Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Milka Vrecl, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

REVIEWED BY Kari Nejak-Bowen, University of Pittsburgh, United States Shehnaz Bano, University of Pittsburgh, United States Evan Delgado, University of Pittsburgh, United States David Rudnick, Washington University in St. Louis, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Udayan Apte Muapte@kumc.edu

RECEIVED 20 March 2024 ACCEPTED 15 July 2024 PUBLISHED 31 July 2024

CITATION

Kotulkar M, Paine-Cabrera D, Venneman K and Apte U (2024) Role of HNF4alpha-cMyc interaction in liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1404318. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1404318

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Kotulkar, Paine-Cabrera, Venneman and Apte. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Role of HNF4alpha-cMyc interaction in liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy

Manasi Kotulkar, Diego Paine-Cabrera, Kaitlyn Venneman and Udayan Apte*

Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therapeutics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, United States

Background: Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4 α) is the master regulator of hepatic differentiation. Recent studies have also revealed the role of HNF4 α in hepatocyte proliferation via negatively regulating the expression of protomitogenic genes, including cMyc. Here, we aimed to study the interaction between HNF4 α -cMyc during liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy (PHX).

Methods: Wild-type (WT), hepatocyte-specific knockout of HNF4 α (HNF4 α -KO), cMyc (cMyc-KO), and HNF4 α -cMyc double knockout (DKO) mice were subjected to PHX to induce liver regeneration. Blood and liver tissue samples were collected at 0h, 24h, 48h, 7D, and 14D after PHX for further analysis.

Results: WT, HNF4 α -KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice regained liver weight by 14 days after PHX. The deletion of cMyc did not affect liver regeneration, which was similar to the WT mice. WT and cMyc-KO mice started regaining liver weight as early as 24 hours after PHX, with a peak proliferation response at 48 hours after PHX. HNF4 α -KO and DKO showed a delayed response with liver weight increase by day 7 after PHX. The overall hepatocyte proliferation response by DKO mice following PHX was lower than that of other genotypes. Interestingly, the surviving HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice showed re-expression of HNF4 α at mRNA and protein levels on day 14 after PHX. This was accompanied by a significant increase in the expression of *Krt19* and *Epcam*, hepatic progenitor cell markers, in the DKO mice on day 14 after PHX.

Conclusion: These data indicate that, in the absence of HNF4 α , cMyc contributes to hepatocyte-driven proliferation to compensate for the lost tissue mass. Furthermore, in the absence of both HNF4 α and cMyc, HPC-driven proliferation occurs to support liver regeneration.

KEYWORDS

HNF4a, proliferation, partial hepatectomy, regeneration, progenitor cells

Introduction

Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4 α) is a highly conserved member of the nuclear receptor expressed at high levels in hepatocytes. HNF4 α is important for normal liver development and the maintenance of hepatic differentiation (1). HNF4 α regulates various metabolic processes, including bile acid and coagulation factor synthesis, lipid, glucose and amino acid metabolism, and expression of several drug metabolism genes (2– 5). Recent studies have shown that HNF4 α also regulates hepatocyte proliferation (6). Deletion of HNF4 α results in increased spontaneous hepatocyte proliferation without liver injury, and it also promotes carcinogen-induced hepatocellular carcinoma (6). HNF4 α negatively regulates several pro-mitogenic genes, including but not limited to the proto-oncogene cMyc (7).

The liver has an exceptional ability to regenerate following drug-and diet-induced liver injury and surgical resection (8). Liver regeneration is a highly regulated process that involves cell proliferation and tissue remodeling. Partial hepatectomy (PHX) is the most common model for studying liver regeneration, in which approximately 70% of the liver is surgically removed and the remnant liver is allowed to regenerate (9). After PHX, hepatocytes leave the quiescence phase and start proliferating to contribute to the regeneration process. This model is clinically significant because PHX is a common therapy for several chronic liver diseases and is also the basis for successful living donor liver transplantation (10). Furthermore, understanding the mechanisms of the initiation and termination of hepatocyte proliferation is crucial because excessive proliferation can lead to carcinogenesis.

Previous studies in our laboratory investigated the role of HNF4 α in regulating liver regeneration after PHX (7). Our studies revealed that HNF4 α is essential for the termination of liver regeneration. Other studies from our laboratory investigated the role of HNF4α-cMyc interaction in liver regeneration after drugand diet-induced liver injury. We found that after acetaminopheninduced acute induced liver injury, HNF4a contributes to regeneration by downregulating the expression of cMyc and supports cytoprotection by inducing Nrf2 activity (11). During choline deficient and ethionine supplemented (CDE) diet-induced chronic liver injury, HNF4a protects against injury, which is exacerbated by cMyc (12). Both the acetaminophen overdose and CDE diet feeding models are different from PHX in the context of liver injury, inflammation, and regenerative cell type. In this study, we investigated the role of HNF4 α -cMyc interaction in the regulation of liver regeneration after PHX, a model with significantly less inflammation.

Materials and methods

Animal care, surgeries, and tissue harvesting

All studies were approved and performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Kansas Medical Center. The KUMC IACUC abides by the ARRIVE statement. All animals were housed in facilities accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care at the University of Kansas Medical Center under a standard 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to chow and water. Two-month-old male HNF4α-floxed, cMyc-floxed and HNF4\alpha-cMyc double floxed mice were injected with AAV8-TBG-CRE from Vector Biolabs at 2.5E¹¹ virus particles dissolved in 300 µL of saline intraperitoneally to generate hepatocyte-specific HNF4α-Knockout (KO), cMyc-KO, and double-KO mice, respectively, and AAV8-TBG-GFP to generate control (WT) mice as previously stated (7). PHX surgeries were performed on these mice one week after AAV8-TBG-CRE injections as previously described (13). After a simple surgical procedure, three out of the five liver lobes (which make up 2/3 of the liver mass) were removed without causing any damage to the remaining two lobes. The liver was able to regrow in size to reach its equivalent mass. Mice were euthanized at various time points between 0 and 14 days after PHX. Liver tissue and blood samples were collected and processed for further analysis. Liver injury was measured using serum ALT activity (Pointe Scientific ALT Assay by Fisher Scientific) by following manufacturer's protocol.

Protein isolation and western blotting

Western blot analysis was performed using pooled samples of isolated proteins, as described previously (14). HNF4 α (Perseus Proteomics, PP-H1415-00) and cMyc (Cell Signaling Technology, 56055), Cyclin D1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 2978), and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, 2118) antibodies were used. Western blots were imaged using Li-Cor Odyssey FC.

Real-time polymerase chain reaction

RNA isolation and cDNA conversion were performed as previously stated (14). qPCR reactions were analyzed on the BioRad CFX384 using 100 ng of cDNA per reaction. The 18 s gene expression in the same samples was used to normalize the ct values, as described previously (15). The Ct values for 48 h, 7 days, and 14 days were normalized to the WT mice control at 0 h. The exon spanning primers used for real-time PCR are listed in Table 1.

Staining procedures

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded liver tissue sections (5 μ M thick) were used for H&E, immunohistochemical staining of HNF4 α (Perseus Proteomics PP-H1415-00, 1:500), Ki67 (Cell signaling technology 12202, 1:400), and Cyclin D1 (Cell Signaling Technology) and immunofluorescence staining of Epcam (Abcam 71916, 1:500), CK19 (Abcam 52625, 1:600), CYP2F2(Santa Cruz, sc-374540, 1:200) and HNF4 α (Perseus Proteomics, PP-H1415-00,1:500) as previously described (14, 16).

Gene	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')
Hnf4α	CAGTGTCGTTACTGCAGGCTT	GCTGTCCTCGATGCTTGACC
Ccnd1	GAATTCTATGACCCCTTGACCCC	TGGTGTTGGGTAAGAGGTTG
F12	GCCATTTTCCCTTTCAGTACC	TCTTTCACTTTCTTGGGCTCC
Krt19	GTTCTCAGACCTGCGTCC	TGACAAAATGCGTACTGAAC
Epcam	GCGGCTCAGAGAGACTGTG	CCAAGCATTTAGACGCCAGTTTT
Col1a1	ATGTTCAGCTTTGTGGACCTC	CAGAAAGCACAGCACTCGC
Col1a2	GGTGAGCCTGGTCAAACGG	ACTGTGTCCTTTCACGCCTTT
Col3a1	CCTGGCTCAAATGGCTCAC	CAGGACTGCCGTTATTCCCG
Clec4f	TTGGAGACCTGAGTGGAATAAAG	TAGTCCCTAAGCCTCTGGATAG
Ccr2	ATGCAAGTTCAGCTGCCTGC	ATGCCGTGGATGAACTGAGG
Vsig4	CCCTGGCTTCCTTTCTTCTTA	GCTGTCAGGCATGATAAA
Adgre1	CTGCACCTGTAAACGAGGCTT	TTGAAAGTTGGTTTGTCCATTGC

TABLE 1 Primers used in this study.

Statistical analysis

Data presented in the form of bar graphs show the mean \pm standard error of the mean. GraphPad Prism 9 was used to graph and calculate statistics. Two-way ANOVA, one-way ANOVA, and Student's *t-test* were applied for all analyses, with *p*<0.05 considered significant. For all the experiments, three to five mice were used per group.

ACGGAAGGGCACCACCAGGA

Results

18s

Delayed liver regrowth in DKO mice following PHX

One of the major findings of our study was that, in contrast to our previous reports, some of the HNF4α-KO mice survived beyond day 10 after PHX (Figure 1A). We studied liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy at different time points in WT, HNF4α-KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice. The liver weight-tobody weight ratio indicated that in WT and cMyc-KO mice, liver regrowth started as early as 48 h following PHX. However, in HNF4α-KO and DKO mice, liver regrowth was delayed, and a significant increase was observed at 7 days post-PHX. Interestingly, all 4 genotypes, WT, HNF4α-KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice regained liver mass by 14 days post-PHX (Figure 1B). All four genotypes (WT, HNF4α-KO, cMyc-KO, and DKO mice) showed an increase in serum ALT levels at 24 h which was significantly lowered by 48 h after PHX (Figure 1C). To further investigate the immediately increased injury response in these mice, we performed qPCR analysis of fibrosis markers Col1a1, Col1a2 and Col3a1 and inflammation markers Adgre1, Clec4f, Ccr2 and Vsig4. Fibrosis markers were significantly upregulated in HNF4 α -KO 7D following PHX. DKO mice showed upregulation in Col1a1 and Col3a1 at 7D time point after PHX. mRNA expression of inflammation markers was significantly upregulated in DKO mice 7D after PHX. Ccr2 expression was increased in HNF4 α -KO mice whereas Clec4f and Vsig 4 were upregulated in cMyc-KO mice at 7D time point following PHX (Supplementary Figures 1A–G).

TTTAGTATTGGACGCTGCCC

DKO mice show decreased hepatocyte proliferation and disruption in cell proliferation kinetics

Ki67 immunohistochemical analysis was performed to determine changes in hepatocyte proliferation after PHX. In WT, HNF4 α -KO, and cMyc-KO mice, peak cell proliferation was observed at 48 h post-PHX. The number of Ki67 positive cells declined significantly in WT and cMyc-KO mice by day 7 post-PHX. In the HNF4α-KO mice, a decrease in proliferation was observed as compared to 48 h, but proliferation remained significantly higher 7 and 14 days after PHX as compared to all other groups. Interestingly, the DKO mice had significantly lower but sustained cell proliferation as compared to all other genotypes from 48 h to 14 days after PHX (Figures 2A, B). Next, we determined changes in cyclin D1, the major cell cycle regulator in liver regeneration. Western blot analysis of cyclin D1 protein indicated a significant increase in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice at all time points after PHX. In the WT and cMyc-KO mice, cyclin D1 protein expression was high at 48 hours after PHX and decreased by 14 days after PHX (Figure 3A). qPCR analysis showed higher expression of Ccnd1 in HNF4α-KO and DKO mice throughout all the time points and peak Ccnd1 expression at 48 hours after PHX



in WT and cMyc-KO mice (Figure 3B). Immunohistochemistry analysis of Cyclin D1 confirmed increased expression in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice before and after PHX, consistent with Western blot data (Figure 3C).

Hepatic progenitor cells support regeneration in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice

We investigated the mechanism of this increased survival in HNF4α-KO mice. Western blot analysis showed moderate reexpression of HNF4 α protein in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice 14 days after PHX (Figure 3A). Immunohistochemical staining HNF4a corroborated the Western blot data and showed the appearance of HNF4a positive cells in the HNF4a-KO and DKO mice at 14 days after PHX (Figure 3C). These cells were primarily located around portal areas. We performed qPCR analysis of $Hnf4\alpha$ and its target genes F12, Dio1, Alas2. We observed a significant increase in the expression of these genes in HNF4α-KO and DKO mice at 14 days after PHX compared to WT mice (Figures 4A-D). To further confirm if the new HNF4 α -positive cells appearing in the HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice came from the periportal region, we performed co-staining for HNF4a and the periportal marker CYP2F2. Co-localization of HNF4a and CYP2F2 confirmed that the new HNF4\alpha-positive cells originated from the periportal region (Figure 4E).

To analyze whether the newly formed cells are originating from biliary trans-differentiation or the hepatic progenitor compartment, we performed qPCR analysis of hepatic progenitor cell (HPC) markers, which demonstrated a significant increase in mRNA expression of *Krt19* and *Epcam* in DKO mice at 14 days after PHX (Figures 5A, B). Immunofluorescence staining of CK19 and EPCAM corroborated with the mRNA data and showed significantly increased staining in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice at 14 days after PHX (Figure 5B).

Discussion and conclusions

HNF4 α is a highly conserved nuclear receptor that is considered a master regulator of hepatic differentiation, as HNF4 α regulates various metabolic processes (1). The role of HNF4 α in proliferation and differentiation is very well established. Deletion of HNF4 α has been shown to result in a dedifferentiated phenotype and increased proliferation (7). The proliferation phase in liver regeneration is critical, as it allows hepatocytes to enter the G1 phase and helps compensate for the lost tissue (17). One of the major genes upregulated after HNF4 α deletion is cMyc, indicating an inverse relationship between HNF4 α and cMyc (6). Previous studies have demonstrated that HNF4 α and cMyc are functional competitors that regulate p21 expression (18). Studies in our laboratory have been aimed at studying the role of



HNF4 α -cMyc interaction in liver regeneration using different liver injury models. In the acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury model, we found that the deletion of cMyc in HNF4 α -KO mice was protective against the injury (11). In the CDE diet model, which mimics chronic liver injury, and thus the different regeneration process than that after acute liver injury, we found that deletion of HNF4 α increased CDE diet-induced injury by contributing to inflammation and fibrotic changes, and deletion of cMyc protects against such injury (12). The PHX model of liver regeneration is different from these two models in the context of injury and regeneration. The PHX model is surgical removal of 70% of the liver tissue, which does not involve massive injury or inflammation response observed in either the acetaminophen overdose or CDE diet model. Our laboratory has previously studied the role of HNF4 α in liver regeneration after PHX using hepatocyte-specific HNF4 α -KO mice (7). We found that HNF4 α regulates cell cycle entry in hepatocytes during the regeneration process, and HNF4 α is important for the termination of regeneration. The goal of this study was to investigate the role of HNF4 α -cMyc interaction in liver regeneration after PHX.



FIGURE 3

DKO mice show disruption in cell proliferation kinetics. (A) Western blot analysis of HNF4 α , cMyc and cyclin D1, and (B) qPCR analysis of *Ccnd1* in WT, HNF4 α -KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice after 0h, 48h, 7D, and 14D after PHX. (C) Representative photomicrographs immunohistochemistry analysis of HNF4 α and CyclinD1 in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice 14 days after PHX. Bars represent means \pm SEM. n = 3 to 5. Original magnification 400x. Significant change in comparison with 0h=a, 48h=b, and 7D=c.

In our previous PHX study with HNF4 α -KO mice from our group, we observed 100% mortality within 10 days after PHX. Our data demonstrated that hepatocytes lacking HNF4 α were unable to compensate for HNF4 α loss and failed redifferentiation. In our current study, we managed to get three HNF4 α -KO mice who survived until day 14 after PHX. HNF4 α -KO mice showed significantly higher liver injury immediately after PHX compared to WT control mice. However, there was no increase in fibrosis or inflammation markers. Deletion of HNF4 α results in an increase in hepatocyte proliferation and thus an increased liver weight-to-body weight ratio. Consistent with previous studies, we observed a higher LW/BW% ratio in HNF4 α -KO mice at the basal

level. Interestingly, all genotypes showed similar recovery in LW/ BW ratio following PHX. Hepatic deletion of cMyc has been shown not to impair the liver regeneration process after PHX (19). Our data corroborate these data, as hepatocyte-specific cMyc-KO mice showed regeneration patterns similar to WT mice and started gaining liver weight as early as 48 hours after PHX. HNF4 α -KO and DKO showed a delay in regeneration response with a significant increase in liver weight at days after PHX. These data indicate that loss of HNF4 α or HNF4 α and cMyc both together impairs liver regeneration after surgical resection, which is different from what we have reported in either the acetaminophen overdose model or the CDE diet model.



Our data demonstrated that in WT, cMyc-KO, and DKO mice, hepatocyte proliferation started 48 h after PHX. Interestingly, in HNF4 α -KO mice proliferation response was high at 24 hours after PHX and a peak proliferation at 48 hours after PHX. Moreover, we observed an overall decrease in Ki67-positive cells and cyclin D1 protein expression in DKO mice after PHX. The peak proliferation at 48 hours after PHX in DKO mice was significantly lower than WT, HNF4 α -KO and cMyc-KO mice at the same time point. These data indicate that loss of cMyc along with HNF4 α results in a change in the kinetics of cell proliferation rather than the extent of cell proliferation. Because of this, the DKO mice were able to gain similar liver weight by 14 days after PHX when compared to other genotypes.

Interestingly, we observed re-expression of HNF4 α at mRNA and protein levels in the HNF4 α -KO mice who survived. The newly formed islands of cells expressing HNF4 α were exclusively located around the portal triad. We observed a similar re-expression of HNF4 α in DKO mice 14 days after PHX. These data suggest that re-expressed HNF4 α is important for the survival, recovery of liver weight, and termination of liver regeneration in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice after PHX. HNF4 α negatively regulates Cyclin D1 expression_. We observed Cyclin D1 expression exclusively around the central vein in HNF4 α -KO and DKO mice 14 days after PHX. The newly formed cells near portal triad expressing HNF4 α did not express Cyclin D1. Co-staining of HNF4 α with periportal marker CYP2F2 further confirmed the periportal origin of newly formed cells in these mice. Further, the expression of the HPC



FIGURE 5

Hepatic progenitor cells support regeneration in HNF4α-KO and DKO mice. qPCR analysis of (A) Krt19, and (B) Epcam in WT, HNF4α-KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice after 0h, 48h, 7D, and 14D after PHX. (C) Representative photomicrographs of CK19 and EPCAM immunofluorescence staining in WT, HNF4 α -KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice 14 days after PHX. Original magnification 400X. Bars represent means \pm SEM. n = 3 to 5. Significant change in comparison with 0h=a, 48h=b, and 7D=c

markers was significantly higher in DKO mice 14 days after PHX. This suggests that liver regeneration in DKO mice not only includes hepatocyte proliferation but also HPC proliferation. Hepatocytes predominate the regeneration response after acute liver injury and PHX (20). cMyc compensates for hepatocyte proliferation in the absence of HNF4 α during liver regeneration after PHX. However, cMyc deletion in HNF4\alpha-KO mice promotes HPC-driven proliferation response to regain liver growth. These data further

highlight the role of HPCs as a secondary mechanism in liver regeneration. The exact signals that drove the HPC activation in the surviving HNF4α and DKO mice are not clear at this time.

In summary, HNF4 α is critical for the survival and termination of liver regeneration after PHX. In the absence of HNF40, cMyc contributes to hepatocyte-driven proliferation to compensate for the lost tissue mass. In the absence of both HNF4 α and cMyc, HPCdriven proliferation occurs.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by IACUC of the University of Kansas Medical Center. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

UA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. MK: Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DP-C: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. KV: Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. These studies were funded by NIH R01 DK98414.

References

1. Kotulkar M, Robarts DR, Apte U. HNF4alpha in hepatocyte health and disease. Semin Liver Dis. (2023) 43:234-44. doi: 10.1055/a-2097-0660

2. Gonzalez FJ. Regulation of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha-mediated transcription. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. (2008) 23:2-7. doi: 10.2133/dmpk.23.2

 Hayhurst GP, Lee YH, Lambert G, Ward JM, Gonzalez FJ. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (nuclear receptor 2A1) is essential for maintenance of hepatic gene expression and lipid homeostasis. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2001) 21:1393–403. doi: 10.1128/ MCB.21.4.1393-1403.2001

4. Inoue Y, Peters LL, Yim SH, Inoue J, Gonzalez FJ. Role of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha in control of blood coagulation factor gene expression. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. (2006) 84:334–44. doi: 10.1007/s00109-005-0013-5

5. Inoue Y, Yu AM, Inoue J, Gonzalez FJ. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha is a central regulator of bile acid conjugation. *J Biol Chem*. (2004) 279:2480–9. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M311015200

6. Walesky C, Apte U. Role of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (HNF4alpha) in cell proliferation and cancer. *Gene Expr.* (2015) 16:101-8. doi: 10.3727/105221615X14181438356292

7. Huck I, Gunewardena S, Espanol-Suner R, Willenbring H, Apte U. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha activation is essential for termination of liver regeneration in mice. *Hepatology*. (2019) 70:666–81. doi: 10.1002/hep.30405

8. Michalopoulos GK. Liver regeneration. J Cell Physiol. (2007) 213:286–300. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21172

9. Michalopoulos GK. Hepatostat: Liver regeneration and normal liver tissue maintenance. *Hepatology*. (2017) 65:1384–92. doi: 10.1002/hep.28988

10. Yagi S, Hirata M, Miyachi Y, Uemoto S. Liver regeneration after hepatectomy and partial liver transplantation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21(21):8414. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21218414

11. Kotulkar M, Paine-Cabrera D, Abernathy S, Robarts DR, Parkes WS, Lin-Rahardja K, et al. Role of HNF4alpha-cMyc interaction in liver regeneration and

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1404318/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Fibrotic and Inflammatory changes after PHX. qPCR analysis of **(A)** Col1a1, **(B)** Col1a2, **(C)** Col3a1, **(D)** Adgre1, **(E)** Clec4f, **(F)** Ccr2, **(G)** Vsig4 in WT, HNF4 α -KO, cMyc-KO and DKO mice after 0h, 48h, 7D, and 14D after PHX. Bars represent means \pm SEM. n = 3 to 5. Significant change in comparison with 0h=a, 48h=b, and 7D=c.

recovery after acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury. *Hepatology*. (2023) 78:1106–17. doi: 10.1097/HEP.00000000000367

12. Kotulkar M, Barbee J, Paine-Cabrera D, Robarts D, O'Neil M, Apte U. Role of HNF4alpha-cMyc interaction in CDE-diet induced liver injury and regeneration. *Am J Pathol.* (2024) 194(7):1218–29. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2024.03.008

13. Apte U, Gkretsi V, Bowen WC, Mars WM, Luo JH, Donthamsetty S, et al. Enhanced liver regeneration following changes induced by hepatocyte-specific genetic ablation of integrin-linked kinase. *Hepatology.* (2009) 50:844–51. doi: 10.1002/ hep.23059

14. Kotulkar M, Paine-Cabrera D, Robarts D, Apte U. Regulation of hepatic xenosensor function by HNF4alpha. *Toxicol Sci.* (2024) 29:kfae069. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfae069

15. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. (2001) 25:402– 8. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

16. Borude P, Edwards G, Walesky C, Li F, Ma X, Kong B, et al. Hepatocyte-specific deletion of farnesoid X receptor delays but does not inhibit liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy in mice. *Hepatology*. (2012) 56:2344–52. doi: 10.1002/hep.25918

17. Tao Y, Wang M, Chen E, Tang H. Liver regeneration: analysis of the main relevant signaling molecules. *Mediators Inflammation*. (2017) 2017:4256352. doi: 10.1155/2017/4256352

18. Hwang-Verslues WW, Sladek FM. Nuclear receptor hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha1 competes with oncoprotein c-Myc for control of the p21/WAF1 promoter. *Mol Endocrinol.* (2008) 22:78–90. doi: 10.1210/me.2007-0298

19. Li F, Xiang Y, Potter J, Dinavahi R, Dang CV, Lee LA. Conditional deletion of cmyc does not impair liver regeneration. *Cancer Res.* (2006) 66:5608–12. doi: 10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-05-4242

20. Yin Y, Kong D, He K, Xia Q. Regeneration and activation of liver progenitor cells in liver cirrhosis. *Genes Dis.* (2021) 8:623–8. doi: 10.1016/j.gendis.2020.07.016