## Check for updates

## **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Diego Raimondo, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Matteo Giorgi, University of Siena, Italy Guannan Zhou, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sheng-yun Cai Caicai24@126.com Rui Guan Cngreen785@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 24 May 2024 ACCEPTED 10 October 2024 PUBLISHED 31 October 2024

#### CITATION

Lu F-f, Wang Z, Yang Q-q, Yan F-s, Xu C, Wang M-t, Xu Z-j, Cai S-y and Guan R (2024) Investigating the metabolomic pathways in female reproductive endocrine disorders: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1438079. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1438079

## COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lu, Wang, Yang, Yan, Xu, Wang, Xu, Cai and Guan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Investigating the metabolomic pathways in female reproductive endocrine disorders: a Mendelian randomization study

Fei-fan Lu<sup>1†</sup>, Zheng Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Qian-qian Yang<sup>1</sup>, Feng-shang Yan<sup>1</sup>, Chang Xu<sup>1</sup>, Ming-tang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zhu-jing Xu<sup>3</sup>, Sheng-yun Cai<sup>1\*</sup> and Rui Guan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Changhai Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, Changhai Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanghai Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China

**Introduction:** Reproductive endocrine disorders (RED), including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), endometriosis (EMs), and female infertility (FI), significantly affect women's health globally, with varying prevalence across different regions. These conditions can be addressed through medication, surgical interventions, and lifestyle modifications. However, the limited understanding of RED's etiology and the substantial economic burden of its treatment highlight the importance of investigating its pathogenesis. Metabolites play a critical role in metabolic processes and are potentially linked to the development of RED. Despite existing studies suggesting correlations between metabolites and RED, conclusive evidence remains scarce, primarily due to the observational nature of these studies, which are prone to confounding factors.

**Methods:** This study utilized Mendelian Randomization (MR) to explore the causal relationship between metabolites and RED, leveraging genetic variants associated with metabolite levels as instrumental variables to minimize confounding and reverse causality. Data were obtained from the Metabolomics GWAS Server and the IEU OpenGWAS project. Instrumental variables were selected based on their association with the human gut microbiota composition, and the GWAS summary statistics for metabolites, PCOS, EMs, and FI were analyzed. The MR-Egger regression and random-effects inverse-variance weighted (IVW) methods were employed to validate the causal relationship. Cochran's Q test was employed to evaluate heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was performed using leave-one-out analysis, and for pleiotropy analysis, the intercept term of MR-Egger's method was investigated.

**Results:** The MR analysis revealed significant associations between various metabolites and RED conditions. For instance, a positive association was found between 1-palmitoylglycerophosphocholine and PCOS, while a negative association was noted between phenylacetate and FI. The study identified several metabolites associated with an increased risk and others with protective effects against PCOS, EMs, and FI. These findings highlight the complex interplay between metabolites and RED, suggesting potential pathways through which these conditions could be influenced or treated.

**Conclusion:** This MR study provides valuable insights into the causal relationship between metabolites and female reproductive endocrine disorders, suggesting that metabolic alterations play a significant role in the pathogenesis of PCOS, EMs, and FI, and offering a foundation for future research and therapeutic development.

## KEYWORDS

Mendelian randomization, metabolites, reproductive endocrine disorders, polycystic ovary syndrome, endometriosis, female infertility, genome wide association study, instrumental variables

# 1 Introduction

Reproductive endocrine disorders (RED) affecting females, including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), endometriosis (EMs), and female infertility (FI), have been a major health issue for women in their reproductive years (1, 2). Research studies reveal that the global prevalence of PCOS varies between 4% and 21%. In China, the reported incidence of PCOS among women aged 19-45 years is 5.6% (3). EMs affects approximately 10% of women of reproductive age worldwide, affecting 190 million people (4). While in the United States, approximately 10% to 15% of individuals are affected by FI, with around 30% of cases having an unknown etiology (5).

Early diagnosis of RED is crucial, especially of endometriosis, given its significant impact on the reproductive health and fertility potential of young patients (6). Timely identification, followed by effective treatment, is essential to reversing infertility, increasing the likelihood of a successful pregnancy, and improving overall quality of life. RED can be managed through various approaches, including medication, surgical intervention, and lifestyle adjustments, emphasizing the importance of early and appropriate therapeutic strategies (7). The major goal of medical care is to regulate the menstrual cycle, hormone secretion, and metabolism. On the other hand, surgical intervention generally aims to remove lesions, control pain, and assist with reproductive technologies. Lifestyle alterations prioritize the management of diet, exercise routines, and behavioral adjustments. Because the understanding of the etiology of RED is limited, the annual global expenditure on its treatment remains enormous, which places a significant burden on the global economy (4, 8, 9). Researching the pathogenesis of RED will not only reduce the worldwide economic burden but also aid in the advancement of more efficient treatments (1, 10, 11).

The development of the RED complex usually arises from an unhealthy lifestyle, genetic and hormonal abnormalities, inflammation, and metabolic disturbances (10–13). Metabolites are pivotal in metabolic processes since they either produce or consume resources, hence ensuring the proper functioning of physiological processes. For example, disturbances in sugar metabolism might result in the onset of chronic illnesses (14). The findings of various studies have indicated that the processes of lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism, and sphingolipid metabolism may be intricately linked to the pathogenesis of RED (15-17). Patients with PCOS exhibit a notable prevalence of common metabolic dysfunction (16). A robust association exists between inflammation in the FI signal and elevated androgen metabolism (15, 18). Supplementing vitamin C and E has been proposed as a possible treatment for reducing painful symptoms in patients with Ems (19). Hence, metabolic alterations are closely connected to the development of RED. Nevertheless, the existing clinical evidence establishing the correlation between metabolites and RED is restricted and mostly obtained from observational studies, which may be influenced by confounding factors. Therefore, gaining a deeper understanding of the connection between metabolites and RED, as well as the probable mechanisms involved, is of great therapeutic importance for accurately assessing risk and improving treatment approaches.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a commonly employed method for inferring causality. It utilizes genetic variants that are linked to the exposure of interest as instrumental variables (IVs) to establish the causal impact of the exposure on the outcome. This approach is effective in isolating the effect of exposures from potential confounding factors, such as environmental influences and lifestyle choices (20-24). It can reduce the impact of reverse causality to ensure maximum validity (25, 26). The swift advancement of Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) data additionally establishes a strong basis for the execution of MR Research. The relationship between metabolomics and MR research, particularly in the context of elucidating the causal pathways in human diseases, exemplifies a compelling application of this methodology (27, 28). Metabolomics, the comprehensive study of small molecule metabolites within biological systems, offers a dynamic and sensitive reflection of both genetic and environmental influences on an organism's state. When integrated with MR, metabolomics can provide powerful insights into the causal relationships between specific metabolic profiles and disease outcomes.

At present, there is a lack of research that uses the MR approach to thoroughly examine the cause-and-effect relationship between metabolites and the start of RED. Our study aimed to examine the potential causal relationships between specific genotypes, plasma metabolite levels, and the risk of developing PCOS, EMs, and FI using MR analysis. We hypothesize that genetic variations directly influence metabolite levels and, consequently, disease outcomes, independent of confounding factors. By exploring these causal connections, the findings could help identify pathogenic metabolites with causal relationships, potentially guiding targeted therapeutic strategies and preventive measures for these REDs.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study design

In our study on the link between plasma metabolites and the development of PCOS, EMs and FI levels, we propose three key hypotheses. First, we expect a correlation between certain genotypes and plasma metabolite levels, suggesting a genetic influence on these metabolites that could affect disease risk. Second, these genotypes should not be influenced by confounding factors, ensuring that any observed relationship with metabolite levels is likely due to a genuine biological mechanism. Third, we assume that these genotypes directly impact the metabolites in question, thereby affecting the risk or progression of PCOS, EMs, and FI. This framework aims to clarify how genetic variations contribute to the observed outcomes, using Mendelian randomization to explore potential causal connections. Figure 1 depicts the process of Mendelian randomization including metabolites that are linked to PCOS, EMs, and FI.

## 2.2 Data sources

The metabolite GWAS data was obtained from the Metabolomics GWAS Server website (https://metabolomips.org/ gwas/), while the genetic variance data related to PCOS, EMs, and FI was obtained from the IEU OpenGWAS project website (https:// gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) (Table 1). IVs were chosen as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are linked to the composition of the plasma metabolites. Due to the fact that the data utilized in this study were collected from published studies or public databases, ethics approval was not necessary. The GWAS summary statistics for the metabolites were acquired from the Metabolomics GWAS Server, which may be accessed at https://metabolomips.org/gwas/. This study involves genome-wide association scans combined with high-throughput metabolic monitoring to get unique insights into the impact of genetic variation on metabolism and complex diseases. The researchers have conducted a thorough investigation into the genetic factors that affect human metabolism. They studied a total of 7,824 adult individuals from two European population studies. The study identified significant associations between 145 metabolic loci and more than 1400 metabolites in human blood, revealing their biochemical connectivity (29). The GWAS summary



Flow chart of the study. Image illustrates the Mendelian randomization (Mk) framework used to investigate the causal effects of plasma metabolite levels on reproductive endocrine disorders, including polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), endometriosis (EMs), and female infertility (FI). Instrumental variables (IVs) are selected based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) fulfilling stringent criteria for relevance ( $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ), linkage disequilibrium ( $R^2 < 0.001$ ), and a sizable aggregation window (>10,000 kb). MR analyses utilize inverse variance weighted (IVW) methods and MR-Egger regression for causal inference, with additional sensitivity testing (Cochran's Q and leave-one-out analysis) to assess the robustness of the findings.

|          | Data source                  | Phenotype                   | Sample size | Cases | Population | Adjustment        |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------------|
| Exposure | The Metabolomics GWAS Server | Metabolites                 | 7824        | -     | European   | -                 |
|          | finn-b-E4_POCS               | Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome | -           | 642   | European   | Males and Females |
| Outcomes | ebi-a-GCST90018839           | Endometriosis               | 231771      | 4511  | European   | -                 |
|          | finn-b-N14_FEMALEINFERT      | Female Infertility          | -           | 6481  | European   | Males and Females |

### TABLE 1 Detailed information of datasets.

datasets for PCOS were obtained from the OpenGWAS database. The GWAS ID is finn-b-E4\_POCS, with a total of 118,870 female participants, consisting of 642 cases and 118,228 controls. The total count of SNPs is 16,379,676. The GWAS data for individuals with endometriosis were obtained from a recent genome-wide association study. The selected trait for analysis was endometriosis, and the discovery sample consisted of 4,511 cases of European ancestry and 227,260 controls of European ancestry (30). The GWAS summary datasets for FI were obtained from the OpenGWAS database. The GWAS ID is finn-b-N14\_FEMALEINFERT, with a total of 75,470 female participants, consisting of 6,481 cases and 68,969 controls. The total count of SNPs is 16,377,038.

## 2.3 Selection of IVs

The following criteria were employed in this study for the purpose of screening instrumental variables (31). Typically, this is accomplished by utilizing data obtained from extensive GWAS. The selected SNPs should possess a strong and firmly proven correlation with the exposure. The IVs demonstrate a robust association with exposure, as evidenced by a significant criteria of P < 1× 10-5 (correlation hypothesis) (32). It is necessary to measure the degree of correlation between each SNP and the exposure. This entails utilizing statistical analysis to quantify the magnitude of the impact of each SNP on the exposure, often quantified as the beta coefficient in a regression model (33). It's crucial to ensure that the SNPs used as IVs affect the outcome only through the exposure and not through other pathways. This is known as the assumption of no pleiotropy (34). The SNPs selected by the MR Method adhere to the genetic principle of random parental allele assignment to offspring, thereby minimizing the influence of environmental and acquired factors. Consequently, it can be theoretically assumed that instrumental variables are independent of social, economic, and cultural factors. SNPs in close proximity on the genome can be in linkage disequilibrium, meaning they are often inherited together. It's important to ensure that the selected SNPs are independent of each other, or to adjust the analysis for LD (35). The selected SNPs should collectively explain a significant portion of the variance in the exposure. Weak instruments (those that explain only a small fraction of the variance) can lead to biased MR estimates. Moreover, an F statistic greater than 10 is employed as a criterion for assessing weak instrumental variables (36). The screening condition for selecting meaningful SNPs from the aggregated GWAS data of metabolites were set as  $P < 1 \times 10$ -5. The coefficient of linkage disequilibrium is r2 < 0.001 and the width of the linkage disequilibrium region was 10000kb. These were applied to ensure the independence of each SNP and eliminate the impact of linkage imbalance on the results (32, 33, 35). The PhenoScanner (http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/) was utilized to account for confounding factors and assess the outcome associated with each SNP. From the aforementioned screened SNPs, those extracted from the aggregated GWAS data of PCOS, EMs, and FI had a minimum r2 value greater than 0.8. This summarizes the information contained in this dataset.

# 2.4 Verification of causality

The MR-Egger regression and random-effects inverse-variance weighted (IVW) methods were employed to validate the causal relationship between exposure (Metabolites) and outcomes (PCOS, EMs, and FI), using SNPs as instrumental variables. IVW, which is widely recognized as the primary outcome measure, was utilized (37). In this approach, each locus's inverse of variance (R2) served as the weight for estimating the causal effect based on multiple SNPs as instrumental variables. These weighted causal effect estimates were then summed to obtain the final estimate using IVW method. MR-Egger method essentially incorporates a weaker assumption (InSIDE) within IVW framework to perform causal effect estimation while introducing a regression intercept term to detect and correct bias caused by pleiotropic effects of instrumental variables in order to estimate the causal relationship between exposure and outcome (38). When there is horizontal pleiotropy present, MR-Egger results can be referenced. Additionally, randomeffects inverse-variance weighting method was applied for analyzing the causal relationship between variables with MR-Egger regression serving as a supplementary analysis technique (39). All aforementioned methods were implemented using TwoSample MR Package in R 4.1.0 software at a significance level of  $\alpha$ =0.05. The SNP annotation was performed using online tools available at https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/snpense. SNPense tool facilitated the mapping of human SNP rs-codes to gene names, providing chromosomal coordinates and predicted variant effects. Mapping was restricted to variants that overlapped with protein-coding Ensembl genes (40). All essential data were retrieved from Ensembl variation.

# 2.5 Heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy

The heterogeneity was assessed using Cochran's Q test, with results considered heterogeneous if P < 0.05. I<sup>2</sup> (I-squared) was also used to measure heterogeneity, indicating the proportion of variation due to heterogeneity in total variation (34). The range for I<sup>2</sup> is from 0% to 100%, with larger values indicating higher levels of heterogeneity. The formula for calculating I = 2(Q - Q\_df)/Q. For pleiotropy analysis, the intercept term of MR-Egger's method was utilized, while leave-one-out analysis was employed for sensitivity analysis. IVs were deemed non-pleiotropic when the intercept term of the MR-Egger regression model equaled zero (P > 0.05) (41). To conduct leave-one-out analysis on IVs, each SNP was gradually removed and the remaining SNPs were re-analyzed to observe their individual effects (42).

# **3** Results

## 3.1 IVs screening

After undergoing multiple screenings, the dataset of PCOS, EMs, and FI associated with metabolites ultimately comprised 13,615 SNPs. Supplementary Table 1 presents the basic information of select SNPs, while the remaining SNPs exhibit similar characteristics. The F-statistic for each individual SNP ranged from 17.64 to 1294.286 (mean: 26.468), suggesting that weak instrumental variables were unlikely to significantly impact the causal association. See the Supplementary Table 1 for more details.

# 3.2 The analysis of causal relationship

We conducted a comprehensive MR analysis to assess the causal relationships between each screened metabolite and conditions with PCOS, EMs, and FI (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 1). The IVW analysis results demonstrated that substances with confidence intervals that do not cross the line of no effect and have a p-value less than 0.05 are considered to have a statistically significant association with the outcome (Figure 3).

In PCOS (Figure 2A), the analysis revealed significant associations across a range of metabolite classes, including amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, nucleotides, and peptides. Phenylacetate, an amino acid, exhibited a notable association with an increased risk of PCOS (OR = 4.439, 95% CI: 1.070-18.417, p = 0.0401). In the carbohydrate category, glucose showed a protective effect (OR = 0.058, 95% CI: 0.005-0.678, p = 0.0232), while glycerate and mannitol were linked to varying effects on PCOS risk. Lipid metabolites, particularly 1-oleoylglycerophosphocholine and epiandrosterone sulfate, were significantly associated with PCOS, underscoring their potential roles in its pathogenesis. Additionally, nucleotide uridine and peptides like pyroglutamylglycine also showed significant associations, suggesting their involvement in PCOS development.

For Endometriosis (Figure 2B), our findings indicated significant associations with amino acids such as creatinine and leucine, pointing to a potential influence on EMs risk (OR = 4.425, 95% CI: 1.281-15.287, p = 0.0187 for creatinine). Carbohydrates like mannose and energy metabolites such as acetylphosphate also demonstrated significant links to EMs. Among lipids, several metabolites including 1-eicosatrienoylglycerophosphocholine and 4-androsten-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate 1 showed associations with



## FIGURE 2

Forest plots of MR-estimated effects of plasma metabolites on PCOS, endometriosis, and female infertility risk. Image depicts a comprehensive Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to assess the association between plasma metabolites and the risk of developing common reproductive endocrine disorders: polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in (**A**), endometriosis in (**B**), and female infertility (FI) in (**C**). For each disorder, forest plots illustrate the estimated effects (odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals) of the metabolite levels, with the number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) utilized as instrumental variables (nSNP) presented alongside. A significant association is denoted by P values that breach the threshold of statistical significance. The effect size for each metabolite is visually captured through the width of the horizontal lines (confidence intervals).

|   | Exposure   | Nsnp  |   |       | (               | DR(95% CI)  | Pvalue   |
|---|--|---|---|-------|-----------------|---|--|
|   | glycerate  | 11  |   |       | $\rightarrow 1$ | 7.181 (1.015-290.712)   | 0.049  |
|   | 1-oleoylglycerophosphocholine  | e 12  |   |       | $\rightarrow 1$ | 6.147 (1.372-190.080)   | 0.027  |
|   | pyroglutamylglycine  | 3   |   |       | <b>→</b> 5      | .367 (1.392-20.685)   | 0.015  |
|   | phenylacetate  | 8   |   |       | —→ 4            | .439 (1.070-18.417)   | 0.040  |
|   | X-12990  | 11  |   |       | —→ 4            | .260 (1.074-16.901)   | 0.039  |
|   | epiandrosterone sulfate  | 11  |   |       | $\rightarrow 2$ | .938 (1.348-6.406)  | 0.007  |
|   | mannitol   | 12  | -   |       |                 | .388 (0.207-0.729)  | 0.003  |
|   | gamma-glutamylisoleucine*  |   | -   |       |                 | .202 (0.041-0.986)  | 0.048  |
|   | glucose  |   | •   |       |                 | 0.058 (0.005-0.678)   | 0.023  |
|   | uridine  | 18  |   |       |                 | .032 (0.001-0.961)  | 0.047  |
|   |  | (   | 0 1 2   | 3 4   | 5 6             |   |  |
| В | Exposure   |   | Nsnp  |       |                 | OR(95% CI)  | Pvalue   |
|   | X-11422  |   | 5   | i —   |                 | → 5.070 (1.574-16.330   |  |
|   | creatinine   |   | 22  |       | -               | → 4.425 (1.281-15.287   |  |
|   | 15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-r  | nethylpalm  | nitate) 14  |       |                 | 2.151 (1.042-4.439)   | 0.038  |
|   | mannose  |   | 12  |       | _               | 1.905 (1.020-3.558)   |  |
|   | 1-eicosatrienoylglycerophosphocho  |   | 14  |       |                 | 1.898 (1.110-3.243)   |  |
|   | 4-androsten-3beta,17beta-diol disul  | fate 1*   | 17  | -     |                 | 1.363 (1.102-1.685)   |  |
|   | X-11445  |   | 13  |       |                 | 1.328 (1.002-1.760)   |  |
|   | 3-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA)<br>1-linoleoylglycerol (1-monolinoleir  |   | 9<br>13   | _     |                 | 0.829 (0.695-0.988)<br>0.686 (0.482-0.975)  |  |
|   | epiandrosterone sulfate  | 9   | 13  | -     |                 | 0.681 (0.472-0.982)   |  |
|   | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphoethanola  | mine  | 25  |       |                 | 0.635 (0.434-0.930)   |  |
|   | leucylleucine  | linne   | 9   | -8    |                 | 0.542 (0.335-0.877)   |  |
|   | leucine  |   | 149   | -     |                 | 0.497 (0.266-0.931)   |  |
|   | X-04499  |   | 20  | -     |                 | 0.379 (0.188-0.764)   | 0.007  |
|   | acetylphosphate  |   | 15  | -     |                 | 0.162 (0.036-0.724)   | 0.017  |
|   |  |   |   | 0 1 2 | 3 4             | 5 6   |  |
| С | Exposure   |   | Nsnp  | ·     |                 | <b>OR(95% CI)</b>   | Pvalue   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol  | ine 2   | .8  |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)   | 0.012  |
| C | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine   | ine 2<br>* 1  | 28<br>7   |       |                 | $ \rightarrow 3.215 (1.295-7.979) - 2.738 (1.323-5.670) $   | 0.012<br>0.007   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine <sup>3</sup><br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin  | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1  | 28<br>7<br>2  |       |                 | $ \rightarrow 3.215 (1.295-7.979) - 2.738 (1.323-5.670) 2.416 (1.274-4.582) $   | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007  |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine <sup>3</sup><br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1<br>{   | 28<br>7<br>2<br>8   |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>- 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)  | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine <sup>3</sup><br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1<br>{   | 28<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5  |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>- 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)   | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019<br>0.002  |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine'<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1<br>5<br>5<br>1   | 28<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1   |       |                 | <ul> <li>→ 3.215 (1.295-7.979)</li> <li>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)</li> <li>2.416 (1.274-4.582)</li> <li>2.079 (1.129-3.828)</li> <li>2.077 (1.301-3.315)</li> <li>1.628 (1.127-2.353)</li> </ul>  | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019<br>0.002<br>0.009   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoii<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1<br>\$<br>1<br>0  | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6   |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)   | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019<br>0.002<br>0.009<br>0.024  |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine'<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoii<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>nositol* 1<br>\$<br>2<br>1<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>1  | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9  |       |                 | <ul> <li>→ 3.215 (1.295-7.979)</li> <li>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)</li> <li>2.416 (1.274-4.582)</li> <li>2.079 (1.129-3.828)</li> <li>2.077 (1.301-3.315)</li> <li>1.628 (1.127-2.353)</li> <li>1.619 (1.067-2.456)</li> <li>1.431 (1.007-2.033)</li> </ul>  | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019<br>0.002<br>0.009<br>0.024<br>0.024   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate  | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>{<br>{<br>  | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br>8  |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>- 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)   | 0.012         0.007         0.007         0.019         0.002         0.009         0.024         0.045  |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate<br>isovalerylcarnitine   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>7<br>1<br>8<br>8<br>1<br>1  | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br>8<br><b>-</b><br>9<br>9<br><b>-</b>                            |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)  | 0.012<br>0.007<br>0.007<br>0.019<br>0.002<br>0.009<br>0.024<br>0.045<br>0.041<br>0.004   |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate  | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{}}}} * * 1}}}}}}}<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{}}}}<br>{<br>{}}}<br>{<br>{}}} | 88<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br>8<br><b>-</b><br>9<br>9<br><b>-</b><br>1<br><b>-</b>          |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)<br>0.525 (0.291-0.945)   | 0.012           0.007           0.007           0.019           0.002           0.009           0.024           0.045           0.041           0.004           0.002                          |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate<br>isovalerylcarnitine   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{}}}} * * 1}}}}}}}<br>{<br>{<br>{<br>{}}}}<br>{<br>{}}}<br>{<br>{}}} | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br>8<br><b>-</b><br>9<br>9<br><b>-</b>                            |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)<br>0.525 (0.291-0.945)<br>0.402 (0.187-0.862)                        | <ul> <li>0.012</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>0.024</li> <li>0.045</li> <li>0.041</li> <li>0.004</li> <li>0.032</li> <li>0.019</li> </ul> |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine*<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate<br>isovalerylcarnitine<br>2-hydroxyglutarate   | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>1<br>1<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$                                    | 88<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br>8<br><b>-</b><br>9<br>9<br><b>-</b><br>1<br><b>-</b>          |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)<br>0.525 (0.291-0.945)   | <ul> <li>0.012</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>0.024</li> <li>0.045</li> <li>0.041</li> <li>0.004</li> <li>0.032</li> <li>0.019</li> </ul> |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine <sup>3</sup><br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate<br>isovalerylcarnitine<br>2-hydroxyglutarate<br>glucose                      | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>\$<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>6<br>1<br>1<br>3<br>3<br>55n3)   | 8<br>7<br>2<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>9<br>8<br><b>•</b><br>•<br>9<br><b>•</b><br>•<br>1<br><b>•</b><br>•<br>• |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)<br>0.525 (0.291-0.945)<br>0.402 (0.187-0.862)                        | <ul> <li>0.012</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>0.024</li> <li>0.045</li> <li>0.041</li> <li>0.032</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.025</li> </ul> |
| С | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphochol<br>2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine'<br>1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoin<br>7-methylguanine<br>ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR*<br>glycylvaline<br>hexadecanedioate<br>phenylacetate<br>isovalerylcarnitine<br>2-hydroxyglutarate<br>glucose<br>docosapentaenoate (n3 DPA; 22 | ine 2<br>* 1<br>hositol* 1<br>\$<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>6<br>1<br>1<br>3<br>3<br>55n3)   | 88       7       2       88       55       1       66       9   |       |                 | → 3.215 (1.295-7.979)<br>− 2.738 (1.323-5.670)<br>2.416 (1.274-4.582)<br>2.079 (1.129-3.828)<br>2.077 (1.301-3.315)<br>1.628 (1.127-2.353)<br>1.619 (1.067-2.456)<br>1.431 (1.007-2.033)<br>0.580 (0.344-0.978)<br>0.574 (0.394-0.836)<br>0.525 (0.291-0.945)<br>0.402 (0.187-0.862)<br>0.400 (0.210-0.761) | <ul> <li>0.012</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.007</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>0.024</li> <li>0.045</li> <li>0.041</li> <li>0.032</li> <li>0.019</li> <li>0.025</li> </ul> |

MR fir strength of the associ for polycystic ovary syndrome, EMs for endometriosis, and FI for female infertility), facilitating a comparative overview of metabolites across different outcomes. (B) is a bubble plot, showcasing the odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding P values (-log10 scale) for each significant metabolite's influence on the disease states. The size of each bubble represents the number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (nSNP) used as instrumental variables, thus reflecting the genetic weight of the analysis. The color gradient represents the significance levels, with cooler blue tones denoting stronger associations and warmer red tones suggesting weaker statistical significance.

EMs risk, highlighting the complexity of lipid metabolism in EMs etiology. The analysis further identified significant associations with other lipid metabolites, emphasizing their potential regulatory roles in EMs.

In the context of FI (Figure 2C), isovalerylcarnitine, an amino acid, was inversely associated with FI (OR = 0.574, 95% CI: 0.3940.836, p = 0.0038), suggesting a protective role against insulin resistance. Carbohydrates and lipids also displayed significant associations with FI levels. Specifically, glucose showed an inverse relationship, while various lipid metabolites such as 1arachidonoylglycerophosphoinositol and docosapentaenoate were linked to FI alterations, indicating their importance in insulin

metabolism and sensitivity. Additionally, nucleotides and peptides demonstrated significant associations, further underscoring the multifaceted nature of genetic influences on FI levels.

We summarized a comprehensive information of the metabolite classifications (classified as amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, nucleotides, and peptides) associated with three diseases, as well as the number of SNPs and their causality (Table 2).

The detailed list provides supplementary information for SNP annotation in metabolites of imparity, and it uncovers genetic loci where metabolites exert an impact on PCOS, EMs, and FI (Supplementary Table 2).

## 3.3 Sensitivity testing

In our study employing MR to assess the impact of specific metabolites on the risk of PCOS, EMs, and alterations in FI levels, we evaluated outcomes across various metabolite classes. The results are organized by disease condition, including assessments of heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy, which are crucial for understanding the robustness and specificity of the associations identified.

We summarized the analysis of MR heterogeneity and directional pleiotropy in metabolites and outcome variables associated with three diseases (Table 3, Supplementary Figure 2, 3). The identified SNPs did not exhibit a statistically significant impact on the estimates of causal association.

For PCOS, heterogeneity, as measured by  $I^2(\%)$  and Cochran's Q, varied across metabolites, with phenylacetate (amino acid) showing relatively low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 13\%$ ) but a significant horizontal pleiotropy p-value (Egger intercept p-value = 0.6826). Other notable findings include glucose (carbohydrate) with an  $I^2$  of 11% and no significant pleiotropy (Egger intercept p-value = 0.3449), and 1-oleoylglycerophosphocholine (lipid) with  $I^2 = 7\%$  and a marginally non-significant pleiotropy p-value (Egger intercept p-value = 0.1477). These results suggest a varied landscape of genetic instruments' effects on PCOS risk, with some metabolites showing more stable and specific associations than others.

In EMs, creatinine (amino acid) exhibited moderate heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 15\%$ ) and no significant pleiotropy (Egger intercept p-value = 0.6733). A high level of heterogeneity was noted for leucine (amino acid) with an  $I^2$  of 9% and a borderline significant pleiotropy (Egger intercept p-value = 0.1682), suggesting the need for cautious interpretation. The lipid metabolite 1-linoleoylglycerol (1-monolinolein) showed a notable  $I^2$  of 21% and a pleiotropy p-value nearing significance (Egger intercept p-value = 0.0781), indicating potential pleiotropic effects influencing its association with EMs.

For alterations in FI, isovalerylcarnitine (amino acid) had a low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 5\%$ ) and showed no evidence of significant horizontal pleiotropy (Egger intercept p-value = 0.9512), suggesting a more direct association with FI. Conversely, phenylacetate (amino acid) and glucose (carbohydrate) displayed higher heterogeneity but also no significant pleiotropy, highlighting the complexity of these associations.

Furthermore, the robustness of our findings was further validated by a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 4.

## 4 Discussion

This study represents a pioneering endeavor to unveil the intricate connections between metabolites and REDs from a metabolomics perspective. By employing MR analysis, we have identified a combined total of 39 metabolites (10 for PCOS, 15 for EMs, and 14 for FI) across various classes that are significantly associated with conditions. Through the process of gene annotation, it has been shown that there are potentially 442 different types of genes that could be linked to female REDs (Supplementary Table 2). This work is the first to use MR analysis to establish a causal link between metabolites and RED. These findings not only enrich our understanding of the metabolic underpinnings of RED but also pave the way for novel diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.

The Global Burden of Disease Study 2021 highlights infertility as an escalating global health challenge marked by rising prevalence and notable regional disparities (2). Identifying the causes of infertility is essential for devising effective strategies to mitigate its impact worldwide. Exploring these causes from an omics and metabolic perspective could provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets (43, 44). Many recent studies have focused on the significance of metabolites in female reproductive endocrine diseases. Li et al. discovered that mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP), a byproduct of DEHP, can disrupt ovarian function by causing irregularities in the 17βhydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (17β-HSD) signaling pathway. This study sought to validate this idea in living organisms by conducting experiments on adult female Wistar rats (45). Li et al. conducted a study on the developmental abnormalities of the ovary in quail caused by di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and its metabolite MEHP. They emphasized the receptor-mediated signaling pathway through which these metabolites hinder estradiol production and disrupt the hypothalamic-pituitaryovarian axis (46). Additionally, Charifson et al. suggested that PCOS could be attributed to a mismatch between genetic variations that developed in physically active subsistence settings and the sedentary industrialized surroundings of modern times (47). In their study, Chu et al. examined the impact of continuous exposure to light on the disruption of circadian rhythm and its implications on reproductive, metabolic, and gut microbiome abnormalities resembling those seen in PCOS in a rat model. The study highlights the significance of circadian rhythm in the development of PCOS (48). In addition, Mukhopadhyay et al. conducted a review on the relationship between bisphenol A (BPA) and the risk of PCOS. Their focus was on how BPA can change the expression of genes that play a role in regulating hormones, which are often linked to the symptoms of PCOS (49). Ding et al. emphasized the importance of comprehending the impacts of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) on female reproductive health, particularly in relation to ovarian aging (50). To summarize, the data indicates that metabolites, namely those originating from phthalates and BPA, have a substantial impact on female reproductive endocrine disorders such as PCOS. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of the processes by which these metabolites interfere with endocrine pathways and affect ovarian function is essential for the development of successful treatments

## TABLE 2 MR results of causal links.

| Data<br>source | Classification | Classification                                     | Nsnp | Methods | OR (95%CI)                | P-value |
|----------------|----------------|--|------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
|                | Amino acid     | phenylacetate                                      | 8    |         | 4.439(1.070-18.417)       | 0.0401  |
|                | Carbohydrate   | glucose  | 33   |         | 0.058(0.005-0.678)        | 0.0232  |
|                | Carbohydrate   | glycerate  | 11   | -       | 17.181<br>(1.015-290.712) | 0.0488  |
|                | Carbohydrate   | mannitol   | 12   | IVW     | 0.388(0.207-0.729)        | 0.0032  |
| PCOS           | Lipid          | 1-oleoylglycerophosphocholine                      | 12   |         | 16.147<br>(1.372-190.080) | 0.0270  |
|                | Lipid          | epiandrosterone sulfate                            | 11   |         | 2.938(1.348-6.406)        | 0.0067  |
|                | Lipid          | Lipid X-12990                                      |      | -       | 4.260(1.074-16.901)       | 0.0393  |
|                | Nucleotide     | uridine  | 18   | -       | 0.032(0.001-0.961)        | 0.0474  |
|                | Peptide        | gamma-glutamylisoleucine                           | 22   | -       | 0.202(0.041-0.986)        | 0.0480  |
|                | Peptide        | pyroglutamylglycine                                | 3    | -       | 5.367(1.392-20.685)       | 0.0146  |
|                | Amino acid     | creatinine   | 22   |         | 4.425(1.281-15.287)       | 0.0187  |
|                | Amino acid     | leucine  | 149  | -       | 0.497(0.266-0.931)        | 0.0289  |
|                | Amino acid     | X-04499  | 20   | -       | 0.379(0.188-0.764)        | 0.0066  |
|                | Carbohydrate   | mannose  | 12   | -       | 1.905(1.020-3.558)        | 0.0430  |
|                | Energy         | acetylphosphate                                    | 15   | -       | 0.162(0.036-0.724)        | 0.0171  |
|                | Lipid          | 1-eicosatrienoylglycerophosphocholine              | 14   |         | 1.898(1.110-3.243)        | 0.0191  |
|                | Lipid          | 1-linoleoylglycerol (1-monolinolein)               | 13   |         | 0.686(0.482-0.975)        | 0.0356  |
| EMs            | Lipid          | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphoethanolamine 25           |      | IVW     | 0.635(0.434-0.930)        | 0.0197  |
| 21125          | Lipid          | 15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate) | 14   |         | 2.151(1.042-4.439)        | 0.0383  |
|                | Lipid          | 3-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA)                           | 9    | -       | 0.829(0.695-0.988)        | 0.0365  |
|                | Lipid          | 4-androsten-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate 1          | 17   | -       | 1.363(1.102-1.685)        | 0.0042  |
|                | Lipid          | epiandrosterone sulfate                            | 10   | -       | 0.681(0.472-0.982)        | 0.0398  |
|                | Lipid          | X-11445  | 13   | -       | 1.328(1.002-1.760)        | 0.0487  |
|                | Nucleotide     | X-11422  |      | -       | 5.070(1.574-16.330)       | 0.0065  |
|                | Peptide        | Peptide leucylleucine                              |      | -       | 0.542(0.335-0.877)        | 0.0126  |
|                | Amino acid     | isovalerylcarnitine                                | 19   |         | 0.574(0.394-0.836)        | 0.0038  |
|                | Amino acid     | phenylacetate                                      | 8    | -       | 0.580(0.344-0.978)        | 0.0408  |
|                | Carbohydrate   | glucose  | 33   | -       | 0.402(0.187-0.862)        | 0.0193  |
|                | Lipid          | 1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoinositol               | 12   | -       | 2.416(1.274-4.582)        | 0.0069  |
|                | Lipid          | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphocholine                   | 28   | -       | 3.215(1.295-7.979)        | 0.0118  |
| FI             | Lipid          | 2-hydroxyglutarate                                 | 11   | IVW     | 0.525(0.291-0.945)        | 0.0317  |
|                | Lipid          | 2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine                      | 17   | -       | 2.738(1.323-5.670)        | 0.0067  |
|                | Lipid          | docosapentaenoate (n3 DPA; 22:5n3)                 | 7    | -       | 0.400(0.210-0.761)        | 0.0053  |
|                | Lipid          | hexadecanedioate                                   | 19   | -       | 1.431(1.007-2.033)        | 0.0454  |
|                | Lipid          | margarate (17:0)                                   | 6    | -       | 0.321(0.122-0.841)        | 0.0207  |
|                | Nucleotide     | 7-methylguanine                                    | 8    | -       | 2.079(1.129-3.828)        | 0.0187  |

(Continued)

## TABLE 2 Continued

| Data<br>source | Classification | Classification    | Nsnp | Methods | OR (95%CI)         | P-value |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|                | Peptide        | ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR | 5    |         | 2.077(1.301-3.315) | 0.0022  |
|                | Peptide        | DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR   | 11   |         | 1.628(1.127-2.353) | 0.0095  |
|                | Peptide        | glycylvaline      | 6    |         | 1.619(1.067-2.456) | 0.0236  |

MR, Mendelian Randomization; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; EMs, endometriosis; FI, female infertility; IVW, inversed-variance weighted; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

and interventions for these disorders (51). Additional investigation is necessary to enhance our comprehension of the intricate problems related to female reproductive health, including the impacts of EDCs, circadian rhythm disruption, and toxicological data from biomonitoring investigations (50, 52).

From the perspective of pathophysiological mechanisms, the growth of endometriotic deposits is dependent on the presence of estradiol, which is supplied by both systemic hormones and enhanced expression of aromatase and steroidogenic acute regulatory protein locally. Additionally, the expression of 17βhydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 is reduced by endometriotic lesions, further contributing to the proliferation of these deposits (53). Furthermore, lesions exhibit heightened expression of estrogen receptor  $\beta$  (54). Moreover, the inhibition of progesterone receptor B in both normal and abnormal endometrial tissue is intensified in abnormal endometrial stromal cells due to epigenetic differential methylation of PR-B, HOX, and GATA family transcription-factor genes (55). This leads to disrupted progesterone signaling, commonly referred to as "progesterone resistance (56). PCOS is associated with adrenocortical steroidogenic dysfunction, as evidenced by research (57) Around one third of women with PCOS show elevated levels of dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, which is an androgen metabolite or prohormone primarily produced by the adrenal cortex. Insulin resistance and compensatory hyperinsulinemia are crucial factors in the development of PCOS. Excessive insulin, in combination with LH, enhances the production of androgens by ovarian theca cells (58). Additionally, it reduces the production of sex hormonebinding globulin in the liver, together with the excess of androgens (59). The cause of the reduced insulin sensitivity in PCOS is not yet fully understood. However, it is believed that the different genetic and epigenetic abnormalities contribute to impairments in the production and function of the main glucose transporter in cells, known as glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4), as well as impairments in the disposal of glucose through insulin. Patients with PCOS also exhibit abnormalities in insulin-mediated lipolysis. Furthermore, the level of insulin resistance in PCOS is exacerbated by a condition of persistent mild inflammation, partly caused by aberrant production and function of adipocytokines. On the other hand, there is stronger evidence indicating that women with PCOS have adipose tissue that shows different defects that promote an inflammatory or insulin resistant condition. These defects include dysfunction in adipocytokines, dysregulation of free fatty acid metabolism, and abnormalities in epigenetics that affect GLUT4 function (57). Further investigation is required to rule out or confirm clinical evaluation using more targeted hormonal

testing. Thyroid problems, hyperprolactinemia, and nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia are the main conditions to consider. These can be ruled out by measuring thyroid-stimulating hormone, prolactin, and 17-hydroxyprogesterone, respectively. Nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia caused by abnormalities in CYP21A2 affects a percentage ranging from 1 to 10% of women with excessive hair growth, depending on their ethnic background. This condition is the most prevalent autosomal-recessive ailment in the human population. Nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia caused by abnormalities in CYP21A2 affects a percentage ranging from 1 to 10% of women with excessive hair growth, depending on their ethnic background. This condition is the most prevalent autosomalrecessive ailment in the human population. Additional evidence indicates that promptly identifying the condition and administering corticosteroid treatment may enhance the chances of achieving a successful reproductive outcome (60). Therefore, it is recommended to evaluate any woman exhibiting signs, symptoms, or complaints of hyperandrogenism, regardless of severity, for nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. Practitioners must be aware that it is not feasible to clinically identify or even make an educated guess about the diagnosis of nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. It is absolutely necessary to evaluate the levels of 17-hydroxyprogesterone (61).

There are multiple benefits to our study. As far as we know, this is the initial MR investigation conducted to assess the causal connection between metabolites and REDs. Our current focus is on conducting exploratory research with the specific aim of enhancing our ability to support future metabolomics studies and offering valuable insights for future endeavors. Metabolomics is a scientific discipline that seeks to understand how living systems react to genetic alterations, environmental shifts, or disease conditions by examining the entire collection of metabolites within a specific biological setting, providing a precise representation of the biochemical processes occurring at the moment of sample collection (62). By integrating advanced approaches such as extracellular vesicles, liquid biopsies, and single-cell metabolomics with our understanding of metabolites, we can further refine our analyses, potentially leading to early diagnosis and targeted early treatment of REDs (63-66). Thus, we anticipate that our study can guide the analysis of metabolites and genomes, enabling researchers to focus on fundamental experiments and develop more effective therapeutic strategies.

But there also are certain constraints that need to be addressed. First, identifying genetic variations that are strongly linked to specific metabolites and can serve as instrumental factors can be a complex task. Metabolites can be altered by a combination of genetic factors and environmental conditions. These genetic variants account for only

|          | Classification | Metabolites  |                    | Heterog     |         | Horizontal Pleiotropy |        |         |
|----------|----------------|--|--------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|--------|---------|
| Outcomes |                |  | l <sup>2</sup> (%) | Cochran's Q | P-value | Egger<br>intercept    | SE     | P-value |
| -        | Amino acid     | phenylacetate                                      | 13                 | 8.0772      | 0.3258  | 0.0241                | 0.0562 | 0.6826  |
|          | Carbohydrate   | glucose  | 11                 | 36.1044     | 0.2826  | 0.0260                | 0.0271 | 0.3449  |
|          | Carbohydrate   | glycerate  | 0                  | 7.7892      | 0.6494  | -0.0670               | 0.0638 | 0.3206  |
|          | Carbohydrate   | mannitol   | 0                  | 8.1490      | 0.6999  | -0.0945               | 0.0628 | 0.1634  |
| PCOS     | Lipid          | 1-oleoylglycerophosphocholine                      | 7                  | 11.8449     | 0.3754  | 0.0737                | 0.0470 | 0.1477  |
| PC03     | Lipid          | epiandrosterone sulfate                            | 25                 | 13.3746     | 0.2035  | 0.0335                | 0.0436 | 0.4625  |
|          | Lipid          | X-12990  | 0                  | 7.8790      | 0.6407  | -0.0140               | 0.0709 | 0.8479  |
| -        | Nucleotide     | uridine  | 0                  | 14.9945     | 0.5959  | 0.0195                | 0.0584 | 0.7431  |
|          | Peptide        | gamma-glutamylisoleucine                           | 0                  | 18.5263     | 0.6155  | -0.0287               | 0.0345 | 0.4160  |
|          | Peptide        | pyroglutamylglycine                                | 0                  | 0.9812      | 0.6122  | 0.1157                | 0.1383 | 0.5563  |
|          | Amino acid     | creatinine   | 15                 | 24.6618     | 0.2621  | -0.0053               | 0.0123 | 0.6733  |
| -        | Amino acid     | leucine  | 9                  | 163.4983    | 0.1815  | 0.0050                | 0.0036 | 0.1682  |
|          | Amino acid     | X-04499  | 6                  | 20.2751     | 0.3782  | 0.0188                | 0.0211 | 0.3838  |
|          | Carbohydrate   | mannose  | 0                  | 5.5446      | 0.9020  | 0.0197                | 0.0144 | 0.2024  |
|          | Energy         | acetylphosphate                                    | 18                 | 17.1042     | 0.2507  | 0.0058                | 0.0314 | 0.8567  |
|          | Lipid          | 1-eicosatrienoylglycerophosphocholine              | 17                 | 15.7089     | 0.2652  | -0.0284               | 0.0178 | 0.1360  |
|          | Lipid          | 1-linoleoylglycerol (1-monolinolein)               | 21                 | 15.2416     | 0.2285  | 0.0357                | 0.0184 | 0.0781  |
| EMs      | Lipid          | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphoethanolamine              | 0                  | 17.0084     | 0.8483  | 0.0052                | 0.0068 | 0.4529  |
|          | Lipid          | 15-methylpalmitate (isobar with 2-methylpalmitate) | 21                 | 16.3602     | 0.2302  | 0.0118                | 0.0140 | 0.4175  |
|          | Lipid          | 3-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA)                           | 15                 | 9.4153      | 0.3085  | -0.0293               | 0.0130 | 0.0585  |
|          | Lipid          | 4-androsten-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate 1          | 0                  | 8.2044      | 0.9425  | -0.0020               | 0.0101 | 0.8475  |
| -        | Lipid          | epiandrosterone sulfate                            | 0                  | 4.1942      | 0.8982  | 0.0016                | 0.0380 | 0.9668  |
|          | Lipid          | X-11445  | 35                 | 18.5627     | 0.0996  | 0.0079                | 0.0307 | 0.8013  |
|          | Nucleotide     | X-11422  | 0                  | 0.4459      | 0.9785  | 0.0016                | 0.0354 | 0.9658  |
|          | Peptide        | leucylleucine                                      | 0                  | 1.9940      | 0.9812  | -0.0076               | 0.0201 | 0.7172  |
|          | 1              |  |                    |             |         |                       |        | (Contin |

Frontiers in Endocrinology

|          | Classification | Metabolites                          |                    | Heterog     | Horizontal Pleiotropy |                    |        |         |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| Outcomes |                |                                      | l <sup>2</sup> (%) | Cochran's Q | P-value               | Egger<br>intercept | SE     | P-value |
|          | Amino acid     | isovalerylcarnitine                  | 5                  | 18.8542     | 0.4009                | 0.0005             | 0.0084 | 0.9512  |
|          | Amino acid     | phenylacetate                        | 29                 | 9.8058      | 0.1998                | 0.0024             | 0.0208 | 0.9122  |
|          | Carbohydrate   | glucose                              | 0                  | 25.5290     | 0.7841                | 0.0004             | 0.0084 | 0.9602  |
|          | Lipid          | 1-arachidonoylglycerophosphoinositol | 0                  | 10.7537     | 0.4641                | -0.0144            | 0.0140 | 0.3279  |
|          | Lipid          | 1-palmitoylglycerophosphocholine     | 0                  | 24.6588     | 0.5936                | 0.0149             | 0.0192 | 0.4440  |
|          | Lipid          | 2-hydroxyglutarate                   | 0                  | 7.8895      | 0.6396                | -0.0163            | 0.0151 | 0.3083  |
| EY.      | Lipid          | 2-oleoylglycerophosphocholine        | 0                  | 15.3490     | 0.4992                | 0.0311             | 0.0193 | 0.1269  |
| FI       | Lipid          | docosapentaenoate (n3 DPA; 22:5n3)   | 0                  | 2.4955      | 0.8690                | -0.0034            | 0.0326 | 0.9204  |
|          | Lipid          | hexadecanedioate                     | 27                 | 24.7590     | 0.1317                | -0.0025            | 0.0129 | 0.8468  |
|          | Lipid          | margarate (17:0)                     | 0                  | 3.9381      | 0.5584                | 0.0052             | 0.0440 | 0.9108  |
|          | Nucleotide     | 7-methylguanine                      | 0                  | 6.5614      | 0.4759                | -0.0228            | 0.0196 | 0.2897  |
|          | Peptide        | ADpSGEGDFXAEGGGVR                    | 9                  | 4.3992      | 0.3547                | 0.0106             | 0.0317 | 0.7596  |
|          | Peptide        | DSGEGDFXAEGGGVR                      | 0                  | 9.7625      | 0.4616                | 0.0535             | 0.0295 | 0.1025  |
|          | Peptide        | glycylvaline                         | 0                  | 4.4344      | 0.4887                | 0.0322             | 0.0518 | 0.5683  |

MR, Mendelian Randomization; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; EMs, endometriosis; FI, female infertility.

a minor fraction of the variability in the metabolites, which may result in a slight instrument bias and diminish the statistical power of the study. The chosen genetic variants may impact the likelihood of female reproductive endocrine disorders through biological pathways that are not connected to the hypothesis, so contravening the third postulate of MR. Pleiotropy may introduce a bias in the estimated causal effect. Second, the study sample consists of individuals with varied genetic backgrounds. Failing to effectively adjust for population stratification could result in false relationships. This poses a significant challenge when the correlation between genetic variations and metabolites differs among different populations. The genetic variations utilized are predominantly derived from specific groups with European heritage, hence the findings may not be generalizable to individuals with different genetic backgrounds. Therefore, the study's capacity to be applied to a wider population may be restricted. Third, if there is a potential for bidirectional causation between specific metabolites and female reproductive endocrine problems, relying solely on MR may not provide an accurate determination of the actual causal relationship. Furthermore, inaccuracies in detecting metabolite levels may introduce bias in the assessment of causal links, particularly if the measurements of metabolites are inaccurate or affected by batch effects. If individuals in the sample are chosen or omitted based on their disease status or other specific criteria, the study results may not be applicable to the wider population. Subsequent metabolomics investigations should include provisions to tackle these constraints.

This pioneering study marks a significant advancement in understanding the complex interplay between metabolites and RED from a metabolomics standpoint. Through meticulous MR analysis, we've unveiled specific associations across various metabolite classes-including amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, nucleotides, and peptides-with conditions like PCOS, EMs, and FI. These insights not only deepen our comprehension of RED's metabolic foundations but also herald new avenues for diagnosis and treatment. The identification of metabolites as potential biomarkers offers promising pathways for early detection and risk assessment, enabling more precise and personalized management strategies. Furthermore, elucidating these causal relationships opens up possibilities for targeted interventions aimed at correcting metabolic imbalances, thereby offering hope for improved outcomes. The diversity of implicated metabolites underscores the necessity for a personalized medicine approach, tailoring treatments to individual metabolic dysregulations to optimize care. Consequently, this research not only contributes significantly to our understanding of reproductive health but also paves the way for transformative developments in the diagnosis, management, and treatment of reproductive endocrine disorders, spotlighting the critical role of metabolomics in advancing reproductive medicine.

## 5 Conclusion

Our work employed a multivariate two-sample MR analysis using publicly accessible GWAS meta-analysis data to examine the causal connection between metabolites and several RED, such as PCOS, EMs and FI. Ultimately, we conducted a thorough assessment of the potential correlation between the metabolites and REDs. These metabolites and genes could potentially serve as biomarkers and offer valuable insights for future studies on treatment.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by publicly available genome-wide association study summary statistics. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

## Author contributions

F-FL: Writing - review & editing, Writing - original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal Analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. ZW: Writing review & editing, Writing - original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal Analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Q-QY: Writing original draft, Validation, Software, Formal Analysis, Data curation. F-SY: Writing - original draft, Validation, Software, Methodology, Formal Analysis. CX: Writing - original draft, Validation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Data curation. M-TW: Writing - original draft, Validation, Software. Z-JX: Writing original draft, Formal Analysis, Data curation. SC: Writing review & editing, Supervision, Project administration. RG: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. RG was supported partially by the Foundation of Changhai Hospital (No. 2020YXK024).

# Acknowledgments

We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of FinnGen, the UK Biobank study for sharing the genetic data, and we express our sincere gratitude to all the diligent researchers, collaborators, and participants who contributed to the GWAS studies. Some figures were created using BioRender.com, and we have obtained the necessary permissions for publication.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1438079/full#supplementary-material

## References

1. Liang Y, Zeng W, Hou T, Yang H, Wu B, Pan R, et al. Gut microbiome and reproductive endocrine diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1164186

2. GBD 2021 Fertility and Forecasting Collaborators. Global fertility in 204 countries and territories, 1950-2021, with forecasts to 2100: a comprehensive demographic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet.* (2024) 403:2057–99. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00550-6

3. Shi N, Ma H-B. Global trends in polycystic ovary syndrome research: A 10-year bibliometric analysis. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 13. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1027945

4. Horne AW, Missmer SA. Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management of endometriosis. *BMJ*. (2022) 379:e070750. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-070750

5. Dougherty MP, Poch AM, Chorich LP, Hawkins ZA, Xu H, Roman RA, et al. Unexplained female infertility associated with genetic disease variants. *N Engl J Med.* (2023) 388:1055–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2211539

6. Martire FG, Giorgi M, D'Abate C, Colombi I, Ginetti A, Cannoni A, et al. Deep infiltrating endometriosis in adolescence: early diagnosis and possible prevention of disease progression. *J Clin Med.* (2024) 13(1):550. doi: 10.3390/jcm13020550

7. Del Forno S, Cocchi L, Arena A, Pellizzone V, Lenzi J, Raffone A, et al. Effects of pelvic floor muscle physiotherapy on urinary, bowel, and sexual functions in women with deep infiltrating endometriosis: A randomized controlled trial. *Med (Kaunas).* (2023) 60(1):67. doi: 10.3390/medicina60010067

8. Farquhar CM, Bhattacharya S, Repping S, Mastenbroek S, Kamath MS, Marjoribanks J, et al. Female subfertility. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2019) 5:7. doi: 10.1038/s41572-018-0058-8

9. Huddleston HG, Dokras A. Diagnosis and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. JAMA. (2022) 327:274. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.23769

10. Sadeghi HM, Adeli I, Calina D, Docea AO, Mousavi T, Daniali M, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome: A comprehensive review of pathogenesis, management, and drug repurposing. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23(2):583. doi: 10.3390/ijms23020583

11. Saunders PTK, Horne AW. Endometriosis: Etiology, pathobiology, and therapeutic prospects. *Cell.* (2021) 184:2807–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.041

12. Carson SA, Kallen AN. Diagnosis and management of infertility: A review. Jama. (2021) 326:65–76. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.4788

13. Ennab F, Atiomo W. Obesity and female infertility. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. (2023) 89:102336. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2023.102336

14. Pigoni A, Delvecchio G, Squarcina L, Bonivento C, Girardi P, Finos L, et al. Sex differences in brain metabolites in anxiety and mood disorders. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging*. (2020) 305:111196. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2020.111196

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Comprehensive information of all SNPs associated with female infertility, polycystic ovary syndrome, and endometriosis.

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

Detailed annotations for all single nucleotide polymorphisms employed as instrumental variables.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Scatter plot. This scatter plot depicts the correlation between the genetic associations of instrumental variables and the risk of reproductive endocrine disorders, providing a snapshot of the causal effects estimated by MR.

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Funnel plot. The funnel plot visualizes the distribution of effect estimates from instrumental variables against their precision, assessing potential publication bias and asymmetry in the MR analysis for metabolic markers related to reproductive disorders.

### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Forest plot. The forest plot aggregates the effect sizes and confidence intervals for each metabolite's impact on reproductive health outcomes, facilitating a meta-analytic view of their significance and heterogeneity.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Leave-one-out plot. The leave-one-out plot examines the influence of each individual instrumental variable on the overall MR results, ensuring the robustness and reliability of the associations with female infertility, polycystic ovary syndrome, and endometriosis.

15. Yang T, Zhao J, Liu F, Li Y. Lipid metabolism and endometrial receptivity. *Hum Reprod Update*. (2022) 28:858–89. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmac026

16. Sanchez-Garrido MA, Tena-Sempere M. Metabolic dysfunction in polycystic ovary syndrome: Pathogenic role of androgen excess and potential therapeutic strategies. *Mol Metab.* (2020) 35:100937. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2020.01.001

17. Lu J, Ling X, Liu L, Jiang A, Ren C, Lu C, et al. Emerging hallmarks of endometriosis metabolism: A promising target for the treatment of endometriosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res.* (2023) 1870:119381. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2022.119381

18. Westerman R, Kuhnt AK. Metabolic risk factors and fertility disorders: A narrative review of the female perspective. *Reprod BioMed Soc Online*. (2022) 14:66–74. doi: 10.1016/j.rbms.2021.09.002

19. Amini L, Chekini R, Nateghi MR, Haghani H, Jamialahmadi T, Sathyapalan T, et al. The effect of combined vitamin C and vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress markers in women with endometriosis: A randomized, triple-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Pain Res Manag.* (2021) 2021:5529741. doi: 10.1155/2021/5529741

20. Wang Z, Wang Y, Xiong J, Gan X, Bao Y, Jiang A, et al. Causal effects of hypertension on risk of erectile dysfunction: A two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2023) 10:1121340. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1121340

21. Wang S, Liu Y, Wu K, Xia D, Dong X. Osteoarthritis and risk of cardiovascular diseases: A Mendelian randomization study. *Injury*. (2023) 54:1569–76. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2023.03.026

22. Wu F, Huang Y, Hu J, Shao Z. Mendelian randomization study of inflammatory bowel disease and bone mineral density. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:312. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01778-5

23. Long Y, Tang L, Zhou Y, Zhao S, Zhu H. Causal relationship between gut microbiota and cancers: a two-sample Mendelian randomisation study. *BMC Med.* (2023) 21:66. doi: 10.1186/s12916-023-02761-6

24. Li Y, Liu H, Ye S, Zhang B, Li X, Yuan J, et al. The effects of coagulation factors on the risk of endometriosis: a Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Med.* (2023) 21:195. doi: 10.1186/s12916-023-02881-z

25. Qi H, Wen FY, Xie YY, Liu XH, Li BX, Peng WJ, et al. Associations between depressive, anxiety, stress symptoms and elevated blood pressure: Findings from the CHCN-BTH cohort study and a two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. *J Affect Disord.* (2023) 341:176–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.08.086

26. Yu Z, Coresh J, Qi G, Grams M, Boerwinkle E, Snieder H, et al. A bidirectional Mendelian randomization study supports causal effects of kidney function on blood pressure. *Kidney Int.* (2020) 98:708–16. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.04.044

27. Chen Y, Xie Y, Ci H, Cheng Z, Kuang Y, Li S, et al. Plasma metabolites and risk of seven cancers: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study among European descendants. *BMC Med.* (2024) 22:90. doi: 10.1186/s12916-024-03272-8

28. Bull CJ, Bell JA, Murphy N, Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Timpson NJ, et al. Adiposity, metabolites, and colorectal cancer risk: Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:396. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01855-9

29. Shin SY, Fauman EB, Petersen AK, Krumsiek J, Santos R, Huang J, et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. *Nat Genet.* (2014) 46:543–50. doi: 10.1038/ng.2982

30. Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, Karjalainen J, Kurki M, Koshiba S, et al. A crosspopulation atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:1415–24. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00931-x

31. Freeman G, Cowling BJ, Schooling CM. Power and sample size calculations for Mendelian randomization studies using one genetic instrument. *Int J Epidemiol*. (2013) 42:1157–63. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt110

32. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2014) 23:R89–98. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu328

33. Ference BA. How to use Mendelian randomization to anticipate the results of randomized trials. *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39:360–2. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx462

34. Greco MF, Minelli C, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Detecting pleiotropy in Mendelian randomisation studies with summary data and a continuous outcome. *Stat Med.* (2015) 34:2926–40. doi: 10.1002/sim.v34.21

35. Cheng Q, Yang Y, Shi X, Yeung KF, Yang C, Peng H, et al. MR-LDP: a twosample Mendelian randomization for GWAS summary statistics accounting for linkage disequilibrium and horizontal pleiotropy. *NAR Genom Bioinform*. (2020) 2:lqaa028. doi: 10.1093/nargab/lqaa028

36. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:755–64. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr036

37. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2013) 37:658–65. doi: 10.1002/gepi.2013.37.issue-7

38. Burgess S, Bowden J, Fall T, Ingelsson E, Thompson SG. Sensitivity analyses for robust causal inference from mendelian randomization analyses with multiple genetic variants. *Epidemiology*. (2017) 28:30–42. doi: 10.1097/EDE.00000000000559

39. Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:1985–98. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx102

40. Ramírez-Bello J, Jiménez-Morales M. Functional implications of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in protein-coding and non-coding RNA genes in multifactorial diseases. *Gac Med Mex.* (2017) 153:238–50.

41. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

42. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

43. Gu Y, Zhou G, Zhou F, Li Y, Wu Q, He H, et al. Gut and vaginal microbiomes in PCOS: implications for women's health. *Front In Endocrinol.* (2022) 13:808508. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.808508

44. Zhou G, Gu Y, Zhou F, Zhang H, Zhang M, Zhang G, et al. Adipocytes-derived extracellular vesicle-miR-26b promotes apoptosis of cumulus cells and induces polycystic ovary syndrome. *Front In Endocrinol.* (2021) 12:789939. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.789939

45. Li N, Zhou L, Zhu J, Liu T, Ye L. Role of the 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase signalling pathway in di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate-induced ovarian dysfunction: An in vivo study. *Sci Total Environ*. (2020) 712:134406. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134406

46. Li XN, Li HX, Yang TN, Li XW, Huang YQ, Zhu SY, et al. Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate induced developmental abnormalities of the ovary in quail (Coturnix japonica) via disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis. *Sci Total Environ*. (2020) 741:140293. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140293

47. Charifson MA, Trumble BC. Evolutionary origins of polycystic ovary syndrome: An environmental mismatch disorder. *Evol Med Public Health*. (2019) 2019:50–63. doi: 10.1093/emph/eoz011

48. Chu W, Zhai J, Xu J, Li S, Li W, Chen ZJ, et al. Continuous light-induced PCOSlike changes in reproduction, metabolism, and gut microbiota in sprague-dawley rats. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:3145. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03145

49. Mukhopadhyay R, Prabhu NB, Kabekkodu SP, Rai PS. Review on bisphenol A and the risk of polycystic ovarian syndrome: an insight from endocrine and gene expression. *Environ Sci pollut Res Int.* (2022) 29:32631–50. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-19244-5

50. Ding T, Yan W, Zhou T, Shen W, Wang T, Li M, et al. Endocrine disrupting chemicals impact on ovarian aging: Evidence from epidemiological and experimental evidence. *Environ pollut*. (2022) 305:119269. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119269

51. Gu Y, Zhou G, Zhou F, Wu Q, Ma C, Zhang Y, et al. Life modifications and PCOS: old story but new tales. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2022) 13:808898. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.808898

52. Mustieles V, Balogh RK, Axelstad M, Montazeri P, Márquez S, Vrijheid M, et al. Benzophenone-3: Comprehensive review of the toxicological and human evidence with meta-analysis of human biomonitoring studies. *Environ Int.* (2023) 173:107739. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2023.107739

53. Bulun SE. Endometriosis. New Engl J Med. (2009) 360:268–79. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra0804690

54. Bulun SE, Monsavais D, Pavone ME, Dyson M, Xue Q, Attar E, et al. Role of estrogen receptor- $\beta$  in endometriosis. Semin Reprod Med. (2012) 30:39–45. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1299596

55. Dyson MT, Roqueiro D, Monsivais D, Ercan CM, Pavone ME, Brooks DC, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis predicts an epigenetic switch for GATA factor expression in endometriosis. *PloS Genet.* (2014) 10:e1004158. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004158

 Burney RO, Talbi S, Hamilton AE, Vo KC, Nyegaard M, Nezhat CR, et al. Gene expression analysis of endometrium reveals progesterone resistance and candidate susceptibility genes in women with endometriosis. *Endocrinology*. (2007) 148:3814–26. doi: 10.1210/en.2006-1692

57. Azziz R, Carmina E, Chen Z, Dunaif A, Laven JS, Legro RS, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16057. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.57

58. Nestler JE, Powers LP, Matt DW, Steingold KA, Plymate SR, Rittmaster RS, et al. A direct effect of hyperinsulinemia on serum sex hormone-binding globulin levels in obese women with the polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1991) 72:83–9. doi: 10.1210/jcem-72-1-83

59. Nestler JE, Jakubowicz DJ, de Vargas AF, Brik C, Quintero N, Medina F. Insulin stimulates testosterone biosynthesis by human thecal cells from women with polycystic ovary syndrome by activating its own receptor and using inositolglycan mediators as the signal transduction system. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1998) 83:2001–5. doi: 10.1210/jcem.83.6.4886

60. Moran C, Azziz R, Weintrob N, Witchel SF, Rohmer V, Dewailly D, et al. Reproductive outcome of women with 21-hydroxylase-deficient nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2006) 91:3451–6. doi: 10.1210/jc.2006-0062

61. Pall M, Azziz R, Beires J, Pignatelli D. The phenotype of hirsute women: a comparison of polycystic ovary syndrome and 21-hydroxylase-deficient nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. *Fertil Steril*. (2010) 94:684–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.06.025

62. Aderemi AV, Ayeleso AO, Oyedapo OO, Mukwevho E. Metabolomics: A scoping review of its role as a tool for disease biomarker discovery in selected non-communicable diseases. *Metabolites*. (2021) 11:418. doi: 10.3390/metabo11070418

63. Zhou G, Gu Y, Zhou F, Zhang M, Zhang G, Wu L, et al. The emerging roles and therapeutic potential of extracellular vesicles in infertility. *Front In Endocrinol.* (2021) 12:758206. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.758206

64. Nikanjam M, Kato S, Kurzrock R. Liquid biopsy: current technology and clinical applications. J Hematol Oncol. (2022) 15:131. doi: 10.1186/s13045-022-01351-y

65. Barranco I, Salas-Huetos A, Berlanga A, Spinaci M, Yeste M, Ribas-Maynou J. Involvement of extracellular vesicle-encapsulated miRNAs in human reproductive disorders: a systematic review. *Reproduction Fertility Dev.* (2022) 34:751–75. doi: 10.1071/RD21301

66. Zenobi R. Single-cell metabolomics: analytical and biological perspectives. *Science*. (2013) 342:1243259. doi: 10.1126/science.1243259