#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yoshinori Okamoto, Meijo University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Roberta Marongiu, Cornell University, United States Tal Nuriel, Columbia University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Leon M. Tai Meontai@uic.edu

RECEIVED 22 January 2024 ACCEPTED 02 April 2024 PUBLISHED 29 April 2024

#### CITATION

Balu D, Valencia-Olvera AC, Deshpande A, Narayanam S, Konasani S, Pattisapu S, York JM, Thatcher GRJ, LaDu MJ and Tai LM (2024) Estradiol improves behavior in FAD transgenic mice that express *APOE3* but not *APOE4* after ovariectomy. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1374825. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1374825

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Balu, Valencia-Olvera, Deshpande, Narayanam, Konasani, Pattisapu, York, Thatcher, LaDu and Tai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Estradiol improves behavior in FAD transgenic mice that express APOE3 but not APOE4 after ovariectomy

Deebika Balu<sup>1</sup>, Ana C. Valencia-Olvera<sup>1</sup>, Ashwini Deshpande<sup>1</sup>, Saharsh Narayanam<sup>1</sup>, Sravya Konasani<sup>1</sup>, Shreya Pattisapu<sup>1</sup>, Jason M. York<sup>1</sup>, Gregory R. J. Thatcher<sup>2</sup>, Mary Jo LaDu<sup>1</sup> and Leon M. Tai<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States, <sup>2</sup>Skaggs Pharmaceutical Sciences Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States

Increasing evidence suggests that female individuals have a higher Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk associated with post-menopausal loss of circulating estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>). However, clinical data are conflicting on whether E<sub>2</sub> lowers AD risk. One potential contributing factor is APOE. The greatest genetic risk factor for AD is APOE4, a factor that is pronounced in female individuals post-menopause. Clinical data suggests that APOE impacts the response of AD patients to E2 replacement therapy. However, whether APOE4 prevents, is neutral, or promotes any positive effects of E<sub>2</sub> is unclear. Therefore, our goal was to determine whether APOE modulates the impact of E<sub>2</sub> on behavior and AD pathology in vivo. To that end, mice that express human APOE3 (E3FAD) or APOE4 (E4FAD) and overproduce A $\beta$ 42 were ovariectomized at either 4 months (early) or 8 months (late) and treated with vehicle or E<sub>2</sub> for 4 months. In E3FAD mice, we found that E<sub>2</sub> mitigated the detrimental effect of ovariectomy on memory, with no effect on  $A\beta$  in the early paradigm and only improved learning in the late paradigm. Although  $E_2$  lowered A $\beta$  in E4FAD mice in the early paradigm, there was no impact on learning or memory, possibly due to higher A $\beta$  pathology compared to E3FAD mice. In the late paradigm, there was no effect on learning/ memory and A $\beta$  pathology in E4FAD mice. Collectively, these data support the idea that, in the presence of A $\beta$  pathology, APOE impacts the response to E<sub>2</sub> supplementation post-menopause.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, ApoE4, female risk, transgenic mice, amyloid-beta

# 1 Introduction

Sex is a major risk factor for Alzheimer's disease (AD), with women accounting for ~60% of patients. Several pathways could contribute to the higher AD risk in female individuals, including sexual dimorphisms in brain function (1) and X chromosomelinked genes (2) that could impact vascular function (3) and neuroinflammation (3). In addition, the loss of sex hormones, particularly estrogen (E2), during menopause has emerged as a key component-for example, AD risk is highest in postmenopausal women (4, 5), oophorectomy increases dementia risk (6), and ovariectomy (OVX) disrupts behavior in mice that overproduce A $\beta$ 42 via familial AD (FAD) mutations (7-9). Estrogen replacement therapy (ERT) using E<sub>2</sub> or other estrogens may lower AD risk or progression post-menopause. In support, observational studies identified that hormone therapy that results in high  $E_2$  is associated with a lower AD risk (10–14). In addition,  $E_2$ has been shown to mitigate the detrimental impact of OVX on behavior in FAD mice (7-9). However, clinical studies have produced conflicting data, i.e., whether E<sub>2</sub> is beneficial or neutral or detrimental for cognition (15) and AD risk (16, 17). Potential confounding variables include timing, dose, and E<sub>2</sub> formulation (18). Human APOE may play a key role in responses to  $E_2$ .

APOE is the greatest genetic risk factor for AD, with APOE4 increasing AD risk up to 15-fold compared to APOE3. Importantly, AD risk is higher in female APOE4 carriers compared to male individuals (19, 20), which is particularly pronounced at older ages (21), suggesting a role of menopause. Those data seemingly support that  $E_2$  would be efficacious in APOE4 carriers. However, it is unclear whether  $E_2$  is beneficial or detrimental to APOE4 carriers vs. non-carriers (17). In vivo data are limited to findings that OVX lowered hippocampal spine density in APOE3-targeted replacement (TR) mice with no effect in APOE4-TR mice (22) and  $E_2$  modulated hippocampal plasticity in APOE4-TR mice (23). Thus, further research using transgenic models could aid in understanding the impact of APOE on both OVX and  $E_2$ .

The goal of this study was to determine whether *APOE* modulates the impact of  $E_2$  on behavior and AD pathology *in vivo*. To address this, we used EFAD mice that overproduce A $\beta$ 42 and express human *APOE3* (E3FAD) or *APOE4* (E4FAD) (24). Behavior and A $\beta$ pathology were assessed in mice that underwent either sham surgery or OVX with vehicle and  $E_2$  treatment: (1) at 8 months of age in mice treated for 4 months after OVX (early OVX) and (2) at 12 months of age in mice treated for 4 months after OVX (late OVX).

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Animals

All experiments followed the University of Illinois at Chicago Animal Care Committee protocols. EFAD mice express five familial AD (FAD) mutations and human *APOE*. Two groups of EFAD  $(5\times FAD^{+/-}/human APOE^{+/+})$  mice were used: female E3FAD and female E4FAD mice (24). The mice were ear-tagged during genotyping, and the investigators were blinded about *APOE*, treatment, and age. We initially designed this study to test the interactive effect of *APOE* and treatments (sham, OVX -/+  $E_2$ ) on learning/memory and A $\beta$  pathology in EFAD mice. However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we had to restructure the mouse enrollment for this study due to personnel restrictions and perform the surgeries and behavioral experiments for the E3FAD and E4FAD mice separately.

# 2.2 Surgery and treatments

EFAD mice were acclimatized to plain hydrogel in place of drinking water 3 days prior to OVX. Bilateral OVX or sham surgery was performed on female E3FAD and E4FAD mice as described previously (25, 26) at either 4 or 8 months of age. Immediately after OVX, the mice were treated with hydrogel with or without 13.9  $\mu$ g/mL  $\beta$ -estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>). Each mouse consumes ~4.5 mL hydrogel/day, resulting in a dose of 2.5 mg/kg/day that was selected based on previous studies (27–29). The mice were treated from 4 to 8 months or from 8 to 12 months of age.

#### 2.3 Morris water maze

In the week prior to sacrifice, mouse behavior was tested using a modified Morris water maze (MWM) protocol (30). A four-trial shaping procedure was conducted 1 day prior to testing, during which the mouse was placed in various locations within the pool area (i.e., on a platform, near the platform, between the platform and a ring, and near the edge of the ring) to habituate the mice. After the shaping trials, mouse behavior was tested in acquisition trials for five consecutive days consisting of  $4 \times 1$  min trials/day with latency to the platform recorded for each trial. After the last acquisition on day 5, a single probe trial was run with the platform removed, and the readouts included latency to platform and latency to target quadrant (previously described (31–33)). Both acquisition and probe trials were recorded and analyzed with ANY-maze software (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL, USA).

# 2.4 Estrous stage identification, brain tissue harvest, and processing

At the end of the study, vaginal smear was used to determine whether the mouse was in proestrus/estrus or metestrus/diestrus (34, 35). The mice were then anesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/ kg) and xylazine (5 mg/kg) via intraperitoneal injection and perfused. Uterine horns were dissected from the mice and weighed. Then, the brains were removed and harvested for biochemical and immunohistochemical analysis as described previously (36, 37). For biochemical analysis, the cortex was dissected from the hemi-brain, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80°C. The hemi for immunohistochemical analysis was drop-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and then transferred to phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.01% sodium azide until ready to be sectioned on a sliding microtome.

# 2.5 Biochemical analysis (insoluble $A\beta$ )

Frozen cortices dissected from the mouse hemi-brains were homogenized in 70% formic acid at 1 mL/150 mg brain tissue and mixed by end-over-end rotation for 2 h at room temperature with vortexing. The samples were then centrifuged (100,000 × *g*, 1 hour at 4°C), and the formic acid-soluble fraction was neutralized (with 20 volumes of 1 M Tris base), aliquoted, and frozen at -80°C (38). Total protein in the formic-acid-soluble extracts was quantified using the Bradford assay, and formic-acid-soluble Aβ42 was measured by ELISA following the manufacturer's instructions (24, 38, 39). A list of all the antibodies used is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

## 2.6 Immunohistochemical analysis

Serial sagittal brain sections (35  $\mu$ m thick, 280  $\mu$ m apart, ~0.24– 3.44 mm lateral) from EFAD mice were immunostained for A $\beta$ deposition (24, 33, 40). The stained sections were imaged at ×10 magnification with a Zeiss fluorescence microscope and analyzed for cortical area covered by MOAB-2 in the cortex using ImageJ by investigators blinded to treatment. A list of all the antibodies used is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

#### 2.7 Data and statistical analysis

Supplementary File S1 (Data Sheet 1) is a Word file containing one table and two figures. Supplementary File S2 (Data Sheet 2) is an Excel file containing all raw data including the number of mice and statistical analysis. MWM acquisition data was analyzed by repeatedmeasure univariate general linear model for within-subject effects (independent variable: day and treatment). All other statistical analyses were conducted using univariate general linear models for between-subjects effects with treatment as the independent variable. All statistical tests were followed with Bonferroni's *post-hoc* tests in in SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 29.0.1.1); p < 0.05was considered significant. Data are presented as scatter plots with the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM).

# **3** Results

The goal of our study was to determine the extent to which *APOE* modulates the impact of  $E_2$  on A $\beta$  pathology and behavior *in vivo* using EFAD mice that overproduce A $\beta$ 42 and express human *APOE3* (E3FAD) or *APOE4* (E4FAD). Previously, we demonstrated that after OVX, shorter-term  $E_2$  treatment increased A $\beta$  deposition in E4FAD mice but decreased A $\beta$  deposition in E3FAD mice (41). These data raised important questions including whether *APOE* interacts with OVX to impact A $\beta$  levels/pathology and behavior in EFAD mice (i.e., comparison of OVX vs. sham surgery) and if any changes are mitigated by  $E_2$ . Therefore, we performed OVX or sham surgery in E3FAD and E4FAD mice at 4 months (early OVX) and then treated with  $E_2$  until 8 months of age and evaluated the behavior and A $\beta$  pathology. Natural menopause in humans

typically occurs at mid-life (42), during which there may be early stages of A $\beta$  pathology without any signs of cognitive impairment (6, 43, 44). Thus, our goal was to select an age with lower levels of A $\beta$  pathology, without behavioral impairments. Moreover, 4 months of age is at an early stage of A $\beta$  pathology in female EFAD mice with no behavioral impairments (32, 36). We also evaluated the impact of OVX at later ages, i.e., at 8 months and E<sub>2</sub> treatment from 8 to 12 months of age in EFAD mice.

# 3.1 E3FAD (early OVX): OVX-induced memory deficits were mitigated by $E_2$ treatment

APOE3 is often used as a control group in APOE research in comparison with APOE4. Thus, we initially focused on the impact of OVX and  $E_2$  treatment on behavior and pathology in E3FAD mice due to the important implications for a large proportion of AD patients (19).

OVX results in disruption of uterine horn weight and estrous stage/cycling *in vivo* (45–48). Therefore, we first confirmed that OVX induced uterine atrophy and the effect of  $E_2$ . As expected, in E3FAD mice, OVX decreased uterine horn weights by 43% compared to sham (p = 0.07), and  $E_2$  increased uterine horn weights by ~100% and 250% compared to sham mice and the OVX group, respectively (Figure 1A). We next evaluated the impact of OVX and  $E_2$  on estrous stage distribution among the mice. In general, mice in proestrus and estrus stages are associated with higher levels of circulating  $E_2$  in the periphery compared to mice in metestrus and diestrus stages. We confirmed that OVX decreased the proportion of mice in proestrus/estrus compared to sham group, and  $E_2$  treatment after OVX increased the proportion of mice in proestrus/estrus compared to of CVX and sham group (Figure 1B; Supplementary Figure S2).

Cognitive decline is one of main symptoms of AD (49). In FAD transgenic mouse models, including EFAD mice, learning and memory deficits are often assessed using the Morris water maze test (31, 32, 50, 51). In E3FAD mice, during acquisition phase, there was a main effect of training day but not treatments (Figure 1C). However, it appeared visually that  $E_2$ -treated mice had better performance on day 5 compared to other groups. Indeed on day 5 the latency to platform was significantly lower in  $E_2$  group compared to the OVX group. Thus, although learning was not affected by OVX,  $E_2$  treatment marginally improved learning in E3FAD mice. Next, we evaluated memory in a single probe trial. We found that probe measures were impacted by both OVX and  $E_2$ . Indeed both latency to platform and target quadrant followed the order: OVX > Sham ~  $E_2$ . Thus, in E3FAD mice, OVX resulted in memory deficits, which were mitigated by  $E_2$  (Figure 1D).

Extracellular A $\beta$  is a major pathological hallmark and diagnostic criteria of AD in humans (52) and may be modulated by OVX and  $E_2$  treatment as found in FAD mice (8, 53). Therefore, we next evaluated the impact of OVX and  $E_2$  on A $\beta$  levels in E3FAD mice using biochemistry and immunohistochemistry (Supplementary Figure S2). Surprisingly, we found that both OVX and  $E_2$  treatment mice had significantly decreased levels of formic-acid-soluble A $\beta$ 



#### FIGURE 1

weights were measured (A) to determine the effect of OVX and E2 treatment. (B) Estrous stages of E3FAD mice were determined before their sacrifice via vaginal cytology. Data was plotted as percentage of mice in proestrus/estrus or metestrus/diestrus. Learning and memory were assessed via Morris water maze. E3FAD mice were trained to determine the location of a platform over 5 days during the acquisition phase (C) and acquire the ability to remember the location of the platform (D) 24 h after the last training day probe trial. (E) Formic-acid-soluble A $\beta$ 42 was measured in cortical brain homogenates in E3FAD mice. Brain sections obtained from E3FAD mice were immunostained for AB using MOAB-2 and the percentage area quantified in the cortex (F). Data are expressed as mean + SEM. Latency to platform during acquisition phase was analyzed by repeated-measure univariate general linear model for within-subject effects (independent variable: day and treatment). All other statistical analyses were conducted using univariate general linear models for between-subjects effects with treatment as independent variable. All statistical tests were followed with Bonferroni's post-hoc tests (n = 8-12, \*p < 0.05) (see Supplementary File S2 for detailed n sizes and statistical analysis).

compared to sham mice in E3FAD (Figure 1C). Consistent with those results, OVX significantly decreased AB deposition compared to sham mice (Figure 1E), and E<sub>2</sub> lowered cortical A $\beta$  (p = 0.07) compared to sham (Figure 1F).

Collectively, these data demonstrate that, compared to sham surgery, OVX resulted in uterine horn atrophy, changes in estrous stage distribution, memory deficits, and lower AB levels in E3FAD mice. E2 mitigated the detrimental effect of OVX on the uterine horn, estrous stage distribution, and memory, with no effect on AB levels.

# 3.2 E4FAD (early OVX): E<sub>2</sub> levels did not affect learning/memory but modulated uterine horn weights, estrous stage distribution, and A $\beta$ levels in E4FAD female carriers

AD risk is higher in APOE4 carriers compared to APOE3 carriers, particularly female individuals (19, 20). However, there is limited in vivo data on how APOE4 modulates the effect of OVX and  $E_2$  on behavior and A $\beta$  pathology. Therefore, we next investigated the impact of OVX and subsequent E2 treatment in E4FAD mice. OVX decreased uterine horn weight by ~32% in E4FAD mice compared to the sham group (Figure 2A). Furthermore, E<sub>2</sub> treatment resulted in uterine hypertrophy with ~100% to 250% increase in uterine horn weight compared to mice that underwent either sham or OVX surgery, respectively (Figure 2A). We also confirmed that OVX decreased the proportion of mice in proestrus/estrus, compared to the sham group, and that E2 treatment increased the proportion of mice in proestrus/estrus after OVX (Figure 2B; Supplementary Figure S1). In terms of behavior, we found that, during acquisition phase, there were no main effects of either training day or treatments (Figure 2C). There was also no effect of OVX or  $E_2$  treatment in probe trial measures (Figure 2D). Although OVX and E<sub>2</sub> did not impact insoluble Aβ42, OVX increased Aβ deposition (compared to sham mice), which was lowered by  $E_2$  (Figures 2E, F; Supplementary Figure S2).

Taken together, OVX impacted uterine horn weights and estrous stage distribution and increased cortical AB deposition without affecting MWM readouts in E4FAD mice. E2 attenuated the effect of OVX on uterine horn weights and estrous stage distribution and decreased cortical AB deposition, with no effect on behavior.



#### FIGURE 2

females. Uterine horn weights were dissected from E4FAD mice, and their weights were measured (A) to determine the effect of OVX and E2 treatment. (B) Estrous stages of E4FAD mice were determined before their sacrifice via vaginal cytology. Data was plotted as percentage of mice in proestrus/estrus or metestrus/diestrus. Learning and memory were assessed via Morris water maze. E4FAD mice were trained to determine the location of a platform over 5 days during the acquisition phase (C) and acquire the ability to remember the location of the platform (D) 24 h after the last training day probe trial. (E) Formic-acid-soluble AB42 was measured in cortical brain homogenates in E4FAD mice. Brain sections obtained from E4FAD mice were immunostained for Aβ using MOAB-2 and the percentage area quantified in the cortex (F). Data are expressed as mean + SEM. Latency to platform during acquisition phase was analyzed by repeated-measure univariate general linear model for within-subject effects (independent variable: day and treatment). All other statistical analyses were conducted using univariate general linear models for between-subjects effects with treatment as independent variable. All statistical tests were followed with Bonferroni's post-hoc tests (n = 8-12, \*p < 0.05) (see Supplementary File S2 for detailed *n* sizes and statistical analysis).

# 3.3 E3FAD (late OVX): OVX did not impact learning/memory and A $\beta$ , while E<sub>2</sub> treatment improved learning

Our data demonstrated in E3FAD mice that OVX at 4 months of age is detrimental, and E<sub>2</sub> treatment from 4 to 8 months of age may be protective for behavior. As described above, that paradigm was selected based on pathology. We next asked whether E2 would be beneficial if OVX was performed at an older age with greater  $A\beta$ pathology. Therefore, we focused on the effects of E2 treatment from 8-12 months of age (OVX at 8 months) on behavior and  $A\beta$ pathology in E3FAD mice.

In E3FAD mice, compared to sham, OVX at 8 months of age decreased uterine horn weights by 32% (Figure 3A) and increased the proportion of mice metestrus/diestrus. Furthermore, E2 increased uterine horn weights by ~200% and 400% compared to OVX and sham mice, respectively, and increased the proportion of mice in proestrus/estrus (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S1). However, we found 1/10 OVX mice in estrus stage. Although it seems impossible, neonatal treatment of female mice with estrogen or androgen has been demonstrated to induce ovary-independent persistent proliferation and cornification of vaginal epithelium that may result in the classification of the mice as under estrous phase (54). Therefore, it may be a one-off cytological presentation. Thus, E2 mitigated late OVX-induced changes in both uterine horn weight and estrous stage distribution in E3FAD mice. In terms of behavior in MWM, during acquisition trials, there was a main effect of treatments (Figure 3C). The post-hoc analysis revealed that latency to platform in acquisition trials was demonstrated by the sham ~  $OVX > E_2$  group. However, both OVX and  $E_2$  did not affect the probe trial measures (Figure 3D). We also found that, after late OVX, neither OVX nor  $E_2$  impacted cortical insoluble A $\beta$ 42 (Figure 3E) or A $\beta$  deposition (Figure 3F; Supplementary Figure S2). Overall, in E3FAD mice, OVX did not affect both learning/ memory in MWM and A $\beta$  pathology. E<sub>2</sub> improved only learning in MWM without impacting the A $\beta$  levels/pathology.

Although E4FAD mice did not show any improvement in behavior but modulated A $\beta$  pathology with E<sub>2</sub> treatment after early OVX, we evaluated the effect of OVX and subsequent E2 treatment in older E4FAD mice (Supplementary Figure S3). There was no treatment effect on latency to platform during MWM acquisition trials/probe trials, latency to target quadrant during probe trials, and insoluble A $\beta$ 42 and A $\beta$  deposition in the cortex (Supplementary Figures S3C-F). Overall, we found that both OVX



acquire the ability to remember the location of the platform (D) 24 h after the last training day probe trial. (E) Formic-acid-soluble Aβ42 was measured in cortical brain homogenates in E3FAD mice. Brain sections obtained from E3FAD mice were immunostained for AB using MOAB-2 and the percentage area quantified in the cortex (F). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Latency to platform during acquisition phase was analyzed by repeated-measure univariate general linear model for within-subject effects (independent variable; day and treatment). All other statistical analyses were conducted using univariate general linear models for between-subjects effects with treatment as independent variable. All statistical tests were followed with Bonferroni's post-hoc tests (n=8-12, \* p<0.05). See Supplementary File S2 for detailed n sizes and statistical analysis.

and  $E_2$  treatment neither affected learning/memory nor A $\beta$ pathology in E4FAD mice.

# 4 Discussion

FIGURE 3

# 4.1 Effect of OVX and E<sub>2</sub> on behavior and A $\beta$ pathology—modulation by APOE3

APOE3/3s account for ~30-50% of all AD patients (19). As female sex by itself is an AD risk factor, identifying pathways that could contribute to AD in APOE3/3 female individuals is important. One potential mechanism for higher AD risk is the loss of E<sub>2</sub> during and after the menopausal transition. However, clinical data on the impact of menopause on AD risk in APOE3/3s are limited as the focus is typically on APOE4. In APOE3-TR mice, OVX reduced hippocampal spine density, long-term potentiation (22), and disrupted learning in MWM (55). Our data extends those findings to APOE3-FAD mice, as we found that OVX disrupted memory in MWM. Therefore, the loss of sex hormones may be a major contributing factor to AD risk for a large proportion of patients. Based on that idea, E2 would be predicted to protect against AD in APOE3 carrier. In fact, E2 treatment was associated

with less cognitive decline or higher learning and memory performance in post-menopausal APOE4 non-carriers (56, 57), and we found that E2 improves memory in E3FAD mice (Early OVX). However, E<sub>2</sub> only had a modest effect on learning in late OVX in E3FAD mice. These are consistent with data that early oophorectomy, where there is likely low  $A\beta$  pathology that increases AD risk, is lowered by ERT (58). Although there are caveats, E2 may be beneficial to prevent AD-associated neuronal dysfunction and cognitive decline in APOE3/3 if initiated early, before the accumulation of  $A\beta$  pathology.

Data from the current study and others raise the important discussion of the mechanism(s) that could underlie the effects of OVX and E<sub>2</sub> on neuron function and learning/memory. Our findings suggest that neither OVX nor  $E_2$  modulate the  $A\beta$  levels in E3FAD mice, consistent with clinical data in APOE4 non-carriers (59).  $E_2$  is a potent agonist of the transcriptional response of the nuclear hormone, estrogen receptors (ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$ ), and also activates extranuclear ERx signaling (60). The beneficial effect of  $E_2$ was likely mediated by ERs. ERs are expressed in multiple cell types throughout the brain (e.g., neurons, glia, and endothelial cells) and regulate signaling and gene expression that ultimately impact several functions-for instance, E2 is thought to impact neuron function directly (61) and indirectly via effects on inflammation (62,

63), metabolism (64, 65), neurovascular function (66, 67), oxidative stress (68, 69), and *APOE* levels (61, 70). Indeed  $E_2$  facilitated neurite outgrowth in *APOE3* neurons (61) and suppressed inflammatory responses in *APOE3* glia (71) *in vitro*. Linked to the question on how  $E_2$  works is whether the different functions become disrupted with age and high A $\beta$  pathology, which could result in a lower activity. Future studies could focus on identifying the critical functions of  $E_2$  in *APOE3/3* carriers.

# 4.2 Effect of OVX and of $E_2$ on behavior and A $\beta$ pathology—modulation by APOE4

AD risk is high in female APOE4 carriers (19-21), particularly at ages post-menopause. Therefore, it is logical to assume that APOE4 carriers should respond positively to E2, yet clinical studies are more conflicted than for APOE3 (17, 72). The type, timing, duration, and dose of E2 could contribute to discrepant results, along with APOE4-specific considerations. On the assumption that E<sub>2</sub> should be beneficial, the timing of treatment in relation to pathology may be critical for APOE4. In APOE4-TR mice, E2 mitigated OVX-induced impairments in learning/memory (55). However, in the current study, E2 was not beneficial in E4FAD mice. Female E4FAD mice have high levels of AB, AD-relevant pathologies, and memory deficits by 6-8 months of age (36, 73). Therefore, the combination of female sex, APOE4, and OVX may have resulted in a severe phenotype that was not recoverable by  $E_{2}$ . Thus, perhaps in less aggressive models that mimic gradual AD decline as found in humans, E2 could have been beneficial. Further studies in E4FAD to determine whether E2 by itself, administered earlier, may provide support to the "critical window" hypothesis.

An alternative explanation for the clinical data and our own is that, in the context of AD, APOE4/4s may be unresponsive to E2. Many AD patients are APOE3/4, whereas here we focused on APOE4/4 (see limitations). There is evidence that APOE4/4 modulates E2-dependent responses-for example, APOE4/4 neurons (61) and peritoneal macrophages from OVX APOE4-TR mice do not show a significant response to  $E_2$  in vitro (71). The impact of APEO4 is pleotropic but includes altering receptor signaling, gene transcription, and lipid transport (74–76). Through those effects, APOE4 may blunt  $E_2$ specific responses on multiple levels. In addition, the impact of OVX in the presence of APOE4 may also involve other sex steroid hormones and related hormones such as follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH)-for example, lowering FSH levels in APOE4/4 may mitigate the AD pathology and behavioral impairments associated with APOE4 (55). Thus, for APOE4/4s, it may be important to treat with other sex hormones such as progesterone as there is a link between APOE status and progesterone levels (77). Collectively, either initiating  $E_2$  therapy earlier or treatment with other hormones may be beneficial for APOE4 carriers.

# 4.3 Limitations

There are limitations in the extent to which we can conclude that  $E_2$  can mitigate OVX-induced memory impairments in E3FAD

mice. As discussed, it is important to conduct additional experiments to identify potential mechanisms through which  $E_2$  induced a beneficial effect in E3FAD mice—for example, identifying whether these effects were mediated through ER $\alpha$ , Er $\beta$ , or ERx, cell-type specific effects, and downstream signaling pathways more proximal to behavior. Loss of circulating sex hormones in perimenopause is rapid within the context of a woman's life, but rapid compared to surgical OVX, placing limitations on the model in both prevention and reversal paradigms (78). A less aggressive model of A $\beta$  pathology than EFAD may also alter the response to  $E_2$ . The dose, frequency, drug formulation, and alternative estrogens may also influence response.

We are also limited to the extent that we can conclude  $E_2$  is not beneficial for APOE4/4 carriers. As discussed, E4FAD mice are an aggressive model of A $\beta$  pathology-for example, A $\beta$  coverage in sham E4FAD mice is significantly greater than E3FAD mice that underwent sham surgery or OVX mice. Therefore, it is important to test the activity of E2 in a less aggressive model or even in models without any mutations in APP/PSEN1. Related to this is that the 5xFAD genes are expressed via the Thy-1 promoter in EFAD mice, which is reported to contain an estrogen response element (ERE). However, it is likely that the base mutation  $(T/C \rightarrow A)$  on the core consensus sequence of the Thy-1 promoter at the position +6 would abolish the ER-ERE interaction (79). In addition, the flanking sequence does not contain a purine at -7 position that could potentially increase the binding affinity (80, 81). Furthermore, if  $E_2$ did bind to the ERE, then it would occur equally in E3FAD and E4FAD and would unlikely explain the APOE genotype differences in response in this study. However, further studies in additional APOE4 models are important. There were also some experimental limitations surrounding the way we induced hormonal loss. One aspect is how the extent of sex hormone loss induction (either OVX or chemical) in mice translates to humans is unclear with APOE4, especially as female E4FAD mice have disrupted behavior in the absence of OVX (36, 73). In fact, evaluating the activity of E<sub>2</sub> in E4FAD mice or other mouse models in the absence of menopausal mimic may provide information of how E2 can impact brain function during aging. There is also the question of whether there are differences in cell-typespecific distribution of ERs, downstream signaling molecules (see "Discussion"), and functions in E3FAD compared to E4FAD mice after OVX. Therefore, conducting additional experiments is critical before discounting the potential of E<sub>2</sub> as a therapeutic target for preventing/treating AD in female APOE4/4s individuals.

General limitations also include a lack of pharmacokinetic studies to determine brain and plasma levels after treatment. Although the effects of treatment are evident in estrogen-sensitive gynecological tissues, the sensitivity of tissues to estrogens may not reflect menopause. We selected the dose of  $E_2$  based on previous publications; however, levels in the plasma and brain may have been sub-optimal or even different between mice. In addition, continuous delivery of estrogen results in sustained estrogen levels (82–85), which does not mimic physiological fluctuations in hormonal levels, and therefore cyclic  $E_2$  treatments may provide greater neural protection. However, the efficacy of cyclic vs. continuous delivery of estrogen is controversial with studies showing improvement in learning/memory using continuous treatment (86–89), cyclic

treatment (90), and continuous treatment when primed with repeated injections of  $E_2$  (91).

Another limitation of this study is that we are unable to directly compare data obtained in E3FAD and E4FAD mice, as the analysis of each *APOE* genotype was conducted separately due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, it is also important to incorporate *APOE3/4*, additional hormones, and treatment windows in future studies.

# 4.4 Conclusions

Our data supports that the *APOE* differentially modulated the effect of OVX and  $E_2$  on behavior and A $\beta$  pathology—specifically, that  $E_2$  may benefit *APOE3/3s* but not *APOE4/4s* after loss of sex hormones. Future studies are critical to the optimal treatment approaches for addressing the increased risk of AD after menopause for each *APOE* genotype.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by University of Illinois at Chicago Animal Care Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

DB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AV-O: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AD: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SN: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SK: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SP: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JY: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. GT: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. ML: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing –

# References

1. Toro CA, Zhang L, Cao J, Cai D. Sex differences in Alzheimer's disease: Understanding the molecular impact. *Brain Res.* (2019) 1719:194–207. doi: 10.1016/ j.brainres.2019.05.031

2. Guo L, Zhong MB, Zhang L, Zhang B, Cai D. Sex differences in alzheimer's disease: Insights from the multiomics landscape. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2022) 91:61–71. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.02.968

3. Zhu D, Montagne A, Zhao Z. Alzheimer's pathogenic mechanisms and underlying sex difference. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2021) 78:4907–20. doi: 10.1007/s00018-021-03830-w

review & editing. LT: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Previous funding to ML included National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants (R01 AG058068, R01AG057008, R21AG044682), University of Illinois at Chicago Institutional funds, and philanthropic support from Louis and Christine Friedrich. LT is supported by R01AG061114 (NIH) and University of Illinois at Chicago Institutional funds.

# In memoriam

This article is dedicated in memory of Dr. LaDu, who tragically passed away last year. She will be missed by all.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1374825/ full#supplementary-material

4. Brinton RD. A women's health issue: Alzheimer's disease and strategies for maintaining cognitive health. Int J Fertility Womens Med. (1999) 44:174–85.

5. Riedel BC, Thompson PM, Brinton RD. Age, APOE and sex: Triad of risk of Alzheimer's disease. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. (2016) 160:134-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2016.03.012

6. Bove R, Secor E, Chibnik LB, Barnes LL, Schneider JA, Bennett DA, et al. Age at surgical menopause influences cognitive decline and Alzheimer pathology in older women. *Neurology*. (2014) 82:222–9. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000033

7. Simpkins JW, Green PS, Gridley KE, Singh M, de Fiebre NC, Rajakumar G. Role of estrogen replacement therapy in memory enhancement and the prevention of neuronal loss associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Med.* (1997) 103:19S–25S. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(97)00260-X

8. Qin Y, An D, Xu W, Qi X, Wang X, Chen L, et al. Estradiol replacement at the critical period protects hippocampal neural stem cells to improve cognition in APP/PS1 mice. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2020) 12:240. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.00240

9. Kim JY, Mo H, Kim J, Kim JW, Nam Y, Rim YA, et al. Mitigating effect of estrogen in alzheimer's disease-mimicking cerebral organoid. *Front Neurosci.* (2022) 16:816174. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.816174

10. Paganini-Hill A, Henderson VW. Estrogen deficiency and risk of Alzheimer's disease in women. *Am J Epidemiol.* (1994) 140:256-61. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.aje.a117244

11. Paganini-Hill A, Henderson VW. Estrogen replacement therapy and risk of Alzheimer disease. Arch Intern Med. (1996) 156:2213-7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.156.19.2213

12. Kawas C, Resnick S, Morrison A, Brookmeyer R, Corrada M, Zonderman A, et al. A prospective study of estrogen replacement therapy and the risk of developing Alzheimer's disease: the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Neurology.* (1997) 48:1517–21. doi: 10.1212/WNL48.6.1517

13. Manly JJ, Merchant CA, Jacobs DM, Small SA, Bell K, Ferin M, et al. Endogenous estrogen levels and Alzheimer's disease among postmenopausal women. *Neurology*. (2000) 54:833–7. doi: 10.1212/wnl.54.4.833

14. Yue X, Lu M, Lancaster T, Cao P, Honda S, Staufenbiel M, et al. Brain estrogen deficiency accelerates Abeta plaque formation in an Alzheimer's disease animal model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2005) 102:19198–203. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505203102

15. Maki PM. Critical window hypothesis of hormone therapy and cognition: a scientific update on clinical studies. *Menopause.* (2013) 20:695–709. doi: 10.1097/GME.0b013e3182960cf8

16. Ali N, Sohail R, Jaffer SR, Siddique S, Kaya B, Atowoju I, et al. The role of estrogen therapy as a protective factor for alzheimer's disease and dementia in postmenopausal women: A comprehensive review of the literature. *Cureus*. (2023) 15:e43053. doi: 10.7759/cureus.43053

17. Valencia-Olver AC, Maldonado Weng J, Christensen A, LaDu MJ, Pike CJ. Role of estrogen in women's Alzheimer's disease risk as modified by APOE. *J Neuroendocrinol.* (2023) 35:e13209. doi: 10.1111/jne.13209

18. Nerattini M, Jett S, Andy C, Carlton C, Zarate C, Boneu C, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of menopause hormone therapy on risk of Alzheimer's disease and dementia. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2023) 15:1260427. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1260427

19. Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, Hyman B, Kukull WA, Mayeux R, et al. Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. *JAMA*. (1997) 278:1349–56. doi: 10.1001/jama.278.16.1349

20. Altmann A, Tian L, Henderson VW, Greicius MD. Sex modifies the APOE-related risk of developing Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2014) 75:563–73. doi: 10.1002/ana.24135

21. Neu SC, Pa J, Kukull W, Beekly D, Kuzma A, Gangadharan P, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype and sex risk factors for alzheimer disease: A metaanalysis. *JAMA Neurol.* (2017) 74:1178–89. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.2188

22. Klein RC, Saini S, Risher ML, Acheson SK, Fleming RL, Sexton HG, et al. Regional-specific effects of ovarian hormone loss on synaptic plasticity in adult human APOE targeted replacement mice. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e94071. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094071

23. Yun SH, Park KA, Kwon S, Woolley CS, Sullivan PM, Pasternak JF, et al. Estradiol enhances long term potentiation in hippocampal slices from aged apoE4-TR mice. *Hippocampus*. (2007) 17:1153–7. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20357

24. Youmans KL, Tai LM, Nwabuisi-Heath E, Jungbauer L, Kanekiyo T, Gan M, et al. APOE4-specific changes in abeta accumulation in a new transgenic mouse model of alzheimer disease. *J Biol Chem.* (2012) 287:41774–86. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.407957

25. Frick KM, Berger-Sweeney J. Spatial reference memory and neocortical neurochemistry vary with the estrous cycle in C57BL/6 mice. *Behav Neurosci.* (2001) 115:229–37. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.115.1.229

26. Rowe AA, Issioui Y, Johnny B, Wert KJ. Murine orchiectomy and ovariectomy to reduce sex hormone production. *J Vis Exp.* (2023) 201. doi: 10.3791/64379

27. Fernandez SM, Lewis MC, Pechenino AS, Harburger LL, Orr PT, Gresack JE, et al. Estradiol-induced enhancement of object memory consolidation involves hippocampal extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation and membrane-bound estrogen receptors. *J Neurosci.* (2008) 28:8660–7. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1968-08.2008

28. Pechenino AS, Frick KM. The effects of acute 17beta-estradiol treatment on gene expression in the young female mouse hippocampus. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* (2009) 91:315–22. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2008.09.017

29. Fleischer AW, Schalk JC, Wetzel EA, Hanson AM, Sem DS, Donaldson WA, et al. Long-term oral administration of a novel estrogen receptor beta agonist enhances memory and alleviates drug-induced vasodilation in young ovariectomized mice. *Horm Behav.* (2021) 130:104948. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2021.104948

30. Taxier LR, Philippi SM, York JM, LaDu MJ, Frick KM. The detrimental effects of APOE4 on risk for Alzheimer's disease may result from altered dendritic spine density, synaptic proteins, and estrogen receptor alpha. *Neurobiol Aging.* (2022) 112:74–86. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2021.12.006

31. Liu DS, Pan XD, Zhang J, Shen H, Collins NC, Cole AM, et al. APOE4 enhances age-dependent decline in cognitive function by down-regulating an NMDA receptor pathway in EFAD-Tg mice. *Mol Neurodegener*. (2015) 10:7. doi: 10.1186/s13024-015-0002-2

32. Thomas R, Morris AWJ, Tai LM. Epidermal growth factor prevents APOE4induced cognitive and cerebrovascular deficits in female mice. *Heliyon*. (2017) 3: e00319. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00319

33. Valencia-Olver AC, Balu D, Faulk N, Amiridis A, Wang Y, Pham C, et al. Inhibition of ACAT as a therapeutic target for alzheimer's disease is independent of apoE4 lipidation. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2023) 20(4). doi: 10.1007/s13311-023-01375-3

34. Byers SL, Wiles MV, Dunn SL, Taft RA. Mouse estrous cycle identification tool and images. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7:e35538. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035538

35. Ajayi AF, Akhigbe RE. Staging of the estrous cycle and induction of estrus in experimental rodents: an update. *Fertil Res Pract.* (2020) 6:5. doi: 10.1186/s40738-020-00074-3

36. Balu D, Valencia-Olver AC, Islam Z, Mielczarek C, Hansen A, Perez Ramos TM, et al. APOE genotype and sex modulate Alzheimer's disease pathology in aged EFAD transgenic mice. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2023) 15:1279343. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1279343

37. Balu D, Valencia-Olver AC, Nguyen A, Patnam M, York J, Peri F, et al. A smallmolecule TLR4 antagonist reduced neuroinflammation in female E4FAD mice. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2023) 15:181. doi: 10.1186/s13195-023-01330-6

38. Youmans KL, Leung S, Zhang J, Maus E, Baysac K, Bu G, et al. Amyloid-beta42 alters apolipoprotein E solubility in brains of mice with five familial AD mutations. *J Neurosci Methods*. (2011) 196:51–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2010.12.025

39. Youmans KL, Tai LM, Kanekiyo T, Stine WBJr., Michon SC, Nwabuisi-Heath E, et al. Intraneuronal Abeta detection in 5xFAD mice by a new Abeta-specific antibody. *Mol Neurodegener*. (2012) 7:8. doi: 10.1186/1750-1326-7-8

40. Rodriguez GA, Tai LM, LaDu MJ, Rebeck GW. Human APOE4 increases microglia reactivity at Abeta plaques in a mouse model of Abeta deposition. *J Neuroinflamm*. (2014) 11:111. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-11-111

41. Kunzler J, Youmans KL, Yu C, Ladu MJ, Tai LM. APOE modulates the effect of estrogen therapy on Abeta accumulation EFAD-Tg mice. *Neurosci Lett.* (2014) 560:131-6. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2013.12.032

42. Dutta S, Sengupta P. Men and mice: Relating their ages. *Life Sci.* (2016) 152:244– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2015.10.025

43. Mosconi L, Berti V, Quinn C, McHugh P, Petrongolo G, Varsavsky I, et al. Sex differences in Alzheimer risk: Brain imaging of endocrine vs chronologic aging. *Neurology.* (2017) 89:1382–90. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000004425

44. Mosconi L, Rahman A, Diaz I, Wu X, Scheyer O, Hristov HW, et al. Increased Alzheimer's risk during the menopause transition: A 3-year longitudinal brain imaging study. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0207885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207885

45. Finch CE, Felicio LS, Mobbs CV, Nelson JF. Ovarian and steroidal influences on neuroendocrine aging processes in female rodents. *Endocr Rev.* (1984) 5:467–97. doi: 10.1210/edrv-5-4-467

46. Garcia-Perez MA, Del Val R, Noguera I, Hermenegildo C, Pineda B, Martinez-Romero A, et al. Estrogen receptor agonists and immune system in ovariectomized mice. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol.* (2006) 19:807–19. doi: 10.1177/039463200601900410

47. Lemini C, Jaimez R, Figueroa A, Martinez-Mota L, Avila ME, Medina M. Ovariectomy differential influence on some hemostatic markers of mice and rats. *Exp Anim.* (2015) 64:81–9. doi: 10.1538/expanim.14-0052

48. Sanchez K, Wu SL, Kakkar R, Darling JS, Harper CS, Fonken LK. Ovariectomy in mice primes hippocampal microglia to exacerbate behavioral sickness responses. *Brain Behav Immun Health*. (2023) 30:100638. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2023.100638

49. Rao RV, Subramaniam KG, Gregory J, Bredesen AL, Coward C, Okada S, et al. Rationale for a multi-factorial approach for the reversal of cognitive decline in alzheimer's disease and MCI: A review. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24021659

50. Webster SJ, Bachstetter AD, Nelson PT, Schmitt FA, Van Eldik LJ. Using mice to model Alzheimer's dementia: an overview of the clinical disease and the preclinical behavioral changes in 10 mouse models. *Front Genet.* (2014) 5:88. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2014.00088

51. Jankowsky JL, Zheng H. Practical considerations for choosing a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener*. (2017) 12:89. doi: 10.1186/s13024-017-0231-7

52. Zhang Y, Chen H, Li R, Sterling K, Song W. Amyloid beta-based therapy for Alzheimer's disease: challenges, successes and future. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2023) 8:248. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01484-7

53. Ding F, Yao J, Zhao L, Mao Z, Chen S, Brinton RD. Ovariectomy induces a shift in fuel availability and metabolism in the hippocampus of the female transgenic model of familial Alzheimer's. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e59825. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059825

54. Takasugi N. Cytological basis for permanent vaginal changes in mice treated neonatally with steroid hormones. *Int Rev Cytol.* (1976) 44:193–224. doi: 10.1016/s0074-7696(08)61650-2

55. Xiong J, Kang SS, Wang M, Wang Z, Xia Y, Liao J, et al. FSH and ApoE4 contribute to Alzheimer's disease-like pathogenesis via C/EBPbeta/delta-secretase in female mice. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:6577. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-42282-7

56. Yaffe K, Haan M, Byers A, Tangen C, Kuller L. Estrogen use, APOE, and cognitive decline: evidence of gene-environment interaction. *Neurology.* (2000) 54:1949–54. doi: 10.1212/WNL.54.10.1949

57. Burkhardt MS, Foster JK, Laws SM, Baker LD, Craft S, Gandy SE, et al. Oestrogen replacement therapy may improve memory functioning in the absence of APOE epsilon4. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2004) 6:221–8. doi: 10.3233/jad-2004-6302

58. Rocca WA, Grossardt BR, Shuster LT. Oophorectomy, menopause, estrogen treatment, and cognitive aging: clinical evidence for a window of opportunity. *Brain Res.* (2011) 1379:188–98. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.10.031

59. Kantarci K, Tosakulwong N, Lesnick TG, Zuk SM, Lowe VJ, Fields JA, et al. Brain structure and cognition 3 years after the end of an early menopausal hormone therapy trial. *Neurology*. (2018) 90:e1404–12. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000005325

60. Hara Y, Waters EM, McEwen BS, Morrison JH. Estrogen effects on cognitive and synaptic health over the lifecourse. *Physiol Rev.* (2015) 95:785–807. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00036.2014

61. Nathan BP, Barsukova AG, Shen F, McAsey M, Struble RG. Estrogen facilitates neurite extension via apolipoprotein E in cultured adult mouse cortical neurons. *Endocrinology.* (2004) 145:3065–73. doi: 10.1210/en.2003-1707

62. Au A, Feher A, McPhee L, Jessa A, Oh S, Einstein G. Estrogens, inflammation and cognition. *Front Neuroendocrinol*. (2016) 40:87-100. doi: 10.1016/ j.yfrne.2016.01.002

63. Villa A, Vegeto E, Poletti A, Maggi A. Estrogens, neuroinflammation, and neurodegeneration. Endocr Rev. (2016) 37:372-402. doi: 10.1210/er.2016-1007

64. Rettberg JR, Yao J, Brinton RD. Estrogen: a master regulator of bioenergetic systems in the brain and body. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* (2014) 35:8–30. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2013.08.001

65. Bustamante-Barrientos FA, Mendez-Ruette M, Ortloff A, Luz-Crawford P, Rivera FJ, Figueroa CD, et al. The impact of estrogen and estrogen-like molecules in neurogenesis and neurodegeneration: beneficial or harmful? *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2021) 15:636176. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.636176

66. Nguyen DH, Cunningham JT, Sumien N. Estrogen receptor involvement in vascular cognitive impairment and vascular dementia pathogenesis and treatment. *Geroscience.* (2021) 43:159–66. doi: 10.1007/s11357-020-00263-4

67. Goncalves FJ, Abrantes-Soares F, Pouso MR, Lorigo M, Cairrao E. Non-genomic effect of estradiol on the neurovascular unit and possible involvement in the cerebral vascular accident. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2023) 60:1964–85. doi: 10.1007/s12035-022-03178-7

68. White RE, Gerrity R, Barman SA, Han G. Estrogen and oxidative stress: A novel mechanism that may increase the risk for cardiovascular disease in women. *Steroids*. (2010) 75:788–93. doi: 10.1016/j.steroids.2009.12.007

69. Lagranha CJ, Silva TLA, Silva SCA, Braz GRF, da Silva AI, Fernandes MP, et al. Protective effects of estrogen against cardiovascular disease mediated via oxidative stress in the brain. *Life Sci.* (2018) 192:190–8. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2017.11.043

70. Wang JM, Irwin RW, Brinton RD. Activation of estrogen receptor alpha increases and estrogen receptor beta decreases apolipoprotein E expression in hippocampus *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2006) 103:16983–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0608128103

71. Brown CM, Choi E, Xu Q, Vitek MP, Colton CA. The APOE4 genotype alters the response of microglia and macrophages to 17beta-estradiol. *Neurobiol Aging.* (2008) 29:1783–94. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.04.018

72. Wong GRM, Lee EJA, Liaw QY, Rajaram H. The role of oestrogen therapy in reducing risk of Alzheimer's disease: systematic review. *BJPsych Open*. (2023) 9:e194. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2023.579

73. Zaldua S, Damen FC, Pisharody R, Thomas R, Fan KD, Ekkurthi GK, et al. Epidermal growth factor treatment of female mice that express APOE4 at an age of

advanced pathology mitigates behavioral and cerebrovascular dysfunction. *Heliyon*. (2020) 6:e03919. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03919

74. Lane-Donovan C, Herz J. The ApoE receptors Vldlr and Apoer2 in central nervous system function and disease. J Lipid Res. (2017) 58:1036–43. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R075507

75. Theendakara V, Peters-Libeu CA, Bredesen DE, Rao RV. Transcriptional effects of apoE4: relevance to alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2018) 55:5243–54. doi: 10.1007/s12035-017-0757-2

76. Husain MA, Laurent B, Plourde M. APOE and alzheimer's disease: From lipid transport to physiopathology and therapeutics. *Front Neurosci.* (2021) 15:630502. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.630502

77. Jasienska G, Ellison PT, Galbarczyk A, Jasienski M, Kalemba-Drozdz M, Kapiszewska M, et al. Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) polymorphism is related to differences in potential fertility in women: a case of antagonistic pleiotropy? *Proc Biol Sci.* (2015) 282:20142395. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.2395

78. Diaz Brinton R. Minireview: translational animal models of human menopause: challenges and emerging opportunities. *Endocrinology*. (2012) 153:3571–8. doi: 10.1210/en.2012-1340

79. Driscoll MD, Sathya G, Muyan M, Klinge CM, Hilf R, Bambara RA. Sequence requirements for estrogen receptor binding to estrogen response elements. *J Biol Chem.* (1998) 273:29321–30. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.45.29321

80. Klinge CM. Estrogen receptor interaction with estrogen response elements. Nucleic Acids Res. (2001) 29:2905-19. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.14.2905

81. Gruber CJ, Gruber DM, Gruber IM, Wieser F, Huber JC. Anatomy of the estrogen response element. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* (2004) 15:73–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2004.01.008

82. Shoupe D. HRT dosing regimens: continuous versus cyclic-pros and cons. Int J Fertil Womens Med. (2001) 46:7-15.

83. Resnick SM, Coker LH, Maki PM, Rapp SR, Espeland MA, Shumaker SA. The Women's Health Initiative Study of Cognitive Aging (WHISCA): a randomized clinical trial of the effects of hormone therapy on age-associated cognitive decline. *Clin Trials.* (2004) 1:440–50. doi: 10.1191/1740774504cn040oa

84. Coker LH, Espeland MA, Rapp SR, Legault C, Resnick SM, Hogan P, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy and cognitive outcomes: the Women's Health Initiative Memory Study (WHIMS). *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* (2010) 118:304–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2009.11.007

85. Manson JE, Chlebowski RT, Stefanick ML, Aragaki AK, Rossouw JE, Prentice RL, et al. Menopausal hormone therapy and health outcomes during the intervention and extended poststopping phases of the Women's Health Initiative randomized trials. *JAMA*. (2013) 310:1353–68. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.278040

86. Frick KM, Fernandez SM, Bulinski SC. Estrogen replacement improves spatial reference memory and increases hippocampal synaptophysin in aged female mice. *Neuroscience*. (2002) 115:547–58. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4522(02)00377-9

87. Markham JA, Pych JC, Juraska JM. Ovarian hormone replacement to aged ovariectomized female rats benefits acquisition of the morris water maze. *Horm Behav.* (2002) 42:284–93. doi: 10.1006/hbeh.2002.1819

88. Vaucher E, Reymond I, Najaffe R, Kar S, Quirion R, Miller MM, et al. Estrogen effects on object memory and cholinergic receptors in young and old female mice. *Neurobiol Aging.* (2002) 23:87–95. doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(01)00250-0

89. Foster TC, Sharrow KM, Kumar A, Masse J. Interaction of age and chronic estradiol replacement on memory and markers of brain aging. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2003) 24:839–52. doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(03)00014-9

90. Gresack JE, Frick KM. Effects of continuous and intermittent estrogen treatments on memory in aging female mice. *Brain Res.* (2006) 1115:135-47. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.07.067

91. Markowska AL, Savonenko AV. Effectiveness of estrogen replacement in restoration of cognitive function after long-term estrogen withdrawal in aging rats. J Neurosci. (2002) 22:10985–95. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-24-10985.2002