



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Federica Cariati
✉ federica.cariati@unina.it

RECEIVED 29 December 2023

ACCEPTED 03 January 2024

PUBLISHED 23 January 2024

CITATION

Cariati F, Carotenuto C, Bagnulo F, Pacella D, Marrone V, Paolillo R, Catania MR, Di Girolamo R, Conforti A, Strina I and Alviggi C (2024) Corrigendum: Endometrial microbiota profile in *in-vitro* fertilization (IVF) patients by culturomics-based analysis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1362947. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1362947

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cariati, Carotenuto, Bagnulo, Pacella, Marrone, Paolillo, Catania, Di Girolamo, Conforti, Strina and Alviggi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Endometrial microbiota profile in *in-vitro* fertilization (IVF) patients by culturomics-based analysis

Federica Cariati^{1*}, Consolata Carotenuto², Francesca Bagnulo¹, Daniela Pacella¹, Vincenzo Marrone¹, Rossella Paolillo², Maria Rosaria Catania², Raffaella Di Girolamo¹, Alessandro Conforti³, Ida Strina¹ and Carlo Alviggi¹

¹Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy,

²Department of Molecular Medicine and Medical Biotechnology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ³Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Science and Odontostomatology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

KEYWORDS

microbiota, endometrium, embryo transfer, IVF, MALDI

A Corrigendum on

Endometrial microbiota profile in *in-vitro* fertilization (IVF) patients by culturomics-based analysis

By Cariati F, Carotenuto C, Bagnulo F, Pacella D, Marrone V, Paolillo R, Catania MR, Di Girolamo R, Conforti A, Strina I and Alviggi C (2023) *Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1204729. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1204729

Text Correction

In the published article, there was an error. In methods section of the abstract, an incorrect study period was indicated. However, the correct one was already reported in the main body of the manuscript (Material and methods section).

A correction has been made to abstract, methods. This sentence previously stated: “A prospective cohort study was performed at the University of Naples from June 2022 to December 2022”.

The corrected sentence appears below:

“A prospective cohort study was performed at the University of Naples from October 2022 and February 2023”.

Error in Figure/Table

In the published article, [Figure 2](#) and [Table 5](#) reported errors. In details, in [Figure 2A](#) a color label association error was made. [Table 5](#) showed an error in data on Firmicutes/Lactobacillaceae presented as absolute value and not as a percentage. The corrected [Figure 2](#) and [Table 5](#) and its caption appear below.

Error in Table Legend

In the published article, there was an error in the legend for [Table 5](#) as published.

The legend “Comparison between Group A (pregnant patients) and Group B (no pregnant patients)” does not properly match the contents.

The corrected legend appears below:




TABLE 5 Comparison between Group A (pregnant patients) and Group B (no pregnant patients).

Phylum	Family	Group A %	Group B %	P-value
Firmicutes	Lactobacillaceae	15	40	0.05
Firmicutes	Staphilococcaceae	8	35	0.034
Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	60	100	<0.001
Actinobacteria	Bifidobacteriaceae Corynebacteriaceae Microbacteriaceae	0	17*	0.037

Data are presented as relative prevalence among the families of the phylum (%). *Relative prevalence with respect to the distribution of the Phylum.

Comparison between Group A (pregnant patients) and Group B (no pregnant patients). Data are presented as relative prevalence among the families of the phylum (%). *Relative prevalence with respect to the distribution of the Phylum.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.