Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Omar Ramos-Lopez, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Tijuana, Mexico

REVIEWED BY

Bertha Araceli Marín-Alejande, Vasco de Quiroga University, Mexico Genaro Rodríguez-Uribe, Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE LinPu Ge 13248686690@163.com

RECEIVED 24 May 2024 ACCEPTED 17 October 2024 PUBLISHED 08 November 2024

CITATION

Liu X, Jin J, Wang B and Ge L (2024) Genetically predicted serum ferritin mediates the association between inflammatory cytokines and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1437999. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1437999

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liu, Jin, Wang and Ge. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genetically predicted serum ferritin mediates the association between inflammatory cytokines and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

XiaoQian Liu¹, JianHong Jin¹, BaoFa Wang¹ and LinPu Ge^{2*}

¹Department of Endocrinology, Hangzhou TCM Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Department of Orthopedics, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Objective: Investigating the causal relationship between inflammatory cytokines and Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease(NAFLD) and identifying and quantifying the role of serum ferritin as a potential mediator.

Methods: Genetic summary statistics were derived from open genome-wide association study (GWAS) databases. We conducted a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to investigate the relationship between inflammatory cytokines (8,293 individuals) and NAFLD (8,434 cases, 770,180 controls). Furthermore, we used two-step MR to quantitate the proportion of the effect of serum ferritin-mediated inflammatory cytokines on NAFLD. In this study, we primarily utilized inverse-variance-weighted Mendelian randomization (MR-IVW) and reverse MR analysis methods, while other methods were also performed for sensitivity analysis, false discovery rate (FDR) <0.0012 as statistical significance in MR analyses.

Results: Our results indicated that high levels of Eotaxin, regulated upon activation normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted(RANTES), Interleukin-2(IL-2), macrophage migration inhibitory factor(MIF), tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand(TRAIL) and Stem cell factor (SCF) were associated with increased risks of NAFLD, while high Cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine(CTACK) and Interleukin-16(IL-16) levels that reduced the risk of NAFLD.The proportion of genetically predicted NAFLD mediated by ferritin was 2.1%(95% CI = 1.39%–5.61%).

Conclusion: In conclusion, our study identified a causal relationship between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD, with a small proportion of the effect mediated by ferritin, but a majority of the effect of inflammatory cytokines on NAFLD remains unclear. Further research is needed on additional risk factors as potential mediators.

KEYWORDS

inflammatory cytokines, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, ferritin, metabolism, Mendelian randomization

Introduction

NAFLD has emerged as a significant global health issue in recent years (1). Affecting an estimated 25% of adults worldwide, NAFLD is characterized by the accumulation of fat in the liver of individuals with minimal or no alcohol consumption (2, 3). The pathogenesis of NAFLD is multifaceted, involving genetics, metabolism, environmental factors, and inflammatory responses (4–6). Inflammation plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of NAFLD, with inflammatory cytokines identified as key mediators in its pathogenesis. These small, secreted proteins, which regulate immune responses, are released by immune cells and can modulate tissue injury and repair in various organs, including the liver (7).

In clinical practice, our team has observed that approximately 20% to 50% of NAFLD patients exhibit increased levels of serum ferritin (8). This phenomenon raises a critical question: besides the direct inflammatory effects caused by inflammatory cytokines, could they also be indirectly involved in the pathogenesis of NAFLD by regulating other biomarkers (9)? Serum ferritin, a protein widely used to assess body iron stores, has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of NAFLD in recent years (10). Disruptions in iron metabolism play a significant role in the pathophysiology of NAFLD, with iron overload potentially leading to increased oxidative stress and subsequent liver damage (11). Furthermore, elevated serum ferritin levels are associated with enhanced activity of inflammatory cytokines, suggesting that serum ferritin may act as a mediator between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD (12).

Genetic factors play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Through numerous genome-wide association studies, scientists have identified several genetic loci closely associated with NAFLD risk (13). These genetic variations may increase the risk of developing NAFLD by affecting key physiological processes such as metabolic pathways, inflammatory responses, or iron metabolism. The aim of GWAS is to explore the association between genetic exposure to phenotype and disease outcomes. MR methods use these genetic variations as instrumental variables to assess the causal relationship between specific exposure factors (e.g., blood lipid levels) and disease outcomes (e.g., NAFLD) (14). As genetic variations are randomly allocated at conception, MR methods can reduce the potential for confounding bias and reverse causality that may arise in traditional observational studies. This bias reduction advantage is also applicable to mediation analysis, which investigates whether one factor

indirectly affects outcomes by influencing another factor. Therefore, by examining genetically predicted serum ferritin levels, we can gain a new perspective on the relationship between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD. This approach may reveal how inflammatory cytokines affect an individual's susceptibility to NAFLD through genetic pathways, offering new insights for the prevention and treatment of NAFLD.

The objective of this study is to explore the role of genetically predicted serum ferritin levels in the linkage between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD. Through a detailed examination of the correlation between genetic variations and NAFLD, along with the interactions between serum ferritin, inflammatory cytokines, and NAFLD, our goal is to elucidate the molecular pathways at play in this intricate condition and identify potential targets for the development of novel treatment approaches.

Materials and methods

Study Design

A brief description of the bidirectional MR design displayed in Figure 1A The data utilized for our examination are accessible to the public and have received approval from the relevant institutional review boards associated with the individual studies. Consequently, there is no necessity for additional permissions. The outcomes derived from our analysis are comprehensively detailed within the main body of the article as well as its Supplementary Materials. The effectiveness of the MR technique hinges on three principal presuppositions: (1) the genetic variant that has been designated as the instrumental variable exhibits a strong correlation with the exposure variable; (2) the genetic variant remains unaffiliated with any potential confounding factors; (3) the influence of genetic variations on the outcome is mediated exclusively through the exposure, precluding any alternative routes of effect (15). In this study, we used summary-level data from published GWASs of 41 systemic inflammatory regulators, serum ferritin and NAFLD. First, we selected genetic variants for serum ferritin and each inflammatory factor. Second, genetic variants associated with NAFLD were exploited to infer the causality from NAFLD to inflammatory factors and serum ferritin. To investigate the potential mediation of ferritin in the causal relationship between inflammatory cytokines and the outcome of NAFLD, we conducted a mediation analysis utilizing a two-step MR framework (Figure 1B). The total effect was delineated into an indirect effect mediated by the putative mediator and a direct effect that is independent of the mediator (16). Specifically, the overall impact of inflammatory cytokines on NAFLD was apportioned into two components: 1) the direct effect of inflammatory cytokines on NAFLD(c' in Figure 1B); and 2) the indirect effect conveyed through the mediator ferritin, represented by the product of paths a and b in Figure 1B. The proportion of the effect mediated by ferritin was ascertained by dividing the indirect effect by the sum of both direct and indirect effects. Additionally, 95% confidence intervals for the indirect effect were derived using the delta method (17).

Abbreviations: NAFLD, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-IVW, inverse-variance-weighted Mendelian randomization; RANTES, regulated upon activation normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted; IL-2, Interleukin-2; MIF, macrophage migration inhibitory factor; TRAIL, tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand; SCF, Stem cell factor; CTACK, Cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine; IL-16, Interleukin-16; GWAS, genome-wide association study; GIS, Genetics of Iron Status; BMI, body mass index; SNPs, single-nucleotide polymorphisms; LOO, Leave-One-Out; PRESSO, Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; FDR, false discovery rate; IVs, instrumental variables; AUC, area under the curve.

Genetic Instrumental variables for inflammatory factors

The genetic predictors of the 41 systemic inflammatory regulators were obtained from a comprehensive cytokine-related GWAS meta-analysis, which included three independent cohorts. These cohorts consisted of 8,293 Finnish participants from the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study (YFS) and the 'FINRISK' studies (FINRISK1997 and FINRISK2002) (18). Those 41 cytokine distributions were normalized with two-step inverse transformation. An additive genetic model with the adjustment for age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and the first ten genetic principal components.

Genetic variants of ferritin(log10-transformed, ug/l) were obtained from a meta-analysis of GWAS including 23,986 individuals of European ancestry within the Genetics of Iron Status (GIS) consortium (19). Genetic associations between SNPs and ferritin were adjusted for age, principal component scores and other study specific covariates

Summary-level data on NAFLD were extracted from a GWAS of 7786147 individuals (8,437cases vs 770,180 controls) of European ancestry from UK Biobank(2,558 cases vs 395,241 controls) and Estonian Biobank(4,119 cases vs 190,120 controls), adjusted for age, gender, body mass index, genotyping site and the first three ancestry based principal components (20).

Then we employed two-sample MR approaches using GWAS summary statistics to infer the causal association of inflammatory cytokines and serum ferritin with NAFLD. There was no overlapping samples of inflammatory regulators, ferritin, and NAFLD obtained from the different consortiums. The data utilized in this research were sourced from existing literature and publicly accessible databases, following the provision of consent from the participants and adherence to ethical guidelines. Consequently, there is no requirement for additional ethical approval from the institutional review board for this particular study (Supplementary Table S1).

To satisfy the MR assumptions, the independence between the selected SNPs was evaluated based on pairwise linkage disequilibrium (21), all SNPs are strongly and independently (R2 < 0.001 within 10 Mb) predicted exposures from the published GWAS at genome wide significance (P <5×10-8). Since only 8 systemic inflammatory regulators and ferritin had 3 or more independent SNPs that reached genome-wide significance and no genome-wide significant SNPs for NAFLD, we adopted a less stringent threshold of 5×10-6 to obtain more SNPs for inflammatory regulators. We evaluated the strength of each SNP using the F statistic, which is a function of the magnitude and precision of the genetic effect on the trait: F = R2(N2)/(1-R2), where R2 is the proportion of the variance of trait explained by the SNP and N is the sample size of the GWAS of SNPs with the trait (22). The R2 values were estimated using the formula $R2 = 2 \times EAF \times (1 - CAF)$ EAF) $\times\beta 2$, where EAF is the effect allele frequency (EAF) of the SNP and β is the estimated effect of SNP on trait (23). We excluded SNPs with F <10, because F >10 suggested sufficient strength to ensure the validity of the SNPs (24). Moreover, to avoid weak instrumental bias, we evaluated the strength of the IV correlations by calculating the Fstatistic and selected SNPs with F-statistic >10 for inclusion in this MR analysis (25). Finally, whenever unavailable SNPs are found, we use the LDlink Website (https://ldlink.nci.nih.gov/) to search for proxy SNPs $(r_2 > 0.8)$ to replace them (26).



Sensitivity analyses

The IVW method, as a primary approach for estimating the causal relationship between exposure and outcome, calculates the ratio of the effect size of SNPs associated with the outcome to the effect size of SNPs associated with the exposure (27). Sensitivity analyses are essential to ensure the robustness of our conclusions. These analyses primarily include tests for heterogeneity to assess differences among IVs. If substantial differences exist among IVs, it indicates heterogeneity within these IVs. Of particular importance is the assessment for horizontal pleiotropy, where a P-value greater than 0.05 suggests the absence of horizontal pleiotropy. The presence of horizontal pleiotropy renders our conclusions unreliable. Other methods, such as Leave-One-Out (LOO) and Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (PRESSO), are also used. The LOO test involves iteratively excluding individual observations from the analysis dataset to evaluate their impact on the results. PRESSO is a statistical tool used to detect potential shared genetic effects (pleiotropy) influencing the genotype-outcome relationship (28).

Statistical analysis

We ensured that the effect of SNPs on exposure and outcome corresponded to the same alleles by harmonising the summary statistics for both datasets. To infer causal associations, we conducted TSMR analyses using multiple methods, including IVW, weighted median regression, MR-Egger regression, simple mode, and weighted mode. The IVW method, as a primary approach for estimating the causal relationship between exposure and outcome, is used to calculate the ratio of the effect size of SNPs associated with the outcome to the effect size of SNPs associated with the exposure (27). The IVW method was used as the primary method for MR, which combined the Wald ratio estimates of different SNPs to provide a consistent estimate of the causal effect of exposure on the outcome (28). The reliability of the IVW method depends on the absence of horizontal pleiotropy of the IVs (29). When at least half of the SNPs were effective IVs, the weighted median method provided a consistent estimate of the causal effect (30). To adjust for multiple testing, we calculated the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. MR-Egger regression was used to confirm the existence of horizontal pleiotropy, and its intercept represented the effect estimate of horizontal pleiotropy (31). Even when the IVs have horizontal pleiotropy, MR-Egger regression can still be used to obtain an unbiased estimation of causal associations. Compared to the MR-Egger method, the weighted median method improved the accuracy of the results (32). Simple mode and weighted mode were used for complementary analyses (33). Additionally, scatter plots and funnel plots were used to demonstrate the robustness of the correlation and lack of heterogeneity. All analyses were conducted in R 4.3.2 software using the R packages TwoSampleMR and MR-PRESSO (34). The R packages randomForest and ggplot2 were used for plotting.

Results

Figure 2 presents a circular heat map illustrating the suggestive genetic correlation between inflammatory cytokines and serum ferritin in relation to NAFLD.

Association of inflammatory cytokines with NAFLD

IVW were used to estimate the causal relationship between genetically predicted inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S2). As 41 inflammatory cytokines were used for MR analysis as exposure, Eotaxin(OR=1.033 95% CI=1.018-1.048,p=8.9e-06), IL-2(OR=1.154 95%CI=1.116-1.194, p=1.2e-16), RANTS(OR=1.053 95%CI =1.026-1.80, p=8.0e-05), MIF(OR=1.171 95%CI=1.128-1.216, p=1.8e-16, SCF(OR=1.097 95%C =1.067-1.128, p=9.6e-11) and TRAIL(OR=1.080 95% CI=1.069-1.091, p=8.2e-49) acted as a promote, while CTACK (OR=0.942 95%CI=0.932-0.952, p=1.0e-29) and IL-16(OR=0.966 95%CI=0.953-0.980, p=1.5e-06) acted as an inhibitor.The scatter plot is depicted in Supplementary Figure S1. To adjust for multiple testing, we calculated the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. However, the results of our MR analysis showed no reverse causality for genetically predicted NAFLD on inflammatory cytokines by using the IVW method (Supplementary Table S3).

Association of inflammatory cytokines with ferritin

IVW were used to estimate the causal relationship between genetically predicted inflammatory cytokines and serum ferritin (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S4). As 41 inflammatory cytokines were used for MR analysis as exposure, Eotaxin (OR=1.033 95% CI = 1.018-1.048, p = 8.9e-06) acted as a promote, while GCSF (OR=0.942 95% CI = 0.932-0.952, p = 1.0e-29) acted as an inhibitor. The scatter plot is depicted in Supplementary Figures S6, S7. To adjust for multiple testing, we calculated the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

Association of ferritin with NAFLD

Genetic instruments for ferrintin explained 2.2% of its variance, with an F-statistic of 11.4. As shown in Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S2, we presented all genetic instruments associated with ferritin at the genome-wide significance level ($P < 5 \times 10$ -8). As shown in Figure 3, genetically predicted ferritin was significantly positively correlated with NAFLD [OR=1.53 95% CI=1.20-1.96, p=7.16E-04] by using the IVW method.

Proportion of the association between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD mediated by ferritin

We analyzed ferrintin as a mediator of the pathway from inflammatory cytokines to NAFLD. We found that NAFLD was associated with increased Eotaxin, which in turn was associated with an increased risk of NAFLD. As shown in Figure 4, our study showed that ferritin accounted for 2.1% of the increased risk of inflammatory cytokine associated with NAFLD (proportion mediated: 2.1%; 95% CI = 1.39%-5.61%).

Sensitivity analysis

The results of the tests for heterogeneity and pleiotropy when inflammatory cytokines and serum ferritin were used as exposures are presented in Supplementary Table S2. The results of the tests for heterogeneity and pleiotropy when inflammatory cytokines were used as outcomes are presented in Supplementary Table S3. The results of the tests for heterogeneity and pleiotropy when analyzing the associations of inflammatory cytokines with the risk of serum ferritin are presented in Supplementary Table S4. In addition, the scatter plot, funnel plot, forest plot, density plot and leave-one-out analysis results are presented in Supplementary Figures S1-S7. Details of SNPs analyzing the associations of inflammatory cytokines and serum ferritin with the risk of NAFLD are presented in Supplementary Tables S5-S13.Details of SNPs analyzing the associations of inflammatory cytokines with the risk of serum ferritin are presented in Supplementary Tables S14, S15.

Discussion

NAFLD is a non-bacterial chronic inflammatory state of the liver, characterized by elevated inflammatory markers and potentially progressing to cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma (35). In the context of this condition, we conducted a two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis to explore the relationship between inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD.

Through the PhenoScanner website (http://www.phenoscanner. medschl.cam.ac.uk/), we excluded Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with hepatitis and systemic infections to minimize the impact of confounding factors. Ultimately, after



Creation of the second	InnflammatoryCytokines Chemolines		pval		OR(95% CI)	HeterogeneityTest	
Entain 520 0.001 ++ 1.03(1020 105) 0.423 0.965 GRCa 433 0.001 ++ 1.01(100 bb 10.50) 1 <0.001		250	~0.001	had a	0.94(0.92 to 0.95)	4	0.401
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_						
MG 180 <0.001 Image: transmission of transmission				H			
MP_1a 24 0.183 1.04(0.98 to 1.10) 1 0.26 MP_1b 3260 0.846 1.00(0.99 to 1.00) 0.954 0.001 FANTES 9 < 0.001							
MIP_1b 3260 0.446 1.00(0.910 1.00) 0.954 0.001 RANTES 99 <0.001 1.05(1.03 10.08) 1 0.266 SDF_1a 30 0.477 1.05(1.03 10.08) 1 0.268 Growth factors 1 1.02(0.97 to 1.07) 0.023 0.021 Growth factors 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.563 0.202 FoFBasic 122 <0.001 1.11(11.15 to 1.24) 1 0.024 GCSF 42 0.001 1.13(1.15 to 1.24) 1 0.024 GCSF 24 0.041 - 0.98(0.94 to 1.05) 0.011 0.38 MCSF 24 0.041 - 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) 0.011 0.33 SCF 148 <0.001 - - 1.10(1.07 to 1.13) 0.678 0.329 SCF 148 <0.001 - - 1.10(1.07 to 1.03) <0.001 0.011 IL_1b 10 0.009 - - 1.12(1.03 to 1.22) 0.999 0.613 IL_2ra 13 0.377 - <	MIG	180	<0.001		1.13(1.11 to 1.15)	1	<0.001
RANTES 99 < 0.001 \leftarrow $1.05(1.03 \ b.1.08)$ 1 0.226 SDF_1a 30 0.477 $1.02(0.97 \ b.1.07)$ 1 0.273 Growth facters $=$ $1.02(0.97 \ b.1.07)$ 1 0.273 Growth facters $=$ $1.02(0.97 \ b.1.07)$ 0.563 0.202 GCSF 42 0.035 $=$ $0.96(0.90 \ b.1.00)$ 0.77 0.871 HGF 102 0.002 $=$ $0.96(0.92 \ b.0.98)$ 0.71 0.918 MCSF 24 0.801 $=$ $1.03(1.01 \ b.1.02)$ 0.001 0.72 SCF 148 0.001 $=$ $1.10(1.70 \ b.1.03)$ 0.678 0.323 SCGFb 189 0.744 $=$ $1.00(0.99 \ b.1.02)$ 0.996 0.001 It_17a 27 0.69 $=$ $1.12(1.03 \ b.1.22)$ 0.929 0.613 It_17a 10 0.009 $=$ $1.12(1.03 \ b.1.22)$ 0.929 0.613 It_15 0.6 0.001 $=$ $1.16(1.02 \ $	MIP_1a	24	0.183		1.04(0.98 to 1.10)	1	0.26
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	MIP_1b	3260	0.846		1.00(0.99 to 1.00)	0.954	0.001
Growth facters b/NGF 7 0.66 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.563 0.202 FGFBasic 122 <0.001	RANTES	99	<0.001		1.05(1.03 to 1.08)	1	0.266
b_NGF 7 0.66 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.563 0.202 FGFBasic 122 -0.001 1.19(1.15 to 1.24) 1 0.024 GCSF 42 0.035 0.95(0.92 to 0.96) 0.71 0.918 MCSF 24 0.804 0.95(0.92 to 0.96) 0.011 0.33 POGF_bb 495 0.001 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) <0.001	SDF_1a	30	0.477		1.02(0.97 to 1.07)	1	0.273
b_NGF 7 0.66 1.02(0.92 to 1.13) 0.563 0.202 FGFBasic 122 -0.001 1.19(1.15 to 1.24) 1 0.024 GCSF 42 0.035 0.95(0.92 to 0.96) 0.71 0.918 MCSF 24 0.804 0.95(0.92 to 0.96) 0.011 0.33 POGF_bb 495 0.001 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) <0.001	Growth facters						
FGFBasic 122 <0.001 Image: constraint of the second		7	0.66	F	1.02(0.92 to 1.13)	0.563	0.202
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
HGF 102 0.002 0.95(0.92 to 0.98) 0.71 0.918 MCSF 24 0.804 0.99(0.94 to 1.05) 0.011 0.93 PDGF_bb 495 0.001 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) 0.001 0.172 SCF 148 0.001 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) 0.001 0.172 SCGFb 189 0.744 1.00(0.99 to 1.02) 0.996 0.001 Itereteukins 1.12(1.03 to 1.22) 0.929 0.613 IL_1b 10 0.009 1.18(1.12 to 1.19) 1 0.265 IL_2a 75 <0.001 1.16(1.03 to 1.22) 0.991 <0.001 IL_2a 157 <0.001 1.15(1.12 to 1.19) 1 0.265 IL_2a 157 <0.001 1.16(1.03 to 1.62) 0.991 <0.001 IL_2a 157 <0.001 1.15(1.03 to 1.22) 0.014 <0.001 IL_25 46 <0.001 0.92(0.98 to 0.99) 0.013 <0.001							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
PDGF_bb 495 0.001 1.03(1.01 to 1.05) <0.001 0.172 SCF 148 <0.001 1.10(1.07 to 1.13) 0.678 0.329 SCGFb 189 0.744 1.00(0.99 to 1.02) 0.996 0.001 VEGF 401 0.049 1.01(1.00 to 1.03) <0.001 <0.001 Interleukins 1.12(1.03 to 1.22) 0.929 0.613 IL_1ra 27 0.69 1.15(1.12 to 1.19) 1 0.265 IL_2ra 75 <0.001 1.15(1.03 to 1.22) 0.929 0.613 IL_2ra 167 <0.001 1.15(1.03 to 1.60) 0.955 <0.001 IL_4 82 0.677 1.01(0.97 to 1.60) 0.981 <0.001 IL_6 62 <0.001 1.15(1.09 to 1.22) 1 0.014 IL_7 88 0.001 0.97(0.95 to 0.98) 0.33 <0.001 IL_1a 1.73 0.81 0.96(0.94 to 1.98) 0.241							
SCF 148 <0.001							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_						
VEGF 401 0.049							
Interleuklins IL_1b 10 0.009 1.12(1.03 to 1.22) 0.929 0.613 IL_1ra 27 0.69 1.15(1.12 to 1.19) 1 0.265 IL_2ra 167 <0.001 Image: colspan="2">Image: colspan="2" Image: colspa="2" Image: colspan="2" Image: colspan="2" Image: co				H			
IL_1b 10 0.009 Image: constraint of the second seco	VEGF	401	0.049		1.01(1.00 to 1.03)	<0.001	<0.001
L_1ra 27 0.69 0.98(0.90 to 1.07) 0.001 0.853 L_2 75 <0.001 H 1.16(1.12 to 1.19) 1 0.265 L_2ra 167 <0.001 H 1.06(1.03 to 1.06) 0.955 <0.001 L_4 82 0.577 1.01(0.97 to 1.06) 0.981 <0.001 L_5 46 <0.001 H 0.92(0.80 to 95) 0.663 <0.001 L_6 62 <0.001 H 0.97(0.95 to 0.99) 0.013 <0.001 L_8 13 0.83 1.01(0.97 to 1.12) 0.933 0.874 L_10 149 <0.001 H 0.96(0.94 to 0.98) 0.241 <0.001 L_12p70 305 0.062 1.02(1.00 to 1.04) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 L_16 134 <0.001 H 0.97(0.95 to 0.98) 0.241 <0.001 L_177 65 0.266 1.02(1.00 to 1.04) <0.001 <0.001 L_118 0.13 0.041 H 0.97(0.95 to 0.98) 1 0.138 IL_171	Interleukins						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IL_1b	10	0.009	F	1.12(1.03 to 1.22)	0.929	0.613
IL_2ra 167 <0.001	IL_1ra	27	0.69		0.98(0.90 to 1.07)	0.001	0.853
IL_4 82 0.577 1.01(0.97 to 1.06) 0.981 <0.001 IL_5 46 <0.001 \cdots 0.92(0.89 to 0.95) 0.663 <0.001 IL_6 62 <0.001 \cdots 0.97(0.95 to 0.99) 0.013 <0.001 IL_7 88 0.001 \cdots 0.97(0.95 to 0.99) 0.013 <0.001 IL_9 11 0.281 \cdots 0.97(0.95 to 0.99) 0.241 <0.001 IL_9 11 0.281 \cdots 0.96(0.94 to 0.98) 0.241 <0.001 IL_12 100 149 <0.001 \cdots 1.02(1.00 to 1.04) <0.001 <0.001 IL_13 173 0.81 1 0.098(0.94 to 1.02) <0.001 <0.001 IL_16 134 <0.001 \cdots 0.99(0.98 to 1.02) <0.001 <0.001 IL_18 613 0.041 \cdots 0.99(0.98 to 1.02) 0.855 <0.001 IL_18 613 0.041 \cdots 1.19(1.12 to 1.27) 0.426 <0.001 ITNF_a 24 0.643 \cdots 1.01(IL_2	75	<0.001		1.15(1.12 to 1.19)	1	0.265
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IL_2ra	167	<0.001		1.05(1.03 to 1.06)	0.955	<0.001
IL_6 62 <0.001 Image: Mark and the second se	IL_4	82	0.577		1.01(0.97 to 1.06)	0.981	<0.001
IL_6 62 <0.001 Image: Mark and the second se	IL_5	46	<0.001		0.92(0.89 to 0.95)	0.663	<0.001
IL_7 88 0.001 Image: constraint of the second secon		62	<0.001	·	1.15(1.09 to 1.22)	1	0.014
IL_8 13 0.83 1.01(0.94 to 1.08) 0.855 0.468 IL_9 11 0.281 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) 0.993 0.874 IL_10 149 <0.001 1 0.96(0.94 to 0.98) 0.241 <0.001 IL_12p70 305 0.062 1.02(1.00 to 1.04) <0.001 <0.001 IL_13 173 0.81 1.00(0.98 to 1.02) <0.001 <0.001 IL_16 134 <0.001 1 0.97(0.95 to 0.98) 1 0.138 IL_17 65 0.266 99(0.98 to 1.02) 0.859 <0.001 IL_18 613 0.041 1.99(0.98 to 1.00) 1 <0.001 Others 1.11 1.19(1.12 to 1.27) 0.426 <0.001 IFNg 41 <0.001 1.17(1.13 to 1.22) 1 0.063 TNF_a 24 0.643 1.01(0.95 to 1.08) 0.033 <0.001 TNF_b 43 <0.001 1.10(1.06 to 1.13) 0.061 <0.001 TR 3 <0.001 1.11 1.2 1.3 <0.07		88					
IL_9 11 0.281 1.04(0.97 to 1.12) 0.993 0.874 IL_10 149 <0.001							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
IL_12p70 305 0.062 1.02(1.00 to 1.04) <0.001 <0.001 IL_13 173 0.81 1.00(0.98 to 1.02) <0.001 <0.001 IL_16 134 <0.001 \cdots 0.97(0.95 to 0.98) 1 0.138 IL_17 65 0.266 0.98(0.94 to 1.02) 0.859 <0.001 IL_18 613 0.041 \cdots 0.99(0.98 to 1.00) 1 <0.001 Others \cdots \cdot							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
IL_18 613 0.041 0.99(0.98 to 1.00) 1 <0.001 Others IFNg 41 <0.001 Image: 1.19(1.12 to 1.27) 0.426 <0.001 MIF 48 <0.001 Image: 1.19(1.12 to 1.27) 0.426 <0.001 TNF_a 24 0.643 Image: 1.19(1.13 to 1.22) 1 0.063 TNF_b 43 <0.001 Image: 1.10(1.06 to 1.13) 0.061 <0.001 TRAIL 555 <0.001 Image: 1.08(1.07 to 1.09) 1 0.108 Ferritin 3 <0.001 Image: 1.53(1.20 to 1.96) 0.84 0.67				H=4			
Others IPNg 41 <0.001 Image: 1.19(1.12 to 1.27) 0.426 <0.001 MIF 48 <0.001 Image: 1.17(1.13 to 1.22) 1 0.063 TNF_a 24 0.643 Image: 1.10(1.05 to 1.08) 0.033 <0.001 TNF_b 43 <0.001 Image: 1.10(1.06 to 1.13) 0.061 <0.001 TRAIL 555 <0.001 Image: 1.53(1.20 to 1.96) 0.84 0.67 Others							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IL_18	613	0.041	H	0.99(0.98 to 1.00)	1	<0.001
MIF 48 <0.001 Image: 1.17(1.13 to 1.22) 1 0.063 TNF_a 24 0.643 1.01(0.95 to 1.08) 0.033 <0.001							
TNF_a 24 0.643 1.01(0.95 to 1.08) 0.033 <0.001 TNF_b 43 <0.001 $1.0(1.06 to 1.13)$ 0.061 <0.001 TRAIL 555 <0.001 $1.08(1.07 to 1.09)$ 1 0.108 Ferritin 3 <0.001 1.11 1.2 1.33 0.84 0.67	IFNg	41	<0.001	►	- 1.19(1.12 to 1.27)	0.426	<0.001
TNF_b 43 <0.001 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ 1.10(1.06 to 1.13) 0.061 <0.001 TRAIL 555 <0.001	MIF	48	<0.001				0.063
TRAIL 555 <0.001 \mapsto 1.08(1.07 to 1.09) 1 0.108 Ferritin 3 <0.001	TNF_a	24	0.643	H	1.01(0.95 to 1.08)	0.033	<0.001
TRAIL 555 <0.001 Image: 1.08(1.07 to 1.09) 1 0.108 Ferritin 3 <0.001	TNF_b	43	<0.001		1.10(1.06 to 1.13)	0.061	<0.001
0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1.3		555	<0.001	PH .	1.08(1.07 to 1.09)	1	0.108
0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1.3							
\longleftrightarrow	Ferritin	3				0.84	0.67
			0.8	\longleftrightarrow	1.3		
				Low risk High risk			

excluding confounding and other factors, the association between certain inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD was identified as a genuine causal effect.

We also utilized MR-Egger regression to assess the potential pleiotropic effects of the selected SNPs as instrumental variables (IVs), which may provide valuable insights into whether horizontal pleiotropy (such as hepatitis, systemic infections, etc.) affects the analysis. Some analyses with horizontal pleiotropy were excluded. This study found that genetically predicted levels of Eotaxin, IL-2, RANTES, MIF, and TRAIL were positively correlated with the risk of NAFLD, while CTACK and IL-16 were negatively correlated. Some of these findings are consistent with the results of other studies that are less likely to be affected by confounding biases and reverse causality.

Eotaxin and RANTES are both members of the CC chemokine family, playing a crucial role in inflammation and immune responses. These factors selectively attract eosinophils and are involved in the recruitment of immune cells to sites of inflammation. In the context

Chemolines			1					
Eotaxin	163	<0.001				1.03(1.01 to 1.04)	0.991	0.965
GROa	178	<0.001		н		1.05(1.04 to 1.05)	1	<0.001
IP_10	30	0.316	P			1.01(0.99 to 1.04)	1	0.65
MCP_1	319	0.812	н			1.00(0.99 to 1.01)	0.002	<0.001
MIG	24	0.069	-			1.03(1.00 to 1.06)	1	<0.001
MIP_1a	4	0.366				0.96(0.87 to 1.05)	0.776	0.26
MIP_1b	623	<0.001	н			0.97(0.96 to 0.98)	<0.001	0.001
RANTES	2	0.803				1.01(0.91 to 1.13)	1	0.266
SDF_1a	2	0.73		1		1.02(0.91 to 1.14)	0.972	0.273
Growth facters								
GCSF	16	0.013				0.93(0.87 to 0.98)	1	0.871
HGF	14	0.227				0.97(0.93 to 1.02)		0.918
MCSF	4	0.486				1.02(0.96 to 1.10)		0.93
PDGF_bb	123	0.753		1		1.00(0.98 to 1.02)		0.172
SCF	32	0.026	-			1.04(1.00 to 1.07)		0.329
SCGFb	38	0.020				1.02(1.00 to 1.04)		0.001
VEGF	61	0.444				0.99(0.98 to 1.01)		<0.001
VEGI	01	0.444				0.00(0.00 10 1.01)	0.015	<0.001
Interleukins								
IL_1ra	3	0.576				0.97(0.88 to 1.07)	0.931	0.853
IL_2	11	0.03	H			0.94(0.89 to 0.99)	0.762	0.265
IL_2ra	3	0.298				0.93(0.80 to 1.07)	0.143	<0.001
IL_4	26	<0.001				0.84(0.80 to 0.88)	0.998	<0.001
IL_5	13	0.015	 1			0.95(0.92 to 0.99)	1	<0.001
IL_6	9	0.072				0.92(0.85 to 1.01)	0.94	0.014
IL_7	15	0.021				0.98(0.96 to 1.00)	0.848	<0.001
IL_10	44	0.517				0.99(0.97 to 1.01)	1	0.65
IL_12p70	60	0.393				0.99(0.98 to 1.01)	0.982	<0.001
IL_13	41	0.004				0.97(0.95 to 0.99)	<0.001	<0.001
IL_16	19	0.988		-		1.00(0.97 to 1.03)	1	0.138
IL_17	11	<0.001	⊢−−−− 1			0.86(0.81 to 0.91)	0.997	<0.001
IL_18	206	<0.001	н			0.96(0.95 to 0.97)	1	<0.001
Others								
IFNg	4	0.049				→ 1.14(1.00 to 1.30)	0.462	<0.001
TNF_a	2	0.19				1.05(0.97 to 1.14)		<0.001
TNF_b	5	0.517				1.02(0.97 to 1.07)		<0.001
TRAIL	29	0.204				0.98(0.94 to 1.01)		0.108
TRAIL	29	0.204	0.8 0.9 1	1.1	1.2	□ □ 1.3	0.996	0.108
			Low risk					
			LOWING					

of NAFLD, Eotaxin-1 may exacerbate the inflammatory state of the liver by promoting the migration and activation of inflammatory cells (36). RANTES may intensify liver damage by promoting the infltration of immune cells and enhancing the inflammatory response. For instance, RANTES may be involved in the hepatic inflammatory process by attracting specific immune cell subsets, such as T cells and monocytes (37). Additionally, studies have shown that levels of Eotaxin-1 are associated with metabolic disorders such as insulin resistance, impaired glucose tolerance and abnormal lipid metabolism, which are all risk factors for the development of NAFLD (38). In some studies, the levels of Eotaxin-1 have been found to correlate with the extent of hepatic steatosis and liver enzyme levels (such as alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase) (39). Therefore, Eotaxin-1 may serve as a biomarker linking these metabolic disorders with NAFLD. Given the role of Eotaxin-1 in the

development of NAFLD, it may represent a potential therapeutic target. For example, the use of anti-Eotaxin-1 monoclonal antibodies may help to alleviate inflammation and immune-mediated liver damage associated with NAFLD.

IL-2 is an immunomodulatory cytokine primarily produced by CD4+ T cells. The regulation of IL-2 gene expression involves various transcription factors and plays a significant role in modulating immune responses and anti-tumor activity (40). Although the direct link between IL-2 and NAFLD has not yet been clearly established, considering the role of IL-2 in immune regulation, it may indirectly affect the development of NAFLD by influencing the hepatic immune microenvironment. For example, IL-2 may influence inflammatory responses and hepatocyte damage by regulating T cell activity in the liver. However, further research is needed to clarify the specific role of IL-2 in NAFLD.

FIGUF

Similarly, TRAIL, known for its ability to induce apoptosis in various cancer cells, has also been found to play a role in regulating inflammatory responses and the progression of liver diseases.

MIF is a cytokine with multiple biological functions and plays a key role in inflammation and immune responses (41). The association between MIF and NAFLD may be related to its role in regulating immune cell function and promoting inflammatory responses. MIF may be involved in the development of NAFLD by affecting the migration and activation of macrophages.

On the other hand, the chemokine CTACK (CCL1) and IL-16 are negatively correlated with NAFLD. CTACK is a skin-related chemokine that controls the migration and aggregation of immune cells, potentially affecting the inflammatory response, some study have confirmed that CTACK has a significant correlation with certain autoimmune liver diseases (42). IL-16 is mainly produced by activated CD8+ T cells, with CD4 as its receptor, and can chemoattract CD4+ T cells, monocytes, and eosinophils, inducing the expression of IL-2R and HLA class II molecules in T cells and monocytes (43). Recent studies have found that IL-16 is present in many cells and plays an important regulatory role in T cell function and intercellular communication (44). IL-16 plays different roles in various pathological processes; it acts as a chemoattractant in some diseases, a pro-inflammatory factor in others, and may even function as an inhibitor in Th2-mediated diseases (Exogenous interleukin-16 inhibits antigen-induced airway hyper-reactivity, eosinophilia, and Th2-type cytokine production in mice) (45). It is speculated that both may exert a protective effect by regulating the activity of immune cells in the hepatic microenvironment, and further research is needed to clarify their specific roles in the pathogenesis of NAFLD.

Furthermore, studies have shown an inseparable link between iron, redox biology, and inflammation. During infection, elevated levels of ferritin represent an important host defense mechanism by depriving bacteria of iron for growth and protecting immune cell function (46). It may also have a protective role by limiting the production of free radicals and mediating immune regulation. Additionally, hyperferritinemia is a key acute-phase reactant, and clinicians use it as an indicator for therapeutic intervention, aiming to control inflammation in high-risk patients (47). One view is that hyperferritinemia is an "innocent bystander" biomarker of uncontrolled inflammation, useful for measuring the effectiveness of interventions (48). Another school of thought suggests that ferritin induction may be a protective negative regulatory loop (47). Some scholars consider ferritin to be a key mediator of immune dysregulation, especially in extreme hyperferritinemia, through direct immunosuppression and pro-inflammatory effects (49). Clearly, further research is needed to determine the role of ferritin as a biomarker and mediator in uncontrolled inflammatory conditions, as its occurrence identifies patients at high risk of mortality, and its resolution can predict an improvement in their survival rate.

Serum ferritin is a common protein associated with reactive oxygen species, leading to necrotic inflammation and fibrosis. In the latest prospective cohort study, the area under the curve(AUC) for diagnosing NAFLD using serum ferritin was 0.791 (50). A study confirmed that elevated serum ferritin greater than 1.5 times the

upper limit of normal is associated with the diagnosis of NAFLD and advanced fibrosis (51). Another study established a scoring system combining serum ferritin, type IV collagen 7S, and fasting insulin to predict NAFLD (52).

This study suggests that ferritin was significantly positively correlated with NAFLD [OR=1.53, 95% CI, 1.20-1.96; P=7.16E-04] using the IVW method. We analyzed ferritin as a mediator of the pathway from inflammatory cytokines to NAFLD. We found that NAFLD was associated with increased Eotaxin, which in turn was associated with an increased risk of NAFLD. As shown in Figure 5, our study showed that ferritin accounted for 2.1% of the increased risk of inflammatory cytokine associated with NAFLD (proportion mediated: 2.1%; 95% CI = 1.39%–5.61%).

Our study has several aspects that make it superior to others: (1) The statistical data for exposure and outcome are summarized from recent GWAS, with a large sample size and no overlapping samples in each study cohort. (2) Strict criteria were set for selecting IVs, enhancing statistical power. (3) Since genetic variations are distributed across multiple chromosomes, the potential for genegene interactions to affect the results is likely minimal. (4) To improve the accuracy of the estimates, this study excluded SNPs that may be related to confounding factors and heterogeneity in the studies. This study does have some limitations: (1) The GWAS used only included populations of European ancestry. Therefore, additional studies should be conducted in non-European populations to explore the mediating effects. (2) NAFLD has many subtypes, and further research is needed to analyze whether the subtype results are consistent with our study. (3) Accurately describing the causal link of exposure factors is crucial for the success of mediation analysis, as statistical methods cannot distinguish between the concepts of mediation and confounding. (4) The assessment of inflammatory cytokines was limited. Other types of analyses should be conducted to explore other potential mediating factors.

Conclusion

In summary, our study attempts to preliminarily establish the certain relationship between certain inflammatory cytokines and NAFLD, with a portion of the effect mediated by ferritin, but the majority of the impact of these inflammatory cytokines on NAFLD



is not yet clear. Further research is needed to identify other risk factors that may act as potential mediators. In clinical practice, NAFLD patients with hyperferritinemia warrant closer monitoring.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The genetic predictive factors involved in this study were derived from comprehensive cytokine-related GWAS meta-analyses, including three independent cohorts: 8,293 Finnish participants from the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study (YFS) and the 'FINRISK' studies (FINRISK1997 and FINRISK2002), as well as 23,986 individuals of European ancestry within the Genetics of Iron Status (GIS) consortium. Additionally, summary-level data on NAFLD were extracted from GWAS of 7,786,147 individuals of European ancestry from the UK Biobank and the Estonian Biobank, adjusted for age, gender, body mass index, genotyping site, and the first three ancestrybased principal components. All these studies have been approved by the relevant ethical review committees, and participants have provided written informed consent.

Author contributions

XL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. BW: Formal analysis, Resources, Software, Writing – original draft. LG: Methodology, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Medical Scientific Research Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (Grant No. 2024KY1380).

References

 Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, prediction. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 15:11–20. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109

2. Powell EE, Wong VW, Rinella M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet*. (2021) 397:2212–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

3. Tincopa MA, Loomba R. Non-invasive diagnosis and monitoring of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2023) 8:660–70. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(23)00066-3

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the Department of Health of Zhejiang Province for providing financial support for our research.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1437999/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Supporting Information Supplementary Tables S1-S15.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1 Inflammatory Cytokines-NAFLD scatter plot.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2 Inflammatory Cytokines-NAFLD funnel plot

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3 Inflammatory Cytokines-NAFLD forest plot

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4 Inflammatory Cytokines-NAFLD leave-one-out plot.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5 Inflammatory Cytokines-NAFLD density plot.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6 Eotaxin-Ferritin Plot.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 7 G-CSF-Ferritin Plot.

4. Eslam M, Sanyal AJ, George J. MAFLD: A consensus-driven proposed nomenclature for metabolic associated fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology.* (2020) 158:1999–2014. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.11.312

5. Qian Q, Li M, Zhang Z, Davis SW, Rahmouni K, Norris AW, et al. Obesity disrupts the pituitary-hepatic UPR communication leading to NAFLD progression. *Cell Metab.* (2024) \$1550-4131:00134–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2024.04.014

6. Diehl AM, Day C. Cause, pathogenesis, and treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med. (2017) 377:2063–72. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1503519

7. Schuster S, Cabrera D, Arrese M, Feldstein AE. Triggering and resolution of inflammation in NASH. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 15:349-64. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2018.10

8. Datz C, Müller E, Aigner E. Iron overload and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Minerva Endocrinol. (2017) 42:173–83. doi: 10.23736/S0391-1977.16.02565-7

9. Buzzetti E, Petta S, Manuguerra R, Luong TV, Cabibi D, Corradini E, et al. Evaluating the association of serum ferritin and hepatic iron with disease severity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int.* (2019) 39:1325–34. doi: 10.1111/liv.14096

10. Britton LJ, Subramaniam VN, Crawford DH. Iron and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol. (2016) 22:8112–22. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i22.8112

11. Valenti L, Fracanzani AL, Dongiovanni P, Bugianesi E, Marchesini G, Manzini P, et al. Iron depletion by phlebotomy improves insulin resistance in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and hyperferritinemia: evidence from a case-control study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2007) 102:1251–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01192.x

12. Duell PB, Welty FK, Miller M, Chait A, Hammond G, Ahmad Z, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk: A scientific statement from the American heart association. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2022) 42:e168–85. doi: 10.1161/ATV.00000000000153

13. Sun Z, Pan X, Tian A, Surakka I, Wang T, Jiao X, et al. Genetic variants in HFE are associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in lean individuals. *JHEP Rep.* (2023) 5:100744. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100744

14. Wehby GL, Ohsfeldt RL, Murray JC. 'Mendelian randomization' equals instrumental variable analysis with genetic instruments. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:2745–49. doi: 10.1002/sim.3255

15. Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:1133–63. doi: 10.1002/sim.3034

16. Carter AR, Sanderson E, Hammerton G, Richmond RC, Davey Smith G, Heron J, et al. Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: Current methods and challenges for implementation. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2021) 36:465–78. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00757-1

17. Cheverud JM. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* (1999) 108:548–9. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199903)108:33.0.CO;2-G

18. Ahola-Olli AV, Würtz P, Havulinna AS, Aalto K, Pitkänen N, Lehtimäki T, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 27 loci influencing concentrations of circulating cytokines and growth factors. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2017) 100:40–50. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.11.007

19. Benyamin B, Esko T, Ried JS, Radhakrishnan A, Vermeulen SH, Traglia M, et al. Novel loci affecting iron homeostasis and their effects in individuals at risk for hemochromatosis. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:4926. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5926

20. Ghodsian N, Abner E, Emdin CA, Gobeil É, Taba N, Haas ME, et al. Electronic health record-based genome-wide meta-analysis provides insights on the genetic architecture of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell Rep Med.* (2021) 2:100437. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100437

21. Bowden J, Davey SG, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

22. Palmer TM, Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sheehan NA, Tobias JH, Timpson NJ, et al. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2012) 21:223–42. doi: 10.1177/0962280210394459

23. Falconer DS, Mackay TF. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics, 4th Edn. Essex: Prentice Hall. (1996).

24. Li B, Martin EB. An approximation to the f distribution using the chi-square distribution. *Comput Stat Data Anal.* (2002) 40:21–6. doi: 10.1016/j.csda.2004.04.001

25. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:755–64. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr036

26. Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics*. (2015) 31:3555–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btv402

27. Sang N, Gao RC, Zhang MY, Wu ZZ, Wu ZG, Wu GC. Causal relationship between sleep traits and risk of systemic lupus erythematosus: A two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:918749. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.918749

28. Huang S, Tian F, Yang X, Fang S, Fan Y, Bao J. Physical activity and systemic lupus erythematosus among European populations: A two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Front Genet.* (2022) 12:784922. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.784922

29. Sidore C, Busonero F, Maschio A, Porcu E, Naitza S, Zoledziewska M, et al. Genome sequencing elucidates Sardinian genetic architecture and augments association analyses for lipid and blood inflammatory markers. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:1272–81. doi: 10.1038/ng.3368

30. Bowden J, Davey SG, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

31. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from mendelian randomization using the MR-egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

32. Xiang K, Wang P, Xu Z, Hu YQ, He YS, Chen Y, et al. Causal effects of gut microbiome on systemic lupus erythematosus: A two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:667097. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.667097

33. Sun W, Zhang L, Liu W, Tian M, Wang X, Liang J, et al. Stroke and myocardial infarction: A bidirectional mendelian randomization study. *Int J GenMed.* (2021) 14:9537–45. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S337681

34. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife.* (2018) 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408

35. Hammerich L, Tacke F. Hepatic inflammatory responses in liver fibrosis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2023) 20:633-46. doi: 10.1038/s41575-023-00807-x

36. Teixeira AL, Gama CS, Rocha NP, Teixeira MM. Revisiting the role of eotaxin-1/ CCL11 in psychiatric disorders. *Front Psychiatry*. (2018) 9:241. doi: 10.3389/ fpsyt.2018.00241

37. Schall TJ. Biology of the RANTES/SIS cytokine family. *Cytokine*. (1991) 3:165–83. doi: 10.1016/1043-4666(91)90013-4

38. Loughrey BV, McGinty A, Young IS, McCance DR, Powell LA. Increased circulating CC chemokine levels in the metabolic syndrome are reduced by low-dose atorvastatin treatment: evidence from a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Endocrinol* (*Oxf*). (2013) 79:800–6. doi: 10.1111/cen.12113

39. Palumbo T, Nakamura K, Lassman C, Kidani Y, Bensinger SJ, Busuttil R, et al. Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibition attenuates liver damage in a mouse warm ischemia and reperfusion model. *Transplantation*. (2017) 101:322–31. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001552

40. Smith KA. Interleukin-2: inception, impact, and implications. Science. (1988) 240:1169-76. doi: 10.1126/science.3131876

41. Bach JP, Rinn B, Meyer B, Dodel R, Bacher M. Role of MIF in inflammation and tumorigenesis. *Oncology*. (2008) 75:127–33. doi: 10.1159/000155223

42. Mu N, Lin F, Jiang Z, Liang Y, Yang Z. Characteristics of serum chemokine profile in primary biliary cholangitis. *Cytokine*. (2020) 136:155291. doi: 10.1016/ j.cyto.2020.155291

43. Cruikshank WW, Kornfeld H, Center DM. Interleukin-16. J Leukoc Biol. (2000) 67:757–66. doi: 10.1002/jlb.67.6.757

44. Wu X, Thisdelle J, Hou S, Fajardo-Despaigne JE, Gibson SB, Johnston JB, et al. Elevated expression of interleukin 16 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia is associated with disease burden and abnormal immune microenvironment. *Leuk Res.* (2023) 131:107315. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2023.107315

45. De Bie JJ, Jonker EH, Henricks PA, Hoevenaars J, Little FF, Cruikshank WW, et al. Exogenous interleukin-16 inhibits antigen-induced airway hyper-reactivity, eosinophilia and Th2-type cytokine production in mice. *Clin Exp Allergy.* (2002) 32:1651–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2222.2002.01528.x

46. Haschka D, Hoffmann A, Weiss G. Iron in immune cell function and host defense. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2021) 115:27-36. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.12.005

47. Kernan KF, Carcillo JA. Hyperferritinemia and inflammation. Int Immunol. (2017) 29:401–9. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxx031

48. Edeas M, Saleh J, Peyssonnaux C. Iron: Innocent bystander or vicious culprit in COVID-19 pathogenesis? *Int J Infect Dis.* (2020) 97:303–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.110

49. Li Y, Qin M, Zhong W, Liu C, Deng G, Yang M, et al. RAGE promotes dysregulation of iron and lipid metabolism in alcoholic liver disease. *Redox Biol.* (2023) 59:102559. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2022.102559

50. Song Z, Miao X, Xie X, Tang G, Deng J, Hu M, et al. Associations between serum ferritin baselines and trajectories and the incidence of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease: a prospective cohort study. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2024) 23:141. doi: 10.1186/s12944-024-02129-6

51. Kowdley KV, Belt P, Wilson LA, Yeh MM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Chalasani N, et al. Serum ferritin is an independent predictor of histologic severity and advanced fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* (2012) 55:77–85. doi: 10.1002/hep.24706

52. Sumida Y, Yoneda M, Hyogo H, Yamaguchi K, Ono M, Fujii H, et al. A simple clinical scoring system using ferritin, fasting insulin, and type IV collagen 7S for predicting steatohepatitis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Gastroenterol.* (2011) 46:257–68. doi: 10.1007/s00535-010-0305-6