Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Luis Sobrevia, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

REVIEWED BY Khyati Girdhar, Boston College, United States Laura Marroqui, Miguel Hernández University of Elche, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Stephanie C. Ganal-Vonarburg Stephanie.ganal@unibe.ch

RECEIVED 29 April 2024 ACCEPTED 05 September 2024 PUBLISHED 26 September 2024

CITATION

Fernandez Trigo N, Kalbermatter C, Yilmaz B and Ganal-Vonarburg SC (2024) The protective effect of the intestinal microbiota in type-1 diabetes in NOD mice is limited to a time window in early life. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1425235. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1425235

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Fernandez Trigo, Kalbermatter, Yilmaz and Ganal-Vonarburg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The protective effect of the intestinal microbiota in type-1 diabetes in NOD mice is limited to a time window in early life

Nerea Fernandez Trigo^{1,2}, Cristina Kalbermatter^{1,2}, Bahtiyar Yilmaz^{1,2} and Stephanie C. Ganal-Vonarburg^{1,2*}

¹Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland, ²Department for BioMedical Research (DBMR), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Introduction: The incidence of type-1 diabetes is on the rise, particularly in developed nations, and predominantly affects the youth. While genetic predisposition plays a substantial role, environmental factors, including alterations in the gut microbiota, are increasingly recognized as significant contributors to the disease.

Methods: In this study, we utilized germ-free non-obese diabetic mice to explore the effects of microbiota colonization during early life on type-1 diabetes susceptibility.

Results: Our findings reveal that microbiota introduction at birth, rather than at weaning, significantly reduces the risk of type-1 diabetes, indicating a crucial window for microbiota-mediated modulation of immune responses. This protective effect was independent of alterations in intestinal barrier function but correlated with testosterone levels in male mice. Additionally, early life colonization modulated T cell subset frequencies, particularly T helper cells and regulatory T cells, in the intestine, potentially shaping type-1 diabetes predisposition.

Discussion: Our findings underscore the pivotal role of early-life microbial interactions in immune regulation and the development of autoimmune diseases.

KEYWORDS

type-1 diabetes, microbiota, window of opportunity, early life, weaning, autoimmunity, regulatory T cells

Introduction

Type-1 diabetes (T1D) affects 8.4 million people world-wide and its incidence has been increasing in developed countries in the last decades (1-3). The disease is also prevalent in the younger population with 18% younger than 20 and 64% younger than 60 years of age (4). The median age of disease onset is 29 years of age, although peaks in younger children and adolescents have been previously reported (1, 4, 5). Genetic factors are linked to an elevated risk of developing T1D (6). Yet, only about 5% of individuals genetically predisposed to T1D actually manifest the disease (7), suggesting a predominant role for environmental influences. The specific molecular mechanisms underlying the observed increase in autoimmune and non-communicable diseases remain largely elusive. However, lifestyle changes and environmental shifts in Western societies are proposed to play contributory roles (8). Notably, alterations in the gut microbiota, characterized by diminished bacterial diversity and the loss of particular strains-phenomena encapsulated by the 'disappearing microbiome hypothesis'-are increasingly recognized as significant drivers behind T1D pathogenesis (9-11).

The non-obese diabetic (NOD) mouse model is routinely used to study T1D in vivo (12). These mice are highly susceptible to the spontaneous development of diabetes, mediated by leukocyte infiltration and destruction of the pancreatic β cells. The onset of diabetes can be monitored by glycosuria and a non-fasting hyperglycemia. It has been demonstrated that the hygiene level of the animal husbandry positively correlates with the incidence of diabetes in NOD mice and that germ-free NOD mice are more prone to develop T1D than colonized control animals (13-15). The protective role of the presence of endogenous commensal microbiota for T1D development is, therefore, a common assumption. It is an increasingly believed notion that the right environmental cues during the perinatal and early life period, such as microbial signals, nutrition, and lifestyle in a larger sense, are game-changing for life-long immune regulation and health of the growing child (16, 17). Interestingly, earlylife exposure to infectious agents confers greater protection from diabetes development than exposure later in life. For example, in NOD mice intravenous injections of the live bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine at 5 but not 15 weeks of age protected from T1D (18) and injection of complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) protected from T1D only when given during early life (19). Similarly, antibiotic treatment of NOD mice during early life partially protected them from disease development in comparison to the treatment of adult NOD mice (20). While clearly an early-life immune stimulus seems to be important in the NOD mouse model, it remains unclear whether signals from the commensal microbiota during the early-life period would be sufficient to lower the disease incidence in NOD mice and to which extent this microbiota-mediated protection would be restricted to a certain phase of life.

Here, we used germ-free NOD mice that were exposed to SPF microbiota either at the time of birth or after weaning and followed their onset of T1D in combination with microbiota analyses and immunological assessments to evaluate the importance of early-life microbiota in the protection from auto-immune mediated T1D. This

research underscores the potential of early-life microbial interventions in managing or even preventing autoimmune diseases.

Results

Colonization during birth, but not at weaning, confers protection against the development of type-1 diabetes in NOD mice

Knowing that the spontaneous diabetes incidence in the NOD mouse model is highly variable between husbandries, we first characterized the cumulative disease incidence in our germ-free (GF) and specific pathogen-free (SPF) colonies by regularly measuring steady-state glucose levels in all mice until the occurance of a critical serum glucose level of 250 mg/dl, which was previously defined as diabetic state and the mouse subsequently euthanized (21). As expected, both female and male GF mice showed an earlier development of T1D, measured as the time passed until a cumulative disease incidence of 50%, compared to SPF mice (blue versus orange lines in Figures 1A, B). To address the importance of the microbiota during a particular time window in early life, we colonized newborn GF pups either at the time of birth (postnatal day P0) or at weaning (P21) with the SPF microbiota from our facility harboring the SPF NOD colony. Colonization was achieved by co-housing the dams and her newborn pups or the weaned offspring with a female SPF colonized mouse. While colonization at the time of birth (SPF P0) was able to delay the onset of T1D to a level that was equal to that observed in the SPF colony (beige line in Figures 1A, B), colonization at weaning (SPF P21) was unable to do so (Figures 1C, D), most evident in female SPF P21 NOD mice which showed the same disease susceptibility as their GF counterparts (Figure 1C). Moreover, SPF P0 tended to develop T1D later than SPF P21 mice (Supplementary Figure 3). A comparable delay in diabetes onset was observed in mice colonized at the day of birth with the stable defined moderately diverse mouse microbiota (sDMDMm P0), a less diverse gnotobiotic microbiota containg 12 bacterial species (Supplementary Figure 1) (22). Although male SPF P21 mice were slightly more protected from T1D development than GF male NOD mice, no significant difference was observed at the 50% cumulative disease incidence (Figure 1D). Regardless of the colonization status, female mice developed the disease earlier than male mice (Figures 1A-D; Supplementary Figure 2), which was in accordance with previous reports (12). Accordingly, female mice exhibited a higher insulitis score index at 8 weeks of age compared to male mice (Figures 1E-G). However, the insulitis score at this time point was inconsistent with the observed T1D incidence (Figures 1A–D). Although female SPF P21 mice exhibited a similar cumulative disease incidence as GF mice (Figure 1C), they harbored a significantly lower insulitis score than fully colonized SPF females, which were partly protected from the disease (Figures 1A, F). Similarly, in males the insulitis scoring (Figure 1G) did not match the cumulative disease incidence (Figures 1B, D), suggesting that the timing of onset of inflammatory



Colonization before wearing protects against the development of type-1 diabetes. Type-1 diabetes incidence was assessed by monitoring of nonfasted blood glucose levels in germ-free (GF), specific pathogen-free (SPF) NOD mice, and GF mice colonized at birth (SPF P0) or weaning (SPF P21), starting from 14 weeks and continuing until disease onset/up to 1 year of age. Cumulative disease incidence in (A) female and (B) male NOD mice, for GF compared to SPF, and SPF P0, respectively (n \geq 28 per group). (C) Female and (D) male GF versus SPF P21 NOD mice disease incidence (n \geq 29 per group). (E) Representative images of hematoxylin-eosin staining of the pancreata from GF, SPF P0, SPF P21, and SPF NOD mice at 8 weeks of age for insulitis scoring. Insulitis severity in (F) female and (G) male NOD mice (n = 8 per group). Unpaired parametric student's t-test (*p \leq 0.05) and mean \pm standard deviation are shown.

lesions within pancreatic islets may not determine the ultimate development of T1D. Nevertheless, the protective effect of the commensal microbiota in the NOD model seems to be restricted to a limited time window between birth and weaning.

Colonization at weaning restores intestinal barrier integrity but does not protect against type-1 diabetes

Quantitative and qualitative differences in the commensal microbiota composition are one likely explanation for discrepant disease susceptibility in NOD mouse colonies around the world (14). We conducted 16S rRNA gene sequencing on fecal samples from 8 week-old mice. Our analysis revealed that neither α diversity as assessed with Shannon and Simpson indices (Figure 2A) nor β -diversity using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities matrix (Figure 2B) showed significant differences between mice raised under SPF conditions (SPF and SPF P0) and those colonized only at weaning (SPF P21). Taxonomic analysis revealed no major deviation in the microbiota composition at the phylum, class, or family level (Figure 2C; Table 1). Thus, persisting differences in intestinal microbiota composition are unlikely to explain the observed phenotypic variations in T1D onset. However, the absence of microbiota until P21 and the potential dysbiosis from colonization at weaning could influence immune regulation and



FIGURE 2

Colonization time point has no exert lasting effects on the composition of the microbiota. 16s rRNA sequencing was performed on fecal samples at postnatal day 56 (P56) from specific pathogen-free (SPF) and those colonized at birth (SPF P0) or at weaning (SPF P21) with the SPF microbiota. (A) α -diversity (Shannon and Simpson indices) for female and male NOD mice, respectively. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. (B) β -diversity based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for female and male NOD mice, respectively. Each symbol represents a different cage. (C) Relative abundance of bacteria at the phylum, class, and family levels in female and male NOD mice, respectively. One-Way ANOVA (*p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01, n \geq 4 per group) and mean \pm standard deviation are shown.

intestinal barrier integrity over the long term in the P21 colonized group.

Enhanced intestinal permeability was shown to occur before insulitis and T1D in animals and humans (23–26). No differences in the expression of genes encoding for claudin molecules or in Occludin-4, all of which encode for tight junction components, could be observed between the groups (Figure 3A), suggesting that the time point of colonization does not permanently shape the intestinal barrier integrity. GF mice expressed lower RNA levels of *Reg3g*, encoding for antimicrobial peptides compared to SPF mice (Figure 3B). *Reg3g, Lyz-1, and Muc-2* protein products additionally contribute to a functional intestinal barrier. Interestingly, adult SPF P21 NOD mice did not exhibit any sign of an impaired intestinal barrier compared to the SPF counterparts (Figures 3A, B), indicating that microbiota colonization at weaning time frame is sufficient for maintaining intestinal barrier integrity and but not for protection against the onset and progression of T1D.

Early life colonization modulates testosterone levels and type-1 diabetes susceptibility in male NOD mice

A clear difference in T1D susceptibility between GF and SPF mice was shown by Danska and colleagues (13). Remarkably, the protective effect of the SPF microbiota was attributed to the level of

TABLE 1 Taxonomy Statistics comparing SPF P0 and SPF P21 using ASV.

Bacteria	Sex	Up in	coefficient	standard deviation	N	p-value	q-value
$c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_ASF356$	F	SPF P21	0.07813282	0.028942065	10	0.02708962	0.65015091
d_Bacteria.p_Bacteroidota.c_Bacteroidia	F	SPF P0	-0.0805548	0.062012737	10	0.23012869	0.83828862
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Prevotellaceae	F	SPF P0	-0.0464613	0.030968353	10	0.17193157	0.83828862
c_Clostridia	F	SPF P21	0.05371798	0.041716342	10	0.23385044	0.83828862
$c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnospiraceae_UCG.001$	F	SPF P21	0.20527358	0.153978611	10	0.21920197	0.83828862
c_Clostridia.o_Oscillospirales.f_Ruminococcaceae	F	SPF P21	0.06252645	0.049776074	10	0.24450085	0.83828862
$c_Gamma proteo bacteria.o_Burkholderiales.f_Sutterellaceae.g_Parasutte$	F	SPF P0	-0.0413066	0.026428209	10	0.15668564	0.83828862
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Muribaculaceae.g_Muribaculaceae$	F	SPF P0	-0.1007293	0.088296928	10	0.28695038	0.86085114
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales	F	SPF P0	-0.0506274	0.072868526	10	0.50686832	0.89000887
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae	F	SPF P0	-0.0383832	0.044219879	10	0.41067115	0.89000887
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae.g_Rikenellaceae_RC9 _gut_group	F	SPF P0	-0.0424424	0.045644506	10	0.37965328	0.89000887
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae	F	SPF P0	-0.1017865	0.150968172	10	0.51917184	0.89000887
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnospiraceae _NK4A136_group	F	SPF P21	0.03796666	0.037682493	10	0.3431736	0.89000887
c_Clostridia.o_Oscillospirales.f_Oscillospiraceae	F	SPF P0	-0.0463007	0.059699222	10	0.46031438	0.89000887
$c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnoclostridium$	F	SPF P21	0.0378152	0.068197202	10	0.59439612	0.89159419
$c_Alphaproteobacteria.o_Rickettsiales.f_Mitochondria.g_Mitochondria$	F	SPF P0	-0.0249126	0.040912825	10	0.55946765	0.89159419
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Bacteroidaceae.g_Bacteroides$	F	SPF P0	-0.0003613	0.223207185	10	0.99874825	0.99874825
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Marinifilaceae.g_Odoribacter$	F	SPF P21	0.0143678	0.037205592	10	0.70943597	0.99874825
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Muribaculaceae.g_Muribaculum$	F	SPF P0	-0.0074583	0.032910063	10	0.82639828	0.99874825
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae.g_Alistipes$	F	SPF P0	-0.0107345	0.073531304	10	0.88754385	0.99874825
c_Bacilli.o_Bacillales.f_Bacillaceae.g_Bacillus	F	SPF P0	-0.0092644	0.095418128	10	0.92504118	0.99874825
$c_Bacilli.o_Lactobacillales.f_Lactobacillaceae.g_Lactobacillus$	F	SPF P21	0.00136118	0.099304534	10	0.98939932	0.99874825
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_A2	F	SPF P21	0.00734277	0.072164233	10	0.92145852	0.99874825
c_Clostridia.o_Oscillospirales.f_Butyricicoccaceae	F	SPF P0	-0.0151719	0.058468417	10	0.80181128	0.99874825

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Bacteria	Sex	Up in	coefficient	standard deviation	N	p-value	q-value
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae.g_Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut _group	М	SPF P21	0.13962254	0.013463694	9	1.68E-05	0.00040382
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Marinifilaceae.g_Odoribacter$	М	SPF P21	0.09682504	0.020003311	9	0.00187678	0.02252142
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnospiraceae_NK4A136 _group	М	SPF P21	0.17895048	0.051935438	9	0.01075476	0.08447468
c_Clostridia.o_Oscillospirales.f_Oscillospiraceae	М	SPF P21	0.08784024	0.027037103	9	0.01407911	0.08447468
$c_Bacilli.o_Lactobacillales.f_Lactobacillaceae.g_Lactobacillus$	М	SPF P21	0.38430922	0.144646862	9	0.03261447	0.13045787
$c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnospiraceae_UCG.001$	М	SPF P0	-0.3397671	0.122329345	9	0.02739751	0.13045787
$c_Bacilli.o_Erysipel otrichales.f_Erysipel otrichace a e.g_Dubosiella$	М	SPF P21	0.05774211	0.023346102	9	0.04262588	0.14614587
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae	М	SPF P0	-0.0393884	0.018234133	9	0.06759357	0.20278071
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Bacteroidaceae.g_Bacteroides$	М	SPF P0	-0.4004022	0.223177303	9	0.11588075	0.29131754
$c_Gamma proteo bacteria.o_Burkholderiales.f_Sutterellaceae.g_Parasutte$	М	SPF P21	0.04105586	0.023295879	9	0.12138231	0.29131754
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae	М	SPF P0	-0.1136102	0.067930888	9	0.13835676	0.3018693
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales	М	SPF P21	0.09681411	0.062655748	9	0.16622004	0.30686776
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Muribaculaceae.g_Muribaculaceae$	М	SPF P21	0.15128946	0.097642229	9	0.16521086	0.30686776
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae.g_Alistipes	М	SPF P21	0.06610467	0.054860492	9	0.26737011	0.42779217
c_Clostridia	М	SPF P21	0.04599855	0.036940618	9	0.25312249	0.42779217
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_A2	М	SPF P21	0.05556244	0.048604412	9	0.29055899	0.43583848
c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_ASF356	М	SPF P21	0.03546573	0.036654069	9	0.36548741	0.51598223
$c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Muribaculaceae.g_Muribaculum$	М	SPF P21	0.02714728	0.037909949	9	0.49711771	0.59654125
c_Bacilli.o_Bacillales.f_Bacillaceae.g_Bacillus	М	SPF P21	0.07606773	0.100381901	9	0.47331427	0.59654125
$c_Clostridia.o_Lachnospirales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Lachnoclostridium$	М	SPF P0	-0.057322	0.072030744	9	0.45228614	0.59654125
c_Clostridia.o_Oscillospirales.f_Ruminococcaceae	М	SPF P0	-0.022911	0.042858913	9	0.60950062	0.69657213
$c_Actino bacteria.o_Bifido bacteriales.f_Bifido bacteriaceae.g_Bifido bacterium$	М	SPF P21	0.02027486	0.044605993	9	0.66320559	0.72349701
c_Bacteroidia	М	SPF P0	-0.0142216	0.040140054	9	0.73354802	0.76544141
c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Prevotellaceae	М	SPF P0	-0.008181	0.029126079	9	0.78692259	0.78692259



male steroid hormones in the colonizing microbiota. Colonization of adult GF NOD mice with a male microbiota lowered diabetes susceptibility, while colonization with a female microbiota did not confer any protection. In our model, we invariably colonized GF mice using a female microbiota. Consequently, the previously published data are in line with our observations, where colonization with a female microbiota, as used in our case, in adult life was not protective. Similarly, we measured the sex hormone levels in the serum of adult (8-week-old) NOD mice in all our experimental groups. As expected, female mice harbored substantially low testosterone levels (between 0-0.5 ng/ml). Results showed slightly higher testosterone levels in GF and SPF P0 compared to SPF P21 and SPF females (Figure 3B). Interestingly, males colonized at the time of birth or born from an SPF-colonized mother exhibited slightly higher levels than GF mice and those colonized with an SPF microbiota at weaning (Figure 3C). This result indicates that earlier colonization with a microbiota might increase steroid hormone levels in male mice and underscores the previously published contribution of the microbiota to this process. While the presumable microbiota-induced sex hormone induction seems to partially explain the phenotype observed in the P0 versus P21 colonized male NOD mice, it cannot account for the differences described in the female colonies.

Colonization induces changes in T cell subset frequencies within the small intestine, influencing type-1 diabetes development in NOD mice

T1D is an autoimmune disease in which auto-reactive T cells mediate the destruction of β cells in the pancreatic islets. A dysbalance between effector (Teffs) and regulatory T cells (Tregs) was suggested to be one of the causes for disease development (27). Thymic-derived naturally occurring CD25⁺CD4⁺ regulatory T (nTregs) cells have been shown to have protective effects in both the NOD animal model as well as in humans. Evidence from numerous studies confirmed that absence of CD25⁺ T cells in mice facilitated the development of T1D, while adoptive transfer of these cells or IL-2 supplementation resulted in the resolution of the diabetes symptoms (28–33).

The pancreas-infiltrating lymphocytes in the NOD model may originate and migrate from gut-associated lymphatic tissues (GALT) in the intestine to the pancreas, as demonstrated by the expression of gut-homing markers, such as $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin (34). Therefore, we analyzed T cell populations in the pancreas of prediabetic 8 week-old mice in all experimental groups by flow cytometry. No difference coherent with experimental groups in Teff or Treg cell frequencies were observed between GF and any colonized SPF females in the pancreatic leukocytes (Figure 4A). Remarkably in the small intestinal lamina propria (siLP), Treg proportions were significantly lower in all colonized animals, regardless of the colonization time point, compared to GF controls. Further analysis revealed that the decrease was not restricted to natural (Helios⁺) or induced (Rorgt⁺/Helios⁻) Tregs, but present in both subsets (Figure 4B). Interestingly, no differences in Th1 and Th2 cells were observed. However, colonized animals harbored higher frequencies of Th17 cells in the siLP compared to GF mice (Figure 4C).

Homing of $\alpha 4\beta$ 7-expressing lymphocytes into the pancreas was shown to occur from week 3 post birth (34). In addition, protective nTregs and autoreactive Teff seem to be present as early as 3 weeks of age (27, 30). Hence, we wondered whether differences in organ distribution of T cell populations at this early age may be visible and possibly explain the distinct T1D susceptibility observed in NOD mice colonized at birth or weaning. Flow cytometric analysis at P21 confirmed a difference in Treg frequencies in the siLP between GF and SPF colonized mice with relatively higher Treg propotions in SPF and P0 colonized compared to GF mice (Figure 4D). At this time point, SPF P21 were excluded from the analysis as they were still GF. Other T cell populations and pancreatic Treg proportions were not significantly affected by microbial colonization at this early time point (Figure 4E).

Lastly, to determine whether a certain distribution among CD4⁺ T cell subsets prior to weaning in SPF mice contribute to the microbiota-dependent protective effect against diabetes, we depleted all CD4⁺ T cells from SPF pups between the age of 10 to 20 days using injections of depleting anti-CD4 antibodies or isotype control antibodies (Supplementary Figure 5C). Despite this intervention, both female and male mice that underwent CD4⁺ T cell depletion exhibited a cumulative disease incidence comparable to their control counterparts. This suggests that the complete absence of T helper cells in early life does not critically influence T1D pathogenesis. Instead, it appears that altered proportions of T helper cell subsets during this period may promote the development of the disease (Supplementary Figures 5A, B).



FIGURE 4

Intestinal colonization affects T helper cell frequencies in the small intestine. Single-cell suspensions were obtained from pancreas or small intestinal lamina propria (siLP) at postnatal day 56 (P56) ($n \ge 7$ per group) or 21 (P21) (n = 3 per group) and analyzed by flow cytometry. Frequency of regulatory T cells (Tregs), Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells (A) in the pancreas at P56 and (D) in the siLP at P21. Frequency of (B) Tregs, subdivided into Helios⁺, Helios⁺, Rorgt⁺, and Rorgt⁻ Tregs in the siLP at P56, (C) Th1, Th2, Th17 cells in the siLP at P56, and (E) Tregs in the pancreas at P21, respectively. Unpaired parametric student's t-test (* $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$, $n \ge 3$ per group) and mean \pm standard deviation are shown. Symbols indicate individual experiments. Each symbol represents a different animal, while different symbols (cross/diamond) represent animals from independent experiments. Plots show pooled data from two (A-C) or one (D, E) independent experiments, respectively.

Discussion

Our study provides compelling evidence that the timing of microbial colonization significantly influences the development of T1D in NOD mice, supporting the concept that specific early-life windows are critical for establishing a regulatory mechanisms that can modulate disease risk. These findings substantiate theories like the hygiene hypothesis and the disappearing microbiota hypothesis, which correlate diminished microbial diversity with an increase in autoimmune diseases (8, 9, 35, 36).

Additionally, our data align with previous research suggesting that reduced microbial diversity in early life escalates the risk of T1D, underscoring the enduring impact of early-life microbial environments on host immune modulation and disease susceptibility (37, 38). Microbiota development mirrors the development of the immune system in distinct waves during early life, but while external factors persistently impact the immune system, visible changes in the microbiota diminish with age (39-42). Our findings show no differences in the composition of the adult microbiota between the groups (Figures 2A-C), indicating that the microbiota composition at or directly before disease onset likely does not explain the observed T1D incidence. Instead, intestinal colonization at distinct early lifetime points, coinciding with phases of immune system development, may account for the differences in T1D predisposition in NOD mice. Interestingly, colonization at P0 with the less diverse sDMDMm microbiota was sufficient to confer a delay in the onset of T1D, indicating that species richness alterations before weaning were not the driving factor in our phenotype (Supplementary Figure 1). Accordingly, early-life colonization of NOD mice with the microbiota of diseaseprotected C57BL/6 mice did not confer protection against T1D (43), supporting the notion that the composition of the microbiota does not necessarily determine the disease susceptibility. Nevertheless, another study recently showed that the transfer of a specific microbiota protects NOD mice against the developmend of T1D (44), indicating that a combination of distinct factors, including a specific microbial consortia and higher microbial diversity (37, 38) may shape the predisposition to T1D. Furthermore, our data implies that colonization of the mother before giving birth seems to be neglectable or rescued by postnatal colonization of the offspring itself in our model. We did however not test the influence of exclusive colonization of the dam during pregnancy with the reversible auxotrophic E. coli model (45) on disease susceptibility in the resulting offspring.

Our results revealed elevated expression of genes related to the intestinal barrier function in adult colonized compared to GF NOD mice (Figure 3B), irrespective of colonization time point. Notably, increased intestinal permeability, linked to T1D risk, may result from a leaky gut allowing dietary antigen passage, triggering immune reactions and fascilitating T1D development (46). However, colonization at weaning induced expression of genes encoding for proteins with gut barrier function similar to SPF NOD mice in adulthood (Figure 3B). Nevertheless, SPF P21 carry a history of transiently increased permeability before weaning when not yet colonized, as observed in GF mice throughout life, potentially influencing T1D susceptibility later in life. The

expression of genes encoding tight junction proteins was comparable between the groups at P56 (Figure 3A), indicating that colonization at weaning does not lead to a permanent perturbation of the intestinal barrier.

Disease susceptibility in NOD mice significantly differs between genders (47). Castration increased T1D incidence in NOD males, highlighting the protective role of male hormones (48). Androgens influence gut microbiota composition, protecting against T1D (49), while the microbiota modulates androgen metabolism (50). Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) from male, but not female, donors protects adult NOD mice against T1D, attributed to testosterone levels in the colonizing microbiota (13). In our experiments, colonization with a female microbiota at birth or weaning resulted in different disease onsets (Figures 1A-D), possibly due to microbiota evolving into an "adult male" microbiota before weaning in males, while this capacity may have disappeared by weaning, leading to disease onset similar to GF mice. Correspondingly, slightly higher testosterone levels were found in SPF and SPF P0 compared to GF and SPF P21 NOD males (Figure 3D), suggesting earlier colonization increases androgen levels in male NOD mice. However the opposite phenotype was observed in females, with slightly higher testosterone levels in GF compared to SPF P21 and SPF females (Figure 3C). Accordingly, lower androgen levels in colonized compared to GF NOD females have been published before (13). Thus, while microbiota-induced sex hormone induction partly explains the observed phenotype in SPF P0 versus SPF P21 males, it may not fully account for the differences in female colonies.

The microbiota plays a crucial role in the differentiation of T helper cells in the intestine, associated with immune-mediated diseases like T1D. Initial assumptions regarding Th1 cells driving T1D development in NOD mice (51) were challenged by evidence showing that Th2 cells can induce pancreatitis (52). The general assumption now refers to a Th1/Th2 cell imbalance contributing to T1D development (27, 47, 53). The microbiota drives Th1 development, confirming its role in balancing Th1/Th2 responses (54). Beyond Teffs, Tregs are critically involved in T1D pathogenesis, with their development being equally dependent on intestinal colonization (55–57). This implies that the microbiota may regulate T helper cell versus Treg balances, thereby modulating T1D susceptibility.

Investigating the extent to which early life microbiota alters the T cell compartment in female colonies, we found distinct CD4⁺ T cell subsets in the siLP of adult NOD mice depending on microbial colonization (Figures 4B, C). Fittingly, discrepancies in Treg and Th17 cell frequencies between GF and SPF mice have been detected in mesenteric and pancreatic lymph nodes and linked to an enhanced insulitis development (58). Notably, Treg frequency was significantly higher in adult siLP of GF compared to colonized mice, while the Th17 cell proportion was elevated in colonized compared to GF mice (Figures 4B, C), supporting the notion of the ability of the microbiota in affecting T cell subset balances in the intestine. However, the frequency of Tregs and Teffs were comparable between all groups of colonized NOD females, indicating a time-independent effect of the microbiota in shifting T cell proportions present in adult NOD mice. However, 3 week-old GF females

exhibited distinct Treg frequencies in the siLP compared to SPF females (Figure 4D), indicating an early influence of the microbiota on the Treg and Teff equilibrium, potentially impacting T1D predisposition.

At 3 weeks of age, diabetogenic T cells, which are primed in gutassociated lymphatic tissues, migrate into the pancreas (34). Around this time, GF mice, which at this time point include also the later colonized P21 group, exhibited significantly lower levels of Tregs in the siLP compared to colonized animals (Figure 4D), in line with the knowledge of microbiota-induced Tregs (56). Comparable pancreatic frequencies of Tregs and Teffs between GF and SPF females (Figures 4A, E), suggest that a distinct functionality may determine T1D susceptibility in NOD females, possibly induced by an early-life shift in Treg versus Teff numbers. Accordingly, Treg functionality was reduced in GF mice (57). Interestingly, Tregs generated in early life are functionally distinct from those generated in adulthood (59). Thus, early life microbiotainduced Tregs may possess superior suppressive functionality, influencing disease predisposition.

Complete deletion of CD4⁺ T cells during early life did not impact T1D incidence in SPF NOD mice (Supplementary Figures 1B, C), emphasizing the complex role of T cells, particularly of the Treg and Teff balance in disease onset. Remarkably, thymectomy around weaning, eradicating both diabetogenic and protective T cells, accelerated T1D development (60). Similarly, CD4 depletion eliminates all T helper cells alongside Tregs, explaining why this procedure did not protect against T1D development. Hence, a disequilibrium of Teffs and Tregs during early life and a distinct functionality of Tregs due to the absence of microbial colonization during early life most probably contributes to T1D susceptibility in GF and SPF P0 colonized NOD mice.

In conclusion, our data support the notion that differential priming in the intestine during early life windows may contribute to variations in T1D development between mice colonized earlier or later in life.

Limitations of the study

Our results revealed a higher insulitis score index at 8 weeks of age in females compared to males, which was consistent with their earlier T1D development (Figures 1A–G; Supplementary Figure 2). However, the insulitis score of the different colonies at this time point did not align with the observed T1D development (Figures 1A–D). Female SPF P21 and GF mice harbored similar cumulative disease incidences (Figure 1C), yet their insulitis scores were distinct (Figures 1A, F). Similarly, in males, the insulitis score index (Figures 1B, D). This discrepancy suggests that the time point for insulitis scoring at 8 weeks of age may not be suitable to predict the time point of disease development. Therefore, a later time point should have been analyzed. This discrepancy in time frames is a limitation of the study, potentially explaining why the diabetes incidence and insulitis rates do not correlate.

Our results indicate only a trend toward earlier T1D development in the direct pairwise comparison of SPF P21 and SPF P0 mice. However, our data clearly demonstrate that colonization at birth (SPF P0) provided significant protection against T1D compared to GF mice, whereas colonization at weaning (SPF P21) did not confer the same level of protection. This is the key point we aim to highlight in this study and the absence of significances in the other comparison may be due to insufficient animal numbers in the individual groups. A relatively large number of animals was already analyzed and due to the fact that we analyze both females and males, we did not consider a further increase in animal numbers ethically justified to answer the research question.

Our data indicate a correlation between early colonization and higher testosterone levels in male NOD mice, which may partly explain the difference in disease onset observed between SPF P0 and SPF P21 males. However, we recognized that these trends do not meet the threshold for statistical significance. We also observed a trend of slightly higher testosterone levels in GF females compared to SPF P21 and SPF females, which aligns with the previously published (13). This suggests that microbiota-induced changes in sex hormone levels might contribute to the observed differences in disease onset, although this effect appears more complex and may not fully account for the differences our the colonies.

Last, despite claiming to have seen no clear evidence for an altered intestinal microbiota composition between the SPF P0 and SPF P21 groups, we did observe that two ASVs were significantly enriched in the microbiota of SPF P21 compared to the SPF P0 groups in male but not in female mice. We did not test if the addition of taxa of these two genera could accelerate diabetes onset in SPF P0 mice, nor did we not analyze for any difference in the presence of strain or substrains in the different experimental groups by metagenomic sequencing.

Materials and methods

Mice

Germ-free and gnotobiotic NOD/ShiLtJ mice were bred and maintained in flexible-film isolators in the Clean Mouse Facility, University of Bern as previously described (61). Germ-free status was regularly monitored by aerobic and anaerobic culture and SYTOX Green (Invitrogen) to stain nucleic acids, and thus detect potential bacterial and fungal contaminants in feces and bedding. All mice were housed in a 12 h-12 h light-dark cycle and received an autoclaved standard chow diet as well as autoclaved water.

SPF NOD/ShiLtJ mice were bred and maintained in individually-ventilated IVC cages in the Central Animal Facility, University of Bern. SPF mice were housed in a 12 h-12 h light-dark cycle and received an irradiated standard chow diet as well as autoclaved water. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the Swiss Federal regulations on the approved experimental license BE36/2021.

CD4 depletion in NOD mice

CD4⁺ T cells were depleted in NOD mice before weaning by administering an anti-CD4 antibody (clone GK5.1, Bioexcel) or an

isotype control antibody (clone LTF-2, Bioexcel) on postnatal days 10, 13, 16, 18, and 20. 100 or 200 μ g of antibodies diluted in PBS were injected intraperitoneally for the first three and last two doses, respectively.

Manipulation of the intestinal microbiota

Germ-free mice were colonized at birth or weaning (P0, P21) with a model microbiota (sDMDMm (22) or SPF) by co-housing with a female colonizer for 14 days. The sDMDMm microbiota can be visualized in Table 2.

Type-1 diabetes assessment in NOD mice

Blood glucose levels were measured every second week in nonfasted animals starting at 14 weeks until disease onset or 52 weeks of age using a glucometer. Mice were considered diabetic when their blood glucose levels reached 250 mg/dl. Statistical analysis was performed by Kaplan-Meier curves to estimate the probability of disease onset using RStudio (Version 2023.06.0 + 421).

RNA isolation

1 cm of terminal ileum was collected and TRIzol (Invitrogen). Tissue was homogenized using a tissue lyser (Retsch[®] MM400) for 3 min at 25 Hz. 200 μ l of chloroform was added and samples were centrifuged at 12,000 g, 15 min, 4°C. The upper colorless aqueous phase was precipitated with 500 μ l of ice-cold isopropanol and recentrifuged at 12,000 g, 10 min, 4°C. Samples were washed with 1 ml of ice-cold 75% ethanol and centrifuged at 7,500 g, 5 min, 4°C. The pelleted RNA was air-dried for 10 min and resuspended in RNase-free water (Gibco). The genomic DNA was digested DNase I (RNase-Free DNase Set, QIAGEN) according to manufacturer's

TABLE 2 Composition of the sDMDMm microbiota.

Species
Lachnoclostridium sp. YL32
Ruminiclostridium sp. KB18
Enterococcus faecalis KB1
Bacteroides sp. I48
Burkholderiales bacterium YL45
Erysipelotrichaceae bacterium I46
Blautia sp. YL58
Parabacteroides sp. YL27
Flavonifractor plautii YL31
Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. animalis YL2
Lactobacillus reuteri 149
Akkermansia muciniphila YL44

protocol. RNA samples were purified using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and used for subsequent quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).

Reverse transcription and quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction

RNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM), cDNA synthesis was performed, by mixing 2 µg of RNA), 250 ng/µl random primers (Promega), 10 mM dNTPs in a total volume of 13 µl of water. After an incubation step of 5 min at 65°C, 4 µl 5X First-Strand Buffer (Invitrogen) and 1 µl Superscript III reverse transcriptase enzyme (Invitrogen), 1 µl o 0.1 M DTT (Invitrogen) and 1 µl of RNaseOUT (Invitrogen) were added and the reaction reverse transcription reaction was performed by incubating the samples at 55°C for 50 min. An additional step at 70°C for 15 min was performed followed by cooling at 4°C.

The RT-qPCR reactions were performed in triplicates using 25-50 ng of RNA in a total volume of 4 μ l. 5 μ l of SYBR Green (Qiagen), and 0.5 μ l gene specific forward as well as reverse primer (10 μ M) were added to the mixture. The mixture was initially heated to 95°C for 3 min, then 40 consecutive cycles of 95°C for 30 sec followed by 58°C for 30 sec were performed. The expression of the gene of interest (GOI) was calculated relative to the expression of a housekeeping gene (*Gapdh*) using the formula: 2^{-Cycle threshold(GOI)-Cycle threshold(*Gapdh*). Primer sequences can be visualized in Table 3.}

DNA isolation

DNA isolation from 1-2 fecal pellets was performed using QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Extracted DNA was stored at -20°C until further processing.

16s rRNA sequencing

3-6 µl of DNA, 12.5 µl Kapa Hifi enzyme (Invitrogen), and 1 µl forward as well as reverse primers to amplify the variable region V4 of 16S rRNA genes according to the manufacturer's protocol on a C1000TM touch Thermal Cycler (Biorad). For PCR, barcoded forward primers (5'-CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGACTCAG BARCODE GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') were used, where the core was modified by the addition of a PGM sequencing adaptor, a GT-spacer and a unique barcode that allowed to pool up to 96 different barcodes in combination with the reverse primer (5'-CCTCTATGGGCAGTCGGTGAT GGACTACHVGGGTW TCTAAT-3') (62, 63).

The amplicon PCR reaction was performed with an initial heating step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 46°C for 20 sec,

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Gapdh	5' CATCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAGC 3'	5' CCTGTTGCTGTAGCCGTATT 3'
Lyz-1	5' CTTGTCACTCCTCACCCCTG 3'	5' AGCCGTTCCCCTTCCAATG 3'
Muc-2	5' GCTGACGAGTGGTTGGTGAATG 3'	5' GATGAGGTGGCAGACAGGAGAC 3'
Occludin-4	5' TTGAAAGTCCACCTCCTTACAGA 3'	5' CCGGATAAAAAGAGTACGCTGG 3'
Reg3-γ	5' TTCCTGTCCTCCATGATCAAAA 3'	5' CATCCACCTCTGTTGGGTTCA 3'

TABLE 3 Primer sequences for RT-qPCR.

and extension at 72°C for 30 sec. The reaction concluded with a final extension at 72°C for 7 minutes and was then cooled to 4°C. Amplified PCR products were separated in a 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified using the Qiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). The concentration was measured using a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fischer). Uniquely barcoded samples were diluted (26 pM) and up to 96 samples were pooled for the library. Libraries were prepared with the OT2 HiQ View 400 kit for up to 400 bp reads, and emulsion PCR was performed on the Ion OneTouch 2 (OT2) instrument (Thermo Fischer). To generate Ion PGMTM Template OT2 400 Ion SphereTM Particles (ISPs) that contain clonally amplified DNA, we utilized the Ion OneTouchTM Instrument along with the Ion PGMTM Template OT2 400 Kit provided by the manufacturer (Thermo Fisher). Subsequent sequencing was conducted using the Ion PGMTM Sequencing 400 Kit and the Ion 316TM Chip V2, all within the Ion PGMTM System (Thermo Fisher) (64).

Raw sequences were initially processed using the QIIME2 pipeline on the UBELIX Linux cluster at the University of Bern, where a Q-score-based trimming and filtering strategy was employed. After the sequences underwent quality checks and chimera removal within the DADA2 framework (65), only samples yielding over 2000 high-quality reads were selected for advanced analysis using various R packages.

Sequences with a Q-score over 30 proceeded to downstream analyses, including amplicon sequence variant (ASV) generation with 100% identity. We generated table.qza and rep-seq.qza files which were then used for taxonomic classification. Following sequence processing, taxonomic classification was conducted using a pre-trained classifier from the SILVA 132 database, available at https://docs.qiime2.org/2018.8/data-resources/, applied via the feature-classifier *classify-sklearn* command (66). To analyze the taxonomic composition and prevalence within each sample, as well as to determine the proportion of reads per taxonomic level, the taxonomy.qza file was converted into a bar graph using the taxa barplot command. For data analysis purposes, the frequencies of ASVs were collapsed at genus level using taxa qiime taxa collapse function. Relative abundance data at genus level was then used to test microbial difference between group using MaAsLin2 (67). Taxa present in at least 30% of the samples and those comprising more than 0.0001% of the total abundance were set as the cut-off criteria for further analysis. Post-FDR correction, a q-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Data can be visualized in Table 1.

Species richness was evaluated using α -diversity metrics, specifically the Shannon and Simpson indices, while differences in

community composition (β -diversity) were analyzed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances at the genus level. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measure utilized relative abundance data from each taxon across samples. Differences in β -diversity among groups were examined using PERMANOVA, with pairwise comparisons adjusted for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg method, employing the pairwiseAdonis R package (68–71). Plots were generated using ggplot2 with the *phyloseq* object.

Immune cell isolation

Protocol was followed as previously published (45, 72, 73). In detail, small intestine, colon, pancreas, spleen were dissected and placed on ice-cold Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) (Brunschwig/Pan Biotech).

The mesenteric fat tissue and Peyer's patches were removed from the intestine. The small intestine was cut longitudinally, washed of its contents and transferred into dissociation buffer (5 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES in 15 ml DPBS). Samples were incubated four times for 7 min at 37°C using a magnetic stirrer. Tissues were then shortly washed with IMDM and chopped before a performing a tissue digestion using a magnetic stirrer at 37°C for 20-30 min in digestion medium (0.5 mg/ml collagenase type VIII (Sigma), 10 U/ml DNase I (Roche) in 15 ml of IMDM), respectively. The obtained cell suspension was passed through a 100 μ m cell strainer and washed in 20 ml of IMDM suppl. with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Cells were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 7 min at 4°C and resuspended in 300 μ I IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS.

Pancreatic lymph nodes were removed before mincing and digesting the pancreata using magnetic stirrer at 37°C for 15-20 min in digestion medium (0.5 mg/ml collagenase type IV (Worthington Biochemical), 10 U/ml DNase I (Roche) in 10 ml of IMDM suppl. with 2% FCS). The obained cell suspension was passed through a 100 μ m cell strainer, washed with ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and subsequently centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 7 min at 4°C. Then, they were incubated for 3 min in 1 ml of 1X red blood lysis buffer at room temperature (RT). 9 ml of ice-cold IMDM suppl. with 2% FCS was added to stop the reaction. Cells were recentrifuged and eventually resuspended in 200 μ l of IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS.

Spleen was smashed through a 100 μ m cell strainer using the plunger of a syringe. 5 ml of ice-cold IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS was added on top to rinse the cell strainer. Cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and resuspended in 1 ml of 1X of red

blood lysis buffer (Invitrogen), and incubated for 2 min at RT. To stop the reaction, 9 ml of ice-cold IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS was added to stop the reaction. Cells were recentrifuged and resuspended in 1 ml of IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS.

Flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions were washed twice with 200 µl of IMDM suppl. with 10% FCS and incubated with a fixable viability dye (eFluorTM 506 or eFluorTM 450, Invitrogen) to exclude dead cells in DPBS (1:300). To avoid unspecific binding, a FC-Receptor blocking antibody against CD16/32 (Biolegend, clone 93) was added (1:400). Cells were stained for 20 min on ice in the dark and subsequently washed by adding 180 µl of FACS buffer (PBS, 2% FCS, 2 mM EDTA) and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min at 4°C. For the staining of surface markers, cells were resuspended in 50 µl of FACS buffer containing the appropriate amount of primary antibodies (Table 4) for 20 min on ice in the dark. Cells were washed and pelleted by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 2 min at 4°C).

For intranuclear staining of transcription factors, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol for 45 min at 4°C in the dark. Afterwards, cells were washed twice with the provided buffer, and resuspended in 50 ul of provided buffer containing the appropriate concentration of antibodies (Table 4) and stained overnight in the dark at 4°C. The next morning, cells were washed with the provided buffer and resuspended in 200 μ l of FACS buffer and measured using a LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJoTM software version 10.9.0 (BD Biosciences).

In all experiments, FSC-H versus FSC-A was used to gate on singlets and a fluorescently-labeled fixable viability dye was used to

TABLE 4 Flow cytometry panel.

remove dead cells. The gating strategy can be visualized in Supplementary Figure 4.

ELISA

Testosterone concentrations were measured in the serum of mice using the Mouse Testosterone ELISA Kit (Crystalchem) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Histology

Pancreata were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 4 h at 4°C and stored in 70% ethanol. The dehydration was done on the epredia CITADEL 2000 tissue processor, followed by paraffin embedding on the Epredia Shandon Histocentre 3.5 μ m tissue sections were performed on the Microtome Leica RM 2155. Tissue sections were dewaxed in 100% xylol and decreasing concentrations of ethanol (100% - 50%).

Hematoxylin and eosin staining

Hematoxylin staining was applied to tissue sections for 7 min, followed by thorough rinsing with water until clear. Subsequently, slides underwent a brief immersion in a solution of 0.5% hydrochloric acid-alcohol, followed by a more extended immersion in 70% ethanol. Eosin counterstaining was carried out for 3 min. The tissues underwent dehydration using progressively decreasing concentrations of ethanol and xylol before being mounted with entellan (Sigma-Aldrich). Slide scanning was performed at a 40X magnification using a 3DHistech Pannoramic 250 Flash II.

Antigen	Fluorochrome	Clone	Concentration	Company
Thy1	FITC	53-2.1	200	Thermofisher
CD19	APC	6D5	100	Biolegend
CD44	APC-Cyanine7	IM7	400	Biolegend
CD44	BV786	RM4-5	50	Biolegend
CD62L	BV510	MEL-14	100	Biolegend
TCRb	BUV395	H57-597	50	BD Biosciences
TCRgd	BUV737	Gl3	50	BD Biosciences
CD8	BV711	53-6.7	100	Biolegend
Foxp3	Alexa Fluor 700	FJK-16S	100	Thermofisher
Rorgt	BV650	Q31-378	1600	BD Biosciences
T-bet	PE-Cyanine7	4B10	1000	Thermofisher
Helios	PE-DAZZLE	22F6	200	Biolegend
Gata-3	PE	TWAJ	1000	Thermofisher

Insulitis assessment

The insulitis severity was blindly assessed on six tissue sections per mouse. Scores for each islet (3-15 per section) were assigned based on criteria outlined in a previous study (74). The mean scores for each islet within the section was calculated, and then the average of all sections per mouse was determined. The scoring system is as follows: 0 indicates no insulitis, signifying the absence of infiltrates in the islet; 1 represents peri-vascular and peri-immune-islet infiltrates, where < 25% of the islet shows immune-islet infiltration, denoted as peri-insulitis; 2 signifies 25% to 75% of immune-islet infiltrates in the islets, indicating the presence of insulitis; and 3 indicates greater than 75% of immune-islet infiltrates in the islet, characterizing severe insulitis.

Statistical analysis

If not stated otherwise, an unpaired parametric Student's t-test was performed to compare two experimental groups in RStudio (Version 2023.06.0 + 421). If p-values are indicated with asterisks, the following applies *p < 0.05, **p \leq 0.01, ***p \leq 0.001, ****p \leq 0.0001. Error bars indicate mean \pm standard deviation.

Data availability statement

The 16S rRNA dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is available under https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13771263. All other raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Amt für Veterinärwesen (Veterinary Office of the Canton of Bern) Herrengasse 1, Postfach, 3000 Bern 8, + 41 31 633 52 70, info.avet@be.ch. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

NF: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. CK: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. We are grateful for support by the Clean Mouse Facility which receives support from the Genaxen Foundation, Inselspital, and the University of Bern. This work was supported by a Peter Hans Hofschneider Professorship provided by the Stiftung Molekulare Biomedizin and Swiss National Science Foundation Project Grant (SNSF 310030_212511) to SG-V.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kathy D. McCoy from the University of Calgary for providing axenic NOD mice to us and Simone De Brot from the Compath Platform of the Institute of Animal Pathology of the University of Bern. We would like to thank Andrew J. Macpherson and Ziad Al Nabhani (both University of Bern) for critically reviewing our data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1425235/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Colonization with the sDMDMm microbiota on the day of birth delays the development of type-1 diabetes. Type-1 diabetes incidence was assessed by biweekly monitoring of non-fasted blood glucose levels from 14 weeks onwards until disease onset or up to 1 year of age. Cumulative disease incidence in germ-free (GF) compared to GF animals colonized at birth with the sDMDMm microbiota (sDMDMm P0) in (A) female and (B) male NOD mice, respectively (n \ge 26).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Female NOD mice have an earlier type-1 diabetes development compared to male NOD mice. Type-1 diabetes incidence was assessed by biweekly monitoring of non-fasted blood glucose levels from 14 weeks onwards until disease onset or up to 1 year of age. Cumulative disease incidence in

(A) germ-free (GF), (B) specific pathogen-free (SPF), GF mice colonized on the day of (D) birth (SPF P0), or (E) weaning (SPF P21), or (E) on the day of birth with the sDMDMm microbiota (sDMDMm P0) in NOD mice, respectively (n \geq 26).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Type-1 diabetes is delayed when mice are colonized at birth. Type-1 diabetes incidence was assessed by biweekly monitoring of non-fasted blood glucose levels from 14 weeks onwards until disease onset or up to 1 year of age. Cumulative disease incidence in GF animals colonized at birth (SPF P0) or weaning (SPF P21) with the SPF microbiota in (A) female and (B) male NOD mice, respectively ($n \ge 28$).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Gating strategy for T cell subsets. Single-cell suspensions were obtained from pancreas or small intestinal lamina propria (siLP) at postnatal day 56 or 21 and

References

1. Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS, Michels AW. Type 1 diabetes. Lancet. (2014) 383:69-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60591-7

2. Gale EA. The rise of childhood type 1 diabetes in the 20th century. *Diabetes*. (2002) 51:3353-61. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.51.12.3353

3. Gregory GA, Robinson TIG, Linklater SE, Wang F, Colagiuri S, de Beaufort C, et al. Global incidence, prevalence, and mortality of type 1 diabetes in 2021 with projection to 2040: a modelling study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2022) 10:741–60. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00218-2

4. Katsarou A, Gudbjörnsdottir S, Rawshani A, Dabelea D, Bonifacio E, Anderson BJ, et al. Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2017) 3:17016. doi: 10.1038/ nrdp.2017.16

5. Harjutsalo V, Sjöberg L, Tuomilehto J. Time trends in the incidence of type 1 diabetes in Finnish children: a cohort study. *Lancet*. (2008) 371:1777–82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60765-5

6. Mehers KL, Gillespie KM. The genetic basis for type 1 diabetes. Br Med Bull. (2008) 88:115–29. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldn045

7. Virtanen SM, Knip M. Nutritional risk predictors of beta cell autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes at a young age. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2003) 78:1053–67. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/78.6.1053

8. Bach JF. The effect of infections on susceptibility to autoimmune and allergic diseases. N Engl J Med. (2002) 347:911-20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra020100

9. Blaser MJ. Who are we? Indigenous microbes and the ecology of human diseases. *EMBO Rep.* (2006) 7:956–60. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400812

10. Zhou H, Sun L, Zhang S, Zhao X, Gang X, Wang G. Evaluating the Causal Role of Gut Microbiota in Type 1 Diabetes and Its Possible Pathogenic Mechanisms. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00125

11. Yuan X, Wang R, Han B, Sun C, Chen R, Wei H, et al. Functional and metabolic alterations of gut microbiota in children with new-onset type 1 diabetes. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:6356. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33656-4

12. Makino S, Kunimoto K, Muraoka Y, Mizushima Y, Katagiri K, Tochino Y. Breeding of a non-obese, diabetic strain of mice. *Jikken Dobutsu*. (1980) 29:1–13. doi: 10.1538/expanim1978.29.1_1

13. Markle JG, Frank DN, Mortin-Toth S, Robertson CE, Feazel LM, Rolle-Kampczyk U, et al. Sex differences in the gut microbiome drive hormone-dependent regulation of autoimmunity. *Science*. (2013) 339:1084–8. doi: 10.1126/science.1233521

14. Pozzilli P, Signore A, Williams AJ, Beales PE. NOD mouse colonies around the world-recent facts and figures. *Immunol Today*. (1993) 14:193–6. doi: 10.1016/0167-5699(93)90160-M

15. Wen L, Ley RE, Volchkov PY, Stranges PB, Avanesyan L, Stonebraker AC, et al. Innate immunity and intestinal microbiota in the development of Type 1 diabetes. *Nature*. (2008) 455:1109–13. doi: 10.1038/nature07336

16. Renz H, Adkins BD, Bartfeld S, Blumberg RS, Farber DL, Garssen J, et al. The neonatal window of opportunity - early priming for life. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2017) 141:1212–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.11.019

17. Torow N, Hornef MW. The Neonatal Window of Opportunity: Setting the Stage for Life-Long Host-Microbial Interaction and Immune Homeostasis. *J Immunol.* (2017) 198:557–63. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1601253

18. Harada M, Kishimoto Y, Makino S. Prevention of overt diabetes and insulitis in NOD mice by a single BCG vaccination. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (1990) 8:85–9. doi: 10.1016/0168-8227(90)90017-N

19. Sadelain MW, Qin HY, Lauzon J, Singh B. Prevention of type I diabetes in NOD mice by adjuvant immunotherapy. *Diabetes*. (1990) 39:583–9. doi: 10.2337/ diab.39.5.583

analyzed by flow cytometry. The gating strategy applied to a representative siLP sample to identify Th1 cells (T-bet⁺), Th2 cells (Gata-3⁺), Th17 cells (Rorgt⁺), and Treg subtypes (Rorgt⁺/ Helios⁺/Helios⁻ Foxp3⁺).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5

The presence of T helper cells during early life does not dictate microbiotamediated protection against type-1 diabetes. Specific pathogen-free mice were injected with either an anti-CD4 or an isotype control antibody on postnatal days 10, 13, 16, 18, and 20. Type-1 diabetes incidence was assessed by monitoring non-fasted blood glucose levels, starting from 14 weeks and continuing until disease onset. Single-cell suspensions were obtained from small intestinal lamina propria (siLP) at postnatal day 20 (P20) and analyzed by flow cytometry. Cumulative disease incidence in (A) male and (B) female NOD mice, respectively ($n \ge 6$ per group). (C) Gating strategy and indicated immune cell frequencies on siLP of control (Ctrl.) and CD4-depleted (CD4depl.) NOD mice at P20 (n = 2). Data represent one experiment.

20. Hansen CH, Krych L, Nielsen DS, Vogensen FK, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ, et al. Early life treatment with vancomycin propagates Akkermansia muciniphila and reduces diabetes incidence in the NOD mouse. *Diabetologia*. (2012) 55:2285–94. doi: 10.1007/s00125-012-2564-7

21. Grant CW, Duclos SK, Moran-Paul CM, Yahalom B, Tirabassi RS, Arreaza-Rubin G, et al. Development of standardized insulin treatment protocols for spontaneous rodent models of type 1 diabetes. *Comp Med.* (2012) 62:381–90.

22. Brugiroux S, Beutler M, Pfann C, Garzetti D, Ruscheweyh H-J, Ring D, et al. Genome-guided design of a defined mouse microbiota that confers colonization resistance against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Nat Microbiol.* (2016) 2:16215. doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.215

23. Meddings JB, Jarand J, Urbanski SJ, Hardin J, Gall DG. Increased gastrointestinal permeability is an early lesion in the spontaneously diabetic BB rat. *Am J Physiol.* (1999) 276:G951–7. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.1999.276.4.G951

24. Bosi E, Molteni I, Radaelli MG, Folini L, Fermo I, Bazzigaluppi E, et al. Increased intestinal permeability precedes clinical onset of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. (2006) 49:2824–7. doi: 10.1007/s00125-006-0465-3

25. Harbison JE, Roth-Schulze AJ, Giles LC, Tran CD, Ngui KM, Penno MA, et al. Gut microbiome dysbiosis and increased intestinal permeability in children with islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes: A prospective cohort study. *Pediatr Diabetes*. (2019) 20:574–83. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12865

26. del Chierico F, Rapini N, Deodati A, Matteoli MC, Cianfarani S, Putignani L. Pathophysiology of Type 1 Diabetes and Gut Microbiota Role. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:14650. doi: 10.3390/ijms232314650

27. Gregori S, Giarratana N, Smiroldo S, Adorini L. Dynamics of pathogenic and suppressor T cells in autoimmune diabetes development. *J Immunol.* (2003) 171:4040–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.8.4040

28. Tang Q, Henriksen KJ, Bi M, Finger EB, Szot G, Ye J, et al. *In vitro*-expanded antigen-specific regulatory T cells suppress autoimmune diabetes. *J Exp Med.* (2004) 199:1455–65. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040139

29. Rosenzwajg M, Churlaud G, Mallone R, Six A, Derian N, Chaara W, et al. Lowdose interleukin-2 fosters a dose-dependent regulatory T cell tuned milieu in T1D patients. *J Autoimmun.* (2015) 58:48–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2015.01.001

30. Piccirillo CA, Tritt M, Sgouroudis E, Albanese A, Pyzik M, Hay V. Control of type 1 autoimmune diabetes by naturally occurring CD4+CD25+ regulatory T lymphocytes in neonatal NOD mice. Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2005) 1051:72–87. doi: 10.1196/annals.1361.048

31. Holohan DR, van Gool F, Bluestone JA. Thymically-derived Foxp3+ regulatory T cells are the primary regulators of type 1 diabetes in the non-obese diabetic mouse model. *PloS One.* (2019) 14:e0217728. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0217728

32. Dwyer CJ, Bayer AL, Fotino C, Yu L, Cabello-Kindelan C, Ward NC, et al. Altered homeostasis and development of regulatory T cell subsets represent an IL-2R-dependent risk for diabetes in NOD mice. *Sci Signal.* (2017) 10:aam9563. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aam9563

33. Churlaud G, Jimenez V, Ruberte J, Amadoudji Zin M, Fourcade G, Gottrand G, et al. Sustained stimulation and expansion of Tregs by IL2 control autoimmunity without impairing immune responses to infection, vaccination and cancer. *Clin Immunol.* (2014) 151:114–26. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2014.02.003

34. Jaakkola I, Jalkanen S, Hanninen A. Diabetogenic T cells are primed both in pancreatic and gut-associated lymph nodes in NOD mice. *Eur J Immunol.* (2003) 33:3255–64. doi: 10.1002/eji.200324405

35. Blaser MJ. Disappearing Microbiota: Helicobacter pylori Protection against Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Prev Res.* (2008) 1:308–11. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0170

36. Blaser MJ, Falkow S. What are the consequences of the disappearing human microbiota? *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2009) 7:887–94. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2245

37. Kostic AD, Gevers D, Siljander H, Vatanen T, Hyötyläinen T, Hämäläinen AM, et al. The dynamics of the human infant gut microbiome in development and in progression toward type 1 diabetes. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2015) 17:260–73. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.001

38. Cox LM, Yamanishi S, Sohn J, Alekseyenko AV, Leung JM, Cho I, et al. Altering the intestinal microbiota during a critical developmental window has lasting metabolic consequences. *Cell.* (2014) 158:705–21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.052

39. Rodríguez JM, Murphy K, Stanton C, Ross RP, Kober OI, Juge N, et al. The composition of the gut microbiota throughout life, with an emphasis on early life. *Microb Ecol Health Dis.* (2015) 26:26050. doi: 10.3402/mehd.v26.26050

40. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature*. (2012) 486:222–7. doi: 10.1038/nature11053

41. Simon AK, Hollander GA, McMichael A. Evolution of the immune system in humans from infancy to old age. Proc Biol Sci. (2015) 282:20143085. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.3085

42. Amine I, Guillien A, Philippat C, Anguita-Ruiz A, Casas M, de Castro M, et al. Environmental exposures in early-life and general health in childhood. *Environ Health.* (2023) 22:53. doi: 10.1186/s12940-023-01001-x

43. Mullaney JA, Stephens JE, Geeling BE, Hamilton-Williams EE. Early-life exposure to gut microbiota from disease-protected mice does not impact disease outcome in type 1 diabetes susceptible NOD mice. *Immunol Cell Biol.* (2019) 97:97–103. doi: 10.1111/imcb.2019.97.issue-1

44. Silverman M, Kua L, Tanca A, Pala M, Palomba A, Tanes C, et al. Protective major histocompatibility complex allele prevents type 1 diabetes by shaping the intestinal microbiota early in ontogeny. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2017) 114:9671–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1712280114

45. Gomez de Agüero M, Ganal-Vonarburg SC, Fuhrer T, Rupp S, Uchimura Y, Li H, et al. The maternal microbiota drives early postnatal innate immune development. *Science*. (2016) 351:1296–302. doi: 10.1126/science.aad2571

46. Vaarala O, Atkinson MA, Neu J. The "Perfect Storm" for Type 1 Diabetes: The Complex Interplay Between Intestinal Microbiota, Gut Permeability, and Mucosal Immunity. *Diabetes*. (2008) 57:2555–62. doi: 10.2337/db08-0331

47. Delovitch TL, Singh B. The nonobese diabetic mouse as a model of autoimmune diabetes: immune dysregulation gets the NOD. *Immunity*. (1997) 7:727–38. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80392-1

48. Makino S, Kunimoto K, Muraoka Y, Katagiri K. Effect of castration on the appearance of diabetes in NOD mouse. *Jikken Dobutsu*. (1981) 30:137–40. doi: 10.1538/expanim1978.30.2_137

49. Yurkovetskiy L, Burrows M, Khan AA, Graham L, Volchkov P, Becker L, et al. Gender bias in autoimmunity is influenced by microbiota. *Immunity*. (2013) 39:400–12. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.013

50. Colldén H, Landin A, Wallenius V, Elebring E, Fändriks L, Nilsson ME, et al. The gut microbiota is a major regulator of androgen metabolism in intestinal contents. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* (2019) 317:E1182–e1192. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00338.2019

51. Katz JD, Benoist C, Mathis D. T helper cell subsets in insulin-dependent diabetes. *Science*. (1995) 268:1185–8. doi: 10.1126/science.7761837

52. Pakala SV, Kurrer MO, Katz JD. T helper 2 (Th2) T cells induce acute pancreatitis and diabetes in immune-compromised nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. *J Exp Med.* (1997) 186:299–306. doi: 10.1084/jem.186.2.299

53. Bach JF. Immunotherapy of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Curr Opin Immunol. (2001) 13:601–5. doi: 10.1016/S0952-7915(00)00267-3

54. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Oshima K, Suda W, Nagano Y, Nishikawa H, et al. Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. *Nature*. (2013) 500:232–6. doi: 10.1038/nature12331

55. Herman AE, Freeman GJ, Mathis D, Benoist C. CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells dependent on ICOS promote regulation of effector cells in the prediabetic lesion. *J Exp Med.* (2004) 199:1479–89. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040179

56. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T, Imaoka A, Kuwahara T, Momose Y, et al. Induction of Colonic Regulatory T Cells by Indigenous *Clostridium* Species. *Science*. (2011) 331:337-41. doi: 10.1126/science.1198469

57. Östman S, Rask C, Wold AE, Hultkrantz S, Telemo E. Impaired regulatory T cell function in germ-free mice. *Eur J Immunol.* (2006) 36:2336–46. doi: 10.1002/eji.200535244

58. Alam C, Bittoun E, Bhagwat D, Valkonen S, Saari A, Jaakkola U, et al. Effects of a germ-free environment on gut immune regulation and diabetes progression in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. *Diabetologia*. (2011) 54:1398–406. doi: 10.1007/s00125-011-2097-5

59. Yang S, Fujikado N, Kolodin D, Benoist C, Mathis D. Regulatory T cells generated early in life play a distinct role in maintaining self-tolerance. *Science*. (2015) 348:589–94. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa7017

60. Dardenne M, Lepault F, Bendelac A, Bach J-F. Acceleration of the onset of diabetes in NOD mice by thymectomy at weaning. *Eur J Immunol.* (1989) 19:889–95. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830190516

61. Smith K, McCoy KD, Macpherson AJ. Use of axenic animals in studying the adaptation of mammals to their commensal intestinal microbiota. *Semin Immunol.* (2007) 19:59–69. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2006.10.002

62. Sundquist A, Bigdeli S, Jalili R, Druzin ML, Waller S, Pullen KM, et al. Bacterial flora-typing with targeted, chip-based Pyrosequencing. *BMC Microbiol.* (2007) 7:108. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-7-108

63. Mamantopoulos M, Ronchi F, van Hauwermeiren F, Vieira-Silva S, Yilmaz B, Martens L, et al. Nlrp6- and ASC-Dependent Inflammasomes Do Not Shape the Commensal Gut Microbiota Composition. *Immunity*. (2017) 47:339–48.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.07.011

64. Whiteley AS, Jenkins S, Waite I, Kresoje N, Payne H, Mullan B, et al. Microbial 16S rRNA Ion Tag and community metagenome sequencing using the Ion Torrent (PGM) Platform. *J Microbiol Methods*. (2012) 91:80–8. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2012.07.008

65. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods*. (2016) 13:581–3. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

66. Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, et al. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2007) 35:7188–96. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm864

67. Mallick H, Rahnavard A, McIver LJ, Ma S, Zhang Y, Nguyen LH, et al. Multivariable association discovery in population-scale meta-omics studies. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2021) 17:e1009442. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009442

68. Anderson MJ. Distance-based tests for homogeneity of multivariate dispersions. *Biometrics.* (2006) 62:245–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00440.x

69. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

70. Callahan BJ, Sankaran K, Fukuyama JA, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Bioconductor Workflow for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. *F1000Res.* (2016) 5:1492. doi: 10.12688/f1000research

71. Yilmaz B, Fuhrer T, Morgenthaler D, Krupka N, Wang D, Spari D, et al. Plasticity of the adult human small intestinal stoma microbiota. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2022) 30:1773–87.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2022.10.002

72. Yilmaz B, Mooser C, Keller I, Li H, Zimmermann J, Bosshard L, et al. Long-term evolution and short-term adaptation of microbiota strains and sub-strains in mice. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2021) 29:650–63.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2021.02.001

73. Rollenske T, Burkhalter S, Muerner L, von Gunten S, Lukasiewicz J, Wardemann H, et al. Parallelism of intestinal secretory IgA shapes functional microbial fitness. *Nature*. (2021) 598:657–61. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03973-7

74. Lee JTC, Shanina I, Chu YN, Horwitz MS, Johnson JD. Carbamazepine, a betacell protecting drug, reduces type 1 diabetes incidence in NOD mice. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:4588. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-23026-w