-derived osteogenic precursors. Ciba Found Symp (1988) 136:42-60. doi: 10.1002/9780470513637.ch4

9. Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhyan RK, Latsinik NV, Panasyvk AF, Keiliss-Borok IV. Stromal cells responsible for transferring the microenvironment of the hemopoietic tissues: Cloning *in vitro* and retransplantation *in vivo*. *Transplantation* (1974) 17:331–40. doi: 10.1097/00007890-197404000-00001

10. Pereira RF, Halford KW, O'Hara MD, Leeper DB, Sokolov BP, Pollard MD, et al. Cultured adherent cells from marrow can serve as long-lasting precursor cells for bone, cartilage, and lung in irradiated mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1995) 92:4857–61. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.92.11.4857

11. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, Jaiswal RK, Douglas R, Mosca JD, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* (1999) 284:143–7. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.284.5411.143

12. Caplan A. Mesenchymal stem cells. J Orthop Res (1991) 9:641-50. doi: 10.1002/ jor.1100090504

13. Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol (2008) 8:726–36. doi: 10.1038/nri2395

14. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini FCF, Krause DSDS, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. the international society for cellular therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* (2006) 8:315–7. doi: 10.1080/14653240600855905

15. Galipeau J, Sensébé L. Mesenchymal stromal cells: Clinical challenges and therapeutic opportunities. *Cell Stem Cell* (2018) 22:824-33. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2018.05.004

16. Phetfong J, Sanvoranart T, Nartprayut K, Nimsanor N, Seenprachawong K, Prachayasittikul V, et al. Osteoporosis: the current status of mesenchymal stem cellbased therapy. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* (2016) 21:12. doi: 10.1186/S11658-016-0013-1

17. Lee RH, Pulin AA, Seo MJ, Kota DJ, Ylostalo J, Larson BL, et al. Intravenous hMSCs improve myocardial infarction in mice because cells embolized in lung are activated to secrete the anti-inflammatory protein TSG-6. *Cell Stem Cell* (2009) 5:54–63. doi: 10.1016/J.STEM.2009.05.003

18. Von Bahr L, Batsis I, Moll G, Hägg M, Szakos A, Sundberg B, et al. Analysis of tissues following mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in humans indicates limited long-term engraftment and no ectopic tissue formation. *Stem Cells* (2012) 30:1575-8. doi: 10.1002/STEM.1118

19. Van Niel G, D'Angelo G, Raposo G. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2018) 19:213-28. doi: 10.1038/NRM.2017.125

20. van Niel G, Carter DRF, Clayton A, Lambert DW, Raposo G, Vader P. Challenges and directions in studying cell-cell communication by extracellular vesicles. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2022) 23:369–82. doi: 10.1038/S41580-022-00460-3

21. Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, et al. Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the international society for extracellular vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J Extracell vesicles* (2018) 7:1535750. doi: 10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750

22. Kodama J, Wilkinson KJ, Otsuru S. MSC-EV therapy for bone/cartilage diseases. Bone Rep (2022) 17:101636. doi: 10.1016/J.BONR.2022.101636

23. Krampera M, Le Blanc K. Mesenchymal stromal cells: Putative microenvironmental modulators become cell therapy. *Cell Stem Cell* (2021) 28:1701725. doi: 10.1016/J.STEM.2021.09.006

24. Akiyama K, Chen C, Wang D, Xu X, Qu C, Yamaza T, et al. Mesenchymal-stemcell-induced immunoregulation involves FAS-ligand-/FAS-mediated T cell apoptosis. *Cell Stem Cell* (2012) 10:544–55. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2012.03.007 25. Liu D, Kou X, Chen C, Liu S, Liu Y, Yu W, et al. Circulating apoptotic bodies maintain mesenchymal stem cell homeostasis and ameliorate osteopenia *via* transferring multiple cellular factors. *Cell Res* (2018) 28:918–33. doi: 10.1038/S41422-018-0070-2

26. Li Q-C, Li C, Zhang W, Pi W, Han N. Potential effects of exosomes and their microRNA carrier on osteoporosis. *Curr Pharm Des* (2022) 28:899–909. doi: 10.2174/1381612828666220128104206

27. Yang X, Yang J, Lei P, Wen T. LncRNA MALAT1 shuttled by bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells-secreted exosomes alleviates osteoporosis through mediating microRNA-34c/SATB2 axis. *Aging (Albany NY)* (2019) 11:8777–91. doi: 10.18632/AGING.102264

28. Zhang Y, Cao X, Li P, Fan Y, Zhang L, Ma X, et al. microRNA-935-modified bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells-derived exosomes enhance osteoblast proliferation and differentiation in osteoporotic rats. *Life Sci* (2021) 272:119204. doi: 10.1016/J.LFS.2021.119204

29. Yahao G, Xinjia W. The role and mechanism of exosomes from umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells in inducing osteogenesis and preventing osteoporosis. *Cell Transplant* (2021) 30:9636897211057464. doi: 10.1177/09636897211057465

30. Zhang L, Wang Q, Su H, Cheng J. Exosomes from adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells alleviate diabetic osteoporosis in rats through suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation in osteoclasts. *J Biosci Bioeng* (2021) 131:671–8. doi: 10.1016/J.JBIOSC.2021.02.007

31. Lee KS, Lee J, Kim HK, Yeom SH, Woo CH, Jung YJ, et al. Extracellular vesicles from adipose tissue-derived stem cells alleviate osteoporosis through osteoprotegerin and miR-21-5p. *J Extracell vesicles* (2021) 10:e12152. doi: 10.1002/JEV2.12152

32. Hu L, Guan Z, Tang C, Li G, Wen J. Exosomes derived from microRNA-21 overexpressed adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells alleviate spine osteoporosis in ankylosing spondylitis mice. *J Tissue Eng Regener Med* (2022) 16:634-42. doi: 10.1002/TERM.3304

33. Cui Y, Guo Y, Kong L, Shi J, Liu P, Li R, et al. A bone-targeted engineered exosome platform delivering siRNA to treat osteoporosis. *Bioact Mater* (2022) 10:207–21. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOACTMAT.2021.09.015

34. Hu Y, Zhang Y, Ni CY, Chen CY, Rao SS, Yin H, et al. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stromal cells-derived extracellular vesicles exert potent bone protective effects by CLEC11A-mediated regulation of bone metabolism. *Theranostics* (2020) 10:2293–308. doi: 10.7150/THNO.39238

35. Li L, Zhou X, Zhang J-t, Liu A-f, Zhang C, Han J-c, et al. Exosomal miR-186 derived from BMSCs promote osteogenesis through hippo signaling pathway in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Orthop Surg Res* (2021) 16:23. doi: 10.1186/S13018-020-02160-0

36. Huang B, Su Y, Shen E, Song M, Liu D, Qi H. Extracellular vesicles from GPNMB-modified bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells attenuate bone loss in an ovariectomized rat model. *Life Sci* (2021) 272:119208. doi: 10.1016/J.LFS.2021.119208

37. Behera J, Kumar A, Voor MJ, Tyagi N. Exosomal lncRNA-H19 promotes osteogenesis and angiogenesis through mediating Angpt1/Tie2-NO signaling in CBS-heterozygous mice. *Theranostics* (2021) 11:7715–34. doi: 10.7150/THNO.58410

38. Liu S, Liu D, Chen C, Hamamura K, Moshaverinia A, Yang R, et al. MSC transplantation improves osteopenia *via* epigenetic regulation of notch signaling in lupus. *Cell Metab* (2015) 22:1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.08.018

39. Yang Bc, Kuang Mj, Kang J, Zhao J, Ma Jx, Ma XI. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes act *via* the miR-1263/Mob1/Hippo signaling pathway to prevent apoptosis in disuse osteoporosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2020) 524:883–9. doi: 10.1016/J.BBRC.2020.02.001

40. Sonoda S, Murata S, Nishida K, Kato H, Uehara N, Kyumoto-Nakamura Y, et al. Extracellular vesicles from deciduous pulp stem cells recover bone loss by regulating telomerase activity in an osteoporosis mouse model. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2020) 11:296. doi: 10.1186/s13287-020-01818-0

41. Sadat-Ali M, Al-Dakheel DA, Al-Turki HA, Acharya S. Efficacy of autologous bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), osteoblasts and osteoblasts derived exosome in the reversal of ovariectomy (OVX) induced osteoporosis in rabbit model. *Am J Transl Res* (2021) 13:6181.

42. Kfoury Y, Scadden DT. Mesenchymal cell contributions to the stem cell niche. Cell Stem Cell (2015) 16:239–53. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.019

43. Plaks V, Kong N, Werb Z. The cancer stem cell niche: How essential is the niche in regulating stemness of tumor cells? *Cell Stem Cell* (2015) 16:225–38. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.015

44. Agarwal P, Isringhausen S, Li H, Paterson AJ, He J, Gomariz Á, et al. Mesenchymal niche-specific expression of Cxcl12 controls quiescence of treatmentresistant leukemia stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* (2019) 24:769–784.e6. doi: 10.1016/ J.STEM.2019.02.018

45. Shi Y, Wang YY, Li Q, Liu K, Hou J, Shao C, et al. Immunoregulatory mechanisms of mesenchymal stem and stromal cells in inflammatory diseases. *Nat Rev Nephrol* (2018) 14:493–507. doi: 10.1038/S41581-018-0023-5

46. Le Blanc K, Mougiakakos D. Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells and the innate immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2012) 12:383–96. doi: 10.1038/NRI3209

47. Sonoda S, Yamaza T. A new target of dental pulp-derived stem cell-based therapy on recipient bone marrow niche in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Int J Mol Sci* (2022) 23:3479. doi: 10.3390/IJMS23073479

48. Gronthos S, Akintoye SO, Wang CY, Shi S. Bone marrow stromal stem cells for tissue engineering. Periodontol 2000 (2006) 41:188–95. doi: 10.1111/J.1600-0757.2006.00154.X

49. Miura Y, Gao Z, Miura M, Seo B-M, Sonoyama W, Chen W, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-organized bone marrow elements: An alternative hematopoietic progenitor resource. *Stem Cell* (2006) 24:2428–36. doi: 10.1634/stemcells.2006-0089

50. Yamaza T, Miura Y, Akiyama K, Bi Y, Sonoyama W, Gronthos S, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated ectopic hematopoiesis alleviates aging-related phenotype in immunocompromised mice. *Blood* (2009) 113:2595–604. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-10-182246

51. Rossi DJ, Jamieson CHM, Weissman IL. Stems cells and the pathways to aging and cancer. *Cell* (2008) 132:681–96. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2008.01.036

52. Rando TA. Stem cells, ageing and the quest for immortality. *Nature* (2006) 441:1080-6. doi: 10.1038/NATURE04958

53. Daniel M, Peek GW, Tollefsbol TO. Regulation of the human catalytic subunit of telomerase (hTERT). *Gene* (2012) 498:135–46. doi: 10.1016/J.GENE.2012.01.095

54. Yang C, Przyborski S, Cooke MJ, Zhang X, Stewart R, Anyfantis G, et al. A key role for telomerase reverse transcriptase unit in modulating human embryonic stem cell proliferation, cell cycle dynamics, and *in vitro* differentiation. *Stem Cells* (2008) 26:850–63. doi: 10.1634/STEMCELLS.2007-0677

55. Shi S, Gronthos S, Chen S, Reddi A, Counter CM, Robey PG, et al. Bone formation by human postnatal bone marrow stromal stem cells is enhanced by telomerase expression. *Nat Biotechnol* (2002) 20:587–91. doi: 10.1038/nbt0602-587

56. Jaskelioff M, Muller FL, Paik JH, Thomas E, Jiang S, Adams AC, et al. Telomerase reactivation reverses tissue degeneration in aged telomerase-deficient mice. *Nature* (2011) 469:102-7. doi: 10.1038/NATURE09603

57. Ren R, Ocampo A, Liu GH, Izpisua Belmonte JC. Regulation of stem cell aging by metabolism and epigenetics. *Cell Metab* (2017) 26:460-74. doi: 10.1016/ J.CMET.2017.07.019

58. Marión RM, Blasco MA. Telomere rejuvenation during nuclear reprogramming. Curr Opin Genet Dev (2010) 20:190-6. doi: 10.1016/J.GDE.2010.01.005

59. Morrison SJ, Prowse KR, Ho P, Weissman IL. Telomerase activity in hematopoietic cells is associated with self-renewal potential. *Immunity* (1996) 5:207–16. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80316-7

60. Pignolo RJ, Suda RK, Mcmillan EA, Shen J, Lee SH, Choi Y, et al. Defects in telomere maintenance molecules impair osteoblast differentiation and promote osteoporosis. *Aging Cell* (2008) 7:23–31. doi: 10.1111/J.1474-9726.2007.00350.X

61. Herrera E, Samper E, Martín-Caballero J, Flores JM, Lee HW, Blasco MA. Disease states associated with telomerase deficiency appear earlier in mice with short telomeres. *EMBO J* (1999) 18:2950–60. doi: 10.1093/EMBOJ/18.11.2950

62. Ju Z, Jiang H, Jaworski M, Rathinam C, Gompf A, Klein C, et al. Telomere dysfunction induces environmental alterations limiting hematopoietic stem cell function and engraftment. *Nat Med* (2007) 13:742–7. doi: 10.1038/NM1578

63. Saeed H, Abdallah BM, Ditzel N, Catala-Lehnen P, Qiu W, Amling M, et al. Telomerase-deficient mice exhibit bone loss owing to defects in osteoblasts and increased osteoclastogenesis by inflammatory microenvironment. *J Bone Miner Res* (2011) 26:1494–505. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.349

64. Miura M, Gronthos S, Zhao M, Lu B, Fisher LW, Robey PG, et al. SHED: stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2003) 100:5807–12. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0937635100

65. Sakai K, Yamamoto A, Matsubara K, Nakamura S, Naruse M, Yamagata M, et al. Human dental pulp-derived stem cells promote locomotor recovery after complete transection of the rat spinal cord by multiple neuro-regenerative mechanisms. *J Clin Invest* (2012) 122:80–90. doi: 10.1172/JCI59251

66. Fujii H, Matsubara K, Sakai K, Ito M, Ohno K, Ueda M, et al. Dopaminergic differentiation of stem cells from human deciduous teeth and their therapeutic benefits for parkinsonian rats. *Brain Res* (2015) 1613:59–72. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2015.04.001

67. Bento LW, Zhang Z, Imai A, Nör F, Dong Z, Shi S, et al. Endothelial differentiation of SHED requires MEK1/ERK signaling. J Dent Res (2013) 92:51–7. doi: 10.1177/0022034512466263

68. Zhang Z, Nör F, Oh M, Cucco C, Shi S, Nör JE. Wnt/ β -catenin signaling determines the vasculogenic fate of postnatal mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell* (2016) 34:1576–87. doi: 10.1002/stem.2334

69. Govindasamy V, Ronald VS, Abdullah AN, Ganesan Nathan KR, Aziz ZACA, Abdullah M, et al. Differentiation of dental pulp stem cells into islet-like aggregates. J Dent Res (2011) 90:646–52. doi: 10.1177/0022034510396879

70. Fujiyoshi J, Yamaza H, Sonoda S, Yuniartha R, Ihara K, Nonaka K, et al. Therapeutic potential of hepatocyte-like-cells converted from stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth in fulminant wilson's disease. *Sci Rep* (2019) 9:1535. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-38275-y

71. Yuniartha R, Yamaza T, Sonoda S, Yoshimaru K, Matsuura T, Yamaza H, et al. Cholangiogenic potential of human deciduous pulp stem cell-converted hepatocytelike cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2021) 12:57. doi: 10.1186/s13287-020-02113-8 72. Yamaza T, Kentaro A, Chen C, Liu Y, Shi Y, Gronthos S, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2010) 1:5. doi: 10.1186/scrt5

73. Yamaza H, Tomoda E, Sonoda S, Nonaka K, Kukita T, Yamaza T. Bilirubin reversibly affects cell death and odontogenic capacity in stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth. *Oral Dis* (2018) 24:809–19. doi: 10.1111/odi.12827

74. Yamaza H, Sonoda S, Nonaka K, Kukita T, Yamaza T. Pamidronate decreases bilirubin-impaired cell death and improves dentinogenic dysfunction of stem cells from human deciduous teeth. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2018) 9:303. doi: 10.1186/s13287-018-1042-7

75. Sonoda S, Yoshimaru K, Yamaza H, Yuniartha R, Matsuura T, Yamauchi-Tomoda E, et al. Biliary atresia-specific deciduous pulp stem cells feature biliary deficiency. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2021) 12:582. doi: 10.1186/S13287-021-02652-8

76. Ma L, Makino Y, Yamaza H, Akiyama K, Hoshino Y, Song G, et al. Cryopreserved dental pulp tissues of exfoliated deciduous teeth is a feasible stem cell resource for regenerative medicine. *PloS One* (2012) 7:e51777. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051777

77. Yamaza T, Alatas FS, Yuniartha R, Yamaza H, Fujiyoshi JK, Yanagi Y, et al. *In vivo* hepatogenic capacity and therapeutic potential of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth in liver fibrosis in mice. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2015) 6:171. doi: 10.1186/s13287-015-0154-6

78. Yoshimaru K, Yamaza T, Kajioka S, Sonoda S, Yanagi Y, Matsuura T, et al. Dental pulp stem cells as a therapy for congenital entero-neuropathy. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12:6990. doi: 10.1038/S41598-022-10077-3

79. Iwanaka T, Yamaza T, Sonoda S, Yoshimaru K, Matsuura T, Yamaza H, et al. A model study for the manufacture and validation of clinical-grade deciduous dental pulp stem cells for chronic liver fibrosis treatment. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2020) 11:134. doi: 10.1186/s13287-020-01630-w

80. Ma L, Huang Z, Wu D, Kou X, Mao X, Shi S. CD146 controls the quality of clinical grade mesenchymal stem cells from human dental pulp. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2021) 12:488. doi: 10.1186/S13287-021-02559-4

81. Sonoda S, Yamaza H, Yoshimaru K, Taguchi T, Yamaza T. Protocol to generate xenogeneic-free/serum-free human dental pulp stem cells. *STAR Protoc* (2022) 3:101389. doi: 10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101386

82. Xuan K, Li B, Guo H, Sun W, Kou X, He X, et al. Deciduous autologous tooth stem cells regenerate dental pulp after implantation into injured teeth. *Sci Transl Med* (2018) 10:eaaf3227. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf3227

83. Li W, Jiao X, Song J, Sui B, Guo Z, Zhao Y, et al. Therapeutic potential of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth infusion into patients with type 2 diabetes depends on basal lipid levels and islet function. *Stem Cells Transl Med* (2021) 10:956–67. doi: 10.1002/SCTM.20-0303

84. Taguchi T, Yanagi Y, Yoshimaru K, Zhang XY, Matsuura T, Nakayama K, et al. Regenerative medicine using stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED): a promising new treatment in pediatric surgery. *Surg Today* (2019) 49:316– 22. doi: 10.1007/s00595-019-01783-z

85. Mai Z, Chen H, Ye Y, Hu Z, Sun W, Cui L, et al. Translational and clinical applications of dental stem cell-derived exosomes. *Front Genet* (2021) 12:750990. doi: 10.3389/FGENE.2021.750990

86. Wei J, Song Y, Du Z, Yu F, Zhang Y, Jiang N, et al. Exosomes derived from human exfoliated deciduous teeth ameliorate adult bone loss in mice through promoting osteogenesis. *J Mol Histol* (2020) 51:455–66. doi: 10.1007/S10735-020-09896-3

87. Jarmalavičiute A, Tunaitis V, Pivoraite U, Venalis A, Pivoriunas A. Exosomes from dental pulp stem cells rescue human dopaminergic neurons from 6-hydroxy-dopamine-induced apoptosis. *Cytotherapy* (2015) 17:932–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2014.07.013

88. Li Y, Yang YY, Ren JL, Xu F, Chen FM, Li A. Exosomes secreted by stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth contribute to functional recovery after traumatic brain injury by shifting microglia M1/M2 polarization in rats. *Stem Cell Res Ther* (2017) 8:198. doi: 10.1186/s13287-017-0648-5

 Pivoraitė U, Jarmalavičiūtė A, Tunaitis V, Ramanauskaitė G, Vaitkuvienė A, Kašėta V, et al. Exosomes from human dental pulp stem cells suppress carrageenaninduced acute inflammation in mice. *Inflammation* (2015) 38:1933–41. doi: 10.1007/ s10753-015-0173-6

90. Luo P, Jiang C, Ji P, Wang M, Xu J. Exosomes of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth as an anti-inflammatory agent in temporomandibular joint chondrocytes via miR-100-5p/mTOR. Stem Cell Res Ther (2019) 10:216. doi: 10.1186/S13287-019-1341-7

91. Maeda A, Kikuiri T, Yoshimura Y, Yawaka Y, Shirakawa T. Bone resorption improvement by conditioned medium of stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth in ovariectomized mice. *Exp Ther Med* (2022) 23:299. doi: 10.3892/ETM.2022.11228

92. Sonoda S, Murata S, Kato H, Zakaria F, Kyumoto-Nakamura Y, Uehara N, et al. Targeting of deciduous tooth pulp stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles on telomerase-mediated stem cell-niche and immune regulation in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Immunol* (2021) 206:3053–63. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.2001312

93. Mittelbrunn M, Sánchez-Madrid F. Intercellular communication: diverse structures for exchange of genetic information. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2012) 13:328–35. doi: 10.1038/NRM3335

94. Li Z, Rana TM. Therapeutic targeting of microRNAs: current status and future challenges. Nat Rev Drug Discovery (2014) 13:622–38. doi: 10.1038/NRD4359

95. Song G, Wang R, Guo J, Liu X, Wang F, Qi Y, et al. MiR-346 and miR-138 competitively regulate hTERT in GRSF1- and AGO2-dependent manners, respectively. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:1–15. doi: 10.1038/srep15793

96. Wolter M, Werner T, Malzkorn B, Reifenberger G. Role of microRNAs located on chromosome arm 10q in malignant gliomas. *Brain Pathol* (2016) 26:344–58. doi: 10.1111/bpa.12294

97. Hayashi M, Nakashima T, Taniguchi M, Kodama T, Kumanogoh A, Takayanagi H. Osteoprotection by semaphorin 3A. *Nature* (2012) 485:69–74. doi: 10.1038/ nature11000

98. Hayashi M, Nakashima T, Yoshimura N, Okamoto K, Tanaka S, Takayanagi H. Autoregulation of osteocyte Sema3A orchestrates estrogen action and counteracts bone aging. *Cell Metab* (2019) 29:627–637.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.12.021

99. Coppin L, Sokal E, Stéphenne X. Thrombogenic risk induced by intravascular mesenchymal stem cell therapy: Current status and future perspectives. *Cells* (2019) 8:1160. doi: 10.3390/CELLS8101160

100. Moll G, Ankrum JA, Kamhieh-Milz J, Bieback K, Ringdén O, Volk HD, et al. Intravascular mesenchymal stromal/stem cell therapy product diversification: Time for new clinical guidelines. *Trends Mol Med* (2019) 25:149–63. doi: 10.1016/ J.MOLMED.2018.12.006

101. François M, Copland IB, Yuan S, Romieu-Mourez R, Waller EK, Galipeau J. Cryopreserved mesenchymal stromal cells display impaired immunosuppressive properties as a result of heat-shock response and impaired interferon- γ licensing. *Cytotherapy* (2012) 14:147–52. doi: 10.3109/14653249.2011.623691

102. Moll G, Alm JJ, Davies LC, Von Bahr L, Heldring N, Stenbeck-Funke L, et al. Do cryopreserved mesenchymal stromal cells display impaired immunomodulatory and therapeutic properties? *Stem Cells* (2014) 32:2430–42. doi: 10.1002/STEM.1729