Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stephen J. Pandol, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Ravi Verma, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India Chun-Jun Guo, Cornell University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jin Zhang 2019140256@cmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 23 December 2023 ACCEPTED 23 July 2024 PUBLISHED 08 August 2024

CITATION

Shi Y, Li X and Zhang J (2024) Systematic review on the role of the gut microbiota in tumors and their treatment. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1355387. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1355387

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Shi, Li and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Systematic review on the role of the gut microbiota in tumors and their treatment

Ying Shi^{1,2}, Xiao Li³ and Jin Zhang^{3*}

¹School of Pharmacy, University College London, London, United Kingdom, ²China Medical University Joint Queen's University of Belfast, China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Tumors present a formidable health risk with limited curability and high mortality; existing treatments face challenges in addressing the unique tumor microenvironment (hypoxia, low pH, and high permeability), necessitating the development of new therapeutic approaches. Under certain circumstances, certain bacteria, especially anaerobes or parthenogenetic anaerobes, accumulate and proliferate in the tumor environment. This phenomenon activates a series of responses in the body that ultimately produce anti-tumor effects. These bacteria can target and colonize the tumor microenvironment, promoting responses aimed at targeting and fighting tumor cells. Understanding and exploiting such interactions holds promise for innovative therapeutic strategies, potentially augmenting existing treatments and contributing to the development of more effective and targeted approaches to fighting tumors. This paper reviews the tumor-promoting mechanisms and anti-tumor effects of the digestive tract microbiome and describes bacterial therapeutic strategies for tumors, including natural and engineered anti-tumor strategies.

KEYWORDS

tumor, gut microbiota, tumorigenic effect, anti-oncogenic effect, therapy

1 Introduction

Tumors exhibit genomic instability (1), characterized by the accumulation of point mutations and structural genomic alterations throughout their development (2) (Figure 1). Additionally, tumors manifest a distinct tumor microenvironment (TME). Due to tumor-specific attributes, various clinical treatment methods, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, surgery, among others, have inherent limitations, restricting their applicability and effectiveness, thus making the majority of tumors difficult to treat.

As a major "endocrine" organ of the human body, the digestive tract plays a vital role in regulating the physiological functions of the human body, such as participating in the



FIGURE 1

The tumorigenic effect of the gastrointestinal microbiota. (A) Tumor-promoting mechanism of anaerobic bacteria. Anaerobic bacteria in the gut may enzymatically convert free bile acids into secondary bile acids, which may trigger DNA damage, increase the risk of cell mutation, inhibit apoptosis, and contribute to the evolution of healthy cells into cancerous cells, thereby promoting tumour formation and progression. (B) The tumorigenic effect of digestive tract microbiome through induction of inflammation. The microbiome in the digestive tract triggers inflammation by releasing cytokines that stimulate the growth and proliferation of tumor cells. This inflammatory response further facilitates tumorigenesis and progression, creating a conducive environment for the development and advancement of tumors within the digestive system. (C) The component of TME. (D) The tumorigenic effect generated through change of the amount of digestive tract microbiome. In tumor patients, the digestive tract microbiome plays a role in fostering the infiltration of immunosuppressive cells by downregulating immune cells in the body. This mechanism contributes to the promotion of tumor occurrence and development, creating an environment where immunosuppression is favored and facilitating the evasion of immune responses, ultimately aiding in the progression of tumors within the digestive tract. (E) Antibiotic use leads to changes in digestive tract microbiome and thus tumor-promoting effects. Antibiotic use diminishes H. pylori, lowering the risk of gastric tumors; however, this reduction heightens the incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma. The complex interplay highlights the dual impact of antibiotics on gastrointestinal health, underscoring the importance of considering specific cancer types when evaluating the consequences of antibiotic treatments. (F) Transfer of Porphyromonas gingivalis to the intestine and liver produces tumor-promoting effects. Porphyromonas gingivalis induces periodontitis in the oral cavity. Upon entering the intestinal tract, it elevates intestinal permeability, leading to "leaky gut." This facilitates the entry of certain bacteria into the liver, potentially elevating the risk of liver cancer by creating an environment conducive to hepatic complications.

synthesis of vitamins, amino acids and carbohydrates to maintain the normal function of the human body, preventing the invasion of pathogens and enhancing the biological barrier (3). The human gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is inhabited by various kind of microbial species, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, archaea, and protozoa (4), with bacteria being predominant and present in large numbers in the oral cavity, stomach, duodenum, jejunum and large intestine (5), but the highly acidic environment of the stomach results in low levels of bacteria in the stomach and upper small intestine. Conversely, the colon region is densely populated with an abundance of bacteria, and proximity to the colon correlates with increasing microbial load (3). The composition of the digestive tract microbiome bears a close association with tumors manifesting across various anatomical sites, including those arising within the GIT (6). A profound exploration of the impact of the digestive tract microbiome on tumorigenesis serves to elucidate the pathological

mechanisms underlying malignant neoplasms. Furthermore, strategies leveraging bacterial entities for therapeutic purposes exhibit substantial promise in the domain of oncology due to the inherent tropism of bacteria towards the tumor microenvironment (7), which can overcome the shortcomings of current tumor therapies. Consequently, the investigation of the interplay between the digestive tract microbiome and tumors, particularly in the context of therapeutic interventions, stands as a prominent focal point in contemporary oncological research.

This comprehensive review aims to provide a meticulous and systematic examination of the intricate interrelationship between the digestive tract microbiome and tumors. It elucidates the mechanisms underpinning bacterial-associated strategies for tumor management and synthesizes the most recent advances in bacterial-based tumor therapeutics. The objective is to present a conceptual framework for the development of innovative strategies in tumor therapy.

2 The tumorigenic effect of the gastrointestinal microbiota

Microbial populations within the digestive tract including Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Aspergillus (8), with this bacterial consortium encompassing not only beneficial bacteria primarily represented by Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, but also conditionally pathogenic organisms, notably Escherichia coli(E. coli), as well as pathogenic microorganisms, prominently exemplified by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (9). In addition to the beneficial influence of beneficial bacteria on human health, both conditionally pathogenic and pathogenic bacterial organisms manifest harmful effects on the host organism. It is now widely accepted that abnormalities within the gastrointestinal microbiota may contribute to the initiation of malignant tumorigenesis (10). Simultaneously, the unique TME, characterized by internal hypoxia, low pH and increased permeability, provides an environment suitable for colonization of neoplastic lesions by a plethora of anaerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria, take Salmonella and Escherichia (6) as examples, which in turn initiates and accelerates the process of tumorigenesis. The gastrointestinal microbiome influence tumor development through a variety of mechanisms, including releasing metabolic by-products, inducing inflammatory cascades, modulating immune responses, and altering microbial abundance and colonization sites.

2.1 The tumorigenic effect of digestive tract microbiota-derived metabolites

Distinct metabolites originating from digestive tract microbiome exhibit diverse impacts on tumor cells, with certain metabolites stimulating the proliferation and progression of neoplastic cells (11). The presence of bile acid hydrolases facilitates the production of free bile acids by anaerobic bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract, such as Bacteroides and Clostridium (12). Anaerobic bacteria in the intestinal environment have the potential to enzymatically convert free bile acids into secondary bile acids, thereby inducing DNA damage, increasing the probability of cellular mutations, inhibiting apoptosis, thereby promoting the transformation of healthy cells into cancer cells, and facilitating the onset and progression of tumors (13) (Figure 1A). Among the metabolites derived from the intestinal microbiota, short-chain fatty acids, with butyrate representing a preeminent example, have garnered extensive research attention. Investigations have unveiled that butyrate may heighten the susceptibility to tumorigenesis subsequent to genetic modifications (14). Moreover, numerous studies have revealed that butyrate amplifies the incidence of colon cancer by stimulating the proliferation of colorectal epithelial cells, resulting in the expansion of tumorigenic cell populations (15). Furthermore, certain intestinal bacteria, such as the Ruminococcaceae family within the Clostridium cluster, produce metabolites that yield β -glucuronidase, which influences estrogen levels, resulting in enhanced estrogen metabolism and free estrogen levels. Consequently, this elevation contributes to the initiation of breast tumors (11, 16, 17). It is evident that an array of GI bacterial metabolites can contribute to the initiation and advancement of tumorigenesis.

2.2 The tumorigenic effect of digestive tract microbiome through induction of inflammation

Recently, more and more research demonstrate that digestive tract microbiome has the potential to promote the formation and development of tumors by inducing an inflammatory response. Chronic inflammation is regarded as a significant contributing factor of the development of tumors. Cytokines and proinflammatory factors produced during inflammation, such as IL-1 and HMGB1 (18), which have the ability to activate cell proliferation, inhibit apoptosis, and promote angiogenesis, thus providing favorable conditions for tumor growth and dissemination (19). Arthur JC et al. manifest that the imbalance of digestive tract microbiome results in the increase of intestinal muscosal inflammation, thereby promote the development of colon cancer (20). A research article published in Science revealed that inflammation triggers the generation of respiratory electron acceptors, including substances like nitrate, ethanolamine, and tetrasulphite. These compounds serve as substrates for a diverse range of bacteria, including E. coli and Salmonella. Moreover, these bacteria possess significant characteristics that augment the persistence of chronic inflammation, consequently fostering the progression and proliferation of tumors (21). Furthermore, enterotoxigenic bacteroides fragilis (ETBF) generate bacteroides fragilis toxin, which involved in multiple signal transduction in colonic epithelial cells, inducing the generation of an inflammatory response that promotes the genesis and development of tumor cells (22-24) Thiele and collogues illustrated that ETBF induces myeloid stem cells to differentiate into myeloid suppressor cells, which activate pathogenic inflammatory pathways and promote colorectal cancer (CRC) development and progression (25). As a result, digestive tract microbiome induces an inflammatory response by producing cytokines that promote the growth and proliferation of tumor cells, which in turn promotes tumorigenesis and progression (Figure 1B).

2.3 The tumorigenic effect of digestive tract microbiome through modulation of the immune response

Digestive tract microbiome has the potential to promote the generation and proliferation of tumor cells through modulate human's immune response, therefore generating the tumorigenic effect. Chamutal Gur et al. manifest that Fusobacterium nucleatum (FN) binds to the inhibitory receptor TIGIT on human natural killer cells and T cells through Fap2 protein, which inhibits the cytotoxicity of natural killer cells, thus inhibiting the anti-tumor immune function of the body and leading to tumor occurrence (26). Moreover, Robert F.Schwabe and collogues demonstrate that FN

can inhibit the cytotoxicity of NK cells through TIGIT, downregulate its inhibitory effect on tumor cells, and then promote the occurrence and development of tumors, especially colorectal adenocarcinoma tumors (27). Through an investigation involving 138 volunteers, Chen Ting and fellow researchers established a significant association between TOX protein expression and CD4⁺ T cell content within colorectal tissues. Their findings demonstrated that FN exerts a pivotal role in CRC development by reducing CD4⁺ T cell levels and suppressing TOX protein expression (28). Moreover, for individuals with Crohn's disease exhibiting elevated FN levels leading to diminished bifidobacteria content, the susceptibility to gastrointestinal and other cancers becomes notably elevated (28). Metabolites produced by the gut microbiota, which are characterised by short-chain fatty acids like butyric acid, activate certain G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), especially GPR43, GPR41, GPR109A and Olfr78 (29). These bioactive compounds are vital in inducing the differentiation of nascent CD4⁺ T cells into immunosuppressive cells Tregs (30). The gut microbiota is also implicated in the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma, in addition to its impact on CRC. Research demonstrates that disruptions in gut microbiota composition caused by low-dose antibiotics or mucosal damage can drastically accelerate the advancement of hepatocellular carcinoma (31). This accelerated progression is mainly mediated by several mechanisms, including increased expression of IL-6 and activation of the nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-KB) pathway (32). Consequently, the digestive tract microbiome of tumor patients can promote the infiltration of immunosuppressive cells by downregulating the immune cells in the body, thus promoting the occurrence and development of tumors (Figures 1C, D).

2.4 The tumorigenic effect generated through change of the amount of digestive tract microbiome

The variation of the amount of digestive tract microbiome can produce tumor-promoting effect. Significant differences in the amount and composition of digestive tract flora between cancer patients and healthy populations. The gastrointestinal microbiota of healthy adults exhibits significant diversity. It is notable that Streptococcus salivarius and Streptococcus bradycosus predominate in the oral microbiome. Moving into the esophagus, one encounters Staphylococcus, Prevotella, and Veyronella populations. Stomachs contain Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Clostridium, Actinobacteria, Roxella and Haemophilus. As microorganisms move into the gut, diversity increases, with Proteus, Clostridium, Streptococcus and Oxalacidobacterium leading the gut environment (33). Probiotics exist in GIT possess a vital role in maintain human health (11). To be specific, an increased presence of Lactobacillus Johnsoni is linked to a decrease in genotoxicity, a reduction in pro-inflammatory factor levels, and a lower frequency of inflammatory responses. Therefore, the absence of this bacterial strain is associated with a higher susceptibility to lymphoma development in murine models (34). Furthermore, relevant reviews reported that use of antibiotic enables

the imbalance of flora is digestive tract, following the influence of digestive tract tumors (35, 36). For example, *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) has the potential to induce gastric adenocarcinoma with characteristics including lymphovascular infiltration, lymph node metastasis, and an unfavorable prognosis. These features are related to the ability of the microbe to inactivate the ARIR1A gene (37). However, Anderson and collogues manifest that the use of antibiotic leads to the decline of *H. pylori*, which reduces the incidence of gastric tumors, but increases the incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma (38) (Figure 1E).

2.5 The tumorigenic effect generated through the transference of digestive tract microbiome

Bacteria transference also influence the generation and development of tumors. The amount of saliva swallowed by normal adults can reach 0.75-1.5L per day (39), which provides an opportunity for oral flora to flow into the digestive tract and even the intestines. At the same time, through the chewing process, the microorganisms in the mouth can be swallowed into the stomach and intestines. Porphyromonas gingivalis (P. gingivalis) causes periodontitis in the mouth, but when it enters the intestinal tract, it increases the permeability of the intestines, thus causing "leaky gut" (gut-oral axis), through which some bacteria enter the liver and increase the incidence of liver cancer (gut-liver axis) (40) (Figure 1F). Moreover, gut microbiome has been linked not only to gastrointestinal disorders, but also to mental illness wich can be known as Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis (41). Metabolic, endocrine, neural and immunological pathways form the bidirectional link between the gut and the brain. These include the vagal nerve, the HPA axis, the production of bacterial metabolites, immune mediators and entero-endocrine signals (42, 43). The gut microbiota has a significant impact on neurological and psychiatric disorders such as major depressive disorder (MDD), schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BD), and autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Changes in the gut microbiome influence inflammation and depressive symptoms in MDD, neurotransmitter dysregulation in SCZ, immune-inflammatory activity in BD, and behavioral and sensory responses in ASD, highlighting the complexity of the gut-brain axis (41). Simultaneously, P. gingivalis can also bind to C5aR1 and TLR2 receptors on intestinal cells (44, 45), activate PI3K channel, inhibit normal apoptosis, promote intestinal inflammation, and ultimately, even promote intestinal tumourigenesis and development. Klebsiella pneumoniae coexists with the normal oral flora but carries a risk of inducing inflammatory bowel disease and potentially causing colon cancer (46). Additionally, Koji Atarashi et al. through gnotobiotic tech proved that Klebsiella pneumoniae, when isolated from the oral flora and colonized in the intestine, may act as a potent inducer of Th1 cells, thereby promoting the development of severe colon cancer through its genotoxic effects (45). It is worth mentioning that the colon of Klebsiella pneumoniae in healthy rats does not cause disease, however, when it comes to the rats used antibiotic, severe intestinal diseases may be caused, such as CRC.

2.6 Others

Physiological responses of the body can also promote changes in the bacterial composition of certain parts of the body that can have carcinogenic effects. For example, reflux may result in chronic oesophageal damage and promote cancer in Barrett's oesophagus (47). Yang and colleagues revealed alterations in the oesophageal microbiota caused by reflux disease through a comparison of healthy humans' microbiota with that of individuals who had reflux oesophagitis or Barrett's oesophagus. The experiment found significant amounts of Streptococcus spp. in the inflamed oesophagus. The healthy oesophagus was dominated by certain bacteria, while the reflux or Barrett's oesophagus group had a higher abundance of the Bacteroides, Aspergillus and Clostridium phylum. This is most likely due to the physiological changes caused by excess stomach acid (48). In addition, it has been demonstrated that the virulence of H. pylori, host genetics and environmental factors contribute to the development of gastric cancer (49). Secondly, colonization by a wide range of bacteria also promotes tumor development to some extent. Oropharyngeal or intestinal commensal bacteria (Streptococcus, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Serratia, Klebsiella, Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Neisseria, Staphylococcus and Bacillus) have been reported to be associated with gastric cancer (50-53). Additionally, H. pylori can modulate the microbial composition in the distal gut. Most seriously, H. pylori can cause low gastric acidity, which can promote the entry of acidsensitive bacteria into the distal gastrointestinal tract, leading to changes in the colonic microbiome that may promote colon cancer development (47).

3 The anti-oncogenic effect of the gastrointestinal microbiota

3.1 The anti-oncogenic effects of digestive tract microbiota-derived metabolites

Certain metabolic byproducts of the gastrointestinal microbiota have a "biphasic" effect on tumors, which means it not only promotes the development of tumors, but also exerts anti-tumor effects. The primary gut fermentation products, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), play a role in regulating colonic epithelial cell growth and differentiation (54). Butyrate, which serves as a primary energy source for colonic cells, is the most extensively studied of the SCFAs (30). Butyrate can exert its anti-colon cancer effects by targeting Fas and p21 in animal tumor models, as well as by inhibiting enzymes with pro-carcinogenic activities in the intestine, such as histone deacetylases (55) (Figure 2A). These actions result in suppressing tumor cell proliferation and help to inhibit further CRC progression (46). Additionally, butyrate also contains the role of maintaining intestinal barrier function, which plays a significant role in the maintenance of intestinal function. Disruption of intestinal barrier function is the foundation of numerous diseases (56). The protection of intestinal function can be regulated by SCFAs. By activating 5'-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and the TLR4 pathway, butyrate in SCFAs may enhance the defensive function of intestinal epithelial cells by promoting mucin secretion (57) (Figure 2A). Additionally, sodium butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid, has been shown to increase the transcription of Claudin-1 by promoting binding between SP1 and the Claudin-1 promoter region (55). This enhancement of intestinal barrier function aids in maintaining overall intestinal homeostasis, thereby preventing the onset and development of tumors. Polyphenol metabolites are a type of microbial metabolite in the gut that help prevent CRC by modifying phytanic acid synthesis, downregulating inflammatory cascades, regulating DNA synthesis, and inducing luminal detoxifying enzymes (58). These actions aid in DNA repair, inhibit colonic pathogens, and regulate apoptosis, thus supporting the prevention of CRC (59). Quercetin-related metabolite indole-3-propionic acid acts as an AhR agonist. It suppresses inflammatory responses in colonic epithelial cells, thereby inhibiting carcinogenesis and exhibiting anticancer effects (60). Conjugated linoleic acid has the ability to inhibit DNA synthesis and induce apoptosis in human colon adenocarcinoma cell lines, and subsequently to alter the cell cycle of colon cancer cells, and consequently to reduce the incidence of colon cancer (61). Lactocin, a bacteriocin synthesized by Lactobacillus, has been extensively investigated in numerous studies for its anti-cancer effects on cell proliferation. It has been shown to increase the Bax/Bcl-2 ratio, an indicator of increased apoptosis index, and to promote apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells (62-64) In summary, the gut microbiota generates a variety of metabolites that exhibit anti-tumor activity through the inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, the regulation of tumor cell apoptosis, and the suppression of inflammatory responses. It is noteworthy that butyrate, as the most extensively studied SCFA, has been proven to have a dual role, with the ability to both promote tumorigenesis and produce anti-tumor effects through pathways such as maintaining intestinal barrier function.

3.2 The anti-oncogenic effect of digestive tract microbiome through modulation of the immune response

Bacteria exhibit anti-tumor immune responses through their ability for targeted, specific colonization of tumors and their immunogenic properties (65). The immunogenic capabilities of bacteria primarily manifest in their components, including peptides, polysaccharides, lipopolysaccharides, lipoteichoic acids, flagella, DNA, RNA, and others, which can be recognized by pattern recognition receptors on dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, and neutrophils. This recognition subsequently triggers the appropriate immune responses, activating both the innate and adaptive immune systems, thus generating an anti-tumor effect (66, 67). To be specific, by increasing the expression of tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and IL-1 β in the TME, the LPS component of Salmonella can enhance the functionality of immune cells, including $\mathrm{CD8}^{+}\ \mathrm{T}$ cells, and generate an anti-tumor immune response (Figure 2B). Chen et al. have demonstrated that Salmonella flagella activate immune cells via the TLR5 signaling pathway, triggering a host immune response and resulting in a



FIGURE 2

The anti-oncogenic effect of the gastrointestinal microbiota. (A) Antitumor mechanism of butyrate. Butyrate demonstrates anti-colon cancer effects in animal tumor models by targeting Fas and p21. It also inhibits pro-carcinogenic enzymes like histone deacetylases in the intestine. Additionally, butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid, within SCFAs, enhances the defensive role of intestinal epithelial cells by stimulating mucin secretion, further contributing to its protective influence against colon cancer, (B) Antitumor mechanism of Salmonella. Salmonella's LPS component elevates the expression of TNF- α and $IL-1\beta$ in the TME. This augmentation enhances the functionality of immune cells, notably CD8⁺ T cells, fostering an anti-tumor immune response with the potential to contribute to tumor suppression and immune-mediated control of cancer, (C) Antitumor mechanism of bacterial outer membrane vesicles. OMVs exhibit the ability to specifically target tumor tissues and prompt their rapid internalization by tumor cells. This internalization triggers the production of anti-tumor factors, such as CXCL10 and IFN-y, and activates the human immune response. This immune stimulation not only inhibits existing tumors but also hinders the metastasis of tumors, (D) Antitumor mechanisms of bacterial toxins. Bacterial toxins, generated during bacterial metabolism, possess evident toxicity to the human body. These toxins have the ability to create channels in the cell membranes of eukaryotic cells, disrupting their normal barrier functions. This interference can lead to various physiological consequences, illustrating the significant impact bacterial toxins can exert on cellular processes and overall health in the context of microbial infections. (E) Antitumor mechanisms of inhibiting tumor metastasis Infection with Listeria monocytogenes can activate the enzyme NADPH oxidase, which plays a critical role in the generation of ROS. Increased levels of ROS can trigger a cascade of cellular events. One of these is an increase in intracellular calcium (Ca²⁺). The increase in Ca²⁺ levels can lead to the dysfunction of the mitochondria and the activation of various apoptotic pathways, thereby leading to cell death. In the context of tumour cells, these mechanisms can lead to direct tumour cell death through the induction of oxidative stress and apoptosis, thereby effectively reducing tumour burden.

therapeutic effect against melanoma (68). Moreover, PAMPs expressed by Listeria infecting tumor tissues can be recognized by Toll-like receptors on antigen-presenting cells. This recognition activates the NF- κ B pathway, subsequently clearing tumor cells (69). Attenuated Listeria has been used as antigen-presenting vectors, leading to the development of several malignant vaccines, including cervical cancer vaccines (70), with studies demonstrating efficacy. Additionally, Kuugbee's research team investigated the effect of low-fructo-

oligosaccharide maltodextrin-enriched Lactobacillus acidophilus, Bifidobacterium bifidum, and Bifidobacterium infantum (LBB) on the progression of CRC. They discovered that LBB boosts intestinal mucosal epithelial barrier integrity and decreases tumor incidence by promoting epithelial cell apoptosis and inflammation through the TLR2 pathway in the host (71).

3.3 The anti-oncogenic effect of bacterial outer membrane vesicles

Bacterial outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) are generated by gram-negative bacteria, composed by bacterial outer membrane component, and carry the essential antigenic components required to induce protective immune responses (72). They facilitate antigen presentation, activate the immune system, and generate anti-tumor effects. OMVs contain numerous PAMPs, capable of activating adaptive immune responses, generating antigen-presenting cells and interacting with pattern recognition receptors, ultimately activating antigen-presenting cells (73), leading to inhibitory effects on tumors. Kim et al. discovered that OMVs had the potential to target tumor issues and accelerate in tumor cells, following by inducing the production of anti-tumor factor CXCL10 and γ interferon (IFN- γ), as well as activating human immune response. This stimulation of the immune response leads to the inhibition of existing tumors and also inhibits tumor metastasis (74) (Figure 2C). Also, OMVs can produce intrinsic anti-tumor effects by delivering various toxic factors. Additionally, OMVs can cause the extravasation of red blood cells in the tumor area, leading to the aggregation of hemoglobin within the tumor. This results in a noticeable darkening of the tumor's color and an increase in absorbance in the nearinfrared region. Near-infrared lasers can be utilized to eliminate tumor cells (75). OMVs, as a promising means of treating tumors, can be enhanced in their anti-cancer effects through engineering modifications or their use as a drug carrier.

3.4 The anti-oncogenic effect of bacterial toxins

Bacterial toxins, synthesized during the metabolism of bacteria, are the material that have apparent toxic function to human body. They can form channel on the cell membrane of eukaryotic cells, thereby disrupting its barring function (Figure 2D), resulting in the anti-tumor effect (76). Research indicates that Salmonella typhimurium or *E. coli* can produce bacterial toxins, making their inhibitory effects on tumor onset and development more pronounced (77, 78). Additionally, Jiang and collogues proved that a cytolysin A produced by *Salmonella typhimurium, E. coli*, and *Shigella flexneri* can exert anti-tumor effects through two pathways: direct killing of tumor cells and promotion of tumor cell apoptosis by releasing membranous vesicles (78). Wang et al. indicated that Salmonella carrying the cell-killing expansin toxin B could inhibit tumor growth and extend survival by inducing apoptosis in tumor cells (79).

3.5 The anti-oncogenic effect of digestive tract microbiome through inhibition of tumor metastasis

Tumor metastasis is one of the main causes of the death of cancer patients, involving the capability of transference from primary tumors to other parts of the body. Conversely, bacteria, as organism, can affect the physiological functions of host cells, thus have the potential to inhibit tumor metastasis. Zheng et al. has proposed a method for using Salmonella to treat tumors, wherein the expression of Vibrio vulnificus flagellin B by attenuated Salmonella can reduce immune suppression, alleviate subcutaneous colorectal tumors in mice, and inhibit tumor growth and metastasis, leading to an extended lifespan (80). In addition, research proved that Listeria infection can activate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate and increase the intracellular Ca^{2+} content (81), leading to the direct killing of tumor cells. Both mechanisms can generate a highly cytotoxic free radical reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Figure 2E) (82). ROS can initiate immunogenic cell death in tumor cells, subsequently activating CD8 T cells to eliminate residual tumor cells, ultimately inhibiting tumor cell metastasis (83).

3.6 Others

Apoptosis is a cell-autonomous, orderly mode of cell death that occurs in nucleated cells under genetic regulation. Research by Hiroaki and colleagues has demonstrated that iron-siderophores in Lactobacillus could induce apoptosis through the Jun N-terminal kinase pathway, thereby inhibiting the growth of CRC (84). Yaser et al. manifested Bifidobacterium infantis had the ability to activate p53 and inhibit NF-KB, thus inducing apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells (85). Furthermore, angiogenesis provides malignant tumors with a rich nutrient supply, further promoting their development. Therefore, inhibiting angiogenesis is a direction for treating malignant tumors. By inhibiting angiogenesis, downregulating inflammatory responses, bacteria such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium can therefore preventing CRC and reducing carcinogenic metabolites like SCFAs, ultimately enhancing the function of the intestinal barrier (86). In summary, digestive tract bacteria can play a role in treating tumors through immunomodulatory effects, the secretion of bacterial outer membrane vesicles, the production of bacterial toxins during metabolism, inducing apoptosis in tumor cells, and inhibiting tumor angiogenesis.

4 Natural bacterial-based tumor therapies

Digestive tract microbiome can be divided into three categories based on its function to human body. The first class comprises commensal bacteria, such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, which have a positive impact on human health and also hold potential for tumor therapy, often referred to as "anti-tumor bacteria." The purpose of treating tumor can be achieved by increasing the amount of these "anti-tumor bacteria." The second category is conditionally pathogenic bacteria, like E. coli, which, under normal circumstances, do not produce adverse effects on the host organism but can become harmful when the host's immune defenses are compromised. Finally, pathogenic bacteria are the last class, involving Staphylococcus aureus, which have detrimental effects on the host's health, including the potential to induce inflammatory responses and facilitate tumor initiation and progression, earning them the title of "pro-tumor bacteria." Furthermore, Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is a bacterium that causes inflammation of the stomach lining, which can lead to stomach ulcers. Untreated, it can be a lifelong infection or a predisposition to stomach cancer (87). Consequently, the modulation of the gut microbiota has emerged as a novel approach for addressing diseases associated with gastrointestinal dysbiosis. Potential strategies for targeting the gut microbiota encompass the use of probiotics, fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), the administration of anti-tumor antibiotics, and dietary interventions, among others.

4.1 Treating tumors by increasing the levels of "anti-tumor bacteria" within the body

Probiotics beneficial to the human body mainly include yeast, probiotic spores, lactobacillus, bifidobacterium, and actinomycetes (88). With the advancement of modern research, the study of probiotics has become more and more extensive. Research has demonstrated that probiotics can colonized in the intestinal of human body and promote overall health, through regulating the body's immune response or modulating the balance of GIT microbiome, thereby maintaining intestinal homeostasis, among other mechanisms (89) (as shown in Table 1). Extensive studies retrieved by Stephanie et al. indicate that probiotics play a crucial role in preventing the development of colon cancer through mechanisms such as enhancing the function of intestinal barriers, suppressing and preventing colorectal carcinogenesis, reducing the metabolism of carcinogens, and inhibiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria (96). Consequently, probiotics have proven to be crucial in the field of cancer therapy.

In phase I clinical trials, influence of probiotics on gastrointestinal tumors have primarily been focused on (90, 97). *In vitro* experiments suggest that the inhibitory effect of probiotics on gastrointestinal tumors primarily relies on the production of SCFAs by probiotics, which subsequently (97). Additionally, probiotics also exhibit inhibitory effects on extraintestinal tumors such as liver cancer, breast cancer, lung cancer, and melanoma (71, 90–95). Imani and colleagues have confirmed that oral administration of Lactobacillus acidophilus, a type of probiotic, can stimulate the generation of IFN- γ while reducing the production of IL-4, thereby enhancing the immune response, inhibiting breast cancer cells, and strengthening the anti-tumor effect (Figure 3A) (90). Furthermore, probiotics also demonstrate inhibitory effects on extraintestinal tumors. Jun Li et al. have developed a novel probiotic mixture, which can slow down tumor growth and reduce tumor volume and size (93). In conclusion,

TABLE 1 Representative probiotics and their tumor control mechanisms.

Probiotics strain ("anti- tumor bacteria")	Types of tumor	Mechanisms that produce therapeutic oncological effects	Ref
Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium mixture	Gastrointestinal tumors	Associated with improved intestinal integrity, TLR2- mediated cellular pathways, reduced size of gastrointestinal tumors and reduced tumor incidence	(90)
Lactobacillus casei BL23	Colon Cancer	Promoting the production of a balanced adjuvant Th-17 biased immune response	(91)
Pediococcus pentosaceus GS4	Colon Cancer	Reduces chronic inflammation and decreases NF-κB activity associated with cell proliferation	(92)
Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium	CRC	Reduces the size and incidence of tumors	(71)
Probiotics mixture	liver cancer	Reduces the level of Th 17 cells in the gut and the extent of Th17 recruitment at the tumor site, changes the composition of the gut microbiota and reduces the size of liver tumors	(93)
Lactobacillus acidophilus Breast cancer		Promotes the production of IFN-γ and reduces the production of IL-4, thereby enhancing the body's immune response and producing an anti- breast cancer effect	(90)
Lactococcus lactis NK34	Breast and lung cancer	Inhibits proliferation of tumor cells	(94)
Bifidobacterium	Melanoma	Reduces tumor volume of Melanoma	(95)

probiotics hold great promise and untapped potential in the treatment of cancer, providing new research directions in the field.

FMT involves extracting microbial communities from healthy individuals' feces that are effective against a specific disease and transplanting them into patients to restore the patients' gut microbiota, thereby achieving therapeutic effects (Figure 3B) (98, 99). Rosshart et al. demonstrated that laboratory mice transplanted with gut microbiota from wild mice exhibited better resistance to CRC than control mice with their native bacteria (100). Meanwhile, gut bacterial metabolites have been shown to promote the development of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma through the gut-liver axis (101). Recent research strongly supports the role of FMT in controlling the progression of liver cancer, such as its potential to prevent alcohol-induced liver injury (102). Moreover, FMT holds promise for enhancing the antitumor immune response in melanoma patients by transferring a beneficial gut microbiota community (101). Gopalakrishnan and colleagues transferred fecal samples from melanoma patients to mice and observed that FMT could enhance the effectiveness of tumor immunotherapy (103).



transfer of healthy fecal material, aims to enhance microbial diversity and establish a healthy microbial community within the gut environment. FMT are introduced into the gut to restore microbial balance and promote a symbiotic relationship. **(C)** Antitumor mechanism of anti-tumor antibiotics. Doxycycline (DOX) inhibits leukaemia cell migration through phosphorylation of FAK and reduction of MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression. These enzymes are involved in the degradation of the extracellular matrix, which aids cell migration. DOX therefore reduces the ability of leukaemia cells to move and spread. **(D)** Dietary interventions. These interventions selectively nourish beneficial bacteria and contribute to the modulation of microbial composition.

4.2 Treating tumors by decreasing the levels of "pro-tumor bacteria" within the body

In the human digestive system, in addition to probiotic colonization, numerous harmful bacteria can trigger inflammatory responses that may lead to the onset and development of tumors. Therefore, the use of antibiotics to inhibit or eliminate these bacteria have been proved to provide therapeutic effect on tumor. Antibiotics have the capability to exhibit cytotoxicity against tumor cells and can exert inhibitory effects on tumors through various mechanisms. Doxycycline (DOX) have been proved possess cytotoxicity and antiproliferative properties against various cancer cells (104, 105). Moreover, DOX exhibits broad therapeutic characteristics, such as controlling invasive and metastatic cancer cells, including inhibiting tumor growth and suppressing tumor cell migration (106). DOX inhibits leukemia cell migration by phosphorylating focal adhesion kinase, resulting in decreased expression of matrix metalloproteinases MMP2 and MMP9, which contribute to cell migration (Figure 3C) (106, 107). Yang and colleagues have demonstrated that DOX induces apoptosis in cervical cancer cell lines, inhibits tumor cell invasion, and reduces cancer stem cell (CSC) markers in cell culture, such as SOX-2 and OCT-4 (108, 109). Also, salinomycin (SAL), isolated from Streptomyces albus, has been shown not only to inhibit the proliferation of various tumor cells but also to suppress multidrug resistance (110, 111). Simultaneously, SAL is capable of targeting multiple malignant tumor CSCs while enhancing the effectiveness of radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The application of antibiotics can eliminate numerous bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract, ultimately decreasing the toxic adverse consequences that arise after cancer treatment.

4.3 Treating tumors through well-balanced diet

A well-balanced diet is essential in delaying the onset and progression of tumors. Therefore, it is necessary to follow a diet that includes a wide range of food items to prevent the growth and spread of tumors. Consuming various foods not only alters the

composition of the gut microbiota but also impacts the relative changes in gut metabolites (11). For example, the intake of carbohydrates can increase the relative abundance of Bifidobacterium in the gut, while decreasing the abundance of the genus Bacteroides (112). Also, Bifidobacterium belongs to the group of probiotics and has been associated with potential benefits in preventing inflammatory bowel diseases and CRC (Figure 3D) (113). Mehta et al. have illustrated that consuming grains and foods rich in dietary fiber may increase the likelihood of CRC FN infection (114). In addition, in patients with chronic liver disease, including those with hepatocellular carcinoma, consumption of fermented dairy products has a therapeutic effect in reducing symptoms of abdominal distension (112). Intake of probiotics enables the increase of diversity of in vivo microbiome, thus improving anti-tumor immune response (11). Consequently, a well-balanced diet is crucial in regulating the composition of GIT microbiome, thereby to some extent beneficial to the treatment of tumors.

5 Strategies for artificially modifying bacteria to treat tumors

The unique TME renders normal tissue blood vessels inadequate to support tumor growth during its sustained proliferation and expansion. Consequently, tumor cell growth factors activate to generate novel vascular structures (115). Such disordered vascular structure and TME characteristics present several constraints to current tumor treatment methods. These include the challenge of chemotherapeutic drugs penetrating tumor cells and their proneness to off-target effects (116). Conversely, the complexity of tumor vascular structure not only enables bacteria been trapped inside the tumor, but also provide nutrients to it, contributes to promoting the proliferation of bacteria. Consequently, bacteria can undergo artificial modification, including using engineered bacteria or bacterially incorporated nanomaterials, to enhance safety and targeting, resulting in improved anti-tumor effects.

5.1 Engineered bacteria

Genetic engineering and biological synthesis are employed to modify bacteria, aiming to achieve tumor targeting and reduce toxicity. This emerging strategy boasts numerous advantages, including a considerable enhancement in safety and specificity, as well as the inhibition of angiogenesis for anti-tumor effects, and the ability to interfere with tumor growth through RNA interference (117). Yu and colleagues employed a unique synthetic biology technique to reprogram Salmonella typhimurium to survive solely under anaerobic conditions, retaining its functionality. The team experimentally confirmed its ability to hinder tumor growth in mice, while leaving healthy cells unharmed. The engineered bacterium outperformed standard Salmonella typhimurium by reducing its toxicity and exhibiting anti-tumor properties (118). Simultaneously, engineered bacteria can be designed to target the increased angiogenesis characteristic of solid tumors and inhibit their growth, thereby offering therapeutic benefits. Jia et al. utilized genetic modifications to create attenuated Salmonella typhimurium, which delivered STAT3-specific siRNA and endostatin to mice with liver cancer. Their combination therapy demonstrated a more significant effect in treating tumors (119). As a result, engineered bacteria, as an incredibly promising method for treating tumors, are currently the subject of extensive research.

5.2 Bacteria combined with nanomaterials to exert anti-tumor effects

Nanomaterials' unique high penetrance and retention effect of nanomaterials enable them to accumulate at the tumor site, enhancing their targeting capabilities. Therefore, they find wide applications in cancer therapy (120). Currently, research indicates that bacteria can be chemically attached to nanomaterials, offering a straightforward and efficient technique. Moreover, Liu et al. have proposed innovative binding methods. To be specific, Quantum dots, due to their remarkable photostability and luminescence traits, demonstrate considerable promise in bioimaging and diagnostic applications (121). Then, Liu's team utilized bacteria as carriers to deliver quantum dots specifically into solid tumors, introducing a novel method of combining bacteria and nanomaterials (121). In order to achieve precise tumor therapy, Chen and colleagues associated Salmonella enterica YB1 to nano-photosensitizers by loading indocyanine green nanoparticles through an amide bond (122). Zhang's team devised a technique that entails loading composite nanoparticles of gold and platinum (Bac-Au@Pt) onto bacteria's surface to overcome the hindrance of antioxidant effects by tumors during chemodynamic therapy, as well as to decrease negative consequences on neighboring cells (123). Figure 4 provides an overview of the approaches to treating tumors through the bacteria's collective action.

5.3 Bacteria in combination with conventional therapies for treating tumors

Currently, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and immunotherapy are the main non-surgical approaches to tumor treatment. However, these methods lack malignancy specificity and often cause adverse effects (78, 124). By contrast, if combine bacterial treatment to conventional therapies can overcome the disadvantages like poor targeting and specificity of conventional therapies. Table 2 summarizes part of research progress associated with the combination of bacterial treatment and conventional therapies.

Radiotherapy is a focused cancer treatment using radiation to eradicate cancer cells. Its principle is to target the tumor and avoid damage to healthy adjacent cells. Combining bacteria with radiation therapy for cancer treatment can enhance the targeting of bacteria to cancer cells, prolong the duration of bacterial action, and improve the overall effectiveness of radiation therapy. This approach holds promise in improving the outcomes of cancer treatment (131). Chandra and colleagues utilized a starvation response to introduce the radioactive isotope (32) P into Listeria bacteria. This allowed for



can be harnessed to enhance the body's natural defense mechanisms against cancer cells. This combination approach aims to amplify the immune response for improved tumor recognition and elimination. Engineered bacteria involve genetic modifications to enhance their therapeutic properties. These modifications may include the production of anti-tumor agents or the expression of specific receptors for targeted delivery within the tumor microenvironment. Additionally, the integration of bacteria with traditional cancer treatments such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Bacteria can potentially augment the efficacy of these treatments, creating a synergistic effect for more potent tumor eradication.

the induction of ionizing radiation and bacteria-induced reactive oxygen species at the tumor site, ultimately resulting in the targeted eradication of cancer cells (132).

Chemotherapy drugs can spread throughout the body via the bloodstream and are a primary treatment method for inhibiting metastatic cancer (133). The disruption of tumor-specific vascular structures by chemotherapeutic agents can be enhanced by using bacteria in combination with chemotherapy for cancer treatment. Studies indicate that a combination of Salmonella VNP20009 with cyclophosphamide significantly reduces tumor micro-vessel density and vascular endothelial growth factor content compared to using cyclophosphamide alone (134). Meanwhile, numerous studies suggest that bacteria can act as chemotherapy drug carriers, facilitating targeted cancer therapy and reducing drug side effects (135).

Immunotherapy is the method that enhancing the immune system of immunocompromised patients. Recent research indicates that tumor cells have developed numerous mechanisms to evade immune detection, including recruiting immunosuppressive cell populations, modulating signaling pathways, and altering the TME, reducing immunotherapy efficacy (136). To be specific, tumor cells can evade host immune surveillance by expressing PD-L1 and activating PD-1 (137). whereas the microbiome is intimately involved in the regulation of checkpoint interactions, for example, bifidobacterium bifidum in combination with anti-PD-L1 therapy improves efficacy through activation of dendritic cells and enhanced accumulation of CD8⁺ T cells (95). In addition, immune checkpoint inhibition is the key to anticancer immunotherapy, which enhances the immune response by blocking immune checkpoints such as CTLA-4 and PD-1 (138, 139), such as Ipilimumab. The monoclonal antibody is currently used in the treatment of melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer and colorectal cancer. Bacteria, genetically engineered to increase the expression of tumor antigens, can be combined with immunotherapy to boost the body's immune response, resulting in an anti-tumor response.

5.4 Others

Photothermal therapy (PTT) and photodynamic therapy (PDT) have a huge potential to become a vital method to treat tumors (140). However, the mechanism of such two treatments not fully understood yet, making it difficult to achieve optimal treatment results. At the same

No.	Traditional oncology therapy in combination with bacteria	Name of bacteria	Drug	Types of tumor	Mechanism of action	Ref
1	Radiotherapy	Salmonella		systemic antitumor effects	Salmonella activates peripheral DCs in tumor marginal tissues, resulting in increased DC numbers and prolonged survival to produce good tumor therapeutic effects.	(125)
2	Radiotherapy	E. coli		CRC	Significant reduction in tumor size and production of cytolysin A	(78)
3	Chemotherapy	<i>E. coli</i> Nissle 1917 (EcN)	doxorubicin (DOX)	Breast cancer	Adriamycin is coupled to EcN via the acid instability of cis-aconitic anhydride and this coupling not only increases its viability in tumor tissue but also has no effect on bacterial motility	(126)
4	Chemotherapy	Salmonella	doxorubicin	CRC	With the help of high intensity focused ultrasound heating, the release of adriamycin from colon cancer cells is induced, thus allowing the drug to take effect in the cytoplasm and nucleus of the cancer cells.	(127)
5	Immunotheraoy	Listeria	gemcitabine	pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	Selectively targets tumors and can be delivered to the tumor area to act	(128)
6	Immunotherapy	L. acidophilus		Lung cancer	Increase in serum levels of IFN-7, IL-10, CD4 and CD8 cells	(129)
7	Immunotherapy	L. monocytogenes		Melanoma	Enhanced infiltration of CD4 and CD8 ⁺ T cells	(130)

TABLE 2 Bacteria combined with traditional methods to treat tumors.

time, these two methods all approaches confront challenges such as limited penetration depth and the suboptimal tumor-targeting capabilities of photosensitizers. However, obligate or facultative anaerobic bacteria are naturally endowed with specific targeting capabilities for hypoxic regions within the tumor. Furthermore, the increased permeability of tumors enables enhanced binding between internal chemotactic factors and bacterial-specific receptors, promoting bacterial colonization within the tumor. Once established, bacteria can inhibit tumor growth through mechanisms such as immunomodulation (141). Due to the innate targeting capabilities of bacteria towards tumor cells, bacteria can be conjugated with photosensitizers to facilitate the delivery of these photosensitizers to the tumor site (122). Simultaneously, bacteria activate the host immune response, thereby significantly improving the effectiveness of PDT and PTT in treating tumors.

5.5 Clinical research based on bacterial tumor treatment

Bacterial-based tumor therapy has plenty of advantages compared to conventional drug delivery systems. Firstly, bacteria such as Bifidobacterium, Salmonella, Escherichia and Clostridium can selectively target and proliferate within tumour tissue due to

TABLE 3 Clinical trials related to the treatment of tumors with bacteria.

No.	Company	Bacteria	Indications	Clinical Phase	Clinical Trials No.	Ref
1	Jianbin Xiang, Huashan Hospital	intestinal maladjusted flora	Rectal Cancer	Early Phase 1	NCT05759741	(142)
2	Mayo Clinic	Engineering Gut Microbiome	Breast and Lung Cancer	Early Phase 1	NCT04857697	(143)
3	National Cancer Institute (NCI)	gut microbiome	melanoma	Phase I Phase II	NCT03819296	(144)
4	Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy	oral microbiome	Metastatic Melanoma	Phase 1	NCT03817125	(145)
5	Shanghai Zhongshan Hospital	intestinal flora	Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	Phase 1	NCT05008861	(146)
6	Michael Dill, University Hospital Heidelberg	Fecal Microbiota	hepatocellular carcinoma	Phase 2	NCT05690048	(147)
7	National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC) (National Cancer Institute (NCI))	gut bacteria	Metastatic Hepatocellular Carcinoma	Phase 2	NCT04025567	(148)
8	National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (CC) (National Cancer Institute (NCI))	gut bacteria	Hepatocellular Carcinoma	Phase 2	NCT03785210	(149)

their innate ability to thrive in the hypoxic and acidic environments characteristic of solid tumours. These bacteria can penetrate the blood-tumour barrier and deliver therapeutic agents directly to the tumor site by exploiting the unique conditions of the tumour microenvironment. In addition, the anti-tumor effects of bacteria can be enhanced by their inherent immunogenicity and toxin production. Recent advances in genetic engineering have reignited interest in bacterial therapy as a promising modality for cancer treatment by further optimising these bacterial vectors, enabling precise targeting and minimising off-target effects. Based on the huge progress in laboratory research of bacterial tumor treatment, numerous clinical research has also been undertaken (Table 3).

6 Future perspective

The gastrointestinal tract encompasses the human digestive process, from ingestion to excretion, and contains a diverse array of bacteria. The gut microbiota has been implicated in several gastrointestinal diseases. The connection between the gut microbiota and the development and progression of tumors is intricate, with different gastrointestinal bacteria exerting varying influences on tumorigenesis and growth. Some bacteria have potential in tumor therapy because they promote tumorigenesis, while others inhibit tumor cell growth. Apart from their intrinsic anti-tumor effects, bacteria can be genetically engineered to achieve specific targeting. Bacteria targeting tumors can overcome the unique tumor microenvironment and overcome the limitations of current clinical methods of treating tumors, which fail to penetrate deep into the tumor. Accordingly, bacteria hold great promise as a modality for the treatment of tumors. More complex goals in tumor therapy can be achieved by combining bacteria with lightweight nanomaterials. Furthermore, bacterial combination therapy is a prominent area of research seeking to overcome the limitations of current tumor treatment methods. Presently, several clinical trials related to bacterial therapy of tumors have entered either phase I or phase II. Consequently, bacterial therapy for tumors has the potential to become a novel frontier in future tumor treatment.

References

1. Stratton MR, Campbell PJ, Futreal PA. The cancer genome. *Nature*. (2009) 458:719–24. doi: 10.1038/nature07943

2. Podlaha O, Riester M, De S, Michor F. Evolution of the cancer genome. *Trends Genet.* (2012) 28:155–63. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.01.003

3. Miguel-Aliaga I, Jasper H, Lemaitre B. Anatomy and physiology of the digestive tract of drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics.* (2018) 210:357–96. doi: 10.1534/genetics.118.300224

4. Damianos J, Perumareddi P. Gut microbiome and dietar considerations. Prim Care. (2023) 50:493–505. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2023.04.001

 Kustrimovic N, Bombelli R, Baci D, Mortara L. Microbiome and prostate cancer: A novel target for prevention and treatment. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24:1511–32. doi: 10.3390/ijms24021511

6. Yu G, Gail MH, Shi J, Klepac-Ceraj V, Paster BJ, Dye BA, et al. Association between upper digestive tract microbiota and cancer-predisposing states in the esophagus and stomach. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2014) 23:735–41. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0855

7. Forbes NS. Engineering the perfect (bacterial) cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2010) 10:785–94. doi: 10.1038/nrc2934

Author contributions

YS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XL: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. JZ: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82303503) and Shenyang science and technology plan project (No. 22-321-33-19).

Acknowledgments

We sincerely appreciate the editors and reviewers for their succinct comments on improving this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

8. Qu R, Zhang Y, Ma Y, Zhou X, Sun L, Jiang C, et al. Role of the gut microbiota and its metabolites in tumorigenesis or development of colorectal cancer. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2023) 10:e2205563. doi: 10.1002/advs.202205563

9. Lahti L, Salojarvi J, Salonen A, Scheffer M, de Vos WM. Tipping elements in the human intestinal ecosystem. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:4344. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5344

10. Polk DB, Peek RM Jr. Helicobacter pylori: gastric cancer and beyond. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2010) 10:403–14. doi: 10.1038/nrc2857

11. Deng H, Fan X. [The role of intestinal microbiota in tumor occurrence, development and immunotherapy: a review]. *Sheng Wu Gong Cheng Xue Bao.* (2022) 38:2105–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11.025

12. Schneider KM, Albers S, Trautwein C. Role of bile acids in the gut-liver axis. J Hepatol. (2018) 68:1083–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11.025

13. Zitvogel I, Daillere R, Roberti MP, Routy B, Kroemer G. Anticancer effects of the microbiome and its products. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2017) 15:465–78. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2017.44

14. Belcheva A, Irrazabal T, Robertson SJ, Streutker C, Maughan H, Rubino S, et al. Gut microbial metabolism drives transformation of MSH2-deficient colon epithelial cells. *Cell.* (2014) 158:288–99. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.051

15. Grivennikov SI, Wang K, Mucida D, Stewart CA, Schnabl B, Jauch D, et al. Adenoma-linked barrier defects and microbial products drive IL-23/IL-17-mediated tumour growth. *Nature*. (2012) 491:254–8. doi: 10.1038/nature11465

16. Bhatt AP, Redinbo MR, Bultman SJ. The role of the microbiome in cancer development and therapy. CA Cancer J Clin. (2017) 67:326–44. doi: 10.3322/caac.21398

17. Plottel CS, Blaser MJ. Microbiome and Malignancy. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2011) 10:324–35. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.003

18. Vakkila J, Lotze MT. Inflammation and necrosis promote tumour growth. Nat Rev Immunol. (2004) 4:641–8. doi: 10.1038/nri1415

19. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. *Cell.* (2010) 140:883–99. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025

20. Arthur JC, Jobin C. The complex interplay between inflammation, the microbiota and colorectal cancer. *Gut Microbes.* (2013) 4:253-8. doi: 10.4161/gmic.24220

21. Garrett WS. Cancer and the microbiota. Science. (2015) 348:80-6. doi: 10.1126/ science.aaa4972

22. Dejea CM, Fathi P, Craig JM, Boleij A, Taddese R, Geis AL, et al. Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis harbor colonic biofilms containing tumorigenic bacteria. *Science*. (2018) 359:592–7. doi: 10.1126/science.aah3648

23. Boleij A, Hechenbleikner EM, Goodwin AC, Badani R, Stein EM, Lazarev MG, et al. The Bacteroides fragilis toxin gene is prevalent in the colon mucosa of colorectal cancer patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2015) 60:208–15. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciu787

24. Grivennikov S, Karin E, Terzic J, Mucida D, Yu GY, Vallabhapurapu S, et al. IL-6 and Stat3 are required for survival of intestinal epithelial cells and development of colitis-associated cancer. *Cancer Cell.* (2009) 15:103–13. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.01.001

25. Thiele Orberg E, Fan H, Tam AJ, Dejea CM, Destefano Shields CE, Wu S, et al. The myeloid immune signature of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis-induced murine colon tumorigenesis. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2017) 10:421–33. doi: 10.1038/mi.2016.53

26. Gur C, Ibrahim Y, Isaacson B, Yamin R, Abed J, Gamliel M, et al. Binding of the Fap2 protein of Fusobacterium nucleatum to human inhibitory receptor TIGIT protects tumors from immune cell attack. *Immunity*. (2015) 42:344–55. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.01.010

27. Schwabe RF, Greten TF. Gut microbiome in HCC - Mechanisms, diagnosis and therapy. J Hepatol. (2020) 72:230–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.08.016

28. Chen T, Li Q, Zhang X, Long R, Wu Y, Wu J, et al. TOX expression decreases with progression of colorectal cancers and is associated with CD4 T-cell density and Fusobacterium nucleatum infection. *Hum Pathol.* (2018) 79:93–101. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2018.05.008

29. Goncalves P, Martel F. Butyrate and colorectal cancer: the role of butyrate transport. *Curr Drug Metab.* (2013) 14:994–1008. doi: 10.2174/1389200211314090006

30. Chen C, Li H. The inhibitory effect of gut microbiota and its metabolites on colorectal cancer. J Microbiol Biotechnol. (2020) 30:1607-13. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2002.02032

31. Yu LX, Yan HX, Liu Q, Yang W, Wu HP, Dong W, et al. Endotoxin accumulation prevents carcinogen-induced apoptosis and promotes liver tumorigenesis in rodents. *Hepatology*. (2010) 52:1322–33. doi: 10.1002/hep.23845

32. Zhang HL, Yu LX, Yang W, Tang L, Lin Y, Wu H, et al. Profound impact of gut homeostasis on chemically-induced pro-tumorigenic inflammation and hepatocarcinogenesis in rats. *J Hepatol.* (2012) 57:803–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.06.011

33. Meng X, Zhang G, Cao H, Yu D, Fang X, de Vos WM, et al. Gut dysbacteriosis and intestinal disease: mechanism and treatment. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2020) 129:787–805. doi: 10.1111/jam.14661

34. Yamamoto ML, Maier I, Dang AT, Berry D, Liu J, Ruegger PM, et al. Intestinal bacteria modify lymphoma incidence and latency by affecting systemic inflammatory state, oxidative stress, and leukocyte genotoxicity. *Cancer Res.* (2013) 73:4222–32. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-0022

35. Zhu Z, Huang J, Li X, Xing J, Chen Q, Liu R, et al. Gut microbiota regulate tumor metastasis via circRNA/miRNA networks. *Gut Microbes*. (2020) 12:1788891. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1788891

36. Boursi B, Mamtani R, Haynes K, Yang YX. Recurrent antibiotic exposure may promote cancer formation–Another step in understanding the role of the human microbiota? *Eur J Cancer*. (2015) 51:2655–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca

37. Inada R, Sekine S, Taniguchi H, Tsuda H, Katai H, Fujiwara T, et al. ARID1A expression in gastric adenocarcinoma: clinicopathological significance and correlation with DNA mismatch repair status. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 21:2159–68. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i7.2159

38. Wei L, Ding HG. Relationship between Helicobacter pylori infection and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: What should we expect from a meta-analysis? *Med (Baltimore).* (2021) 100:e26706. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000026706

39. Martina E, Campanati A, Diotallevi F, Offidani A. Saliva and oral diseases. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:466-81. doi: 10.3390/jcm9020466

40. Maekawa T, Krauss JL, Abe T, Jotwani R, Triantafilou M, Triantafilou K, et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis manipulates complement and TLR signaling to uncouple bacterial clearance from inflammation and promote dysbiosis. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2014) 15:768–78. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.05.012 41. Góralczyk-Bińkowska A, Szmajda-Krygier D, Kozłowska E. The microbiota-gut-brain axis in psychiatric disorders. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:11245–68. doi: 10.3390/ijms231911245.

42. Alli SR, Gorbovskaya I, Liu JCW, Kolla NJ, Brown L, Müller DJ. The gut microbiome in depression and potential benefit of prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics: A systematic review of clinical trials and observational studies. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:4494–521. doi: 10.3390/ijms23094494

43. Borkent J, Ioannou M, Laman JD, Haarman BCM, Sommer IEC. Role of the gut microbiome in three major psychiatric disorders. *Psychol Med.* (2022) 52:1222–42. doi: 10.1017/S0033291722000897

44. Atarashi K, Suda W, Luo C, Kawaguchi T, Motoo I, Narushima S, et al. Ectopic colonization of oral bacteria in the intestine drives T(H)1 cell induction and inflammation. *Science*. (2017) 358:359–65. doi: 10.1126/science.aan4526

45. Zeng H, Taussig DP, Cheng WH, Johnson LK, Hakkak R. Butyrate inhibits cancerous HCT116 colon cell proliferation but to a lesser extent in noncancerous NCM460 colon cells. *Nutrients.* (2017) 9:25–38. doi: 10.3390/nu9010025

46. Chen CC, Liou JM, Lee YC, Hong TC, El-Omar EM, Wu MS. The interplay between Helicobacter pylori and gastrointestinal microbiota. *Gut Microbes*. (2021) 13:1–22. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021.1909459

47. Yang L, Lu X, Nossa CW, Francois F, Peek RM, Pei Z. Inflammation and intestinal metaplasia of the distal esophagus are associated with alterations in the microbiome. *Gastroenterology*. (2009) 137:588–97. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.04.046

48. Amieva M, Peek RM Jr. Pathobiology of helicobacter pylori-induced gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology.* (2016) 150:64–78. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.09.004

49. Barber M, Franklin RH. Bacteriology of peptic ulcer and gastric carcinoma. Br Med J. (1946) 1:951–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.4459.951

50. Cregan J, Dunlop EE, Hayward NJ. The bacterial content of human small intestine in disease of the stomach. *Br Med J.* (1953) 2:1248–51. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.2.4848.1248

51. Gatehouse D, Dimock F, Burdon DW, Alexander-Williams J, Keighley MR. Prediction of wound sepsis following gastric operations. *Br J Surg.* (1978) 65:551–4. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800650808

52. Sjostedt S, Heimdahl A, Kager L, Nord CE. Microbial colonization of the oropharynx, esophagus and stomach in patients with gastric diseases. *Eur J Clin Microbiol.* (1985) 4:49–51. doi: 10.1007/BF02148660

53. Wang G, Yu Y, Wang YZ, Wang JJ, Guan R, Sun Y, et al. Role of SCFAs in gut microbiome and glycolysis for colorectal cancer therapy. *J Cell Physiol.* (2019) 234:17023–49. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28436

54. Hajjar R, Richard CS, Santos MM. The role of butyrate in surgical and oncological outcomes in colorectal cancer. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2021) 320:G601-g608. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00316.2020

55. Wang HB, Wang PY, Wang X, Wan YL, Liu YC. Butyrate enhances intestinal epithelial barrier function via up-regulation of tight junction protein Claudin-1 transcription. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2012) 57:3126–35. doi: 10.1007/s10620-012-2259-4

56. Meng S, Zhu W, Xing L. Effect of human intestinal flora on tumorigenesis and therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Res Clinic.* (2018), 30:493–7. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1006-9801.2018.07.015

57. Cardona F, Andres-Lacueva C, Tulipani S, Tinahones FJ, Queipo-Ortuno MI. Benefits of polyphenols on gut microbiota and implications in human health. *J Nutr Biochem.* (2013) 24:1415–22. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2013.05.001

58. Miene C, Weise A, Glei M. Impact of polyphenol metabolites produced by colonic microbiota on expression of COX-2 and GSTT2 in human colon cells (LT97). *Nutr Cancer.* (2011) 63:653–62. doi: 10.1080/01635581.2011.552157

59. Cai YF, Zhang HM, Niu WY, Zou YQ, Ma DF. [Effects of equol on colon cancer cell proliferation]. *Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban.* (2017) 49:383–7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-167X.2017.03.003

60. Kim EJ, Kang IJ, Cho HJ, Kim WK, Ha YL, Park JH. Conjugated linoleic acid downregulates insulin-like growth factor-I receptor levels in HT-29 human colon cancer cells. J Nutr. (2003) 133:2675–81. doi: 10.1093/jn/133.8.2675

61. Knychalski B, Lukienczuk T. The evaluation of diagnostic value of the tumor markers: CCSA-2 and CEA in colorectal cancer. *Pol Przegl Chir.* (2012) 84:86–92. doi: 10.2478/v10035-012-0014-3

62. Norouzi Z, Salimi A, Halabian R, Fahimi H. Nisin, a potent bacteriocin and antibacterial peptide, attenuates expression of metastatic genes in colorectal cancer cell lines. *Microb Pathog.* (2018) 123:183–9. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2018.07.006

63. Ahmadi S, Ghollasi M, Hosseini HM. The apoptotic impact of nisin as a potent bacteriocin on the colon cancer cells. *Microb Pathog.* (2017) 111:193–7. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.08.037

64. Bernardes N, Chakrabarty AM, Fialho AM. Engineering of bacterial strains and their products for cancer therapy. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2013) 97:5189–99. doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-4926-6

65. Zitvogel L, Ayyoub M, Routy B, Kroemer G. Microbiome and anticancer immunosurveillance. *Cell.* (2016) 165:276–87. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.001

66. Gorjifard S, Goldszmid RS. Microbiota-myeloid cell crosstalk beyond the gut. J Leukoc Biol. (2016) 100:865–79. doi: 10.1189/jlb.3RI0516-222R

67. Chen J, Qiao Y, Chen G, Chang C, Dong H, Tang B, et al. Salmonella flagella confer anti-tumor immunological effect via activating Flagellin/TLR5 signalling within

tumor microenvironment. Acta Pharm Sin B. (2021) 11:3165-77. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2021.04.019

68. Theisen E, Sauer JD. Listeria monocytogenes and the inflammasome: from cytosolic bacteriolysis to tumor immunotherapy. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* (2016) 397:133–60. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-41171-2_7

69. Phelps CC, Vadia S, Boyaka PN, Varikuti S, Attia Z, Dubey P, et al. A listeriolysin O subunit vaccine is protective against Listeria monocytogenes. *Vaccine*. (2020) 38:5803–13. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.06.049

70. Wendel Naumann R, Leath CA 3rd. Advances in immunotherapy for cervical cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol.* (2020) 32:481–7. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000663

71. Kuugbee ED, Shang X, Gamallat Y, Bamba D, Awadasseid A, Suliman MA, et al. Structural change in microbiota by a probiotic cocktail enhances the gut barrier and reduces cancer via TLR2 signaling in a rat model of colon cancer. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2016) 61:2908–20. doi: 10.1007/s10620-016-4238-7

72. Micoli F, MacLennan CA. Outer membrane vesicle vaccines. Semin Immunol. (2020) 50:101433. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2020.101433

73. Kim OY, Lee J, Gho YS. Extracellular vesicle mimetics: Novel alternatives to extracellular vesicle-based theranostics, drug delivery, and vaccines. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* (2017) 67:74–82. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.12.001

74. Kim OY, Park HT, Dinh NTH, Choi SJ, Lee J, Kim JH, et al. Bacterial outer membrane vesicles suppress tumor by interferon-gamma-mediated antitumor response. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:626. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00729-8

75. Zhuang Q, Xu J, Deng D, Chao T, Li J, Zhang R, et al. Bacteria-derived membrane vesicles to advance targeted photothermal tumor ablation. *Biomaterials*. (2021) 268:120550. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120550

76. Peng W, de Souza Santos M, Li Y, Tomchick DR, Orth K. High-resolution cryo-EM structures of the *E. coli* hemolysin ClyA oligomers. *PloS One*. (2019) 14:e0213423. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213423

77. Wu Y, Feng Z, Jiang S, Chen J, Zhan Y, Chen J. Secreting-lux/pT-ClyA engineered bacteria suppresses tumor growth via interleukin-1beta in two pathways. *AMB Express.* (2019) 9:189. doi: 10.1186/s13568-019-0910-6

78. Jiang SN, Phan TX, Nam TK, Nguyen VH, Kim HS, Bom HS, et al. Inhibition of tumor growth and metastasis by a combination of *Escherichia coli*-mediated cytolytic therapy and radiotherapy. *Mol Ther*. (2010) 18:635–42. doi: 10.1038/mt.2009.295

79. Wang WK, Chiang WC, Lai CH, Lee CH. Salmonella-mediated cytolethal distending toxin transfer inhibits tumor growth. *Hum Gene Ther.* (2018) 29:1327–35. doi: 10.1089/hum.2018.030

80. Zheng JH, Nguyen VH, Jiang SN, Park SH, Tan W, Hong SH, et al. Two-step enhanced cancer immunotherapy with engineered Salmonella typhimurium secreting heterologous flagellin. *Sci Transl Med.* (2017) 9:eaak9537. doi: 10.1126/ scitranslmed.aak9537

81. Kim SH, Castro F, Paterson Y, Gravekamp C. High efficacy of a Listeria-based vaccine against metastatic breast cancer reveals a dual mode of action. *Cancer Res.* (2009) 69:5860–6. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-4855

82. Brynildsen MP, Winkler JA, Spina CS, MacDonald IC, Collins JJ. Potentiating antibacterial activity by predictably enhancing endogenous microbial ROS production. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2013) 31:160–5. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2458

83. Huang X, Pan J, Xu F, Shao B, Wang Y, Guo X, et al. Bacteria-based cancer immunotherapy. Adv Sci (Weinh). (2021) 8:2003572. doi: 10.1002/advs.202003572

84. Konishi H, Fujiya M, Tanaka H, Ueno N, Moriichi K, Sasajima J, et al. Probioticderived ferrichrome inhibits colon cancer progression via JNK-mediated apoptosis. *Nat Commun.* (2016) 7:12365. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12365

85. Gamallat Y, Meyiah A, Kuugbee ED, Hago AM, Chiwala G, Awadasseid A, et al. Lactobacillus rhamnosus induced epithelial cell apoptosis, ameliorates inflammation and prevents colon cancer development in an animal model. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2016) 83:536–41. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2016.07.001

86. Lin C, Cai X, Zhang J, Wang W, Sheng Q, Hua H, et al. Role of gut microbiota in the development and treatment of colorectal cancer. *Digestion*. (2019) 100:72–8. doi: 10.1159/000494052

87. Muzaheed. Helicobacter pylori oncogenicity: mechanism, prevention, and risk factors. *ScientificWorldJournal*. (2020) 2020:3018326. doi: 10.1155/2020/3018326

88. Kim SK, Guevarra RB, Kim YT, Kwon J, Kim H, Cho JH, et al. Role of probiotics in human gut microbiome-associated diseases. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2019) 29:1335– 40. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1906.06064

89. Sanders ME, Heimbach JT, Pot B, Tancredi DJ, Lenoir-Wijnkoop I, Lahteenmaki-Uutela A, et al. Health claims substantiation for probiotic and prebiotic products. *Gut Microbes.* (2011) 2:127–33. doi: 10.4161/gmic.2.3.16174

90. Imani Fooladi AA, Yazdi MH, Pourmand MR, Mirshafiey A, Hassan ZM, Azizi T, et al. Th1 cytokine production induced by lactobacillus acidophilus in BALB/c mice bearing transplanted breast tumor. *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* (2015) 8:e17354.

91. Lenoir M, Del Carmen S, Cortes-Perez NG, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Munoz-Provencio D, Chain F, et al. Lactobacillus casei BL23 regulates Treg and Th17 T-cell populations and reduces DMH-associated colorectal cancer. *J Gastroenterol.* (2016) 51:862–73. doi: 10.1007/s00535-015-1158-9

92. Dubey V, Ghosh AR, Bishayee K, Khuda-Bukhsh AR. Appraisal of the anti-cancer potential of probiotic Pediococcus pentosaceus GS4 against colon cancer: in *vitro* and in *vivo* approaches. *J Funct Foods*. (2016) 23:66–79. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.02.032

93. Li J, Sung CY, Lee N, Ni Y, Pihlajamaki J, Panagiotou G, et al. Probiotics modulated gut microbiota suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma growth in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2016) 113:E1306–1315. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1518189113

94. Han KJ, Lee NK, Park H, Paik HD. Anticancer and anti-inflammatory activity of probiotic lactococcus lactis NK34. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2015) 25:1697–701. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1503.03033

95. Sivan A, Corrales L, Hubert N, Williams JB, Aquino-Michaels K, Earley ZM, et al. Commensal Bifidobacterium promotes antitumor immunity and facilitates anti-PD-L1 efficacy. *Science*. (2015) 350:1084–9. doi: 10.1126/science.aac4255

96. So SS, Wan ML, El-Nezami H. Probiotics-mediated suppression of cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol.* (2017) 29:62–72. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000342

97. Markowiak P, Slizewska K. Effects of probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics on human health. *Nutrients*. (2017) 9. doi: 10.3390/nu9091021

98. van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, Fuentes S, Zoetendal EG, de Vos WM, et al. Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent Clostridium difficile. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 368:407–15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1205037

99. Bakken JS, Borody T, Brandt LJ, Brill JV, Demarco DC, Franzos MA, et al. Treating Clostridium difficile infection with fecal microbiota transplantation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2011) 9:1044–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.08.014

100. Rosshart SP, Vassallo BG, Angeletti D, Hutchinson DS, Morgan AP, Takeda K, et al. Wild mouse gut microbiota promotes host fitness and improves disease resistance. *Cell.* (2017) 171:1015–1028 e1013. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.016

101. Chen D, Wu J, Jin D, Wang B, Cao H. Fecal microbiota transplantation in cancer management: Current status and perspectives. *Int J Cancer*. (2019) 145:2021–31. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32003

102. Zhou D, Pan Q, Shen F, Cao HX, Ding WJ, Chen YW, et al. Total fecal microbiota transplantation alleviates high-fat diet-induced steatohepatitis in mice via beneficial regulation of gut microbiota. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:1529. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01751-y

103. Gopalakrishnan V, Spencer CN, Nezi L, Reuben A, Andrews MC, Karpinets TV, et al. Gut microbiome modulates response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma patients. *Science.* (2018) 359:97–103. doi: 10.1126/science.aan4236

104. Onoda T, Ono T, Dhar DK, Yamanoi A, Fujii T, Nagasue N. Doxycycline inhibits cell proliferation and invasive potential: combination therapy with cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor in human colorectal cancer cells. *J Lab Clin Med.* (2004) 143:207–16. doi: 10.1016/j.lab.2003.12.012

105. Shen LC, Chen YK, Lin LM, Shaw SY. Anti-invasion and anti-tumor growth effect of doxycycline treatment for human oral squamous-cell carcinoma-*in vitro* and in *vivo* studies. *Oral Oncol.* (2010) 46:178–84. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2009.11.013

106. Markowska A, Kaysiewicz J, Markowska J, Huczyński A. Doxycycline, salinomycin, monensin and ivermectin repositioned as cancer drugs. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* (2019) 29:1549–54. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.04.045

107. Meng J, Sun B, Zhao X, Zhang D, Zhao X, Gu Q, et al. Doxycycline as an inhibitor of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and vasculogenic mimicry in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol Cancer Ther.* (2014) 13:3107–22. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-1060

108. Yang Z, Liu S, Chen X, Chen H, Huang M, Zheng J. Induction of apoptotic cell death and in *vivo* growth inhibition of human cancer cells by a saturated branched-chain fatty acid, 13-methyltetradecanoic acid. *Cancer Res.* (2000) 60:505–9.

109. Zhang L, Xu L, Zhang F, Vlashi E. Doxycycline inhibits the cancer stem cell phenotype and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer. *Cell Cycle*. (2017) 16:737–45. doi: 10.1080/15384101.2016.1241929

110. Antoszczak M. A medicinal chemistry perspective on salinomycin as a potent anticancer and anti-CSCs agent. *Eur J Med Chem.* (2019) 164:366–77. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.12.057

111. Dewangan J, Srivastava S, Rath SK. Salinomycin: A new paradigm in cancer therapy. *Tumour Biol.* (2017) 39:1010428317695035. doi: 10.1177/1010428317695035

112. Singh RK, Chang HW, Yan D, Lee KM, Ucmak D, Wong K, et al. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome and implications for human health. *J Transl Med.* (2017) 15:73. doi: 10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y

113. Pandey KR, Naik SR, Vakil BV. Probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics-a review. J Food Sci Technol. (2015) 52:7577–87. doi: 10.1007/s13197-015-1921-1

114. Mehta RS, Nishihara R, Cao Y, Song M, Mima K, Qian ZR, et al. Association of dietary patterns with risk of colorectal cancer subtypes classified by fusobacterium nucleatum in tumor tissue. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:921–7. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.6374

115. Sajib S, Zahra FT, Lionakis MS, German NA, Mikelis CM. Mechanisms of angiogenesis in microbe-regulated inflammatory and neoplastic conditions. *Angiogenesis*. (2018) 21:1–14. doi: 10.1007/s10456-017-9583-4

116. Wilson WR, Hay MP. Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. (2011) 11:393-410. doi: 10.1038/nrc3064

117. Fan JX, Niu MT, Qin YT, Sun YX, Zhang XZ. Progress of engineered bacteria for tumor therapy. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev.* (2022) 185:114296. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2022.114296

118. Yu B, Yang M, Shi L, Yao Y, Jiang Q, Li X, et al. Explicit hypoxia targeting with tumor suppression by creating an "obligate" anaerobic Salmonella Typhimurium strain. *Sci Rep.* (2012) 2:436. doi: 10.1038/srep00436

119. Jia H, Li Y, Zhao T, Li X, Hu J, Yin D, et al. Antitumor effects of Stat3-siRNA and endostatin combined therapies, delivered by attenuated Salmonella, on orthotopically implanted hepatocarcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2012) 61:1977–87. doi: 10.1007/s00262-012-1256-y

120. Cao M, Dai Xiaoguang CB, Zhao N, Xu F-J. Combination of nanomaterials and bacteria for tumor treatment. *Acta Chimica Sin.* (2020) 78:1054. doi: 10.6023/A20070295

121. Liu Y, Zhou M, Luo D, Wang L, Hong Y, Yang Y, et al. Bacteria-mediated in *vivo* delivery of quantum dots into solid tumor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2012) 425:769–74. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.07.150

122. Chen F, Zang Z, Chen Z, Cui L, Chang Z, Ma A, et al. Nanophotosensitizerengineered Salmonella bacteria with hypoxia targeting and photothermal-assisted mutual bioaccumulation for solid tumor therapy. *Biomaterials.* (2019) 214:119226. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2019.119226

123. Zhang W, Liu J, Li X, Zheng Y, Chen L, Wang D, et al. Precise chemodynamic therapy of cancer by trifunctional bacterium-based nanozymes. *ACS Nano*. (2021) 15:19321–33. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.1c05605

124. Zraik IM, Hess-Busch Y. Management of chemotherapy side effects and their long-term sequelae. Der Urologe Ausg A. (2021) 60:862–71. doi: 10.1007/s00120-021-01569-7

125. Wang W, Xu H, Ye Q, Tao F, Wheeldon I, Yuan A, et al. Systemic immune responses to irradiated tumours via the transport of antigens to the tumour periphery by injected flagellate bacteria. *Nat BioMed Eng.* (2022) 6:44–53. doi: 10.1038/s41551-021-00834-6

126. Xie S, Zhao L, Song X, Tang M, Mo C, Li X. Doxorubicin-conjugated *Escherichia coli* Nissle 1917 swimmers to achieve tumor targeting and responsive drug release. *J Control Release*. (2017) 268:390–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.10.041

127. Ektate K, Munteanu MC, Ashar H, Malayer J, Ranjan A. Chemoimmunotherapy of colon cancer with focused ultrasound and Salmonella-laden temperature sensitive liposomes (thermobots). *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:13062. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-30106-4

128. Selvanesan BC, Chandra D, Quispe-Tintaya W, Jahangir A, Patel A, Meena K, et al. Listeria delivers tetanus toxoid protein to pancreatic tumors and induces cancer cell death in mice. *Sci Transl Med.* (2022) 14:eabc1600. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abc1600

129. Agah S, Alizadeh AM, Mosavi M, Ranji P, Khavari-Daneshvar H, Ghasemian F, et al. More protection of Lactobacillus acidophilus than Bifidobacterium bifidum probiotics on azoxymethane-induced mouse colon cancer. *Probiotics antimicrobial Proteins.* (2019) 11:857–64. doi: 10.1007/s12602-018-9425-8

130. Vitiello M, Evangelista M, Di Lascio N, Kusmic C, Massa A, Orso F, et al. Antitumoral effects of attenuated Listeria monocytogenes in a genetically engineered mouse model of melanoma. *Oncogene*. (2019) 38:3756–62. doi: 10.1038/s41388-019-0681-1

131. Liu J, Liu C, Yue J. Radiotherapy and the gut microbiome: facts and fiction. *Radiat Oncol.* (2021) 16:9. doi: 10.1186/s13014-020-01735-9

132. Chandra D, Selvanesan BC, Yuan Z, Libutti SK, Koba W, Beck A, et al. 32-Phosphorus selectively delivered by listeria to pancreatic cancer demonstrates a strong therapeutic effect. *Oncotarget.* (2017) 8:20729. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.v8i13

133. Hou X, Jiang J, Tian Z, Wei L. Autophagy and tumour chemotherapy. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2020) 1207:351–74.

134. Jia LJ, Wei DP, Sun QM, Jin GH, Li SF, Huang Y, et al. Tumor-targeting Salmonella typhimurium improves cyclophosphamide chemotherapy at maximum

tolerated dose and low-dose metronomic regimens in a murine melanoma model. Int J Cancer. (2007) 121:666–74. doi: 10.1002/ijc.22688

135. Han J-W, Choi YJ, Cho S, Zheng S, Ko SY, Park J-O, et al. Active tumortherapeutic liposomal bacteriobot combining a drug (paclitaxel)-encapsulated liposome with targeting bacteria (Salmonella Typhimurium). *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.* (2016) 224:217-24. doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2015.09.034

136. Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM. Immunosuppressive strategies that are mediated by tumor cells. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2007) 25:267–96. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141609

137. Liu J, Chen Z, Li Y, Zhao W, Wu J, Zhang Z. PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitors in tumor immunotherapy. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:731798. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.731798

138. Chrysostomou D, Roberts LA, Marchesi JR, Kinross JM. Gut microbiota modulation of efficacy and toxicity of cancer chemotherapy and immunotherapy. *Gastroenterology*. (2023) 164:198–213. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2022.10.018

139. Roviello G, Iannone LF, Bersanelli M, Mini E, Catalano M. The gut microbiome and efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2022) 231:107973. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.107973

140. Zhi D, Yang T, O'Hagan J, Zhang S, Donnelly RF. Photothermal therapy. J Control Release. (2020) 325:52-71. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.06.032

141. Liu L, He H, Luo Z, Zhou H, Liang R, Pan H, et al. *In Situ* photocatalyzed oxygen generation with photosynthetic bacteria to enable robust immunogenic photodynamic therapy in triple-negative breast cancer. *Advanced Funct Materials*. (2020) 30:1910176. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201910176

142. Hospital H. Alterations of gut microbiome, function, and its intervention after defunctioning ileostomy (2023). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ show/NCT05759741.

143. Clinic M. Effects of probiotics on the gut microbiome and immune system in operable stage I-III breast or lung cancer (2021). Available online at: https://classic. clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT04857697.

144. Center MDAC and Institute NC. Role of gut microbiome and fecal transplant on medication-induced GI complications in patients with cancer (2021). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT03819296.

145. Immunotherapy PIfC and Seres Therapeutics I. Melanoma checkpoint and gut microbiome alteration with microbiome intervention (2019). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT03817125.

146. Hospital SZ. Gut microbiota reconstruction for NSCLC immunotherapy (2021). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT05008861.

147. Dill MNational Center for Tumor Diseases H, Center GCR, University H, Cologne Uo and Mannheim U, et al. Fecal microbiota transfer in liver cancer to overcome resistance to atezolizumab/bevacizumab (FLORA) (2024). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT05690048.

148. Institute NC and Center NIoHC. Vancomycin in patients with unresectable fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma (FLC) oral (2020). Available online at: https:// classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT04025567.

149. Institute NC and Center NIoHC. Nivolumab (Anti-PD1), tadalafil and oral vancomycin in people with refractory primary hepatocellular carcinoma or liver dominant metastatic cancer from colorectal or pancreatic cancers (2019). Available online at: https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT03785210.