#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Roberto Codella, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY Valeria Grancini, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy Elisa Cipponeri, University of Milan, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Haifeng Ma Mahf70@163.com Meiling Huang huangmeiling@szu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 13 March 2024 ACCEPTED 28 August 2024 PUBLISHED 18 September 2024

#### CITATION

Chen K, Wang Y, Li D, Li J, Huang Y, Huang M and Ma H (2024) Impact of diverse aerobic exercise plans on glycemic control, lipid levels, and functional activity in stroke patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1389538. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1389538

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Wang, Li, Li, Huang, Huang and Ma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and

that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Impact of diverse aerobic exercise plans on glycemic control, lipid levels, and functional activity in stroke patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

Kangcheng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yulong Wang<sup>2</sup>, Dongxia Li<sup>2</sup>, Jun Li<sup>1</sup>, Yong Huang<sup>2</sup>, Meiling Huang<sup>2\*</sup> and Haifeng Ma<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Athletic Performance, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Rehabilitation, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University, Shenzhen Second People's Hospital, Shenzhen, China

**Aims:** This study aimed to assess the effects of Low-to-Moderate Intensity Continuous Training (LMICT), Moderate-Intensity Interval Training (MIIT), and Reduced-Exertion High-Intensity Training (REHIT) on blood glucose regulation, functional recovery, and lipid levels in individuals who have experienced a stroke and are diagnosed with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM).

**Methods:** Forty-two T2DM stroke patients were randomly allocated to four groups: LMICT, MIIT, REHIT, and a control group (CON). Participants continuously monitored their blood glucose levels throughout the intervention using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices. The study comprised two exercise intervention cycles: the first lasting from Day 3 to Day 14 and the second from Day 15 to Day 28, with the initial two days serving as contrasting periods. Primary outcomes encompassed CGM-derived blood glucose measurements, the Barthel Index (BI), Fugl-Meyer Assessment lower-extremity subscale (FMA-LE), and alterations in triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (LDL-c).

**Results:** Compared with the CON, the MIIT group showed significant improvements in mean glucose (MG), glucose standard deviation (SD), time above range (TAR), and time in range (TIR). The REHIT group exhibited significantly reduced time below range (TBR), glucose SD, and coefficient of variation (CV). Regarding lipid levels, although the REHIT group achieved a significant reduction in TG levels compared with the CON, the overall effects of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on lipid profiles were relatively modest. Concerning functional recovery, the REHIT group significantly improved the BI and FMA-LE.

**Conclusion:** Although the short-term quantitative impact of exercise on lipid levels may be limited, both REHIT and MIIT significantly improved glycemic management and reduced glucose variability in post-stroke patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Additionally, REHIT notably enhanced functional recovery.

#### KEYWORDS

stroke, type 2 diabetes mellitus, aerobic exercise training, continuous glucose monitoring, glycemic variability, functional activity, lipid levels

# 1 Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of mortality among Chinese residents, accounting for one-third of global stroke-related fatalities and representing a significant challenge to China's public health system (1, 2). Abnormal blood glucose levels have been identified as a significant risk factor for stroke, not only increasing disease complexity but also amplifying management challenges (3–5). In China, approximately 68.7% of stroke patients demonstrate glucose metabolism abnormalities, with 42.3% being concurrently diagnosed with diabetes (6). This proportion underscores the prevalence of diabetes among stroke patients and its potential impact on patient outcomes.

Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) has been the primary target for the clinical management of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), reflecting mean glucose (MG) levels over the past two to three months. However, its correlation with stroke recurrence risk appears limited. The effectiveness of intensified blood glucose control (i.e.,  $HbA_{1c} \leq 7\%$ ) in preventing recurrent strokes remains unclear for patients with diabetes in the post-acute phase (7). This ambiguity likely arises from the close association between elevated MG levels and microvascular complications of diabetes, while the association with macrovascular complications seems more indirect (8). Additionally, HbA<sub>1c</sub> cannot capture blood glucose fluctuations or provide information about glucose dynamics. However, advancements in continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) technology allow for a more precise assessment of an individual's blood glucose status, offering realtime data on fluctuations, hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and overall glucose variability-crucial factors for diabetes treatment (9-11). Research demonstrates a clear association between time in range (TIR), time below range (TBR), and the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases in patients with diabetes (10, 12). Furthermore, prospective and retrospective cohort studies reveal a dose-response relationship between glycemic variability and stroke risk, emphasizing the practical significance of evaluating blood glucose levels in stroke patients using CGM-derived glycemic management indicators (13).

Effective blood glucose management is essential for the functional recovery of stroke patients, as it promotes neurovascular repair and functional improvement (14). Aerobic exercise has been established as a powerful secondary prevention strategy, reducing cardiovascular risks for post-stroke patients and managing complications associated with diabetes (15–18). The current guidelines from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association recommend that stroke survivors engage in Low-to-Moderate Intensity Continuous Training (LMICT) three to five times per week, with each session lasting 20 to 60 minutes at an intensity of 40% to 70% of heart rate reserve, including five to ten minutes of lower-intensity warm-up and cool-down activities (19). Moreover, the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Diabetes Association recommend that patients with T2DM participate in at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity or 75 minutes of high-intensity exercise weekly (20). However, physical disabilities frequently hinder stroke patients' ability to adhere to these exercise recommendations. This situation can impede functional recovery and potentially increase the risk of stroke recurrence due to inadequate blood sugar control (18).

Although Reduced-Exertion High-Intensity Training (REHIT) and Moderate-Intensity Interval Training (MIIT) have shown promise in improving insulin sensitivity and reducing blood glucose levels in nonstroke populations, their effects on individuals with concurrent stroke and diabetes have not been extensively explored (21, 22). Therefore, this study aims to investigate the effects of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on CGM-derived indicators in stroke patients with diabetes while also tracking changes in essential prognostic indicators, such as lipid levels and functional activity. Additionally, the study examines the influence of different intensity levels and compares intermittent versus continuous exercise modalities in this high-risk population. The ultimate goal is to provide clinical evidence supporting the implementation of aerobic training programs for stroke patients with diabetes, facilitating improved glycemic control, lipid management, and functional recovery.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Study participants

The trial enrolled 42 hospitalized patients concurrently diagnosed with stroke and T2DM. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study received approval from the regional Ethics Committee. The trial has been registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry. Participant selection was based on the '2019 Diagnostic Essentials of Cerebrovascular Diseases in China' for stroke (confirmed by imaging) and the '2020 Chinese Guidelines for Type 2 Diabetes'. Inclusion criteria included age 18-80 years, a stroke occurring between 15 days to 1 year prior, the ability to walk 10 meters independently (with or without assistance), and adequate cognitive function to engage in study activities. Exclusion criteria were: unstable vital signs, progressive or acute-phase stroke, transient ischemic attacks, history of brain injury or other central nervous system issues, major cardiac or pulmonary diseases, severe hepatic or renal dysfunction, musculoskeletal limitations, untreated deep venous thrombosis, severe diabetic complications, other serious concurrent illnesses, or involvement in other clinical trials.

### 2.2 Study design

This prospective, randomized, controlled trial was conducted at the Rehabilitation Department of the Second People's Hospital of Shenzhen in Guangdong Province between October 2022 and October 2023. At baseline, participants underwent a comprehensive assessment, including blood tests, physiological measurements, functional evaluations, and exercise stress testing. Following the baseline assessments, 42 participants were randomly allocated to four groups: three experimental groups and one control group. The participants then engaged in a 4-week intervention trial (Figure 1). The randomization process involved participants selecting and opening opaque sealed envelopes, each containing a specific intervention assignment. The first two days of the study were designated as the "Contrast Days," and the data collected during this period were analyzed using mean values. "Cycle 1" referred to the period from day 3 to day 14, while "Cycle 2" encompassed the period from day 15 to day 28. Data analysis for these cycles was based on the mean values collected (Figure 2).

# 2.3 Sample size estimation

Metcalfe et al. reported that REHIT significantly reduced MG levels in patients with T2DM compared with a no-exercise control group, demonstrating a statistically significant Cohen's d value of 0.55 (23). Their study employed a repeated measures design with three distinct phases: baseline Contrast Days (days 1-2), Cycle 1 (days 3-14), and Cycle 2 (days 15-28). In the current study, we transformed Cohen's d to Cohen's *f* using the approximate transformation f = d/2 (24), yielding a value of 0.275. To ensure a robust sample size while accounting for variability, we set the effect size at a relatively low-medium range (f =0.25). The sample size was calculated using G\*Power 3.1.9.7 software (Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany), indicating that a total of 40 patients would be required to achieve 80% power at a significance level of 0.05. Given the small sample size, efforts will be made to minimize attrition. This study design enables the accurate assessment of the effects of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on glucose control in stroke patients with T2DM and the exploration of secondary outcomes related to functional recovery and lipid levels.

# 2.4 Collection of primary data

Critical clinical data collected included age, gender, height, weight, the duration of diabetes, the date of stroke onset, histories of smoking and alcohol consumption, medication use, and overall medical history. During baseline and endpoint assessments, participants provided fasting ante-cubital venous blood samples for the evaluation of fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c). The glucose oxidase method was employed to quantitatively assess blood glucose concentration, while the scatter turbidity method was used to measure HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels, with all samples analyzed in duplicate.





Furthermore, the BI was utilized to evaluate patients' activities of daily living, and the Fugl-Meyer Assessment lower-extremity subscale (FMA-LE) was administered to assess lower limb function.

# 2.5 Exercise stress test

In this study, participants underwent a symptom-limited maximum incremental cardiopulmonary exercise test using a fourlimb coordinated rehabilitation training device (HNT-LE-E001A, Humaneutec, Guangzhou, China) and a cardiopulmonary exercise test system (Masterscreen CPX, ERGOLINE GMBH, Germany). Prior to testing, each participant was fitted with a 5-lead electrocardiogram (ECG), equipped with an automatic blood pressure monitoring cuff and a gas analysis mask, and the system was calibrated accordingly. Resistance was increased stepwise by 1-2 levels every 2 minutes based on the patient's gender, age, height, weight, functional status, and exercise habits. The test protocol comprised several phases: 1)a 1-minute resting phase, during which the patient's heart rate and blood pressure were recorded; 2) a 3-minute warm-up without resistance; 3) a load phase, during which resistance was gradually increased according to the pre-selected scheme, lasting for 8-12 minutes until either maximum exercise load was reached or termination criteria were met; 4) a recovery phase, consisting of 3 minutes of unloaded movement, followed by 3 minutes of rest, during which the recovery of blood pressure and heart rate was monitored. Each resistance level was maintained for 2 minutes throughout the exercise, with a constant pedaling speed of 80 steps/min (25). Termination criteria included: achieving a VO<sub>2</sub> plateau (26); a heart rate exceeding 90% of the age-predicted maximum heart rate (or 85% for those on  $\beta$ -blockers) (27); a respiratory exchange ratio exceeding 1.0 (28); or a Borg scale rating (6-20) exceeding 17 (29). The test was also concluded if participants could not maintain the predetermined pedaling speed despite maximal effort.

# 2.6 Continuous glucose monitoring

In 2017, the International Conference on Advanced Technologies & Treatments for Diabetes (ATTD) established an

international consensus stating that CGM should be conducted for a duration exceeding 14 days, with at least 10 days of valid data (30). Adhering to this consensus enables more effective monitoring of trends in participant blood glucose levels. Consequently, CGM occurred throughout the 28-day intervention period, and data were segmented into three stages for trend analysis: days 1-2, days 3-14, and days 15-28. On days 0 and day 14 of the experiment, participants had glucose sensors (GS1, SIBIONICS, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) implanted in their non-dominant upper arm, each with a lifespan of 14 days. These sensors continuously recorded glucose levels every 5 minutes to obtain the MG, TIR, TBR, and TAR for each phase. Conventional analysis methods were employed to evaluate glycemic variability, constructing coefficients of variation (CV) and the standard deviation of glucose (SD glucose) based on the statistical characteristics of previous studies.

# 2.7 Medication therapy and dietary plan

All participants adhered to the endocrinologist's recommendations for the administration of hypoglycemic medications. During the study, participants were directed to maintain their hypoglycemic treatment regimen without modifications, and the timing of hypoglycemic medications or insulin injections remained consistent on a daily basis. Regarding dietary intake, the caloric content and the proportions of carbohydrates, proteins, and fats in each meal were recorded for the two days preceding the experiment, referred to as the Contrast Days, based on a standardized meal plan. Under the guidance of therapists, participants were instructed to maintain the dietary patterns established during the Contrast Days for the following 22 days.

### 2.8 Exercise intervention program

Under strict professional supervision, all exercise interventions were conducted to ensure their safety and efficacy. Participants in the experimental group used a standardized four-limb coordinated rehabilitation training device. Based on initial cardiopulmonary exercise test results, therapists customized the apparatus's resistance levels and pedal speeds for each participant. Continuous heart rate monitoring was implemented throughout each session to maintain optimal exercise intensity, and participants rated their perceived exertion using the Borg scale (range 6-20) immediately after each session. The exercise intervention program started on day 3 and lasted until day 24, with daily sessions. The program included two rest days after every five consecutive exercise days to prevent overtraining, resulting in 20 sessions across the intervention period. The sessions were divided into two phases, each comprising 10 sessions. Participants in the control group (CON) continued their routine treatment regimen without participating in any structured exercise program (Figure 3).

### 2.9 Statistics

Data were analyzed using SPSS 23 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical variables were presented as percentages, and continuous variables as mean ± standard deviation (M ± SD). Differences in baseline characteristics among the CON, LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT groups were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the chi-square test. Levene's test was applied to assess the homogeneity of variances, and if this assumption was violated, Welch's ANOVA was used. Fisher's exact test was employed for categorical variables when expected frequencies were less than five. Time and exercise mode were factors in a two-way repeated measures ANOVA for comparing and analyzing CGM data and deriving blood glucose indices for each exercise regimen. The Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied when the assumption of sphericity was violated. Significant interaction effects were further analyzed using the Bonferroni method for pairwise comparisons. Additionally, the effects of the LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT exercise regimens on functional activity and lipid levels were assessed via analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), incorporating baseline TG, TC, HDL-c, LDL-c, BI, and FMA-LE as covariates, with Bonferroni correction for all pairwise comparisons. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

# **3 Results**

The study initially included 42 participants, distributed as follows: 11 in the CON group, 10 in the LMICT group, 9 in the MIIT group, and 12 in the REHIT group. Due to personal reasons unrelated to the experiment, 3 participants withdrew from the study, including one from the CON group and two from the REHIT group. Additionally, 1 participant from the LMICT group was excluded due to fever symptoms, rendering them unable to continue with the training. No participants reported adverse reactions during the LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT training sessions.

# 3.1 Participant characteristics

Table 1 presents the participant characteristics. No statistically significant differences were observed among the LMICT, MIIT, REHIT, and CON groups in baseline characteristics, including age, height, weight, duration of diabetes, type of stroke, days post-stroke, glucose and lipid metabolism parameters, comorbid conditions, functional activity indicators, and types of concomitant treatments, such as oral hypoglycemic agents, insulin, statins, and  $\beta$ 1-blockers.

# 3.2 analysis of continuous glucose monitoring data on contrast days in cycle 1 and cycle 2

The analysis of MG values indicated a significant main effect among groups (F = 3.110, p = 0.039), while the main effect of time was not statistically significant (F = 3.327, p = 0.065). However, a significant interaction between group and time was observed (F =3.769, p = 0.011). Specifically, in the MIIT group, MG values showed a significant reduction over time (F = 8.343, p = 0.001). Multiple comparisons demonstrated that MG values significantly decreased from Contrast Days to Cycle 1 and Cycle 2. Relative to the



TABLE 1 Demographic and baseline clinical characteristics.

	CON (n = 11)	LMICT (n = 10)	MIIT (n = 9)	REHIT (n = 12)	Р
Withdraw halfway, n (%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	2 (16.7%)	0.894
Male/female, n (%)	3 (27.3%)	1 (10.0%)	4 (44.4%)	3 (25.0%)	0.426
Age (years)	59.73 ± 12.19	$62.20 \pm 8.01$	$63.67 \pm 10.28$	$57.42 \pm 10.47$	0.536
Height (cm)	$166.82 \pm 5.88$	$166.70 \pm 4.37$	$162.56 \pm 8.65$	$165.08 \pm 8.12$	0.598
Weight (kg)	$63.27 \pm 8.64$	61.70 ± 9.88	58.33 ± 9.64	63.75 ± 9.18	0.571
Duration of diabetes >10 years, n (%)	5 (45.5%)	4 (40.0%)	6 (66.7%)	5 (41.7%)	0.675
HbA1c (%)	$8.11 \pm 1.57$	$7.52 \pm 1.17$	8.35 ± 1.57	7.70 ± 1.33	0.550
FBG (mmol/L)	$6.46 \pm 1.48$	$6.07 \pm 1.14$	$7.23 \pm 1.97$	$6.30 \pm 1.16$	0.523
Days since stroke onset	$65.27 \pm 65.41$	100.00 ± 76.93	$102.3 \pm 101.86$	121.67 ± 90.98	0.461
Ischemic stroke, n (%)	10 (90.9%)	7 (70.0%)	8 (88.9%)	9 (75.0%)	0.580
History of stroke, n (%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	2 (16.7%)	0.561
With hypertension, n (%)	10 (90.9%)	9 (90.0%)	9 (100%)	12 (100.0%)	0.707
With dyslipidemia, n (%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (10.0%)	1 (11.1%)	2 (16.7%)	1.000
With coronary artery disease, n (%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (33.3%)	2 (16.7%)	0.603
History of smoking, n (%)	4 (36.4%)	6 (60.0%)	3 (33.3%)	4 (33.3%)	0.598
History of alcohol consumption, n (%)	2 (18.2%)	5 (50.0%)	1 (11.1%)	6 (50.0%)	0.126
ΓG (mmol/L)	$1.27 \pm 0.36$	$1.54 \pm 0.92$	$1.38 \pm 0.57$	$1.10 \pm 0.30$	0.323
ГС (mmol/L)	$3.27 \pm 1.24$	$3.27 \pm 0.91$	$3.95 \pm 1.14$	$3.53 \pm 0.89$	0.453
HDL-c (mmol/L)	$0.97 \pm 0.45$	$1.00 \pm 0.23$	$1.07 \pm 0.30$	$1.16 \pm 0.22$	0.491
LDL-c (mmol/L)	$1.84 \pm 0.61$	$1.91 \pm 0.58$	$2.49 \pm 1.00$	$1.99 \pm 0.60$	0.189
BI	58.18 ± 33.26	53.00 ± 17.19	55.00 ± 22.91	57.92 ± 22.00	0.938
FMA-LE	$17.91 \pm 7.78$	$17.60 \pm 7.85$	$17.67 \pm 7.07$	$18.83 \pm 8.04$	0.980
Oral blood-glucose-lowering medi	cation	1	1	1	
Biguanides, n (%)	5 (45.5%)	5 (50.0%)	4 (44.4%)	8 (66.7%)	0.730
DPP-4 Inhibitors, n (%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (30%)	3 (33.3%)	3 (25.0%)	0.900
SGLT2 Inhibitors, n (%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (33.3%)	2 (16.7%)	0.848
Sulfonylureas, n (%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (40.0%)	3 (33.3%)	5 (41.7%)	0.919
nsulin treatment n (%)					
Basal Insulin, n (%)	3 (27.3%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (33.3%)	3 (25.0%)	0.963
Multiple Daily Injections, n (%)	3 (27.3%)	2 (28.6%)	3 (33.3%)	2 (16.7%)	0.831
Biphasic Insulin, n (%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (8.3%)	1.000
Statins, n (%)	10 (90.9%)	6 (60.0%)	6 (66.7%)	8 (66.7%)	0.402
31-blocker, n (%)	2 (18.2%)	4 (40.0%)	2 (22.2%)	4 (33.3%)	0.722

Nominal data are presented as n (%). There were no between-group differences at baseline.

Contrast Days, significant differences were observed between Cycle 1 [mean difference (MD) = -0.923, p = 0.013] and Cycle 2 (MD = -1.484, p = 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 4).

Regarding TIR values, while the main effect among groups was not significant (F = 1.851, p = 0.157), a significant main effect of time was detected (F = 9.297, p = 0.002), along with a significant interaction effect observed between time and group (F = 3.372, p = 0.016). In the MIIT group, TIR values increased significantly over time (F = 8.947, p = 0.001). Multiple comparisons indicated significant increases in TIR values from Contrast Days to Cycle 1 (MD = 13.151, p = 0.002) and Cycle 2 (MD = 18.771, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 4).

The analysis of TBR values indicated that the main effect among groups was not significant (F = 1.083, p = 0.370), but the main effect of time proved significant (F = 4.656, p = 0.030), with no significant interaction between the group and time (F = 1.598, p = 0.197). In the REHIT group, TBR values significantly decreased over time (F = 4.625, p = 0.017). Multiple comparisons revealed significant reductions in TBR values from Contrast Days to Cycle 1 (MD = -3.238, p = 0.017) and Cycle 2 (MD = -3.912, p = 0.012) (Table 2, Figure 4).

The analysis of TAR values indicated that the main effect among groups was not significant (F = 2.421, p = 0.083), but the main effect of time proved significant (F = 5.674, p = 0.013), alongside a significant interaction effect observed between time and group (F = 3.024, p = 0.026). In the MIIT group, TAR values showed a significant decline over time (F = 7.803, p = 0.002). Multiple comparisons showed significant decreases in TAR values from Contrast Days to Cycle 1 (MD = -11.902, p = 0.005) and Cycle 2 (MD = -17.958, p = 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 4).

The analysis of the SD glucose indicated no significant main effect among groups (F = 0.485, p = 0.695), but the main effect of time was significant (F = 16.737, p < 0.001), with a significant interaction effect observed between time and group (F = 4.199, p = 0.004). Specifically, significant reductions in SD glucose over time were observed in the CON, MIIT, and REHIT groups (F = 5.970, p = 0.006; F = 13.884, p < 0.001; and F = 5.789, p = 0.007, respectively). Moreover, multiple comparisons revealed that in the CON condition, SD glucose significantly increased from Cycle 1 to Cycle 2 (MD = 0.271, p = 0.004), while in the MIIT group, SD glucose significantly decreased from Contrast Days

	MG (mmol/L)	CV (%)	SD glucose (mmol/L)	TIR (%)	TBR (%)	TAR (%)
Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2	$8.45 \pm 1.44$ $8.42 \pm 1.55$ $8.61 \pm 1.52$	$27.32 \pm 9.92 \\25.74 \pm 7.04 \\28.00 \pm 6.21$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.34 \pm 0.96 \\ 2.17 \pm 0.68 \\ 2.44 \pm 0.76 \end{array}$	$72.61 \pm 20.12 74.46 \pm 19.03 73.37 \pm 21.09$	$1.86 \pm 3.96$ $0.94 \pm 1.08$ $1.03 \pm 1.52$	$25.54 \pm 19.52 24.61 \pm 19.18 25.60 \pm 21.02$
Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2	$7.55 \pm 0.95$ $7.70 \pm 0.72$ $7.75 \pm 0.73$	$30.56 \pm 6.47$ $27.80 \pm 5.60$ $26.98 \pm 6.46$	$2.34 \pm 0.66$ $2.14 \pm 0.50$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$	$83.21 \pm 10.71$ $82.22 \pm 8.76$ $83.57 \pm 9.10$	$1.10 \pm 1.48$ $1.01 \pm 0.96$ $1.17 \pm 1.20$	$15.69 \pm 9.83$ $16.77 \pm 8.57$ $15.26 \pm 8.39$
Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2	$8.45 \pm 2.32$ $7.53 \pm 1.01$ $6.96 \pm 0.64$	$29.93 \pm 9.66$ $26.44 \pm 5.57$ $25.61 \pm 5.52$	$2.53 \pm 0.97$ $2.01 \pm 0.55$ $1.80 \pm 0.46$	$70.51 \pm 26.03 \\ 83.66 \pm 10.41 \\ 89.28 \pm 6.48$	$3.19 \pm 3.53$ $1.94 \pm 2.00$ $2.38 \pm 1.90$	$26.31 \pm 27.18 \\ 14.40 \pm 10.14 \\ 8.35 \pm 6.10$
Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2	$7.11 \pm 1.26$ $7.03 \pm 0.76$ $6.77 \pm 0.56$	$31.80 \pm 6.40$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ $26.56 \pm 4.46$	$2.24 \pm 0.45$ $1.95 \pm 0.43$ $1.80 \pm 0.37$	$82.39 \pm 11.41$ $88.20 \pm 8.44$ $91.04 \pm 5.88$	$5.58 \pm 8.70$ $2.34 \pm 4.31$ $1.66 \pm 2.23$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.04 \pm 10.38 \\ 9.46 \pm 7.17 \\ 7.29 \pm 4.59 \end{array}$
<i>F</i> , <i>P</i>	3.110, 0.039	0.174, 0.913	0.485, 0.695	1.851, 0.157	1.083, 0.370	2.421, 0.083
F, P	3.327, 0.065	10.514, < 0.001	16.737, < 0.001	9.297, 0.002	4.656, 0.030	5.674, 0.013 3.024, 0.026
	Cycle 1 Cycle 2 Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2 Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2 Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2 F, P	Contrast Days Cycle 1 $8.45 \pm 1.44$ $8.42 \pm 1.55$ Cycle 2Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $7.75 \pm 0.73$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.57 \pm 0.73$ Contrast Days Cycle 1 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $8.45 \pm 2.32$ $7.53 \pm 1.01$ Cycle 2Contrast Days Cycle 1 $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $7.03 \pm 0.76$ Cycle 2Contrast Days Cycle 1 $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $7.03 \pm 0.76$ Cycle 2F, P $3.110, 0.039$ F, P $3.327, 0.065$	(mmol/L)(%)Contrast Days Cycle 1 $8.45 \pm 1.44$ $8.42 \pm 1.55$ Cycle 2 $27.32 \pm 9.92$ $25.74 \pm 7.04$ $25.74 \pm 7.04$ $28.00 \pm 6.21$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $8.61 \pm 1.52$ $30.56 \pm 6.47$ $27.80 \pm 5.60$ $27.80 \pm 5.60$ Cycle 2Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $26.98 \pm 6.46$ Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 1 Cycle 2 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $7.53 \pm 1.01$ $26.44 \pm 5.57$ Cycle 2 $29.93 \pm 9.66$ $25.61 \pm 5.52$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $7.03 \pm 0.76$ Cycle 2 $31.80 \pm 6.40$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ Cycle 2Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $6.77 \pm 0.56$ $31.80 \pm 6.40$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ Cycle 2F, P $3.110, 0.039$ $0.174, 0.913$ F, P $3.327, 0.065$ $10.514, < 0.001$	(mmol/L)(%)(mmol/L)Contrast Days Cycle 1 $8.45 \pm 1.44$ $8.42 \pm 1.55$ Cycle 2 $2.732 \pm 9.92$ $2.574 \pm 7.04$ $2.17 \pm 0.68$ $2.44 \pm 0.76$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $8.61 \pm 1.52$ $30.56 \pm 6.47$ $2.800 \pm 6.21$ $2.34 \pm 0.66$ $2.44 \pm 0.76$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $30.56 \pm 6.47$ $2.698 \pm 6.46$ $2.14 \pm 0.50$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$ Contrast Days Cycle 2 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $7.55 \pm 0.73$ $26.98 \pm 6.46$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$ Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 1 Cycle 2 $7.53 \pm 1.01$ $6.96 \pm 0.64$ $26.44 \pm 5.57$ $2.01 \pm 0.55$ $2.01 \pm 0.55$ $1.80 \pm 0.46$ Contrast Days Cycle 1 Cycle 2 $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $7.03 \pm 0.76$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ $1.95 \pm 0.43$ Cycle 2 $31.10, 0.039$ 0.174, 0.9130.485, 0.695F, P $3.327, 0.065$ $10.514, < 0.001$ $16.737, < 0.001$	(mmol/L)(%)(mmol/L)(%)Contrast Days $8.45 \pm 1.44$ $27.32 \pm 9.92$ $2.34 \pm 0.96$ $72.61 \pm 20.12$ Cycle 1 $8.42 \pm 1.55$ $25.74 \pm 7.04$ $2.17 \pm 0.68$ $74.46 \pm 19.03$ Cycle 2 $8.61 \pm 1.52$ $28.00 \pm 6.21$ $2.44 \pm 0.76$ $73.37 \pm 21.09$ Contrast Days $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $30.56 \pm 6.47$ $2.34 \pm 0.66$ $83.21 \pm 10.71$ Cycle 1 $7.70 \pm 0.72$ $27.80 \pm 5.60$ $2.14 \pm 0.50$ $82.22 \pm 8.76$ Cycle 2 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $26.98 \pm 6.46$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$ $83.57 \pm 9.10$ Contrast Days $8.45 \pm 2.32$ $29.93 \pm 9.66$ $2.53 \pm 0.97$ $70.51 \pm 26.03$ Cycle 1 $7.53 \pm 1.01$ $26.44 \pm 5.57$ $2.01 \pm 0.55$ $83.66 \pm 10.41$ Cycle 2 $6.96 \pm 0.64$ $25.61 \pm 5.52$ $1.80 \pm 0.46$ $89.28 \pm 6.48$ Contrast Days $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $31.80 \pm 6.40$ $2.24 \pm 0.45$ $82.39 \pm 11.41$ Cycle 1 $7.03 \pm 0.76$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ $1.95 \pm 0.43$ $88.20 \pm 8.44$ Cycle 2 $6.77 \pm 0.56$ $26.56 \pm 4.46$ $1.80 \pm 0.37$ $91.04 \pm 5.88$ F, P $3.110, 0.039$ $0.174, 0.913$ $0.485, 0.695$ $1.851, 0.157$ F, P $3.327, 0.065$ $10.514, < 0.001$ $16.737, < 0.001$ $9.297, 0.002$	(mmol/L)(%)(mmol/L)(%)(%)(%)Contrast Days $8.45 \pm 1.44$ $27.32 \pm 9.92$ $2.34 \pm 0.96$ $72.61 \pm 20.12$ $1.86 \pm 3.96$ Cycle 1 $8.42 \pm 1.55$ $25.74 \pm 7.04$ $2.17 \pm 0.68$ $74.46 \pm 19.03$ $0.94 \pm 1.08$ Cycle 2 $8.61 \pm 1.52$ $28.00 \pm 6.21$ $2.44 \pm 0.76$ $73.37 \pm 21.09$ $1.03 \pm 1.52$ Contrast Days $7.55 \pm 0.95$ $30.56 \pm 6.47$ $2.34 \pm 0.66$ $83.21 \pm 10.71$ $1.10 \pm 1.48$ Cycle 1 $7.70 \pm 0.72$ $27.80 \pm 5.60$ $2.14 \pm 0.50$ $82.22 \pm 8.76$ $1.01 \pm 0.96$ Cycle 2 $7.75 \pm 0.73$ $26.98 \pm 6.46$ $2.11 \pm 0.61$ $83.57 \pm 9.10$ $1.17 \pm 1.20$ Contrast Days $8.45 \pm 2.32$ $29.93 \pm 9.66$ $2.53 \pm 0.97$ $70.51 \pm 26.03$ $3.19 \pm 3.53$ Cycle 1 $7.53 \pm 1.01$ $26.44 \pm 5.57$ $2.01 \pm 0.55$ $83.66 \pm 10.41$ $1.94 \pm 2.00$ Cycle 2 $6.96 \pm 0.64$ $25.61 \pm 5.52$ $1.80 \pm 0.46$ $89.28 \pm 6.48$ $2.38 \pm 1.90$ Contrast Days $7.11 \pm 1.26$ $31.80 \pm 6.40$ $2.24 \pm 0.45$ $82.39 \pm 11.41$ $5.58 \pm 8.70$ Cycle 1 $7.03 \pm 0.76$ $27.83 \pm 5.95$ $1.95 \pm 0.43$ $88.20 \pm 8.44$ $2.34 \pm 4.31$ Cycle 2 $6.77 \pm 0.56$ $26.56 \pm 4.46$ $1.80 \pm 0.37$ $91.04 \pm 5.88$ $1.66 \pm 2.23$ F, P $3.110, 0.039$ $0.174, 0.913$ $0.485, 0.695$ $1.851, 0.157$ $1.083, 0.370$ F, P $3.327, 0.065$ $10.514, < 0.001$ $16.737, < 0.001$ $9.297, 0.002$ $4.656, 0.030$ <

TABLE 2 Comparison of CGM-derived blood glucose monitoring data among participants on contrast days, cycle 1, and cycle 2.

to Cycle 1 (MD = -0.516, p = 0.004) and Cycle 2 (MD = -0.731, p < 0.001). In the REHIT group, SD glucose significantly decreased from Contrast Days to Cycle 2 (MD = -0.439, p = 0.008) (Table 2, Figure 4).

Finally, the analysis of the CV values revealed no significant main i effect among groups (F = 0.174, p = 0.913). However, the main effect

of time proved significant (F = 10.514, p < 0.001), with no significant interaction effect observed between group and time (F = 1.577, p = 0.187). In the REHIT group, CV values significantly decreased over time (F = 4.562, p = 0.018). Multiple comparisons indicated that in the REHIT group, CV values significantly decreased from Contrast Days to Cycle 2 (MD = -5.235, p = 0.013) (Table 2, Figure 4).



#### FIGURE 4

Panels display the variations in the least squares means of CGM-derived measurements relative to Contrast Days for each of Cycles 1 and 2 across the CON, LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT groups. The vertical axis depicts the difference in average values, and 'l' represents the standard error, with  $*p \leq 0.05$  indicating statistical significance.

# 3.3 Pre- and post-study analysis of blood lipid and functional test variations

Functional outcomes, as assessed by the BI, showed mean improvements of 6.11, 8.87, 16.46, and 6.05 points in the LMICT, MIIT, REHIT, and CON groups, respectively. *Post-hoc* analyses revealed a significant improvement in the REHIT group compared with the CON group, with a least squares MD of 10.41 points (95% CI: 3.62 to 17.21, p = 0.001). Concurrently, FMA-LE demonstrated mean improvements of 2.43, 3.21, 4.23, and 1.20 points in the LMICT, MIIT, REHIT, and CON groups, respectively. The REHIT group exhibited a significant improvement over CON, with a least squares MD of 3.03 points (95% CI: 0.70 to 5.37, p = 0.005) (Table 3, Figure 5).

Throughout the study, the least squares mean of TG exhibited the following changes: it decreased by 0.13 mmol/L in the LMICT group, increased by 0.03 mmol/L in the MIIT group, decreased by 0.30 mmol/ L in the REHIT group, and increased by 0.13 mmol/L in the CON group, pre- and post-study. Post-hoc analyses revealed that, compared to CON, the REHIT group exhibited a significant decrease in TG levels of 0.43 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.85 to -0.01, p = 0.043). The least squares mean of TC demonstrated the following changes: it increased by 0.31 mmol/L in the LMICT group, decreased by 0.01 mmol/L in the MIIT group, decreased by 0.07 mmol/L in the REHIT group, and decreased by 0.25 mmol/L in the CON group. Post-hoc analyses determined that changes in TC among the intervention groups, relative to the CON group, were not statistically significant. By the end of the study, the least squares mean of HDL-c shifted as follows: it declined slightly by 0.01 mmol/L in the LMICT group, increased by 0.08 mmol/L in the MIIT group, increased by 0.15 mmol/L in the REHIT group, and decreased by 0.01 mmol/L in the CON group. Post-hoc analyses showed no statistically significant differences in HDL-c changes between the intervention groups and the CON group. The least squares mean of LDL-c changed as follows: it decreased by 0.03 mmol/L in the LMICT group, remained stable at approximately 0.00 mmol/L in the MIIT group, decreased by 0.10 mmol/L in the REHIT group, and decreased by 0.09 mmol/L in the CON group. Post-hoc analyses showed no

statistically significant differences in LDL-c changes between the intervention groups and CON (Table 3, Figure 4).

# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Assessing the tolerance and safety risks of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT in stroke patients with diabetes

This study was conducted under professional supervision, utilizing a four-limb coordinated rehabilitation training device to effectively engage significant muscle groups in accordance with guideline recommendations (31). Supervision records demonstrated an absence of adverse reactions or negative emotions during the experimental process, suggesting good tolerability of the intervention measures. Notably, inappropriate exercise can result in adverse reactions, with hypoglycemic events being the most common. Hypoglycemia may exacerbate brain damage in stroke patients, potentially leading to more severe cerebral ischemic injury, cerebral edema, and, in extreme cases, irreversible brain damage. However, this study found that the interaction effect between time and group was not significant for the LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT groups (F = 1.598, p = 0.197). No significant differences in the increase of TBR were observed between the Contrast Days, Cycle 1, and Cycle 2. Additionally, TBR values in the REHIT group significantly decreased from the Contrast Days to Cycles 1 and 2 (MD = - 3.238, *p* = 0.017; MD = -3.912, *p* = 0.012). Although research indicates that patients with T2DM treated with insulin or insulin secretagogues (such as sulfonylureas and glinides) face a higher risk of hypoglycemia after prolonged high-intensity exercise (32-36), 30 to 40 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise do not significantly raise the risk of hypoglycemia, even in those on long-term insulin therapy (37). Moreover, short-term high-intensity exercise may also help prevent hypoglycemia (20). This study corroborates previous research, suggesting that daily 30-minute sessions of LMICT, MIIT,

TABLE 3 Comparison of blood lipid and functional test indicators among participants before and after the start of the study indicators.

	CON	LMICTLMICT	MITT	REHIT
BI (number)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and Contrast Days	$6.05 \pm 1.71$	$6.11 \pm 1.81$	$8.87 \pm 1.80$	$16.46 \pm 1.72$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		0.06 (-6.93; 7.05)	2.82 (-4.16; 9.80)	10.41 (3.62; 17.21)
FMA-LE (number)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and Contrast Days	$1.20 \pm 0.59$	$2.43 \pm 0.62$	$3.21 \pm 0.62$	$4.23 \pm 0.59$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		1.23 (-1.17; 3.63)	2.01 (-0.39; 4.41)	3.03 (0.70; 5.37)
TG (mmol/L)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and Contrast Days	$0.13 \pm 0.11$	$-0.13 \pm 0.11$	$0.03 \pm 0.11$	$-0.30 \pm 0.11$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		-0.26 (-0.70; 0.18)	-0.10 (-0.53; 0.34)	-0.43 (-0.85; -0.01)
TC (mmol/L)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and Contrast Days	-0.25 ± 0.16	$0.31 \pm 0.17$	$-0.01 \pm 0.17$	$-0.07 \pm 0.16$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		0.57 (-0.09; 1.22)	0.24 (-0.43; 0.92)	0.19 (-0.46; 0.83)
HDL-c (mmol/L)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and contrast day	$-0.01 \pm 0.08$	$-0.01 \pm 0.08$	$0.08 \pm 0.08$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		0.00 (-0.31; 0.31)	0.09 (-0.23; 0.40)	0.16 (-0.16; 0.47)
LDL-c (mmol/L)				
Least-squares mean change ± SE between exercise day and Contrast Days	$-0.09 \pm 0.14$	$-0.03 \pm 0.15$	$-0.00 \pm 0.16$	$-0.10 \pm 0.14$
Least-squares mean difference as compared with CON (95% CI)		0.06 (-0.51; 0.63)	0.08 (-0.52; 0.68)	-0.01 (-0.57; 0.54)



and 10-minute sessions of REHIT do not elevate the risk of hypoglycemia in patients with concurrent diabetes and stroke.

# 4.2 Impact of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on MG levels in stroke patients with diabetes

The prevailing view holds that MG levels are the primary factor leading to the glycation of hemoglobin and other proteins, which is considered the initial stage in developing long-term complications (38, 39). This study's findings reveal a significant reduction in participants' MG levels over time under MIIT conditions. Repeated measures ANOVA demonstrated the statistical significance of MG level improvements and the critical interaction between time and exercise type, emphasizing the influence of exercise patterns and accumulated exercise days on MG levels. This finding aligns with previous research assessing the effects of 40 minutes of low-intensity continuous exercise (60% of VO2 peak) and interval exercise (alternating 4 minutes at 50% of VO2 peak with 1 minute at 80% of VO<sub>2</sub> peak) on glycated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) levels, noting a significant decrease in the interval exercise group. Moreover, studies have shown that long-term exercise may gradually reduce weekly HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels by 0.009% to 0.043% (22, 40). Despite the close correlation between HbA1c and MG levels measured by CGM, research has revealed significant variances in MG levels among individuals with identical HbA1c levels, potentially due to differences in individual hemoglobin glycation rates and other physiological factors (9, 41). Consequently, MG levels have emerged as a more sensitive metric than HbA<sub>1c</sub> for evaluating the effects of short-term interventions. The results of this study suggest that MIIT, which combines moderate to high intensity and duration, offers significant advantages over other exercise regimens in improving short-term average blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes and concurrent stroke.

# 4.3 Impact of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on glycemic variability in stroke patients with diabetes

At the ATTD conference, TIR was recognized as the primary metric in CGM research. Extensive research has confirmed an association between lower TIR and increased risk factors for major vascular diseases (17). A 2021 longitudinal study strongly supported TIR as a protective factor against cardiovascular disease mortality (12). Meta-analyses have indicated that exercise therapy significantly improves TIR in patients with T2DM, with a weighted mean difference (WMD) of 4.21% (95% CI: 0.95 to 7.46%; P < 0.01) (42). Our study further elucidates the variability in the effectiveness of different exercise regimens in enhancing TIR. Our findings indicate that, although inter-group differences in TIR were insignificant, the main effect of time was highly significant.

Furthermore, the time and exercise group interaction displayed statistical significance, with MIIT exhibiting a distinct advantage in improving TIR. Importantly, even small TIR increases of 5% have substantial clinical implications and can considerably enhance glycemic control (30). With the exception of the LMICT group, the MIIT and REHIT groups demonstrated TIR improvements, surpassing 5% from Contrast Days to Cycle 2. Correspondingly, only the MIIT group showed a statistically significant reduction in TAR from Contrast Days

to Cycle 2, potentially due to the strong inverse correlation between TIR and TAR (43). The study findings indicate that, while REHIT may have clinical significance in improving TIR among stroke patients with concurrent diabetes, MIIT is superior in decreasing hyperglycemia risk and increasing the duration patients maintain blood glucose levels within the target range. In contrast, LMICT appears ineffective in improving TIR or reducing TAR in this patient population.

# 4.4 Impact of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on glycemic variability in stroke patients with diabetes

Glycemic variability, commonly assessed using SD glucose, CV, or a combination thereof, is associated with an increased risk of recurrent cardiovascular events and mortality in patients with ischemic stroke and diabetes. Furthermore, glycemic variability is linked to brain atrophy and cognitive impairment in patients with T2DM, potentially exacerbating cognitive decline in stroke survivors (44, 45). SD glucose is a parameter reflecting daily blood glucose fluctuations, quantifying deviations from the mean level, and is a crucial indicator for assessing glycemic variability. Compared to Contrast Days, this study demonstrated a significant reduction in SD glucose for the MIIT and REHIT groups, indicating that physiological adaptations from sustained high-level exercise enhance blood glucose control. This enhancement is likely achieved by extending the duration within the target blood glucose range and minimizing the time spent at extreme glucose peaks. Compared to SD glucose, CV (calculated as SD glucose ÷MG) more accurately reflects deviations associated with hypoglycemia and significantly correlates with the TBR metric (9, 46). Although the specific mechanisms by which REHIT improves CV are not fully understood, previous research has demonstrated that 10 minutes of REHIT can reduce muscle glycogen by approximately 20% and increase the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha (PGC-1 $\alpha$ ) and glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4) mRNA in skeletal muscle. The rapid increase in PGC-1 $\alpha$  is associated with enhanced mitochondrial biogenesis, elevated GLUT4 expression, and increased muscle glucose transport capacity. These exerciseinduced adaptive changes indicate that the upregulation of PGC-1a and GLUT4 contributes to improved glucose uptake and could enhance glucose utilization efficiency by promoting fatty acid oxidation and enhanced muscle insulin sensitivity, thereby benefiting the control or reduction of hypoglycemia risk and maintaining stable blood glucose levels (47, 48). These findings highlight the beneficial effects of MIIT and REHIT on reducing glycemic variability in patients with stroke and concurrent T2DM, with REHIT offering additional benefits in managing hypoglycemic deviations.

## 4.5 Impact of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on blood lipid profiles and functional test variations in stroke patients with diabetes

Patients who have experienced a stroke should routinely undergo lipid screenings, including TC, LDL-c, HDL-c, and TG measurements

(49). Current research demonstrates that stroke patients engaged in secondary preventive medication can significantly reduce their TG levels after six weeks of regular exercise, which includes activities such as assisted walking and non-weight-bearing treadmill sessions (50). This study further revealed that after four weeks of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT training, only the REHIT group exhibited a significant decrease in TG levels compared to the CON (least squares MD = -0.43 mmol/L, 95% CI: -0.85 to -0.01, p = 0.043). This finding is consistent with the results of Cuddy et al., who observed that REHIT significantly lowers TG levels and surpasses traditional 30-minute continuous aerobic exercises at 50%-65% heart rate reserve (HRR) (51). Although the clinical benefits of reduced TG levels in this trial remain unconfirmed, a meta-analysis of 64 studies suggests a strong association between elevated TG levels and an increased risk of stroke (adjusted relative risk [RR] 1.05; 95% CI: 1.03 to 1.07), with every 10 mg/dL increase in TG corresponding to an increase in relative risk (52). TG levels are inversely related to the risk of hemorrhagic stroke (53, 54). However, similar to most exercise trials involving patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) (16, 55–57), changes in TC, LDL-c, and HDL-c levels in the MIIT, LMICT, and REHIT groups were not significant in this study. While reducing LDL-c is recognized as a strategy to decrease recurrent stroke risk-with potential reductions of up to 20% in the five-year risk of major vascular events per 1 mmol/L-neither MIIT, REHIT, nor LMICT achieved the MD reported in D'Isabella et al.'s meta-analysis (-0.19 mmol/L, 95% CI: -0.88 to 0.50) (16, 58). This discrepancy may arise from D'Isabella's inclusion of strength training, whereas a metaanalysis focused solely on aerobic training showed a modest postexercise MD in LDL-c of only 0.06 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.28 to 0.15) (57), indicating the limited impact of aerobic training on LDL-c levels. Consequently, despite REHIT's positive effect on TG levels, the overall short-term quantitative effects of LMICT, MIIT, and REHIT on lipid profiles in patients with diabetes and stroke are limited.

Furthermore, this study demonstrated a strong correlation between exercise intensity and functional recovery indices, with significant improvements in the BI and FMA. This finding aligns with prior research, which suggests that aerobic training, especially at high intensities, significantly improves functional recovery in stroke patients (59, 60). As exercise intensity escalated from LMICT to MIIT and REHIT, incremental enhancements in BI and FMA-LE were noted, with particularly significant improvements observed in the REHIT group. Surpassing a clinically significant threshold of 10 BI points indicates statistical significance and clinical relevance (61). This finding underscores the advantages of higher-intensity exercise in enhancing lower limb functional recovery compared to lower-intensity exercise, reaffirming the importance of selecting an appropriate exercise intensity for stroke patients' rehabilitation.

# 5 Limitations of the study

Several meta-analyses published in 2020 and 2021 indicate that although numerous trials have investigated the impact of exercise on glycemic variability (GV) in patients with T2DM, systematic research on long-term training interventions (duration  $\geq 2$  weeks) remains limited (62-64). Our comprehensive literature search further corroborates this conclusion. To our knowledge, this study represents the first to employ CGM technology to continuously monitor patients with T2DM and concurrent stroke, assessing GV, MG, and TIR during a four-week randomized controlled exercise intervention while simultaneously providing data on lipid profiles and functional recovery. Although this trial demonstrated that four weeks of exercise training positively influences glycemic fluctuations, lipid profiles, and functional recovery, additional research involving more extended training and follow-up periods is crucial to fully comprehend the persistence and effectiveness of these effects. Moreover, despite the absence of statistically significant differences in medication use among the study groups, the diverse mechanisms of action of antidiabetic drugs may influence exercise-induced changes in blood glucose. Future investigations should monitor post-exercise blood glucose variability in users of various antidiabetic drugs (including insulin) to explore the interactions between these medications and exercise training and their impact on glucose management. Finally, while this study provides novel insights for patients with diabetes and stroke, the preliminary nature of these findings, attributable to the restricted sample size, underscores the necessity for more extensive studies (20). Given the small sample size of the trial, the results should be interpreted with caution. Despite these limitations, our findings offer new perspectives on personalized exercise interventions for patients with diabetes and stroke and emphasize the need for prospective clinical trials incorporating more extended intervention and follow-up periods to validate our preliminary results.

# 6 Conclusions

The findings of this study demonstrate that REHIT and MIIT significantly improve glycemic control indicators for patients with T2DM following a stroke. Data collected through CGM indicate that REHIT and MIIT can effectively reduce SD glucose, thereby reducing glucose fluctuations. It is noteworthy that REHIT has demonstrated significant advantages in reducing CV and TBR, indicating its potential benefits in correcting hypoglycemic deviations. Conversely, MIIT is more effective in lowering MG, enhancing TIR, and improving TAR for high blood glucose levels. However, the efficacy of LMICT in improving CGM-measured blood glucose control indicators is relatively limited.

Furthermore, while exercise induces modest short-term effects on lipid profiles, REHIT demonstrates a more substantial decrease in triglyceride levels compared to the control group. As exercise intensity escalates, notable enhancements in BI and FMA-LE are observed, particularly within the REHIT group, underscoring the beneficial influence of exercise intensity on facilitating functional recovery. Although these findings necessitate additional research for corroboration, they provide a valuable framework for tailored exercise prescriptions for individuals with T2DM following a stroke.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Shenzhen Second People's Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

KC: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. YW: Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. DL: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – original draft. YH: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. MH: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. HM: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study receives its principal funding from the following grants: Sanming Project of Medicine in Shenzhen (No. SZSM202111010) and the Science, Technology and Innovation Commission of Shenzhen (JCYJ20230807115203007 to MH).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, Yin P, Zhu J, Chen W, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors in China and its provinces, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet (London England)*. (2019) 394:1145–58. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(19)30427-1

2. Hu G, Gu H, Jiang Y, Yang X, Wang C, Jiang Y, et al. Prevalence and In-hospital outcomes of diabetes among acute ischemic stroke patients in China: results from the Chinese Stroke Center Alliance. J Neurol. (2022) 269:4772–82. doi: 10.1007/s00415-022-11112-z

3. Madsen TE, Long DL, Carson AP, Howard G, Kleindorfer DO, Furie KL, et al. Sex and race differences in the risk of ischemic stroke associated with fasting blood glucose in REGARDS. *Neurology*. (2021) 97:e684–94. doi: 10.1212/wnl.000000000012296

4. Jia Q, Liu G, Zheng H, Zhao X, Wang C, Wang Y, et al. Impaired glucose regulation predicted 1-year mortality of Chinese patients with ischemic stroke: data from abnormal glucose regulation in patients with acute stroke across China. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:1498–500. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.113.002977

5. Tian DS, Liu CC, Wang CL, Qin C, Wang MH, Liu WH, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of stroke in China: a national serial cross-sectional study from 2003 to 2018. *Stroke Vasc Neurol.* (2023) 8:238–48. doi: 10.1136/svn-2022-001598

6. Jia Q, Zheng H, Zhao X, Wang C, Liu G, Wang Y, et al. Abnormal glucose regulation in patients with acute stroke across China: prevalence and baseline patient characteristics. *Stroke*. (2012) 43:650–7. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.111.633784

7. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, Cockroft KM, Gutierrez J, Lombardi-Hill D, et al. 2021 Guideline for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: A guideline from the american heart association/american stroke association. *Stroke*. (2021) 52:e364–467. doi: 10.1161/str.0000000000037

8. Chehregosha H, Khamseh ME, Malek M, Hosseinpanah F, Ismail-Beigi F. A view beyond hbA1c: role of continuous glucose monitoring. *Diabetes Ther.* (2019) 10:853–63. doi: 10.1007/s13300-019-0619-1

9. Danne T, Nimri R, Battelino T, Bergenstal RM, Close KL, DeVries JH, et al. International consensus on use of continuous glucose monitoring. *Diabetes Care*. (2017) 40:1631–40. doi: 10.2337/dc17-1600

10. Yapanis M, James S, Craig ME, O'Neal D, Ekinci EI. Complications of diabetes and metrics of glycemic management derived from continuous glucose monitoring. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 107:e2221–36. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgac034

11. Garber AJ, Handelsman Y, Grunberger G, Einhorn D, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al. CONSENSUS STATEMENT BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS AND AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOLOGY ON THE COMPREHENSIVE TYPE 2 DIABETES MANAGEMENT ALGORITHM - 2020 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY. *Endocrine Pract.* (2020) 26:107–39. doi: 10.4158/cs-2019-0472

12. Lu J, Wang C, Shen Y, Chen L, Zhang L, Cai J, et al. Time in range in relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: A prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care.* (2021) 44:549–55. doi: 10.2337/dc20-1862

13. Ren X, Wang Z, Guo C. Long-term glycemic variability and risk of stroke in patients with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetol Metab Syndrome*. (2022) 14:6. doi: 10.1186/s13098-021-00770-0

14. Prakash R, Li W, Qu Z, Johnson MA, Fagan SC, Ergul A. Vascularization pattern after ischemic stroke is different in control versus diabetic rats: relevance to stroke recovery. *Stroke*. (2013) 44:2875–82. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.113.001660

15. Prior PL, Suskin N. Exercise for stroke prevention. *Stroke Vasc Neurol.* (2018) 3:59–68. doi: 10.1136/svn-2018-000155

16. D'Isabella NT, Shkredova DA, Richardson JA, Tang A. Effects of exercise on cardiovascular risk factors following stroke or transient ischemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabilitation*. (2017) 31:1561–72. doi: 10.1177/0269215517709051

17. Serra MC, Hafer-Macko CE, Robbins R, O'Connor JC, Ryan AS. Randomization to treadmill training improves physical and metabolic health in association with declines in oxidative stress in stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabilitation*. (2022) 103:2077–84. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.06.011

18. Ivey FM, Ryan AS, Hafer-Macko CE, Goldberg AP, Macko RF. Treadmill aerobic training improves glucose tolerance and indices of insulin sensitivity in disabled stroke survivors: a preliminary report. *Stroke*. (2007) 38:2752–8. doi: 10.1161/ strokeaha.107.490391

19. Billinger SA, Arena R, Bernhardt J, Eng JJ, Franklin BA, Johnson CM, et al. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:2532–53. doi: 10.1161/str.00000000000022

20. Kanaley JA, Colberg SR, Corcoran MH, Malin SK, Rodriguez NR, Crespo CJ, et al. Exercise/physical activity in individuals with type 2 diabetes: A consensus statement from the american college of sports medicine. *Med Sci Sports Exercise*. (2022) 54:353–68. doi: 10.1249/mss.00000000002800

21. Ruffino JS, Songsorn P, Haggett M, Edmonds D, Robinson AM, Thompson D, et al. A comparison of the health benefits of reduced-exertion high-intensity interval training (REHIT) and moderate-intensity walking in type 2 diabetes patients. *Appl Physiol Nutrition Metab = Physiol Appliquee Nutr Metabolisme*. (2017) 42:202–8. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2016-0497

22. Mitranun W, Deerochanawong C, Tanaka H, Suksom D. Continuous vs interval training on glycemic control and macro- and microvascular reactivity in type 2 diabetic patients. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* (2014) 24:e69–76. doi: 10.1111/sms.12112

23. Metcalfe RS, Fitzpatrick B, Fitzpatrick S, McDermott G, Brick N, McClean C, et al. Extremely short duration interval exercise improves 24-h glycaemia in men with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* (2018) 118:2551–62. doi: 10.1007/s00421-018-3980-2

24. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. New York: Routledge (1988).

25. Billinger SA, Tseng BY, Kluding PM. Modified total-body recumbent stepper exercise test for assessing peak oxygen consumption in people with chronic stroke. *Phys Ther.* (2008) 88:1188–95. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080072

26. Yates JS, Studenski S, Gollub S, Whitman R, Perera S, Lai SM, et al. Bicycle ergometry in subacute-stroke survivors: feasibility, safety, and exercise performance. *J Aging Phys Activity*. (2004) 12:64–74. doi: 10.1123/japa.12.1.64

27. Mattlage AE, Ashenden AL, Lentz AA, Rippee MA, Billinger SA. Submaximal and peak cardiorespiratory response after moderate-high intensity exercise training in subacute stroke. *Cardiopulmonary Phys Ther J.* (2013) 24:14–20. doi: 10.1097/01823246-201324030-00003

28. Edvardsen E, Hem E, Anderssen SA. End criteria for reaching maximal oxygen uptake must be strict and adjusted to sex and age: a cross-sectional study. *PloS One.* (2014) 9:e85276. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085276

29. Billinger SA, Vans E, McClain M, Lentz AA, Good MB. Recumbent stepper submaximal exercise test to predict peak oxygen uptake. *Med Sci Sports Exercise*. (2012) 44:1539–44. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31824f5be4

30. Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, Amiel SA, Beck R, Biester T, et al. Clinical targets for continuous glucose monitoring data interpretation: recommendations from the international consensus on time in range. *Diabetes Care.* (2019) 42:1593–603. doi: 10.2337/dci19-0028

31. MacKay-Lyons M, Billinger SA, Eng JJ, Dromerick A, Giacomantonio N, Hafer-Macko C, et al. Aerobic exercise recommendations to optimize best practices in care after stroke: AEROBICS 2019 update. *Phys Ther.* (2020) 100:149–56. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzz153

32. Zierath JR, He L, Gumà A, Odegoard Wahlström E, Klip A, Wallberg-Henriksson H. Insulin action on glucose transport and plasma membrane GLUT4 content in skeletal muscle from patients with NIDDM. *Diabetologia*. (1996) 39:1180–9. doi: 10.1007/bf02658504

33. Kennedy JW, Hirshman MF, Gervino EV, Ocel JV, Forse RA, Hoenig SJ, et al. Acute exercise induces GLUT4 translocation in skeletal muscle of normal human subjects and subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. (1999) 48:1192–7. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.5.1192

34. Musi N, Fujii N, Hirshman MF, Ekberg I, Fröberg S, Ljungqvist O, et al. AMPactivated protein kinase (AMPK) is activated in muscle of subjects with type 2 diabetes during exercise. *Diabetes*. (2001) 50:921–7. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.50.5.921

35. Rosenstock J, Hassman DR, Madder RD, Brazinsky SA, Farrell J, Khutoryansky N, et al. Repaglinide versus nateglinide monotherapy: a randomized, multicenter study. *Diabetes Care.* (2004) 27:1265–70. doi: 10.2337/diacare.27.6.1265

36. Larsen JJ, Dela F, Madsbad S, Vibe-Petersen J, Galbo H. Interaction of sulfonylureas and exercise on glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* (1999) 22:1647–54. doi: 10.2337/diacare.22.10.1647

37. van Dijk JW, Manders RJ, Tummers K, Bonomi AG, Stehouwer CD, Hartgens F, et al. Both resistance- and endurance-type exercise reduce the prevalence of hyperglycaemia in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance and in insulin-treated and non-insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetologia*. (2012) 55:1273–82. doi: 10.1007/s00125-011-2380-5

38. Ceriello A, Prattichizzo F, Phillip M, Hirsch IB, Mathieu C, Battelino T. Glycaemic management in diabetes: old and new approaches. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2022) 10:75–84. doi: 10.1016/s2213-8587(21)00245-x

39. El Malahi A, Van Elsen M, Charleer S, Dirinck E, Ledeganck K, Keymeulen B, et al. Relationship between time in range, glycemic variability, hbA1c, and complications in adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 107:e570–81. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab688

40. Grace A, Chan E, Giallauria F, Graham PL, Smart NA. Clinical outcomes and glycaemic responses to different aerobic exercise training intensities in type II diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2017) 16:37. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0518-6

41. Wilson DM, Xing D, Beck RW, Block J, Bode B, Fox LA, et al. Hemoglobin A1c and mean glucose in patients with type 1 diabetes: analysis of data from the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation continuous glucose monitoring randomized trial. *Diabetes Care.* (2011) 34:540–4. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1054

42. Zhu X, Zhao L, Chen J, Lin C, Lv F, Hu S, et al. The effect of physical activity on glycemic variability in patients with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Endocrinol.* (2021) 12:767152. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2021.767152

43. Dai DJ, Lu JY, Zhang L, Shen Y, Mo YF, Lu W, et al. The appropriate cut-off point of time in range (TIR) for evaluating glucose control in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Zhonghua yi xue za zhi. (2020) 100:2990-6. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112137-20200619-01895

44. Papachristoforou E, Lambadiari V, Maratou E, Makrilakis K. Association of glycemic indices (Hyperglycemia, glucose variability, and hypoglycemia) with oxidative stress and diabetic complications. *J Diabetes Res.* (2020) 2020;7489795. doi: 10.1155/2020/7489795

45. Lee KP, Chen JS, Wang CY. Association between diabetes mellitus and poststroke cognitive impairment. J Diabetes Invest. (2023) 14:6–11. doi: 10.1111/jdi.13914

46. Yoo JH, Kim JH. Time in range from continuous glucose monitoring: A novel metric for glycemic control. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2020) 44:828–39. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2020.0257

47. Benton CR, Nickerson JG, Lally J, Han XX, Holloway GP, Glatz JF, et al. Modest PGC-1alpha overexpression in muscle in *vivo* is sufficient to increase insulin sensitivity and palmitate oxidation in subsarcolemmal, not intermyofibrillar, mitochondria. *J Biol Chem.* (2008) 283:4228–40. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M704332200

48. Ren JM, Semenkovich CF, Gulve EA, Gao J, Holloszy JO. Exercise induces rapid increases in GLUT4 expression, glucose transport capacity, and insulin-stimulated glycogen storage in muscle. J Biol Chem. (1994) 269:14396–401. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)36636-X

49. Yaghi S, Elkind MSV. Lipids and cerebrovascular disease. Stroke. (2015) 46:3322-8. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011164

50. Palmcrantz S, Cremoux A, Kahan T, Borg J. Effects of different exercise protocols on aerobic capacity, blood pressure, biochemical parameters, and body weight in chronic stroke survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Topics Stroke Rehabilitation*. (2024) 2:1-10. doi: 10.1080/10749357.2024.2359344

51. Cuddy TF, Ramos JS, Dalleck LC. Reduced Exertion High-Intensity Interval Training is More Effective at Improving Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Cardiometabolic Health than Traditional Moderate-Intensity Continuous Training. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2019) 16(3):483. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16030483

52. Labreuche J, Deplanque D, Touboul PJ, Bruckert E, Amarenco P. Association between change in plasma triglyceride levels and risk of stroke and carotid atherosclerosis: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Atherosclerosis.* (2010) 212:9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.02.011

53. Bonaventure A, Kurth T, Pico F, Barberger-Gateau P, Ritchie K, Stapf C, et al. Triglycerides and risk of hemorrhagic stroke vs. ischemic vascular events: The Three-City Study. *Atherosclerosis.* (2010) 210:243–8. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.10.043

54. Wieberdink RG, Poels MM, Vernooij MW, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, van der Lugt A, et al. Serum lipid levels and the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage: the Rotterdam Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2011) 31:2982–9. doi: 10.1161/atvbaha.111.234948

55. Wang C, Redgrave J, Shafizadeh M, Majid A, Kilner K, Ali AN. Aerobic exercise interventions reduce blood pressure in patients after stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* (2019) 53:1515–25. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098903

56. MacKay-Lyons M, Gubitz G, Phillips S, Giacomantonio N, Firth W, Thompson K, et al. Program of rehabilitative exercise and education to avert vascular events after non-disabling stroke or transient ischemic attack (PREVENT trial): A randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. (2022) 36:119–30. doi: 10.1177/15459683211060345

57. Brouwer R, Wondergem R, Otten C, Pisters MF. Effect of aerobic training on vascular and metabolic risk factors for recurrent stroke: a meta-analysis. *Disabil Rehabil.* (2021) 43:2084–91. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2019.1692251

58. Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Buck G, Pollicino C, et al. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet (London England)*. (2005) 366:1267–78. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(05)67394-1

59. Pohl M, Werner C, Holzgraefe M, Kroczek G, Mehrholz J, Wingendorf I, et al. Repetitive locomotor training and physiotherapy improve walking and basic activities of daily living after stroke: a single-blind, randomized multicentre trial (DEutsche GAngtrainerStudie, DEGAS). *Clin Rehabilitation*. (2007) 21:17–27. doi: 10.1177/ 0269215506071281

60. Boyne P, Dunning K, Carl D, Gerson M, Khoury J, Kissela B. High-intensity interval training in stroke rehabilitation. *Top Stroke Rehabil.* (2013) 20:317–30. doi: 10.1310/tsr2004-317

61. Hsieh Y-W, Wang C-H, Wu S-C, Chen P-C, Sheu C-F, Hsieh C-L. Establishing the minimal clinically important difference of the barthel index in stroke patients. *Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair.* (2007) 21:233–8. doi: 10.1177/1545968306294729

62. Munan M, Oliveira CLP, Marcotte-Chénard A, Rees JL, Prado CM, Riesco E, et al. Acute and chronic effects of exercise on continuous glucose monitoring outcomes in type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:495. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2020.00495

63. Bennetsen SL, Feineis CS, Legaard GE, Lyngbæk MPP, Karstoft K, Ried-Larsen M. The impact of physical activity on glycemic variability assessed by continuous glucose monitoring in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:486. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00486

64. Sparks JR, Kishman EE, Sarzynski MA, Davis JM, Grandjean PW, Durstine JL, et al. Glycemic variability: Importance, relationship with physical activity, and the influence of exercise. *Sports Med Health Sci.* (2021) 3:183–93. doi: 10.1016/j.smhs.2021.09.004