Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Thierry Coppola, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France

REVIEWED BY Quan Zhang, University of Oxford, United Kingdom Ingo Rustenbeck, Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany Junghyo Jo, Seoul National University, Republic of Korea

*CORRESPONDENCE Jochen Lang Øjochen.lang@u-bordeaux.fr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 18 March 2024 ACCEPTED 06 May 2024 PUBLISHED 31 May 2024

CITATION

Puginier E, Leal-Fischer K, Gaitan J, Lallouet M, Scotti P-A, Raoux M and Lang J (2024) Extracellular electrophysiology on clonal human β -cell spheroids. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1402880. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1402880

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Puginier, Leal-Fischer, Gaitan, Lallouet, Scotti, Raoux and Lang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Extracellular electrophysiology on clonal human β -cell spheroids

Emilie Puginier[†], Karen Leal-Fischer ^{®†}, Julien Gaitan, Marie Lallouet, Pier-Arnaldo Scotti, Matthieu Raoux [®] and Jochen Lang [®]

Univiversity of Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biologie des Membranes CBMN, UMR 5248, Pessac, Bordeaux, France

Background: Pancreatic islets are important in nutrient homeostasis and improved cellular models of clonal origin may very useful especially in view of relatively scarce primary material. Close 3D contact and coupling between β -cells are a hallmark of physiological function improving signal/noise ratios. Extracellular electrophysiology using micro-electrode arrays (MEA) is technically far more accessible than single cell patch clamp, enables dynamic monitoring of electrical activity in 3D organoids and recorded multicellular slow potentials (SP) provide unbiased insight in cell-cell coupling.

Objective: We have therefore asked whether 3D spheroids enhance clonal β -cell function such as electrical activity and hormone secretion using human EndoC- β H1, EndoC- β H5 and rodent INS-1 832/13 cells.

Methods: Spheroids were formed either by hanging drop or proprietary devices. Extracellular electrophysiology was conducted using multi-electrode arrays with appropriate signal extraction and hormone secretion measured by ELISA.

Results: EndoC- β H1 spheroids exhibited increased signals in terms of SP frequency and especially amplitude as compared to monolayers and even single cell action potentials (AP) were quantifiable. Enhanced electrical signature in spheroids was accompanied by an increase in the glucose stimulated insulin secretion index. EndoC- β H5 monolayers and spheroids gave electrophysiological profiles similar to EndoC- β H1, except for a higher electrical activity at 3 mM glucose, and exhibited moreover a biphasic profile. Again, physiological concentrations of GLP-1 increased AP frequency. Spheroids also exhibited a higher secretion index. INS-1 cells did not form stable spheroids, but overexpression of connexin 36, required for cell-cell coupling, increased glucose responsiveness, dampened basal activity and consequently augmented the stimulation index

Conclusion: In conclusion, spheroid formation enhances physiological function of the human clonal β -cell lines and these models may provide surrogates for primary islets in extracellular electrophysiology.

KEYWORDS

spheroids, extracellular electrophysiology, microelectrode array, islets, insulin, EndoC- β H1, EndoC- β H5, INS-1 cells

1 Introduction

Pancreatic islets are important in nutrient homeostasis and their dysfunction leads to a major metabolic disease, diabetes (1, 2). Glucose metabolism in β -cells leads to membrane depolarization and calcium influx that triggers insulin secretion (3). Studies on primary islet cells are hampered by the relative scarceness of native material, especially those of human origin, which moreover differ in several important aspects from rodent islet cells (3) and exhibit a high degree of variability (4). Consequently, clonal β -cell lines still provide very useful models and this approach has been considerably improved by the establishment of a human β -cell line, EndoC- β H1 cells and their derivatives (5–9).

A number of parameters such as ultrastructure, gene expression, survival and secretion has been investigated in the different EndoC-BH cell lines. However, electrical activity has only been addressed in EndoC-BH1 and -BH2 and only in single cell analysis in monolayers (7, 10), which are not fully informative of their properties in 3D conformation. Thus, important parameters of function remain largely unknown. Extracellular electrophysiology such as micro-electrode arrays (MEA) offers a direct and non-biased approach on whole islet characteristics. Recorded slow Potentials (SP) (11, 12) are multicellular events, representing summations of synchronized plateau depolarizations, and strictly depend on gap junction coupling by Cx36 in islet cells. Their activity is mechanistically linked to islet β -cell secretory activity and their amplitude reflects the degree of coupling (10, 11, 13-15). This allows an unbiased evaluation at a millisecond resolution without modelling and does not require genetic or chemical modifications. Furthermore, the electrical activity determined by MEAs is closely correlated to secretion (15), and its glucose concentration dependency enables small glucosedependent increases to be distinguished in both human and murine islets (11, 14-16).

Native islets are organoids and considerable effort has been applied to assemble dispersed clonal β -cells in 3D aggregates or spheroids. Such an assembly should increase contacts between β cells and electrical coupling between β -cells mediated by connexin 36 (Cx36) is of importance for physiological responses (17, 18). Cx36 form gap junctional channels that provide electrical coupling between β -cells and influence synchronization (19–21). Cx36 mediated coupling not only entrains cells upon arrival of a stimulus, but also dampens hyperactive cells and thus reduce spontaneous activity (22–24) which results in an improved signal/ noise ratio. In contrast to primary β -cells, connexin 36 expression is generally low in β -cell lines (25).

A number of approaches have been used to generate spheroids from clonal β -cells (26, 27) such as specific media and plating in proprietary wells or microgravity (hanging drop) for human EndoC- β H cells (28–30) or rodent β -cell lines (31–34). Since recording spheroids by MEAs requires electrical contact, this precludes certain methods of spheroid formation such as coculture with endothelial cells (35) or encapsulation (36). However, using electrical activity as read-out for activity and coupling offers certain advantages as compared to fluorescent approaches such as absence of bleaching, use of chemical or genetic probes, bias by complex analysis algorithms or destructive analytical methods. It is also easier to miniaturize and to multiplex, and signals can even be analyzed online (14, 37).

Using 3D spheroids and MEAs we have now determined effective coupling in EndoC- β H1 and EndoC- β H5 cells. Our data indicate that stimulus-dependent coupling is considerably enhanced in 3D spheroids thus providing a base for their improved activity. In contrast, a widely used rat clonal β -cell line, the INS-1 derivative 832/13, did not form stable spheroids. Nevertheless, responsiveness and activity were considerably promoted by Cx36 overexpression. Therefore, both models may provide paradigms to explore mechanisms and test drugs that rely on physiological β -cell coupling.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

EndoC- β H1 cells (5) were kindly provided by Human Cell Design (Toulouse, France), EndoC-BH5 cells were purchased from Human Cell Design (Toulouse, France) and cultured according the manufacturer's instructions. INS832/13 cells (38) were cultured as described previously (39, 40). IBMX, forskolin and glibenclamide were purchased from Sigma, GLP-1 from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). The following primary antibodies were used (all at 1:100 dilution in immunofluorescence, 1:1000 in immunoblots): CX36 mouse anti-human (Invitrogen, clone 1E5H5), rabbit recombinant ANTI-FLAG M2 antibody (Invitrogen 710662), guinea pig anti-bovine insulin (Linco, St. Charles, MO, USA), monoclonal anti-insulin (Sigma, clone K36AC10), monoclonal anti-glucagon (Sigma, clone K79bB10), polyclonal goat antisomatostatin (Santa Cruz, sc-7819), monoclonal anti-GFP, monoclonal anti-SNAP-25 (SP12, Sternberger Monoclonals) or monoclonal anti-VAMP2 (Synaptic Systems, Göttingen, Germany). The following secondary antibodies were used: antimouse or anti-rabbit HRP (dilution1/2000; GE Healthcare); antimouse or anti-rabbit alexa568 (dilution 1/300; Invitrogen A11012 and A11031), anti-goat TMR, donkey anti-guinea pig (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Note that two other primary polyclonal antibodies did not provide any reliable signal in islets or brain for Cx36 (Invitrogen 701194 and 516300). pLenti-C-Myc-DDK (RC210158L1; carrying the ORF of human CX36; GJD2; NM_020660) was obtained from Origene (Rockville, Md, USA).

2.2 Cell Culture and spheroid formation

EndoC- β H1 and EndoC- β H5 cells (5) were cultured according to the manufacturers protocol in OPTI β 1 (Human Cell Design, Toulouse, France). INS-1 832/13 cells were cultured as described previously (41, 42) and primary mouse islets (male C57BL/6, age 16–24 weeks) were prepared and cultured as published (12, 15, 43). Spheroids were formed in complete medium either by hanging drop for 5 days in 30 µl containing 500 islet cells or using a commercial plate (Sphericalplate 5D, Kugelmeiers; Erlenbach, Switzerland) with indicated numbers of clonal cells. Physical stability was tested by 10 times pipetting trough 200 μ l tips and visual inspection with a microscope. Spheroids were considered as stable if no disaggregation was observed. Spheroid dimensions were determined on microscopic images using ImageJ v1.53. 2D experiments were conducted in largely confluent monolayers.

2.3 Viral transduction and quantitative PCR

Lentiviral vector production was done by Vect'UB of the Bordeaux University. Lentiviral vector was produced by transient transfection of 293T cells according to standard protocols. In brief, subconfluent 293T cells were cotransfected with lentiviral genome (psPAX2) (44), with an envelope coding plasmid (pMD2G-VSVG) and with vector constructs. Viral titers of pLV lentivectors were determined by transducing 293T cells with serial dilutions of viral supernatant and EGFP expression was quantified 5 days later by flow cytometry analysis. For transduction of INS-1 cells, 750.000 cells were incubated in 500 µl of RPMI and 5 MOI of corresponding viral particles overnight, washed and placed in complete RPMI medium for 5 days prior to plating. INS 832/13 had been transduced at population doubling number (PD) 60, cultured for 10 more PDs and maintained until PD 100.

2.4 Secretion assays and immunocytochemistry

Static secretion assays were performed as described (45) using Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate HEPES buffer (KRBH, concentrations in mM, 135 NaCl, 3.6 KCl, 5 NaHCO₃, 0.5 NaH₂PO₄, 0.5 MgCl₂, 1.5 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.1% w/v BSA, pH 7.4) and hormone release was determined using commercial ELISAs (Insulin or glucagon; Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden) as previously (40, 46). Secretion data were expressed as percent of total hormone content (obtained by acid/ethanol extraction at the end of the experiment) and calculations considered the amount secreted during the experiment. Immunocytochemistry was performed as described (41) and images acquired with a CAMSCOP CMOS camera (SCOP-Pro, Ballancourt, France) linked to an inverted fluorescent microscope (TE 200, Nikon; Champigny, France).

2.5 Electrophysiology

MEA recordings (60Pedot-MEA200/30iR-Au-gr, Ø30 μ m, 200 μ m inter-electrode distance; MCS, Tübingen, Germany) were performed at 37°C in solutions containing (in mM) NaCl 135, KCl 4.8, MgCl₂ 1.2, CaCl₂ 1.2 (or 2.5 in the case of INS cells), HEPES 10 and glucose as indicated (pH 7.4 adjusted with NaOH) (11, 15, 16, 39, 47). MEAs were coated with Matrigel (2% v/v) (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) prior to seeding of cells, spheroids or islets. Electrodes with noise levels >30 μ V peak-to-peak were regarded as artefacts, connected to the ground and not analyzed.

Extracellular field potentials were acquired at 10 kHz, amplified (gain 1100–1200) and band-pass filtered at 0.1–3000 Hz with a USB-MEA60-Inv-System-E amplifier (MCS; gain: 1200) or a MEA1060-Inv-BC-Standard amplifier (MCS; gain: 1100) both controlled by MC_Rack software (v4.6.2, MCS) (12, 15). Analysis of the signals was carried out with the MC_Rack software (v4.6.2, MCS). Signals were filtered with a low pass at 2 Hz in order to isolate the SPs and with a bandwidth of 3 to 700 Hz to isolate the AP. The peak detection module by thresholding of the software was used, with thresholds set by default at -1.8 μ V for SP and -13 μ V for AP. The minimum time between 2 events was set at 300 ms for SP and 10 ms for AP.

2.6 Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative PCR was performed as described previously (40). *YHWAZ* (Tyrosine 3-Monooxygenase/Tryptophan 5-Monooxygenase Activation Protein Zeta) and *GAPDH* were used as reference genes. *FAP* (Fibroblast activation protein, alpha), *IRX2* (iroquois homeobox 2) and *GCG* (glucagon) were used as marker genes for β -cells (48). Details and primers used are given in Supplementary Table 1.

2.7 Statistics

Data are presented as means and SD except for mean traces where SEMs were given to enhance readability. Gaussian distributions were tested by Shapiro-Wilk test and one-way ANOVA with Tukey *post hoc* or nonparametric Dunn tests were used; n corresponds to the number of electrodes recorded (from 3 distinct experiments).

3 Results

3.1 Human EndoC- β H1 cell spheroids

Spheroids of human EndoC- β H1 cells were generated using micro-structured plastic wells for culture and the assembly and growth properties were tested first. As given in Figure 1A.i, EndoC- β H1 cells formed round and regular spheroids with a rather homogenous staining for insulin. Different spheroid sizes were monitored according to initial cell number seeding and to incubation time. Based on our experience with the culture of islets, which are native spheroids, we opted for an intermediate diameter of 103 + 8 μ m obtained after 7 days (Figure 1A.ii) and used the corresponding protocol for all further experiments. These spheroids were mechanical stable after repetitive pipetting and conserved their spheroid form during culture on microelectrode arrays (Figure 1A.iii). Pseudoislets from dispersed primary mouse islet cells were prepared by the hanging drop method as this approach uses smaller quantities of cells (Figure 1B).

Monolayers and spheroids were investigated using microelectrode arrays to capture changes in extracellular field potentials



induced by ion fluxes. Recordings at kHz frequency yield a large amount of data points (about 109 data points for a standard experiment) and an example of raw recordings for one electrode in testing EndoC-BH1 spheroids is given in Figure 2. Increasing glucose concentrations from 3 to 11 mM considerable increased the amplitude in the recordings (Figure 2A) which seems slightly enhanced in the presence of GLP-1 and especially of forskolinforskolin/IBMX. Extension of the time scale (Figure 2B) allows to distinguish wave forms, the slow potentials, and superimposed very short spikes, action potentials (APs, Figure 2C). Slow potentials have a duration of 100 ms and more, which implies that their maximal possible frequency is around 1 Hz. The multicellular SPs recorded here represent summations of synchronized plateau depolarizations of β-cells, require connexinexpression (11, 15). Their amplitude reflects the extent of physiological important ion coupling between β -cells (15), a hallmark of physiological β -cell behavior (17, 49). Analysis of the form of APs (Figure 2D) reveals unitary events of 35 ms and they were shorter than APs previously observed by MEA in primary islets (12, 15).

We next examined electrical activity of EndoC- β H1 cells by comparing 2D monolayer versus spheroids on microelectrode arrays. Raising glucose from 3 to 11 mM significantly increased SP frequency and amplitude in 2D culture and in spheroids and the mean effect was significantly more pronounced in the latter (Figures 3A, B). Interestingly EndoC- β H1 spheroids had a higher basal activity (3 mM glucose) and thus fold increase between G3 and G11 in terms of mean frequency was more pronounced in monolayers (5.7 fold vs 1.8 fold in spheroids), but stronger in terms of amplitude in spheroids (1.6 in spheroids vs 1.2 fold in monolayers). The further addition of the incretin glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) at the physiological concentration of 50 pM induced a slight further increase in both cases which did, however, not reach significance. Increasing cellular cAMP levels by the direct adenylate cyclase activator forskolin and the phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX, in the presence of 11 mM glucose, significantly increased frequencies as compared to 11 mM glucose alone in 2D culture and spheroids. Similar effects of forskolin/IBMX in the presence of glucose were observed for amplitudes, and the relative effects were more pronounced in 2D cultures, as they were less responsive to glucose alone, but the absolute effect was stronger in spheroids (Figures 3A, B).

In contrast to the very robust SP signals, single cell action potentials are more difficult to detect in conventional MEAs and it is very difficult to reliably determine their amplitudes cannot (47). Moreover, as only the frequency but not the amplitude of APs varies with glucose stimulation (12), we only analyzed their frequency. In 2D cultures we were not able to identify APs with certainty. In contrast, recordings of spheroids clearly showed APs which increased with a raise in glucose concentration and significant effects of GLP-1 as well as effects of forskolin/IBMX were observed as compared to elevated glucose alone.

As a comparison to EndoC- β H1 cells we examined also mouse islet cells, either as dispersed single cell 2D culture or after reaggregation in spheroid pseudoislets (Figures 3C, D). In both cases, large effects were present in terms of frequency and amplitude when increasing glucose from 3 to 11 mM and the glucose-induced increase in frequency was clearly biphasic described previously (15). A small transient effect was observed for GLP-1 (50 pM) in the presence of 11 mM glucose. As already observed for the EndoC-



 β H1 cell line, the effect of glucose on primary islet cells was more pronounced in terms of frequency in monolayers (139 vs. 89 fold) and stronger in terms of amplitudes in spheroids (25 vs. 8.9 fold).

Next, we measured insulin secretion from 2D cultures and 3D EndoC- β H1 spheroids (Figure 3E). Glucose-induced stimulation was clearly apparent as well as further potentiation by IBMX/ forskolin. Similar to electrical activity, basal release and stimulated insulin secretion was more pronounced in spheroids as compared to 2D cultures. Thus, the stimulation index increased from 2.6 in monolayers to 4.5 in spheroids (see Supplementary

Figure 1). For comparison, we also measured static secretion in primary mouse islets were a rise in glucose from 0 to 3 and 11 mM increased insulin secretion from 0.05 + 0.02 to 0.07 + 0.02 and 1.15 + 0.19 (percent of content, n=5; stimulation index SI 16.4).

3.2 Human EndoC- β H5 cell spheroids

We subsequently tested an optimized EndoC- β H version (Figure 2), the EndoC- β H5 cells, known for their improved



FIGURE 3

Functional characterization of spheroids from EndoC- β H1 cells or primary mouse islets. (A) Recording of monolayer (2D) or spheroids (3D) of EndoC- β H1 cells seeded on micro-electrode arrays and exposed to Glucose (3 mM, G3; 11 mM, G11), GLP-1 (50 pM) in the presence of 11 mM glucose (GLP1) or IBMX (100 μ M) and forskolin (1 μ M) in the presence of 11 mM glucose (I/F). Mean traces of slow potential (SP) frequency and amplitudes as well as action potential (AP) frequency are given; mean, black, SEM grey. Time bars equal 20 min (in all traces). (B) Statistical evaluations of the curves of A (mean values). (C) Recording of monolayer (2D) or reassembled spheroids (3D) of primary mouse seeded on micro-electrode arrays. Abbreviations for conditions and statistical tests as in (A). (D) Statistical evaluations of curves of C (mean values). (E) Insulin secretion (static incubations) of monolayer (2D) or spheroids (3D) of EndoC- β H1 cells during 1h incubation, abbreviations as in (A) ANOVA and Tukey posthoc test; *, 2p<0.05; ** 2p<0.01, *** 2p<0.001; ****2p<0.0001; 2D vs 3D, *** 2p<0.001, n, given in corresponding panels.

function (9). EndoC- β H5 easily formed stable spheroids (Supplementary Figure 2) and a mean diameter of 120 μ m was used. Immunofluorescence demonstrated the expected presence of insulin as well as the SNARE proteins VAMP2 and SNAP-25. As reported previously (9), EndoC- β H5 also stained for glucagon and somatostatin in spheroids (Supplementary Figure 2) as did monolayers (data not shown). The presence of glucagon was also detected by qPCR (Supplementary Figure 3) although transcript numbers were low and other marker genes for α -cells, such as FAP and IRX were not detectable. Note that we could not detect any glucagon in static secretion assays (data not shown). Spheroid formation in EndoC- β H5 did not change the expression of glucagon or connexin 36 (GJD2) and a small decrease in the expression of preproinsulin was apparent.

Slow potentials were more pronounced in EndoC-BH5 as compared to EndoC-BH1 in terms of frequencies and amplitudes for both, monolayers and spheroids (Figure 4). Similarly, action potential frequencies were higher and an effect of GLP-1 was measurable. However, an increased basal activity (at G3) was also evident in both, monolayers and spheroids, as compared to EndoCβH1 and thus the fold increases of mean activities in terms of amplitude and frequency between G3 and G11 were comparable between the two cell lines. As observed for the EndoC-BH1 cells, spheroid formation reduced the fold increase in frequency from 3 to 11 mM glucose (2.2 fold in monolayers vs 1.8 fold in spheroids) and augmented the fold-increase in amplitudes (2.5 fold in monolayers vs 3.5 fold in spheroids). The observed temporal development of slow potentials in EndoC-βH5 cells may also suggest the presence of a first peak indicating biphasic behavior (Figure 4) although this was less pronounced as compared to primary mouse β-cells (see Figure 3C). Similar to EndoC-BH1 cells, spheroid formation enhanced insulin secretion in EndoC-BH5 (Figure 4E) and the cells responded well to additional GLP-1 or forskolin/ IBMXforskolin. The stimulation index of insulin secretion increased from 5- (monolayers) to 10-fold (spheroids) when compared between 0 and 20 mM glucose, but between 3 and 11 mM glucose the stimulation index was around 3 fold and thus comparable to EndoC-BH1. We also noted that spheroids, in difference to monolayers, increased hormone secretion already at 3 mM glucose as compared to the absence of glucose.

3.3 Rat INS-1 cell spheroids

We also tried to generate spheroids from another frequently used cell line, i.e. rat insulinoma derived INS-1 832/13 cells (38, 39, 50, 51). However, these spheroids proved to be unstable to repetitive pipetting and even when handled with considerable care, spheroids rapidly disaggregated when cultured on MEAs precluding their use in 3D conformation (data not shown). Moreover, in 2D cultures a considerable number of cells or cell clusters did not respond in terms of measurable electrical activity upon increases of glucose. We therefore tested whether an increase in the expression of CX36, required for intercellular coupling and participating in adhesion (52), may improve their electrical responses. To this end INS-1 cells were transduced with viral particles encoding either GFP as a control or human connexin 36 (CX36). Immunoblot analysis of non-transduced cells and cells transduced with GFP or CX36 revealed expression of GFP or of CX36 only in the correspondingly transduced cells as bands appearing at approximately 25 kDa (GFP) or around 36 kDa as well as 110 kDa trimers (CX36) upon co-staining with GFP- and CX36 antibodies (Figure 5A). Human connexin was expressed intracellularly and also fine rims could be observed compatible with location at the plasma membrane (Figure 5B). In contrast, incubation with the anti-connexin antibody did not reveal any staining in GFP-transduced cells (Figure 5B).

We subsequently compared the electrical responses in terms of SP frequency and amplitude of GFP- and of Cx36-transduced cells in response to 3 or 11 mM glucose. We also used a mix consisting of the K_{ATP}-channel inhibitor glibenclamide, the L-type Ca²⁺-channel agonist BayK8644 and forskolin, a direct activator of adenylate cyclases, concomitantly with glucose to obtain maximal depolarization of beta-cells (Figure 6). We first observed a considerable difference in their reactivity in terms of electrodes covered with cells which recorded changes in electrical activity (Figure 6B). Whereas in GFP-transduced cells only a minority of cells responded to an increase in glucose, more than a half were active in connexin-36 transduced cells. In fact, most of the GFPtransduced cells did not respond to glucose or glucose in the presence of stimulatory drugs (glibenclamide, Bay K8644, forskolin) in line with observations from cultures of native INS-1 cells (data not shown). We subsequently analyzed in detail the recordings from those electrodes covered with glucose-responsive cells, i.e. those cells that responded at least to an increase in glucose from 3 to 11 mM (Figures 6C-F). In both, GFP- or Cx36-transduced cells, the change from complete culture medium to 3 mM glucose reduced activity in terms of frequency and amplitudes. Note that complete culture medium contains 11 mM glucose and amino acids, the latter being known to enhance glucose effects (51). Interestingly, at low glucose (3 mM), SP frequency was significantly lower in Cx36-transduced cells as compared to GFPtransduced cells (Figure 6D). Subsequent change from 3 to 11 mM glucose increased slightly but not significantly frequency and amplitude in GFP-transduced cells whereas a significant effect was observed in CX36-transduced cells. Further exposure to stimulatory drugs significantly increased responses in CX36transduced cells, whereas only amplitude but not frequency was enhanced in GFP-transduced cells.

Finally, we determined insulin content and secretion in nontransduced and GFP- or Cx36-transduced cells (Figure 6G). Under all three conditions (non-transduced, GFP-transduced or CX36 transduced cells) insulin content did not vary. Clearly, Cx36 expression reduced basal secretion (at 3 mM glucose) in Cx36 transduced cells as compared to the two other conditions. In all three cell types, an increase in glucose stimulated secretion was observed. The stimulation index (15 mM vs 3 mM glucose) amounted to 1,9 in non-transduced and GFP transduced cells, but increased to 5,7 in Cx36 transduced cells. Although Cx36transduced cells secreted 20% more insulin than GFP-transduced



FIGURE 4

Functional characterization of monolayers and spheroids from EndoC-βH5 cells. Recording of monolayer (2D) or spheroids (3D) of EndoC-βH5 cells seeded on micro-electrode arrays and exposed to Glucose (3 mM, G3; 11 mM, G11) or GLP-1 (50 pM) in the presence of 11 mM glucose (G11 GLP1). (A) Mean traces of slow potential (SP) frequency as well as action potential (AP) frequency bare given; mean, black, SEM grey. Time bars equal 10 min (in all traces). (B) Statistical evaluations of mean frequencies of data given in (A). (C) Mean traces of slow potential (SP) amplitudes; mean, black, SEM grey. (D) Statistical evaluations of data given in (C). (E) Insulin secretion (static incubations) of monolayer (2D) or spheroids (3D) of EndoC-βH5 cells during 1h incubation, abbreviations as in (A) Open and filled red circles, IBMX (0.1 mM)/forskolin (1 µM) or GLP-1 (50 pM) in the presence of indicated concentrations of glucose.; ANOVA and Tukey posthoc test; (B, D, E) *, 2p<0.05; **, 2p <0.01; ***, 2p <0.001, ****, 2p <0.001; Comparison 2D and 3D: #, 2p<0.05, ##, 2p<0.01; insulin secretion (E), ++, 2p<0.01 as compared to the absence of GLP-1 or IBMX/forskolin; n, given in corresponding panels.

cells at 15 mM glucose, the increase in GSIS was mainly due to an approximately 60% reduction in basal secretion at 3 mM glucose in Cx36-transduced cells. Forskolin in the presence of 15 mM glucose further enhanced insulin secretion and again to a greater degree extent in Cx36-transduced cells as compared to GFP-transduced or non-transduced cells.

4 Discussion

Our results indicate that spheroids of human EndoC-BH1 and -BH5 cells exhibit more pronounced signals in extracellular physiology than monolayers. Spheroids have higher amplitude of slow potentials on stimulation indicating a higher degree of cell-cell



(i, ii) or for Cx36 (iii, iv). GFP expression was detected directly. Bars, 10 μm.

coupling and improving detection. This is accompanied by a considerable improvement in the glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. The lack of stable spheroid formation in rat clonal INS-1 β -cells may be compensated by the enhanced expression of connexin 36, which also improves GSIS index mainly by lowering basal electrical activity and ensuing secretion.

3D spheroids of human EndoC- β H1, - β H3 or - β H5 were generated previously using microgravity ("hanging drop"), low attachment plates or co-culture on human umbilical vein or isletderived endothelial cells (9, 28–30, 53). These spheroids exhibited a GSIS index similar to that observed in our study. Spheroid size used here was chosen on the ground of several arguments: larger islets are known to be more prone to central necrosis (54), islets with a diameter of less than 150 μ m better secrete insulin (55–57) and 100 μ m is about the average islet size in humans or mice (58). Moreover, modelling suggests that islet size between 50 and 150 μ m may favor network interactions that are crucial for islet function (59). The method employed here by us has the advantage of simplicity as well as controlled and reproducible spheroid size. Reproducible size is an important factor in standardization as large spheroids may undergo core necrosis (60), whereas variation in size may lead to differences in cell-cell coupling (61, 62) and insulin secretion (63). An attractive alternative, especially when using extracellular electrophysiology, may be given by cell electrophoresis of dispersed cells onto electrodes (16).

The slow potentials recorded here represent summations of synchronized plateau depolarizations of β -cells depending on connexin-36 expression and their amplitude provides an unbiased read-out of the degree of β -cell coupling (11, 15). SPs are mechanistically linked to insulin secretion (15, 40), although the precise weight of their frequency vs their amplitude has only been determined in primary islets under microfluidics and may vary in other models (15). Notably, a biomimetic algorithm, based on islet SPs, was extremely well adapted to regulate insulin delivery in a human in-silico model of type 1 diabetes, the UVA PADOVA TMDS (13, 64).



(versus 3 mM) or only stimulated by drugs (no effect of G11 alone; increase versus G3 by glibenclamide 200 nM, Bay K8644, forskolin 1 μ M). Note that glucose-sensitive cells were always also drug sensitive. For further analysis (C-F) only those electrodes covered by cells were analyzed where an increase in glucose increased electrical activity. (C) Mean SP frequencies (+SEM) in GFP- or Cx36 transduced cells. (D) statistics of (C). (E) Mean SP amplitudes (+SEM) in GFP- or Cx36 transduced cells. (D) statistics of (C). (E) Mean SP amplitudes (+SEM) in GFP- or Cx36 transduced cells. (F) statistics of (E). (G) Insulin content and insulin secretion from non-transduced cells (CON) or GFP- (GFP) or Cx36 (transduced cells incubated at 3 mM glucose (G3), 15 mM glucose (G15) or 15 mM glucose and 1 μ M forskolin (G15 F). Statistics: Tukey or Dunn *post-hoc* tests; *, 2p<0.05; **, 2p<0.01; ***, 2p<0.01; Comparison GFP overexpression vs. CX36 overexpression: #, 2p<0.05, ##, 2p<0.01; n, given in corresponding panels.

Clearly spheroid formation provided far more robust electrophysiological responses and even permitted to reliably detect action potentials which are difficult to monitor in monolayers even when using electrodes coated with a conducting polymer (16). In all EndoC- β H models and in primary islet cells we observed an increase in SP amplitude in spheroids that are most likely due to improved coupling (11, 15) and correlated with an increase in insulin secretion in the three cell models tested. The

improvement in insulin secretion observed here was comparable to published data (6, 29). We do not have a ready explanation for the unexpected increase in SP frequency at 3 mM glucose in EndoCβH1 spheroids as compared to monolayers. This may indicate that spheroids have a higher sensitivity to glucose and increased electrical activity was also mirrored by almost doubling of insulin secretion in these spheroids, which, however did not become significant. In the same vein EndoC-BH5 spheroids had a different set-point in glucose-induced insulin secretion in our hands and differing from a previous report (9). One may speculate that spheroid formation enhances the concentrations of locally released autocrine factors. It has indeed been demonstrated that paracrine secretion of ATP from primary β-cells occurs at 3mM glucose and enhances secretion (65), although we do not know whether this ATP release also occurs in EndoC-BH cells. In more general terms, our observation may pinpoint to the crucial role of δ -cells in native islets, which are absent in these spheroids, in setting the glucose threshold (66).

Notably, in EndoC-BH5 cells a biphasic pattern of electrical activity was apparent upon glucose stimulation, which is a hallmark of primary islets (15) and such a pattern had also been reported in dynamic insulin secretion assays of EndoC-BH5 cells (9). We did not observe any significant effect on slow potentials by activating the GLP-1 receptor via its native agonist at physiological concentrations. Note that we previously observed that these concentrations significantly increase slow potential amplitude and frequency in mouse and human islets although the effect was small (15). The effects of GLP-1 on action potentials observed here indicate that the hormone signaling pathway was active in the clonal EndoCβH cells in our study. As the GLP-1 inactivating protease DDP-4 is expressed in EndoC-BH cells (67), most studies used the incretin mimetic peptide exendin-4 (6, 9, 29, 68) with one exception (69). However, we think that such a pharmacological approach yields less insight. In the same vein, glucose concentrations should be chosen carefully and we prefer a minimal glucose concentration of 3 mM to the complete absence of glucose. Although stimulation indices are more pronounced when referring to "0 glucose", prolonged absence of glucose may considerably alter gene expression profiles (70). Similar to other clonal β -cell lines, such as INS-1 or MIN6 (71–74), EndoC- β H5 have been reported to express preproglucagon (9). We confirm the observation of a low number of transcripts (9) and we could not detect any secreted glucagon rendering unlikely its contribution to cellular activity.

We were not able to form stable spheroids using rat insulinoma INS-1 cells (38, 50), a widely used and relevant clonal β -cell model. Most spheroids published of this cell line were generally of variable sizes and poorly defined borders although the methods used for spheroid formation provided useful spheroids in another β -cell lines such as MIN6 (31, 75–77). A more recent publication reported the generation of uniform spheroids but were maintained in a device that is not compatible with electrophysiological recordings (78, 79). It is also of note that most of the previously reported INS-1 aggregates showed either a considerable right shift of glucose dependency or a stark reduction in glucose induced insulin secretion (75, 76). Cx36 overexpression increased the percentage of glucose-responsive cells and induced here a coherent pattern in

electrical activity and insulin secretion largely confluent monolayers and clusters: a 2.4 fold increase in the percentage of glucosesensitive cells, reduced basal electrical and secretory activity, a significant increase in glucose-induced SP amplitudes and insulin secretion and consequently almost doubling in glucose induced stimulation indices. These observations are in line with the general function of Cx36 in islets (19) and in INS-1 cells (80).

In conclusion, EndoC- β H1 and especially EndoC- β H5 spheroids provide a very useful model to test the effect of different variables on electrical activity and physiological cell-cell coupling by MEA analysis in line with the advocated utility in drug testing (6). Cx36-transduced INS-1 cells may be suitable, but obviously restricted to rodents. The human models may also be of interest as biological substrate for organs on chips and microorgan-based sensors for continuous nutrient sensing (37, 64, 81).

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because Use of established cell lines which have been used since a decade in research.

Author contributions

EP: Data curation, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Formal Analysis. KL: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. JG: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. ML: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. PS: Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Writing – original draft. MR: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. JL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by Agence National de Recherche Grant ANR-18-CE17-0005, the Technology Transfer Office SATT Aquitaine (Grant DiaBetaChip) and the French Ministry of Research (all JL).

Acknowledgments

We thank the vectorology platform Vect'UB (CNRS UMS3427, INSERM US005, Univ. Bordeaux) for providing lentiviral particles and technical support. We thank Thierry Leste Lasserre (Univ. Bordeaux, INSERM, PUMA, Neurocentre Magendie, U1215) for help with the qPCR. We are grateful to Human Cell Design (Toulouse, France) for providing us with EndoC-βH1 cells and OPTIβ1 medium.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1402880/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Zaharia OP, Strassburger K, Strom A, Bönhof GJ, Karusheva Y, Antoniou S, et al. Risk of diabetes-associated diseases in subgroups of patients with recent-onset diabetes: A 5-year follow-up study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2019) 7:684–94. doi: 10.1016/ s2213-8587(19)30187-1

2. Ashcroft FM, Rorsman P. Diabetes mellitus and the β cell: The last ten years. Cell. (2012) 148:1160–71. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.010

3. Rorsman P, Ashcroft FM. Pancreatic β -cell electrical activity and insulin secretion: Of mice and men. *Physiol Rev.* (2018) 98:117–214. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00008.2017

4. Dybala MP, Hara M. Heterogeneity of the human pancreatic islet. *Diabetes*. (2019) 68:1230-9. doi: 10.2337/db19-0072

5. Ravassard P, Hazhouz Y, Pechberty S, Bricout-Neveu E, Armanet M, Czernichow P, et al. A genetically engineered human pancreatic β cell line exhibiting glucose-inducible insulin secretion. *J Clin Invest.* (2011) 121:3589–97. doi: 10.1172/JCI58447

6. Tsonkova VG, Sand FW, Wolf XA, Grunnet LG, Kirstine Ringgaard A, Ingvorsen C, et al. The EndoC- β H1 cell line is a valid model of human beta cells and applicable for screenings to identify novel drug target candidates. *Mol Metab.* (2018) 8:144–57. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2017.12.007

7. Hastoy B, Godazgar M, Clark A, Nylander V, Spiliotis I, van de Bunt M, et al. Electrophysiological properties of human beta-cell lines EndoC-βH1 and -βH2 conform with human beta-cells. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:16994. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34743-7

8. Scharfmann R, Staels W, Albagli O. The supply chain of human pancreatic β cell lines. J Clin Invest. (2019) 129:3511–20. doi: 10.1172/jci129484

9. Blanchi B, Taurand M, Colace C, Thomaidou S, Audeoud C, Fantuzzi F, et al. EndoC-βH5 cells are storable and ready-to-use human pancreatic beta cells with physiological insulin secretion. *Mol Metab.* (2023) 76:101772. doi: 10.1016/ j.molmet.2023.101772

10. Jaffredo M, Krentz NAJ, Champon B, Duff CE, Nawaz S, Beer N, et al. Electrophysiological characterization of iPSC-derived human β -like cells and an SLC30A disease model. *Diabetes*. in press. doi: 10.2337/db23-0776

11. Lebreton F, Pirog A, Belouah I, Bosco D, Berney T, Meda P, et al. Slow potentials encode intercellular coupling and insulin demand in pancreatic beta cells. *Diabetologia*. (2015) 58:1291–9. doi: 10.1007/s00125-015-3558-z

12. Abarkan M, Pirog A, Mafilaza D, Pathak G, N'Kaoua G, Puginier E, et al. Vertical organic electrochemical transistors and electronics for low amplitude micro-organ signals. *Adv Sci (Weinh).* (2022) 9:e2105211. doi: 10.1002/advs.202105211

13. Olçomendy L, Cassany L, Pirog A, Franco R, Puginier E, Jaffredo M, et al. Towards the integration of an islet-based biosensor in closed-loop therapies for patients with type 1 diabetes. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:795225. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.795225

14. Raoux M, Lablanche S, Jaffredo M, Pirog A, Benhamou PY, Lebreton F, et al. Islets-on-chip: A tool for real-time assessment of islet function prior to transplantation. *Transpl Int.* (2023) 36:11512. doi: 10.3389/ti.2023.11512

15. Jaffredo M, Bertin E, Pirog A, Puginier E, Gaitan J, Oucherif S, et al. Dynamic uni- and multicellular patterns encode biphasic activity in pancreatic islets. *Diabetes*. (2021) 70:878–88. doi: 10.2337/db20–0214 16. Pedraza E, Karajic A, Raoux M, Perrier R, Pirog A, Lebreton F, et al. Guiding pancreatic beta cells to target electrodes in a whole-cell biosensor for diabetes. *Lab Chip.* (2015) 15:3880–90. doi: 10.1039/C5LC00616C

17. Farnsworth NL, Benninger RK. New insights into the role of connexins in pancreatic islet function and diabetes. *FEBS Lett.* (2014) 588:1278–87. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2014.02.035

18. Vozzi C, Ullrich S, Charollais A, Philippe J, Orci L, Meda P. Adequate connexinmediated coupling is required for proper insulin production. *J Cell Biol.* (1995) 131:1561–72. doi: 10.1083/jcb.131.6.1561

19. Bosco D, Haefliger JA, Meda P. Connexins: Key mediators of endocrine function. *Physiol Rev.* (2011) 91:1393–445. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00027.2010

20. Benninger RK, Zhang M, Head WS, Satin LS, Piston DW. Gap junction coupling and calcium waves in the pancreatic islet. *Biophys J.* (2008) 95:5048–61. doi: 10.1529/ biophysj.108.140863

21. Moreno AP, Berthoud VM, Pérez-Palacios G, Pérez-Armendariz EM. Biophysical evidence that connexin-36 forms functional gap junction channels between pancreatic mouse beta-cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* (2005) 288: E948–56. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00216.2004

22. Wellershaus K, Degen J, Deuchars J, Theis M, Charollais A, Caille D, et al. A new conditional mouse mutant reveals specific expression and functions of connexin36 in neurons and pancreatic beta-cells. *Exp Cell Res.* (2008) 314:997–1012. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2007.12.024

23. Ravier MA, Güldenagel M, Charollais A, Gjinovci A, Caille De, Söhl G, et al. Loss of connexin36 channels alters β -cell coupling, islet synchronization of glucose-induced Ca²⁺ and insulin oscillations, and basal insulin release. *Diabetes*. (2005) 54:1798–807. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.54.6.1798

24. Rocheleau JV, Remedi MS, Granada B, Head WS, Koster JC, Nichols CG, et al. Critical role of gap junction coupled K_{ATP} channel activity for regulated insulin secretion. *PloS Biol.* (2006) 4:e26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040026

25. Calabrese A, Zhang M, Serre-Beinier V, Caton D, Mas C, Satin LS, et al. Connexin 36 controls synchronization of Ca^{2+} oscillations and insulin secretion in MIN6 cells. *Diabetes.* (2003) 52:417–24. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.52.2.417

26. Akolpoglu MB, Inceoglu Y, Bozuyuk U, Sousa AR, Oliveira MB, Mano JF, et al. Recent advances in the design of implantable insulin secreting heterocellular islet organoids. *Biomaterials*. (2021) 269:120627. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120627

27. Cui X, Hartanto Y, Zhang H. Advances in multicellular spheroids formation. J R Soc Interface. (2017) 14. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2016.0877

28. Lecomte MJ, Pechberty S, MaChado C, Da Barroca S, Ravassard P, Scharfmann R, et al. Aggregation of engineered human β -cells into pseudoislets: Insulin secretion and gene expression profile in normoxic and hypoxic milieu. *Cell Med.* (2016) 8:99–112. doi: 10.3727/215517916x692843

29. Spelios MG, Afinowicz LA, Tipon RC, Akirav EM. Human EndoC- β H1 β -cells form pseudoislets with improved glucose sensitivity and enhanced GLP-1 signaling in the presence of islet-derived endothelial cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* (2018) 314:E512–e21. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00272.2017

30. Urbanczyk M, Zbinden A, Layland SL, Duffy G, Schenke-Layland K. Controlled heterotypic pseudo-islet assembly of human β -cells and human umbilical vein

endothelial cells using magnetic levitation. *Tissue Eng Part A.* (2020) 26:387–99. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2019.0158

31. Hashim M, Yokoi N, Takahashi H, Gheni G, Okechi OS, Hayami T, et al. Inhibition of snat5 induces incretin-responsive state from incretin-unresponsive state in pancreatic β -cells: Study of β -cell spheroid clusters as a model. *Diabetes*. (2018) 67:1795–806. doi: 10.2337/db17–1486

32. Kusamori K, Nishikawa M, Mizuno N, Nishikawa T, Masuzawa A, Tanaka Y, et al. Increased insulin secretion from insulin-secreting cells by construction of mixed multicellular spheroids. *Pharm Res.* (2016) 33:247–56. doi: 10.1007/s11095–015-1783–2

33. Zhang M, Yan S, Xu X, Yu T, Guo Z, Ma M, et al. Three-dimensional cell-culture platform based on hydrogel with tunable microenvironmental properties to improve insulin-secreting function of min6 cells. *Biomaterials*. (2021) 270:120687. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2021.120687

34. Jo YH, Nam BM, Kim BY, Nemeno JG, Lee S, Yeo JE, et al. Pseudoislet of hybrid cellular spheroids from commercial cell lines. *Transplant Proc.* (2013) 45:3113–7. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2013.07.051

35. Seo H, Son J, Park JK. Controlled 3D co-culture of beta cells and endothelial cells in a micropatterned collagen sheet for reproducible construction of an improved pancreatic pseudo-tissue. *APL Bioeng.* (2020) 4:046103. doi: 10.1063/5.0023873

36. Bal T, Inceoglu Y, Karaoz E, Kizilel S. Sensitivity study for the key parameters in heterospheroid preparation with insulin-secreting β -cells and mesenchymal stem cells. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* (2019) 5:5229–39. doi: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b00570

37. Perrier R, Pirog A, Jaffredo M, Gaitan J, Catargi B, Renaud S, et al. Bioelectronic organ-based sensor for microfluidic real-time analysis of the demand in insulin. *Biosens Bioelectron*. (2018) 117:253–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2018.06.015

38. Hohmeier HE, Mulder H, Chen G, Henkel-Rieger R, Prentki M, Newgard CB. Isolation of INS-1-derived cell lines with robust ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel-dependent and -independent glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. *Diabetes.* (2000) 49:424–30. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.49.3.424

39. Raoux M, Bornat Y, Quotb A, Catargi B, Renaud S, Lang J. Non-invasive long-term and real-time analysis of endocrine cells on micro-electrode arrays. *J Physiol.* (2012) 590:1085–91. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.220038

40. Raoux M, Vacher P, Papin J, Picard A, Kostrzewa E, Devin A, et al. Multilevel control of glucose homeostasis by adenylyl cyclase 8. *Diabetologia*. (2015) 58:749–57. doi: 10.1007/s00125-014-3445-z

41. Hastoy B, Scotti PA, Milochau A, Fezoua-Boubegtiten Z, Rodas J, Megret R, et al. A central small amino acid in the VAMP2 transmembrane domain regulates the fusion pore in exocytosis. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:2835. doi: 10.1038/s41598–017-03013–3

42. Roger B, Papin J, Vacher P, Raoux M, Mulot A, Dubois M, et al. Adenylyl cyclase 8 is central to glucagon-like peptide 1 signaling and effects of chronically elevated glucose in rat and human pancreatic beta cells. *Diabetologia*. (2011) 54:390–402. doi: 10.1007/s00125-010-1955-x

43. Abarkan M, Gaitan J, Lebreton F, Perrier R, Jaffredo M, Mulle C, et al. The glutamate receptor GLUK2 contributes to the regulation of glucose homeostasis and its deterioration during aging. *Mol Metab.* (2019) 30:152–60. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2019.09.011

44. Dull T, Zufferey R, Kelly M, Mandel RJ, Nguyen M, Trono D, et al. A thirdgeneration lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. *J Virol.* (1998) 72:8463–71. doi: 10.1128/jvi.72.11.8463–8471.1998

45. Dubois M, Vacher P, Roger B, Huyghe D, Vandewalle B, Kerr-Conte J, et al. Glucotoxicity inhibits late steps of insulin exocytosis. *Endocrinology*. (2007) 148:1605–14. doi: 10.1210/en.2006-1022

46. Solomou A, Philippe E, Chabosseau P, Migrenne-Li S, Gaitan J, Lang J, et al. Over-expression of SLC30A8/ZNT8 selectively in the mouse alpha cell impairs glucagon release and responses to hypoglycemia. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. (2016) 13:46. doi: 10.1186/s12986-016-0104-z

47. Koutsouras DA, Perrier R, Villarroel Marquez A, Pirog A, Pedraza E, Cloutet E, et al. Simultaneous monitoring of single cell and of micro-organ activity by PEDOT : PSS covered multi-electrode arrays. *Mat Sci Eng: C.* (2017) 81:84–9. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.07.028

48. van Gurp L, Fodoulian L, Oropeza D, Furuyama K, Bru-Tari E, Vu AN, et al. Generation of human islet cell type-specific identity genesets. *Nat Comm.* (2022) 13:2020. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29588-8

49. Nlend RN, Michon L, Bavamian S, Boucard N, Caille D, Cancela J, et al. Connexin36 and pancreatic beta-cell functions. *Arch Physiol Biochem.* (2006) 112:74–81. doi: 10.1080/13813450600712019

50. Janjic D, Asfari M. Effects of cytokines on rat insulinoma INS-1 cells. J Endocrinol. (1992) 132:67-76. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1320067

51. Merglen A, Theander S, Rubi B, Chaffard G, Wollheim CB, Maechler P. Glucose sensitivity and metabolism-secretion coupling studied during two-year continuous culture in INS-1E insulinoma cells. *Endocrinology*. (2004) 145:667–78. doi: 10.1210/ en.2003–1099

52. Lucaciu SA, Leighton SE, Hauser A, Yee R, Laird DW. Diversity in connexin biology. J Biol Chem. (2023) 299:105263. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105263

53. Zbinden A, Marzi J, Schlünder K, Probst C, Urbanczyk M, Black S, et al. Noninvasive marker-independent high content analysis of a microphysiological human pancreas-on-a-chip model. *Matrix Biol.* (2020) 85–86:205–20. doi: 10.1016/ j.matbio.2019.06.008 54. Komatsu H, Cook C, Wang CH, Medrano L, Lin H, Kandeel F, et al. Oxygen environment and islet size are the primary limiting factors of isolated pancreatic islet survival. *PloS One.* (2017) 12:e0183780. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183780

55. Fujita Y, Takita M, Shimoda M, Itoh T, Sugimoto K, Noguchi H, et al. Large human islets secrete less insulin per islet equivalent than smaller islets. *vitro. Islets.* (2011) 3:1–5. doi: 10.4161/isl.3.1.14131

56. Nam KH, Yong W, Harvat T, Adewola A, Wang S, Oberholzer J, et al. Size-based separation and collection of mouse pancreatic islets for functional analysis. *BioMed Microdevices*. (2010) 12:865–74. doi: 10.1007/s10544–010-9441–2

57. MacGregor RR, Williams SJ, Tong PY, Kover K, Moore WV, Stehno-Bittel L. Small rat islets are superior to large islets in *in vitro* function and in transplantation outcomes. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. (2006) 290:E771–9. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00097.2005

58. Huang HH, Harrington S, Stehno-Bittel L. The flaws and future of islet volume measurements. *Cell Transplant.* (2018) 27:1017–26. doi: 10.1177/0963689718779898

59. Korošak D, Slak Rupnik M. Collective sensing of β -cells generates the metabolic code. Front Physiol. (2018) 9:31. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00031

60. Ichihara Y, Utoh R, Yamada M, Shimizu T, Uchigata Y. Size effect of engineered islets prepared using microfabricated wells on islet cell function and arrangement. *Heliyon.* (2016) 2:e00129. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2016.e00129

61. Jo J, Choi MY, Koh DS. Size distribution of mouse Langerhans islets. *Biophys J*. (2007) 93:2655–66. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.107.104125

62. Nittala A, Wang X. The hyperbolic effect of density and strength of inter beta-cell coupling on islet bursting: A theoretical investigation. *Theor Biol Med Model*. (2008) 5:17. doi: 10.1186/1742-4682-5-17

63. Reaven EP, Gold G, Walker W, Reaven GM. Effect of variations in islet size and shape on glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. *Horm Metab Res.* (1981) 13:673–4. doi: 10.1055/s-2007–1019372

64. Olcomendy L, Pirog A, Lebreton F, Jaffredo M, Cassany L, Gucik Derigny D, et al. Integrating an islet-based biosensor in the artificial pancreas: In silico proof-ofconcept. *IEEE Trans BioMed Eng.* (2021) 69:899-909. doi: 10.1109/ TBME.2021.3109096

65. Jacques-Silva MC, Correa-Medina M, Cabrera O, Rodriguez-Diaz R, Makeeva N, FaChado A, et al. ATP-gated P2X3 receptors constitute a positive autocrine signal for insulin release in the human pancreatic beta cell. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2010) 107:6465–70. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908935107

66. Huang JL, Pourhosseinzadeh MS, Lee S, Kramer N, Guillen JV, Cinque NH, et al. Paracrine signaling by pancreatic delta cells determines the glycemic set point in mice. *Nat Metab.* (2024) 6:61–77. doi: 10.1038/s42255–023-00944–2

67. Bugliani M, Syed F, Paula FMM, Omar BA, Suleiman M, Mossuto S, et al. DPP-4 is expressed in human pancreatic beta cells and its direct inhibition improves beta cell function and survival in type 2 diabetes. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2018) 473:186–93. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2018.01.019

68. Szczerbinska I, Tessitore A, Hansson LK, Agrawal A, Ragel Lopez A, Helenius M, et al. Large-scale functional genomics screen to identify modulators of human β -cell insulin secretion. *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10:103. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10010103

69. Krizhanovskii C, Kristinsson H, Elksnis A, Wang X, Gavali H, Bergsten P, et al. EndoC- β H1 cells display increased sensitivity to sodium palmitate when cultured in DMEM/F12 medium. *Islets.* (2017) 9:e1296995. doi: 10.1080/19382014.2017.1296995

70. Bensellam M, Van Lommel L, Overbergh L, Schuit FC, Jonas JC. Cluster analysis of rat pancreatic islet gene mRNA levels after culture in low-, intermediate- and high-glucose concentrations. *Diabetologia*. (2009) 52:463–76. doi: 10.1007/s00125-008-1245-z

71. Wang Z, You J, Xu S, Hua Z, Zhang W, Deng T, et al. Colocalization of insulin and glucagon in insulinoma cells and developing pancreatic endocrine cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2015) 461:598–604. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.04.072

72. Bollheimer LC, Wrede CE, Rockmann F, Ottinger I, Schölmerich J, Buettner R. Glucagon production of the rat insulinoma cell line INS-1 -a quantitative comparison with primary rat pancreatic islets. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2005) 330:327–32. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.01.168

73. Acosta-Montalvo A, Saponaro C, Kerr-Conte J, Prehn JHM, Pattou F, Bonner C. Proglucagon-derived peptides expression and secretion in rat insulinoma INS-1 cells. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2020) 8:590763. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.590763

74. Miyazaki S, Tashiro F, Tsuchiya T, Sasaki K, Miyazaki JI. Establishment of a long-term stable β -cell line and its application to analyze the effect of GCG expression on insulin secretion. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:477. doi: 10.1038/s41598–020-79992–7

75. Amin J, Ramachandran K, Williams SJ, Lee A, Novikova L, Stehno-Bittel L. A simple, reliable method for high-throughput screening for diabetes drugs using 3D β -cell spheroids. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods. (2016) 82:83–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vascn.2016.08.005

76. Ntamo Y, Samodien E, Burger J, Muller N, Muller CJF, Chellan N. In vitro characterization of insulin-producing β -cell spheroids. Front Cell Dev Biol. (2020) 8:623889. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.623889

77. Sabra G, Vermette P. A 3d cell culture system: Separation distance between INS-1 cell and endothelial cell monolayers co-cultured in fibrin influences INS-1 cells insulin secretion. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* (2013) 110:619–27. doi: 10.1002/bit.24716

78. Zbinden A, Urbanczyk M, Layland SL, Becker L, Marzi J, Bosch M, et al. Collagen and endothelial cell coculture improves beta-cell functionality and rescues

pancreatic extracellular matrix. *Tissue Eng Part A*. (2021) 27:977-91. doi: 10.1089/ ten.TEA.2020.0250

79. Schlunder K, Cipriano M, Zbinden A, Fuchs S, Mayr T, Schenke-Layland K, et al. Microphysiological pancreas-on-chip platform with integrated sensors to model endocrine function and metabolism. *Lab Chip.* (2024) 24:2080-93. doi: 10.1039/ D3LC00838J 80. Le Gurun S, Martin D, Formenton A, Maechler P, Caille D, Waeber G, et al. Connexin-36 contributes to control function of insulin-producing cells. *J Biol Chem.* (2003) 278:37690–7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M212382200

81. Renaud S, Catargi B, Lang J. Biosensors in diabetes: How to get the most out of evolution and transpose it into a signal. *IEEE Pulse*. (2014) 5:30-4. doi: 10.1109/MPUL.2014.2309577