Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stefano Gonnelli, University of Siena, Italy

REVIEWED BY Miodrag Zivkovic, Singidunum University, Serbia Ioannis Koutelidakis, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Qian Liu Simonlg@163.com

RECEIVED 21 January 2024 ACCEPTED 24 July 2024 PUBLISHED 02 September 2024

CITATION

Chen D, Zeng S and Liu Q (2024) Changes in nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma after COVID-19 infection and a model for predicting benign and malignant adrenal incidentaloma. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1374282. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1374282

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Zeng and Liu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Changes in nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma after COVID-19 infection and a model for predicting benign and malignant adrenal incidentaloma

Danlei Chen^{1,2}, Sheng Zeng¹ and Qian Liu ^{1*}

¹Department of Urology, Tianjin First Central Hospital, Tianjin, China, ²Department of Urology, First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, Kunming, China

Aims: To compare nonfunctional adrenal incidentalomas (NFAI) in individuals with and without a history of COVID-19 infection, while also establishing predictive models for distinguishing between benign and malignant adrenal incidentalomas (AI).

Methods: A retrospective collection of data from patients with AI who underwent surgery and were verified in our hospital between April 2022 and June 2023 was conducted. A total of 121 patients were included in the study. Demographic information, tumor characteristics, functional indicators, and complications were compared among the patients. Statistical analyses utilized the t-test for continuous variables and Pearson chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables.

Results: Patients with COVID-19 exhibited a higher prevalence of obesity (84.2% vs. 63.3%, P=0.048) and elevated direct bilirubin (DBIL) levels (44.1% vs. 19.2%, P=0.043) compared to those without COVID-19. Moreover, patients with Malignant AI, in contrast to Benign AI, showed higher normal total protein (TP) levels (28.8% vs. 57.1%, P=0.016) and larger tumor sizes (20 vs. 32.5mm, P=0.009). Univariate analysis identified low TP (OR=0.303, 95% CI=0.111-0.825, P=0.020) and tumor size (OR=1.045, 95% CI=1.011-1.080, P=0.009) as potential risk factors for multivariate analysis. A predictive model comprising clinical risk factors (tumor size and low TP) demonstrated an AUC of 0.754 (95% CI, 0.603-0.904) with a sensitivity of 0.75 and specificity of 0.775. The calibration curve revealed a bias-corrected AUC of 0.77.

Conclusion: No discernible differences in the clinical manifestations of adrenal incidentalomas were observed between cases with and without a history of COVID-19 infection. However, AI with larger tumor diameters and lower than normal levels of total protein exhibited a more pronounced malignant potential.

KEYWORDS

adrenal incidentaloma, nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma, COVID-19, prediction model, malignant potential

1 Introduction

Adrenal Incidentalomas (AIs) refer to asymptomatic adrenal masses exceeding 1 cm in diameter, incidentally discovered during imaging studies conducted for reasons unrelated to adrenal pathology (1). The term "adrenal incidentaloma" (AI) was initially proposed by Geelhoed in 1982, who reported 20 related cases (2). The predominant subtype of AI is nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma (NFAI), constituting 70-85% of cases (3–5). Some researchers contend that small, nonfunctioning adrenal tumors with low CT attenuation may not necessitate intervention or prolonged follow-up (6).

The global spread of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has manifested with a clinical spectrum ranging from asymptomatic infection to severe disease (7, 8). Notably, the virus exploits the surface-bound peptidase angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) for cellular entry, initiating tissue infection and viral replication (9–12). ACE2, integral to the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), regulates the expression of peptide hormones Ang II and Ang-(1–7), exerting opposing actions through distinct receptors (10, 13–17). Previous studies have associated Ang II with cellular hypertrophy in rat adrenal glomerulosa cells, implicating it in the regulation of long-term steroid metabolism and cell growth/proliferation (18, 19). Additionally, a correlation between AI and insulin resistance has been identified (20).

Emerging evidence suggests that SARS-CoV-2 may target the adrenal glands, raising the prospect of COVID-19 complications being linked to adrenal dysfunction (21). Histopathological examinations indicate structural damage to the adrenal glands, particularly affecting the vascular system, though widespread cellular damage to cortical cells is less likely to lead to an immediate adrenal crisis (21). Persistent cytokine storms, observed in long COVID patients, may be associated with aggregates of SARS-CoV-2 discovered in the adrenal cortex (22).

Our recent findings reveal an increased prevalence of adrenal incidentalomas post the COVID-19 outbreak. While some attribute this rise to the elevated chest CT examination rate, we posit a plausible association with COVID-19. Building on prior research, we propose a conceivable link between COVID-19 infection and AI.

2 Method

2.1 Patients

The study received approval from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Tianjin First Central Hospital, and informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the research. The study adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision). A comprehensive search of the pathology database identified 121 patients with adrenal incidentalomas who underwent adrenalectomy surgery between April 2022 and June 2023 at our institution. Patients lacking routine laboratory and adrenal function tests (N=16) were excluded, along with 9 patients diagnosed with classic pheochromocytoma, Cushing's disease, or hyperaldosteronism, and 2 patients with other tumors. Ultimately, 68 patients were included in the study for COVID-19 & AI and 103 patients for Benign AI & Malignant AI (Figure 1).

2.2 Pathological review

Retrospective review of all pathologic data for the 121 patients was conducted by two experienced pathologists (MF Zhang and XX Guo, with 30 and 28 years of experience in uropathology, respectively). In cases of discordant opinions on pathological slices, both pathologists re-evaluated the slices collaboratively until a consensus was reached. Reviewers were blinded to clinical diagnostic information.

2.3 Data collection

Demographic and clinical data were extracted from medical records, encompassing gender, age at onset, preoperative body mass index (BMI), primary tumor location, primary tumor size, and an extensive array of laboratory parameters, including blood cell counts, biochemical markers, and hormonal levels.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R software version 4.0.1 (http://www.r-project.org). Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean (standard deviation) and analyzed using Student's t-test, while non-normally distributed variables were presented as median (interquartile range) and assessed with the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the Pearson chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify factors associated with COVID-19 in AI and malignant tumors in AI. Variables with P<0.05 in univariate analysis were candidates for multivariate logistic regression analysis, retaining significance in the model. Models were constructed based on identified factors, and their performance was assessed for discrimination and calibration. Discrimination was evaluated by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC), while calibration was tested by plotting observed and predicted outcome probabilities. A two-sided test with P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Result

3.1 COVID-19 & AI

A total of 68 patients were included in the final analysis, categorized as having either COVID-19 (n=38) or non-COVID-19 (n=30). Patients with COVID-19 exhibited a higher prevalence of obesity/abnormal BMI compared to non-COVID-19 patients (84.2% vs. 63.3%, P=0.048) and higher levels of direct bilirubin (DBIL) (44.1% vs. 19.2%, P=0.043). No significant differences were observed in gender, age, blood pressure, tumor size, and other laboratory tests between the two groups. Refer to Table 1 for a summary of patient characteristics.

		I		
Characteristics	Covid	Non-covid	P value	
n	38	30		
Gender, n (%)			0.460	
М	16 (42.1%)	10 (33.3%)		
F	22 (57.9%)	20 (66.7%)		
Age, year, mean ± sd	52.184 ± 11.229	54.167 ± 12.793	0.499	
Obesity, BMI, n (%)			0.048	
Y	32 (84.2%)	19 (63.3%)		
Ν	6 (15.8%)	11 (36.7%)		
Hypertension, n (%)			0.113	
Ν	13 (34.2%)	16 (53.3%)		
Y	25 (65.8%)	14 (46.7%)		
SIDE, n (%)			0.268	
L	23 (60.5%)	22 (73.3%)		
R	15 (39.5%)	8 (26.7%)		
Hemoglobin, n (%)			0.334	
Low	7 (20%)	2 (7.4%)		
Normal	26 (74.3%)	24 (88.9%)		
High	2 (5.7%)	1 (3.7%)		
Monocyte, n (%)			0.199	
Normal	25 (71.4%)	23 (85.2%)		
High	10 (28.6%)	4 (14.8%)		
Total protein, n (%)			0.820	
Low	23 (67.6%)	19 (70.4%)		
Normal	11 (32.4%)	8 (29.6%)		
Albumin, n (%)			0.074	
Low	15 (44.1%)	6 (22.2%)		
Normal	19 (55.9%)	21 (77.8%)		
Direct bilirubin, n (%)			0.043	
Normal	19 (55.9%)	21 (80.8%)		
High	15 (44.1%)	5 (19.2%)		
Creatinine, n (%)			0.060	
Normal	29 (85.3%)	19 (73.1%)		
High	5 (14.7%)	3 (11.5%)		
Low	0 (0%)	4 (15.4%)		
Uric acid, n (%)			0.167	
Normal	27 (79.4%)	17 (63%)		
High	7 (20.6%)	8 (29.6%)		
Low	0 (0%)	2 (7.4%)		
	1		1	

TABLE 1 Differences between AI with COVID-19 and AI without COVID-19.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Covid	Non-covid	P value
		0.244
9 (27.3%)	4 (14.8%)	
24 (72.7%)	23 (85.2%)	
		0.052
11 (34.4%)	4 (14.8%)	
21 (65.6%)	20 (74.1%)	
0 (0%)	3 (11.1%)	
		0.879
26 (76.5%)	17 (70.8%)	
6 (17.6%)	5 (20.8%)	
2 (5.9%)	2 (8.3%)	
		0.524
24 (82.8%)	18 (78.3%)	
5 (17.2%)	4 (17.4%)	
0 (0%)	1 (4.3%)	
		0.063
8 (25%)	1 (4.2%)	
6 (18.8%)	3 (12.5%)	
18 (56.2%)	20 (83.3%)	
		0.884
23 (69.7%)	19 (73.1%)	
2 (6.1%)	2 (7.7%)	
8 (24.2%)	5 (19.2%)	
20 (13, 28)	21 (12.75, 30)	0.589
	24 (72.7%) 24 (72.7%) 11 (34.4%) 21 (65.6%) 0 (0%) 26 (76.5%) 6 (17.6%) 2 (5.9%) 24 (82.8%) 5 (17.2%) 0 (0%) 38 (25%) 6 (18.8%) 18 (56.2%) 23 (69.7%) 2 (6.1%) 8 (24.2%)	9 (27.3%) 4 (14.8%) 24 (72.7%) 23 (85.2%) 21 (72.7%) 23 (85.2%) 11 (34.4%) 4 (14.8%) 21 (65.6%) 20 (74.1%) 21 (65.6%) 20 (74.1%) 0 (0%) 3 (11.1%) 26 (76.5%) 17 (70.8%) 6 (17.6%) 5 (20.8%) 2 (5.9%) 2 (8.3%) 2 (5.9%) 2 (8.3%) 18 (78.3%) 5 (17.2%) 4 (17.4%) 4 (17.4%) 0 (0%) 1 (4.3%) 5 (17.2%) 4 (17.4%) 6 (18.8%) 3 (12.5%) 18 (56.2%) 20 (83.3%) 18 (56.2%) 20 (83.3%) 23 (69.7%) 19 (73.1%) 2 (6.1%) 2 (7.7%) 8 (24.2%) 5 (19.2%)

3.2 Benign AI & malignant AI

A total of 103 patients were included in the study, categorized as having adrenocortical adenoma (n=79) or pheochromocytoma (PCC) and adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC), the latter exhibiting malignant tendencies. Compared to patients with benign AI, those with malignant AI tended to have higher normal total protein (TP) levels (28.8% vs. 57.1%, P=0.016) and larger tumor sizes (20 vs. 32.5mm, P=0.009) (Table 2). No significant differences were observed in gender, age, blood pressure, tumor size, and other laboratory tests between patients with benign AI and malignant AI. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of patient characteristics.

3.3 Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis

Univariate analysis identified low TP (OR=0.303, 95% CI=0.111-0.825, P=0.020) and tumor size (OR=1.045, 95%

TABLE 2 Differences between Benign AI and Malignant AI.

Characteristics	Corti-C	Non	P value
n	79	24	
Gender, n (%)			0.257
M	32 (41%)	13 (54.2%)	
F	46 (59%)	11 (45.8%)	
	55	58.5	0.550
Age, year, median (IQR)	(45.5, 61)	(43.25, 64)	0.559
Obesity, BMI, n (%)			0.513
Y	57 (74%)	17 (81%)	
N	20 (26%)	4 (19%)	
Hypertension, n (%)			0.830
Ν	31 (40.3%)	9 (42.9%)	
Y	46 (59.7%)	12 (57.1%)	
COVID, n (%)			0.339
1	36 (54.5%)	8 (42.1%)	
0	30 (45.5%)	11 (57.9%)	
SIDE, n (%)			0.318
L	45 (68.2%)	10 (55.6%)	
R	21 (31.8%)	8 (44.4%)	
Monocyte, n (%)			0.774
Normal	60 (81.1%)	15 (75%)	
High	14 (18.9%)	5 (25%)	
Total protein, n (%)			0.016
Low	52 (71.2%)	9 (42.9%)	
Normal	21 (28.8%)	12 (57.1%)	
Albumin, n (%)			0.123
Low	27 (37%)	4 (19%)	
Normal	46 (63%)	17 (81%)	
Direct bilirubin, n (%)		. ,	0.148
Normal	50 (69.4%)	11 (52.4%)	
High	22 (30.6%)	10 (47.6%)	
Creatinine, n (%)	(2000)	. (0.453
Normal	62 (86.1%)	16 (76.2%)	
High	10 (13.9%)	5 (23.8%)	
Uric acid, n (%)	(()	0.465
Normal	54 (74%)	17 (85%)	0.100
High	19 (26%)	3 (15%)	
нівії К, n (%)	19 (2070)	5 (1570)	0.133
	16 (22 20/)	1 (4 00/)	0.155
Low	16 (22.2%)	1 (4.8%)	
Normal	56 (77.8%)	20 (95.2%)	(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

Characteristics	Corti-C	Non	P value
ACTH, n (%)			0.853
Normal	50 (82%)	14 (82.4%)	
Low	9 (14.8%)	2 (11.8%)	
High	2 (3.3%)	1 (5.9%)	
Renin (standing), n (%)			0.872
High	14 (20.6%)	4 (21.1%)	
Normal	43 (63.2%)	11 (57.9%)	
Low	11 (16.2%)	4 (21.1%)	
Renin (decubitus), n (%)			0.189
Normal	50 (72.5%)	10 (52.6%)	
High	4 (5.8%)	3 (15.8%)	
Low	15 (21.7%)	6 (31.6%)	
Aldosterone (standing), n (%)			0.367
Normal	62 (89.9%)	17 (81%)	
High	6 (8.7%)	4 (19%)	
Low	1 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	
Aldosterone (decubitus), n (%)			0.906
High	11 (15.7%)	2 (11.1%)	
Normal	59 (84.3%)	16 (88.9%)	
Tumor Size, median (IQR)	20 (13, 30)	32.5 (20, 50)	0.009

CI=1.011-1.080, P=0.009) as potential risk factors for malignant AI in multivariate analysis (Table 3). Multivariate analysis further confirmed that low TP and larger tumor size were significant independent risk factors for malignant AI (Table 3).

3.3.1 Development of an individualized prediction nomogram

A predictive model incorporating clinical risk factors, specifically tumor size and low TP, was established. The model demonstrated an Area Under the Curve (AUC) of 0.754 (95% CI, 0.603-0.904) with a sensitivity of 0.75 and specificity of 0.775. The calibration curve, showing a bias-corrected AUC of 0.77, is presented in Figure 2, along with the ROC curve.

The model demonstrated an AUC of 0.754 (95% CI, 0.603-0.904) with a sensitivity of 0.75 and a specificity of 0.775. The calibration curve showed a bias-corrected AUC of 0.77.

4 Discussion

We are committed to discovering the impact of the COVID-19 infection on AIs. Through our statistical analysis, we found that there are certain differences in obesity and direct bilirubin in AI

(Continued)



TABLE 3 Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis.

	Univariate logistic analysis		Multivariate logistic analysis			
	OR	95%CI	p value	OR	95%CI	p value
low Total Protein	0.303	0.111-0.825	0.0195	0.225	0.068-0.748	0.0195
Tumor Size	1.045	1.011-1.080	0.0088	1.045	1.010-1.081	0.0115

after explosive infection with the COVID-19 compared with before. However, after univariate analysis and multivariate analysis, we found no significant risk factors.

There are currently many studies on the relationship among obesity, COVID-19 and AI. British researchers believe obesity is closely related to the stay-at-home lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic (23). But some researchers have found that obesity contributes to increased complications/severity of COVID-19 and changes in mortality rates (24). In addition, researchers have found that among obese patients who died from COVID-19, the virus easily spreads to endocrine organs (25). Regarding the relationship between obesity and AI, interestingly, recent studies have shown that patients with AIs are more likely to be obese and insulin resistant (26). Additionally, AI patients may show elevated levels of adipokines (apparently not related to diabetes, hypertension, or obesity), which may be influenced by the presence of adrenal adenomas (27). Obesity is related to COVID-19 and AIs, but current research does not provide a cause-and-effect relationship. In our study, we found that patients with AIs suffering from COVID-19 had a higher proportion of obesity, which is also consistent with the conclusions of the current study. But further experiments may be needed to verify the relationship.

There are many studies on the relationship between bilirubin levels and the severity and prognosis of COVID-19. Most of them believe that high levels of direct bilirubin will lead to worse prognosis and higher fatality rate of COVID-19 (28–31). Some researchers have also found that direct bilirubin levels affect the severity and prognosis of COVID-19 (29, 31). And direct bilirubin levels are more likely to be abnormal after COVID-19 infection (32). We found no studies related to bilirubin and AI, so we believe that COVID-19 infection is the main cause of direct bilirubin elevation.



Literatures shows that the incidence of AI after the epidemic is more than 10 times that before the epidemic. Most researchers believe that it is related to the routine chest X-ray examination during the epidemic, because chest X-rays can detect part of the adrenal glands. After our data analysis, there was no statistically significant decrease in the diagnosis rate/incidence rate of AI compared with before the COVID-19 outbreak (4.14% vs 4.73% P=0.453). There was no statistically significant increase in the incidence of adrenal nonfunctioning adenoma (42.1% vs 33.3% P=0.322). In the process of decreasing the incidence of AI after COVID-19 infection, we found that the incidence of NFAI increased after COVID-19 infection. Although there is no significant difference in the results, we still believe that the COVID-19 causes hyperplasia of the adrenal cortex and ultimately leads to adrenal incidentalomas. Our negative results may be caused by the following reasons: First, this study was conducted within a short period of time after COVID-19 infection and failed to show its specificity. Second, when faced with small-volume nonfunctioning adrenal incidentalomas, clinicians generally adopt conservative treatment. This treatment option will cause us to miss data on some patients. Third, the data from a single center with a small sample has certain result bias. We believe that it may take a longer time for a transient increase in Ang II to manifest its significance.

In our investigation into primary malignancies among adrenal incidentalomas (AIs), we identified significant associations with total protein levels and tumor size. Notably, lower total protein levels and smaller tumor size in AIs were indicative of lower malignant potential. This finding aligns with existing research suggesting that serum total protein levels hold diagnostic significance, facilitating discrimination between cancer patients and healthy individuals. Specifically, some scholars propose the utility of total protein as an adjunct diagnostic marker for malignancy detection (33).

Moreover, the relevance of total protein extends beyond diagnosis, as evidenced by studies linking total protein values to higher in-hospital mortality rates among general inpatients. This association persists across patients both with and without malignancy (34). Additionally, the level of total protein emerges as a critical factor influencing the prognosis of various cancers, including lung cancer, stomach cancer, and liver cancer. Furthermore, total protein levels are implicated in chemotherapy outcomes, underscoring their multifaceted role in cancer-related prognostication and treatment response (35–38). These findings collectively highlight the potential of total protein as a versatile biomarker with implications for both diagnosis and prognostication in the context of adrenal incidentalomas and malignancies.

Some researchers believe that for AI, there is no clear line between NFAI and biochemically functional AI. In other words, NFAI can progress over time and transform into secretory AI. It is generally believed that 3-4cm is an obvious dividing line, that is, if the diameter of AI is greater than 3 or 4cm, then the possibility of them having secretory function and tending to be malignant is greatly increased. However, there is currently no clear conclusion on whether 3cm or 4cm is the limit (39). Therefore, we can believe that as the tumor size increases, the malignancy of AIs will also increase, which is also consistent with the results of the current study.

In addition, some researchers found that the functionality of AI is related to the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, but in our study, no correlation was found (40).

Therefore, we have reasons to believe that the malignant potential of AI with larger tumor diameters and concurrent lower than normal total protein values is more obvious.

5 Conclusion

We were unable to find differences in the clinical manifestations of adrenal incidentalomas caused by COVID-19 infection. And we found that AI with larger tumor diameters and lower than normal levels of total protein had a more significant malignant potential.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The study received approval from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Tianjin First Central Hospital, and informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the research. The study adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision).

Author contributions

DC: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. SZ: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Software. QL: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. SZ, QL Nation Natural Science Youth Science Foundation Project (No. 82202323) SZ, QL Tianjin Natural Science Foundation Youth Program (No. 22JCQNJC01640). SZ, QL Tianjin Key Medical Discipline (Specialty) construction Project (No. TJYXZDXK-073C).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the staff for their support in generating this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Fassnacht M, Arlt W, Bancos I, Dralle H, Newell-Price J, Sahdev A, et al. Management of adrenal incidentalomas: European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline in collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2016) 175:G1–G34. doi: 10.1530/EJE-16-0467

2. Geelhoed GW, Druy EM. Management of the adrenal "incidentaloma. *Surgery*. (1982) 92:866–74.

3. Ebbehoj A, Li D, Kaur RJ, Zhang C, Singh S, Li T, et al. Epidemiology of adrenal tumours in Olmsted County, Minnesota, USA: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2020) 8:894–902. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30314-4

4. Mantero F, Terzolo M, Arnaldi G, Osella G, Masini AM, Ali A, et al. A survey on adrenal incidentaloma in Italy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2000) 85:637–44. doi: 10.1210/jc.85.2.637

5. Jing Y, Hu J, Luo R, Mao Y, Luo Z, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of adrenal tumors in an unselected screening population: A cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2022) 175:1383–91. doi: 10.7326/M22-1619

6. Kebebew E. Adrenal incidentaloma. N
 Engl J Med. (2021) 384:1542–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp2031112

7. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *Bmj.* (2020) 368:m1091. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1091

8. Kim GU, Kim MJ, Ra SH, Lee J, Bae S, Jung J, et al. Clinical characteristics of asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with mild COVID-19. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2020) 26:948.e1-.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.040

9. Bengs S, Rossi A, Haberecker M, Mikail N, Meisel A, Haider A, et al. Immunoreactivity of the SARS-CoV-2 entry proteins ACE-2 and TMPRSS-2 in murine models of hormonal manipulation, ageing, and cardiac injury. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:23993. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-03181-3

10. Gheblawi M, Wang K, Viveiros A, Nguyen Q, Zhong JC, Turner AJ, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: SARS-CoV-2 receptor and regulator of the reninangiotensin system: Celebrating the 20th anniversary of the discovery of ACE2. *Circ Res.* (2020) 126:1456–74. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120.317015

11. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell.* (2020) 181:271–80.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052

12. South AM, Diz DI, Chappell MC. COVID-19, ACE2, and the cardiovascular consequences. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. (2020) 318:H1084–h90. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00217.2020

13. Chappell MC. Biochemical evaluation of the renin-angiotensin system: the good, bad, and absolute? *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2016) 310:H137–52.

14. Chappell MC, Marshall AC, Alzayadneh EM, Shaltout HA, Diz DI. Update on the Angiotensin converting enzyme 2-Angiotensin (1-7)-MAS receptor axis: fetal programing, sex differences, and intracellular pathways. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2014) 4:201. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2013.00201

15. Chen H, Peng J, Wang T, Wen J, Chen S, Huang Y, et al. Counter-regulatory renin-angiotensin system in hypertension: Review and update in the era of COVID-19 pandemic. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2023) 208:115370. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2022.115370

16. Mendoza-Torres E, Oyarzún A, Mondaca-Ruff D, Azocar A, Castro PF, Jalil JE, et al. ACE2 and vasoactive peptides: novel players in cardiovascular/renal remodeling and hypertension. *Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis.* (2015) 9:217–37. doi: 10.1177/1753944715597623

17. Santos RAS, Sampaio WO, Alzamora AC, Motta-Santos D, Alenina N, Bader M, et al. The ACE2/angiotensin-(1-7)/MAS axis of the renin-angiotensin system: Focus on angiotensin-(1-7). *Physiol Rev.* (2018) 98:505–53. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00023.2016

18. Otis M, Campbell S, Payet MD, Gallo-Payet N. The growth-promoting effects of angiotensin II in adrenal glomerulosa cells: an interactive tale. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2007) 273:1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2007.05.006

19. Nogueira EF, Bollag WB, Rainey WE. Angiotensin II regulation of adrenocortical gene transcription. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2009) 302:230-6. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2008.08.024

20. Higgs JA, Quinn AP, Seely KD, Richards Z, Mortensen SP, Crandall CS, et al. Pathophysiological link between insulin resistance and adrenal incidentalomas. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23(8). doi: 10.3390/ijms23084340 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

21. Kanczkowski W, Gaba WH, Krone N, Varga Z, Beuschlein F, Hantel C, et al. Adrenal gland function and dysfunction during COVID-19. *Horm Metab Res.* (2022) 54:532–9.

22. Szabo S, Zayachkivska O, Hussain A, Muller V. What is really 'Long COVID'? *Inflammopharmacology*. (2023) 31:551–7.

23. Zhu J, Di Gessa G, Zaninotto P. Changes in health behaviours during the COVID-19 pandemic and effect on weight and obesity among older people in England. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:14661. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-41391-z

24. Oguz SH, Yildiz BO. Endocrine disorders and COVID-19. Annu Rev Med. (2023) 74:75-88. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-043021-033509

25. Poma AM, Basolo A, Alì G, Bonuccelli D, Di Stefano I, Conti M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spread to endocrine organs is associated with obesity: an autopsy study of COVID-19 cases. *Endocrine*. (2023). doi: 10.1007/s12020-023-03518-0

26. Gontarz-Nowak K, Szklarz M, Szychlińska M, Matuszewski W, Bandurskastankiewicz E. A brief look at hashimoto's disease, adrenal incidentalomas, obesity and insulin resistance-could endocrine disruptors be the other side of the same coin? *Medicina (Kaunas).* (2023) 59(7). doi: 10.3390/medicina59071234

27. Ermetici F, Malavazos AE, Corbetta S, Morricone L, Dall'asta C, Corsi MM, et al. Adipokine levels and cardiovascular risk in patients with adrenal incidentaloma. *Metabolism-Clinical Exp.* (2007) 56:686–92. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2006.12.018

28. Terebuh P, Olaker VR, Kendall EK, Kaelber DC, Xu R, Davis PB. Liver abnormalities following SARS-CoV-2 infection in children under 10 years of age. *medRxiv*. (2023). doi: 10.1101/2023.09.21.23295905

29. Paliogiannis P, Zinellu A. Bilirubin levels in patients with mild and severe Covid-19: A pooled analysis. *Liver Int.* (2020) 40:1787–8. doi: 10.1111/liv.14477

30. Russo A, Pisaturo M, Palladino R, Maggi P, Numis FG, Gentile I, et al. Prognostic value of transaminases and bilirubin levels at admission to hospital on disease progression and mortality in patients with COVID-19-an observational retrospective study. *Pathogens*. (2022) 11(6). doi: 10.3390/pathogens11060652

31. Araç S, Özel M. A new parameter for predict the clinical outcome of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: The direct/total bilirubin ratio. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e14557.

32. Chen W, Liu H, Yang G, Wang W, Liu Q, Huang C, et al. Effect of direct bilirubin level on clinical outcome and prognoses in severely/critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2022) 9:843505. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.843505

33. Rasouli M, Okhovatian A, Enderami A. Serum proteins profile as an indicator of Malignancy: multivariate logistic regression and ROC analyses. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2005) 43:913–8. doi: 10.1515/CCLM.2005.156

34. Inoue M, Takeuchi J, Sakuma M, Nakamura T, Morimoto T. Low Serum Total Protein at Admission Predicts in-Hospital Mortality Among General Inpatients: Historical Cohort Study. *Int J Gen Med.* (2022) 15:7941–9. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S385798

35. Deng K, Lin S, Zhou L, Geng Q, Li Y, Xu M, et al. Three aromatic amino acids in gastric juice as potential biomarkers for gastric Malignancies. *Anal Chim Acta*. (2011) 694:100–7. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2011.03.053

36. Samanta S, Sharma A, Das B, Mallick AK, Kumar A. Significance of total protein, albumin, globulin, serum effusion albumin gradient and LDH in the differential diagnosis of pleural effusion secondary to tuberculosis and cancer. *J Clin Diagn Res.* (2016) 10:Bc14–8. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/20652.8379

37. Alshuwaykh O, Cheung A, Goel A, Kwong A, Dhanasekaran R, Ghaziani TT, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in those with primary extrahepatic Malignancy and Malignant ascites. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2022) 22:410. doi: 10.1186/s12876-022-02487-4

38. Lee CW, Kim I, Koh Y, Shin D, Hong J, Kim DH, et al. Monitoring energy balance through clinical and serum biomarkers in patients with hematologic Malignancies undergoing chemotherapy. *Ann Hematol.* (2022) 101:2759–69. doi: 10.1007/s00277-022-04984-8

39. Li X, Xiao S, Zhan X, Yu Y, Zhang C, Xi H, et al. For small (1-3cm) nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma (NFAI), which option is more appropriate for conservative treatment or surgery? *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14.

40. Yilmaz MO, Keles FO. The relationship between neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and clinical and radiological findings in patients with nonfunctional adrenal incidentaloma. *Bratislava Med Journal-Bratislavske Lekarske Listy*. (2021) 122:493–6. doi: 10.4149/BLL_2021_080