#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Razia Abdool Gafaar Khammissa, University of Pretoria, South Africa

REVIEWED BY Jasmina D. Debeljak Martacic, University of Belgrade, Serbia Cosmin Mihai Vesa, University of Oradea, Romania

\*CORRESPONDENCE Guofeng Li Marliquofeng@126.com

RECEIVED 08 June 2024 ACCEPTED 13 August 2024 PUBLISHED 29 August 2024

#### CITATION

Huang C, Gao Z, Zhang Y and Li G (2024) Longitudinal study on metabolic abnormalities and diabetes risk in normal-weight japanese adults. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1445934. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1445934

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Huang, Gao, Zhang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Longitudinal study on metabolic abnormalities and diabetes risk in normal-weight japanese adults

Cheng Huang<sup>1</sup>, Zhichao Gao<sup>2</sup>, Yuhang Zhang<sup>3</sup> and Guofeng Li<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Colorectal Surgery, First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopedics, First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

**Background:** Diabetes is a significant global health concern, with a growing prevalence in Japan. Individuals with normal body mass index who are metabolically unhealthy exhibit an elevated risk of diabetes onset. Investigating the relationship between Metabolically Unhealthy Normal-weight (MUNW) status and the risk of diabetes in non-diabetic individual is vital for implementing early preventive strategies.

**Methods:** Using data from the NAGALA cohort, This study categorized 8,194 Japanese adults based on the score of metabolic syndrome (MetS) components they possessed. Cox proportional hazards regression models and multivariate logistic regression were used to assess the relationship between MUNW and the risk of developing diabetes, with analyses stratified by age and sex.

**Results:** During an average follow-up of 7.19 years, 123 participants (1.5%) were diagnosed with diabetes. Among the participants, 766 (9.35%) were classified as MUNW, and 157 (1.92%) as having MetS. Compared to those with no MetS components, individuals with 1, 2, and  $\geq$ 3 MetS components had progressively higher adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for developing diabetes, at 4.56, 8.79, and 25.16, respectively. Further stratified analysis revealed that men aged  $\leq$ 40 years had the highest risk of developing diabetes. For men, the adjusted HRs for having 1, 2, and  $\geq$ 3 MetS components were 5.61, 7.80, and 28.59, respectively, and for participants aged  $\leq$ 40 years, the HRs were 12.31, 25.57, and 129.82, respectively.

**Conclusion:** The prevalence of MUNW in non-diabetic individuals in Japan is 9.35%. The score of MetS components was positively correlated with the risk of diabetes. Early intervention and lifestyle modifications are crucial, especially for MUNW individuals and notably young Japanese men aged  $\leq$ 40 years, for the prevention and management of diabetes.

#### KEYWORDS

metabolically unhealthy normal-weight (MUNW), metabolic syndrome (MetS), Japanese adults, diabetes, longitudinal study

#### Introduction

Diabetes is a serious global health concern of the 21st century and will affect over 570.9 million people worldwide by 2025 (1). It is associated with severe complications like retinopathy, nephropathy, and cardiovascular diseases, leading to significant impacts on health, quality of life, and healthcare expenses (2, 3). East Asia is currently facing a rapidly growing diabetes epidemic that contributes to over 25% of the global diabetes population (4).The global epidemic of obesity and high BMI largely explains the ongoing dramatic increase in the incidence and prevalence of diabetes (5, 6). However, individuals with a normal BMI also can develop diabetes, which is identified as the "metabolically unhealthy normal-weight" (MUNW) phenotype, or "metabolically obese normal weight" (7, 8). Diabetes prevalence is substantial in normal-weight populations, particularly in some Asian countries (13.6-23.5%) (9). At the same BMI, East Asians have 3-5% more body fat than Whites and are more likely to experience adverse metabolic outcomes (10). In Japan, nearly 40% of new-onset diabetes cases occurred in individuals with normal BMI (<22 kg/ m<sup>2</sup>) from 2006-2016 (11). Compared to metabolically healthy overweight individuals, this group is characterized by metabolic abnormalities such as hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance, resulting in a higher risk of diabetes (12). Recognizing the significance of early intervention, it becomes imperative to elucidate the relationship between the MUNW phenotype and the risk of diabetes in normal weight individuals. Understanding this correlation is crucial for early detection and prevention strategies, as it can help identify individuals who are at a higher risk. In this study, a comprehensive analysis was conducted to explore the relationship between Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) component scores and diabetes risk, as well as to assess the prevalence of diabetes among MUNW individuals in Japan.

#### Methods

#### Study design and data acquisition

Data were retrieved from the NAGALA cohort to assess the prevalence of MUNW in Japan and to explore the relationship between the score of MetS components and the risk of diabetes among metabolically abnormal individuals with normal weight. The NAGALA cohort data were made publicly available on the openaccess database Dryad for general use by Okamura et al (13). According to the terms of the Dryad service, all data that are publicly available in their database can be utilized for secondary analysis and are exempt from ethical review. In the article titled "Ectopic fat obesity presents the greatest risk for incident type 2 diabetes: a population-based longitudinal study", Okamura et al. provide a detailed description of the study design and introduce the procedures of the NAGALA cohort study (14). The NAGALA cohort represents an extensive longitudinal investigation aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of utilizing the non-high-density lipoprotein to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio (NHHR) as a predictive tool in diabetes prevention strategies. This study delves into the potential of NHHR as a robust indicator for predicting diabetes onset, aiming to provide healthcare professionals with a more refined approach to identifying individuals at risk. The original NAGALA study included participants ranging from 18 to 79 years old, encompassing a total of 15,464 individuals. Among these, 373 were identified with new-onset diabetes between the years 2004 and 2015. Its primary focus is to deepen the understanding of chronic diseases such as fatty liver disease and diabetes, and their impact on public health. Through long-term tracking and observation of participants, the NAGALA cohort aims to reveal the evolution of patient health status, disease progression, and related factors.

#### Study population

In the original study, a total of 5,480 participants were excluded based on specific criteria. The exclusions included those with missing covariate data (863 individuals, comprising 504 males and 359 females), known liver disease (416 individuals, including 278 males and 138 females), and heavy drinking habits, defined as alcohol intake exceeding 40 grams per day for females and 60 grams per day for males (739 participants, including 635 males and 104 females). Furthermore, participants who reported drug use during the baseline examination (2,321 individuals, including 1,709 males and 612 females), those diagnosed with type 2 diabetes at baseline (323 individuals, including 265 males and 58 females), and those with fasting blood glucose levels above 6.1 mmol/L at baseline screening (808 individuals, including 677 males and 131 females) were also excluded. In the final analysis, 15,464 participants were included, of which 8,430 were males and 7,034 were females. Subsequently, this study further excluded 5,390 participants with a BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or  $\geq$ 24 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, 1,877 participants who had a follow-up interval of less than two years, and an additional 3 participants due to missing data on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). After full exclusion, 8,194 participants (4,268 males and 3,926 females) were included in this study (Figure 1).

#### Diagnostic criteria for diabetes

The diagnosis of diabetes primarily relied on two criteria: first, an elevated fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level of at least 126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L), and second, a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration of no less than 6.5%. Furthermore, during the follow-up period, participants who reported having diabetes were also considered to have the condition. participants were considered

Abbreviations: HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GGT, Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; NCEP ATP III, National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III; MUNW, metabolically unhealthy normal-weight; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; DBP, diastolic pressure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; TG, triglyceride; BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.



as censored either at the date of their diabetes diagnosis or upon the completion of the follow-up period, depending on which event occurred first.

#### The definition of MUNW and MetS

The metabolic status of participants, including factors such as abdominal obesity, HDL-C levels, triglyceride levels, blood pressure, and fasting glucose levels, was defined according to the guidelines established by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III). All participants in our study had a normal body mass index (BMI); therefore, the waist circumference criterion was not utilized. In this study, participants were diagnosed with MetS if they met three or more of the following criteria: 1) Systolic blood pressure (SBP) exceeding 130 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) exceeding 85 mmHg, or the participant receiving hypertension therapy; 2) HDL-C concentrations less than 40 mg/dL (1.04 mmol/L) for males and less than 50 mg/dL (1.30 mmol/L) for females; 3) Serum triglyceride concentrations reaching or surpassing 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L); and 4) FPG concentrations at or above 100.8 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L), or the participant having a previous diagnosis of diabetes. Each met criterion is assigned a score of "1". Accordingly, this study divides participants into four groups based on the cumulative score of MetS components: 0, 1, 2, and  $\geq$ 3. MUNW is characterized by normal-weight individuals (with a BMI between 18.5-24 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) meeting two or more of the same criteria for MetS.

# Statistical analyses

In this study, data analysis was conducted using EmpowerStats software (X&Y Solutions, Inc, Boston, MA). Continuous variables that exhibited a normal distribution were described using the mean and standard deviation. For continuous variables skewed from normality, the median and interquartile range (IQR) were used to represent the data. Categorical variables are represented using proportions or percentages. In comparing continuous variables across different groups, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) is employed if the data are normally distributed and have homogeneous variances. Conversely, the Kruskal-Wallis test is utilized when the data do not follow a normal distribution or when variances are heterogeneous. For categorical variables, comparisons are made using the chi-square test. Cox proportional hazard model was used to assess the relationship between MetS components score and diabetes incidence. Logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between MUNW status and risk factors, reporting odds ratios (95% CI). Stratification analyses were performed based on age groups ( $\leq$ 40, 40-50,  $\geq$ 50 years) and gender (male, female), with interaction testing between subgroups. A two-tailed test was conducted with a significance threshold set at p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### Baseline characteristics of participants

In this study, the research cohort consisted of 8,194 nondiabetic individuals of normal weight, comprising 4,268 males and 3,926 females, with a median age of 43.64 years at baseline (Table 1). During an average follow-up of 7.19 years, 1.5% of the participants (123 individuals) were diagnosed with diabetes. The distribution of MetS components was as follows: 5,273 participants (64.35%) had no components; 2,155 (26.30%) had 1 component; 609 (7.43%) had 2 components; and 157 (1.92%) had at least three components. Additionally, 766 individuals (9.35%) were classified as having a MUNW, while 157 (1.92%) met the criteria for MetS. Incident diabetes diagnoses were observed in 22 participants (0.42%) having no MetS components, 49 (2.27%) with one component, 28 (4.60%) with two components, and 24 (15.29%) with at least three components. The mean ages for these respective groups were 42.51 ± 8.27 years, 45.07 ± 9.09 years, 47.30 ± 8.96 years, and 47.96 ± 8.31 years. As the score of MetS components increased, the participants exhibited a consistent rise in BMI, SBP, DBP, liver enzymes (ALT, AST, GGT), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and fasting plasma glucose (FPG), along with a steady decrease in HDL-C. Significant differences were observed in demographic data (age, BMI, smoking, and drinking status) across varying score of MetS components. Univariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the risk of MUNW was significantly associated with both BMI and age, with odds ratios (ORs) of 1.66 (1.57, 1.76) and 1.05 (1.05, 1.06), respectively. Notably, the risk of diabetes was significantly higher in men compared to women, with an OR of 3.26 (2.74, 3.87). Former smokers exhibited a markedly higher risk of diabetes compared to never smokers, with an OR of 2.26 (1.87, 2.72). Current smokers also had a higher risk compared to never smokers, with an OR of 2.12 (1.77, 2.53). The risk of diabetes was also significantly elevated among light, moderate, and heavy drinkers compared to never drinkers, with ORs of 1.29 (1.03, 1.61), 1.68 (1.32, 2.13), and 2.04 (1.45, 2.87), respectively.

#### Diabetes prevalence and incidence based on the components of MetS at baseline

As illustrated in Figure 2; Table 2, the prevalence, incidence, and associated risk of diabetes escalate in correspondence with the

cumulative score of MetS components. Notably, the data revealed that individuals without any MetS components (Group A, 0 components) exhibited the lowest diabetes prevalence at 0.42%, while those with three or more components exhibited the highest prevalence at 15.29%. Similarly, the incidence rate of diabetes showed a marked increase from 0.58 in those with no component to 21.10 in those with at least three MetS components. Compared to these with no MetS component, participants with 1, 2, and  $\geq 3$ MetS components had progressively higher adjusted HRs of 4.56, 8.79, and 25.16, respectively. In Group B, the prevalence of diabetes was 0.96% among participants without MUNW, compared to 4.60% in those with MUNW but without MetS, and a striking 15.28% in the MetS group. The corresponding diabetes incidence rates were 1.33, 6.49, and 21.10 per 1000 person-years, respectively. After controlling for confounders, the MUNW group demonstrated an increased risk with an adjusted HR of 5.10, the MUNW without MetS group had an adjusted HR of 3.73, and the MetS group had a notably elevated adjusted HR of 10.12 for developing diabetes.

# The influence of age and gender on the association between components of MetS and diabetes risk

The incidence of diabetes, stratified by age and gender, notably escalated in parallel with an increasing score of MetS components (Figure 3). For participants aged  $\geq$ 50 years, the incidence rates were 1.58, 4.68, 6.90, and 19.12 for those with 0, 1, 2, and  $\geq$ 3 MetS components, respectively. For participants aged  $\leq$ 40 years, the incidence rates were 0.12, 1.42, 3.36, and 17.37 for the corresponding categories; while for those aged 40-49 years, the rates were 0.63, 3.67, 8.48, and 24.55. The HRs for individuals aged  $\geq$ 50 years with 1, 2, and at least 3 components were 2.92, 4.66, and 12.28, respectively; for those aged 40-49 years, the HRs were 5.50, 11.59, and 33.68; and for those aged  $\leq$ 40 years, the HRs were 12.31, 25.57, and 129.82, compared to those without any components. These findings underscore the escalating risk of diabetes as the score of MetS components increases, especially in younger age groups.

When stratified by sex, men exhibited higher incidence rates of diabetes compared to women across all age groups. Specifically, for men, the incidence rates were 0.61, 4.05, 5.53, and 21.92 for those with 0, 1, 2, and  $\geq$ 3 MetS components, respectively. In contrast, for women, the rates were 0.55, 1.78, 9.40, and 14.97 for the same categories. Men presenting 1 and 3 MetS components had a markedly increased diabetes incidence relative to women. However, the gender difference was nonsignificant for individuals with 0 and 2 MetS components (P = 0.40). For men, the HRs for 1, 2, and at least 3 components were 5.61, 7.80, and 28.59, respectively; for women, the HRs were 3.08, 14.65, and 21.16. Finally, a significant interaction was found between MetS components and age, whereas no significant interaction was observed between the MetS components and sex.

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics of all participants.

	Number of components					
	0	1	2	≥3	P value	
Participants, n (%)	5273 (64.35%)	2155 (26.30%)	609 (7.43%)	157 (1.92%)		
Sex (M/F)	2404/2869	1280/875	451/158	133/24	< 0.001	
Age, years	42.51 ± 8.27	45.07 ± 9.09	47.30 ± 8.96	47.96 ± 8.31	< 0.001	
≤40	2458 (46.61%)	783 (36.33%)	168 (27.59%)	32 (20.38%)		
40-49	1758 (33.34%)	679 (31.51%)	181 (29.72%)	58 (36.94%)		
≥50	1057 (20.05%)	693 (32.16%)	260 (42.69%)	67 (42.68%)		
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	21.03 ± 1.47	21.57 ± 1.45	22.09 ± 1.34	22.75 ± 1.08	< 0.001	
ALT (IU/L)	16.73 ± 7.79	19.22 ± 20.63	22.02 ± 11.11	26.68 ± 16.08	< 0.001	
AST (IU/L)	17.32 ± 5.92	18.12 ± 13.86	18.84 ± 5.83	20.82 ± 7.74	< 0.001	
GGT (IU/L)	16.48 ± 13.32	20.22 ± 18.10	25.15 ± 19.01	33.48 ± 28.02	< 0.001	
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.59 ± 0.34	1.34 ± 0.37	1.19 ± 0.34	0.98 ± 0.20	< 0.001	
TC (mmol/L)	5.03 ± 0.81	5.07 ± 0.89	5.37 ± 0.87	5.48 ± 0.93	< 0.001	
TG (mmol/L)	0.67 ± 0.32	0.91 ± 0.52	1.55 ± 0.95	2.32 ± 1.02	< 0.001	
FPG (mmol/L)	4.99 ± 0.33	5.27 ± 0.42	5.48 ± 0.40	5.70 ± 0.26	< 0.001	
SBP (mmHg)	108.62 ± 10.32	117.38 ± 15.31	125.17 ± 15.71	129.46 ± 15.53	< 0.001	
DBP (mmHg)	67.69 ± 7.60	73.58 ± 10.59	79.02 ± 10.86	82.07 ± 10.82	< 0.001	
Drinking status, n (%)					< 0.001	
Non	4184 (79.35%)	1565 (72.62%)	424 (69.62%)	106 (67.52%)		
Light	587 (11.13%)	287 (13.32%)	83 (13.63%)	21 (13.38%)		
Moderate	366 (6.94%)	216 (10.02%)	70 (11.49%)	20 (12.74%)		
Heavy	136 (2.58%)	87 (4.04%)	32 (5.25%)	10 (6.37%)		
Smoking status, n (%)					<0.001	
Never	3401 (64.50%)	1195 (55.45%)	270 (44.33%)	57 (36.31%)		
Past	841 (15.95%)	430 (19.95%)	155 (25.45%)	49 (31.21%)		
Current	1031 (19.55%)	530 (24.59%)	184 (30.21%)	51 (32.48%)		
Years of follow-up	7.19 ± 3.34	7.22 ± 3.29	7.09 ± 3.31	7.24 ± 3.28	0.869	
Diagnosis of incident diabetes, n (%)	22 (0.42%)	49 (2.27%)	28 (4.60%)	24 (15.29%)	< 0.001	

GGT, Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase; BMI, body mass index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; DBP, diastolic pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.

# Discussion

This is the first comprehensive longitudinal study to investigate the prevalence of MUNW and its association with diabetes risk among non-diabetic Japanese adults with normal body weight. The findings provide important insights into the metabolic health of this population and highlight the need for targeted prevention strategies, particularly for younger men. The key findings are: 1) The prevalence of MUNW was 9.35%, while 1.92% had MetS. 2) Higher MetS components score was associated with increased diabetes incidence and risk, regardless of demographics. 3) Men aged  $\leq$ 40 years had a markedly elevated diabetes risk. Previous studies have shown that the prevalence of the MUNW phenotype ranges from approximately 6.4% to 30% in the global normal-weight population (15, 16). The prevalence of MUNW individuals in Korea can vary widely, ranging from 3.7% to 37.9%, depending on the specific diagnostic criteria used for metabolic health classification (17). American Hispanic populations also fluctuates significantly, ranging from 3.5% to 75% (18). In the Health Improvement Network cohort study, the prevalence of MUNW varies among lean individuals in the United States, as per the NCEP ATP III criteria, ranging from 4.4% to 12.5% (20). This study utilized the NCEP ATP III criteria and found



a MUNW prevalence of 9.35% among normal-weight, non-diabetic Japanese individuals.

Our study revealed that individuals aged  $\leq$ 40 years had the lowest incidence of diabetes, which aligns with findings from comparable research (21). However, stratified analysis results show that the risk of diabetes is highest in individuals aged  $\leq$ 40 years. Since the 2000s, the greatest relative increases in both incidence and prevalence of type 2 diabetes have been observed among younger adults under the age of 40, as well as in adolescents and even children (22). Patients with young-onset type 2 diabetes, typically those under the age of 40, often exhibit more severe insulin resistance and a more rapid decline in  $\beta$ -cell function (23). This suggests that early-onset diabetes may present a more aggressive disease phenotype. Genetic factors and exposure to hyperglycemia during pregnancy may be important risk factors contributing to young-onset disease (24). Despite shorter diabetes duration, younger people with diabetes exhibited poorer diabetes self-care practices, including greater difficulty following dietary recommendations, more frequent medication forgetfulness, less frequent blood glucose monitoring, and poorer glycemic control, compared to older people (25). This group would likely benefit from customized support to promote lifestyle modifications and enhance their diabetes care (26). This finding underscores the significant rise in diabetes prevalence among U.S. individuals under the age of 20, observed from 2002 to 2018 (27). Additionally, our study revealed that a higher BMI, even within the normal range, was associated with a higher proportion of individuals classified as MUNW. Within the non-obese BMI range, BMI acts as a dose-dependent factor contributing to the risk of diabetes among middle-aged individuals in Japan (28). The most influential factor in

MetS components	Prevalence (%)	Incidence	Adjust model						
		(per 1000 persons peryear)	HR (95% CI)	P value					
Group A									
0	0.42%	0.58	Ref.	-					
1	2.27%	3.15	4.56 (2.73, 7.63)	<0.0001					
2	4.60%	6.49	8.79 (4.90, 15.76)	<0.0001					
≥3	15.29%	21.10	25.16 (13.32, 47.53)	<0.0001					
Group B									
no MUNW	0.96%	1.33	Ref.	-					
MUNW without MetS	4.60%	6.49	3.73 (2.37, 5.88)	<0.0001					
MetS	15.28%	21.10	10.12 (6.10, 16.81)	<0.0001					
Group C									
no MUNW	0.96%	1.33	Ref.	-					
MUNW	6.79%	9.53	5.10 (3.48, 7.47)	<0.0001					

TABLE 2 Diabetes prevalence and incidence of diabetes on MetS components.

The prevalence and incidence of diabetes are shown in three groups (Group A, Group B, and Group C). Group A shows statistics for participants with varying numbers of MetS components. Group B classifies participants based on whether they belong to the MUNW category and whether they have MetS. Group C provides a further breakdown of Group B's data, specifically analyzing MUNW participants and comparing the risk differences with and without MetS. predicting adult MUNW was the rise in BMI from childhood to adulthood (29). This indicates a potential association between specific lifestyle factors and the emergence of the MUNW phenotype in adults. Therefore, the increasing prevalence of MUNW in children and young individuals will result in more individuals at risk of developing diabetes at an earlier age. Previous studies have also shown that in Japan, individuals who successfully achieved a 10% or greater reduction in BMI within one year had a diabetes remission incidence rate of 48.2 per 1000 person-years (30). However, for MUNW individuals who already have a normal BMI, further lowering their BMI may not be an effective strategy.

In addition to BMI, particularly, we observed that Japanese men seem to be more susceptible to diabetes. Compared to Europeans, East Asians are more susceptible to diabetes at the same BMI level (31). Therefore, it is advisable to recommend early implementation of dietary and lifestyle interventions tailored to the specific needs of MUNW individuals. previous studies have confirmed that age, family history of previous studies have confirmed that age, family history of, smoking, and BMI are significant risk factors for the MUNW phenotype in men, while alcohol consumption and hypertension are notable risk factors for women (32-34). Diet is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for developing diabetes. Compared to a Prudent dietary pattern, adherence to a Western dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of red and processed meats, alcoholic beverages, refined grains, and sugarsweetened beverages was associated with an increased risk of developing prediabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and prevalent diabetes (35). Walnuts, black mulberries, and olives are rich in antioxidant properties and may offer significant benefits for diabetes management and cardiovascular health (36). Japanese individuals prefer sweet flavors; however, a higher dietary glycemic load is correlated with an increased diabetes risk (37, 38). Similarly, rice consumption is associated with a heightened diabetes risk, whereas men who consume more fish exhibit a reduced diabetes risk (39, 40). Therefore, adjusting dietary structure, such as reducing red meat consumption and increasing vegetable consumption, may reduce the risk of metabolic abnormality (41). In addition to dietary pattern, engaging in regular physical activity and adopting strategies to decrease sedentary time, such as taking periodic activity breaks, can positively influence MetS (42). Among diabetes patients under the age of 65, 31.0% do not have a habit of exercising (43). Japanese men with type 2 diabetes who actively engage in leisuretime physical activity tend to experience improved glycemic control, reduced cardiovascular risk factors, a decreased need for insulin, and potential mitigation of retinal aging (44–46).

The incidence and prevalence of diabetes in young individuals (aged under 40 years) have significantly increased in recent years, with rates approximately two to three times higher than before (47). Compared with diabetes of usual onset, patients diagnosed at a younger age are more likely to develop severe microvascular and macrovascular complications and have a significantly shorter life expectancy (48). This emphasizes the need for more vigorous metabolic control in early-onset diabetes.

Given that the BMI of the population in this study is within the normal range, focusing solely on weight control may not be the most effective strategy. Epidemiological studies among non-obese populations have demonstrated that specific dietary qualities and physical activity patterns can impact metabolic risk factors independently of body weight changes (49, 50). However, further research is needed to determine whether lifestyle interventions



FIGURE 3

The incidence rates of diabetes categorized by age and gender based on the number of MetS components. (A) illustrates the incidence categorized by age; (B) displays the incidence categorized by sex.

involving diet and exercise can resolve metabolic dysfunction and facilitate the transition from a metabolically unhealthy to a metabolically healthy phenotype.

#### Limitations

This study has several limitations: 1) The exclusion of participants with higher glucose levels and obesity may have underestimated the impact of obesity. 2) The sample was limited to non-diabetic Japanese individuals, so the findings may not be generalizable to other populations. 3) The longitudinal design of the study could be influenced by changing lifestyle and treatment factors. 4) Uncontrolled confounding factors related to sample characteristics may have affected the results.

#### Conclusion

This study found that the prevalence of MUNW was 9.35% among non-diabetic Japanese adults. Diabetes risk increased in parallel with the score of MetS components, with young men aged  $\leq$ 40 years exhibiting the highest risk. Early interventions targeting this high-risk group, particularly young men, are crucial for effective prevention of diabetes.

#### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.8q0p192.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Participants in the previous studies had provided explicit authorization for the use

### References

1. Lin X, Xu Y, Pan X, Xu J, Ding Y, Sun X, et al. Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: an analysis from 1990 to 2025. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:14790. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71908-9

2. Ng ACT, Delgado V, Borlaug BA, Bax JJ. Diabesity: the combined burden of obesity and diabetes on heart disease and the role of imaging. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2021) 18:291–304. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-00465-5

3. Li S, Pan S, Jiang S, Shin J-I, Liu GG, Lyu B. Prescription medication use among patients with type 2 diabetes in the United States: 1999-2020. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* (2024) 26:2933–44. doi: 10.1111/dom.15619

4. Danaei G, Finucane MM, Lu Y, Singh GM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and diabetes prevalence since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2-7 million participants. *Lancet.* (2011) 378:31–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60679-X

5. Eckel N, Mühlenbruch K, Meidtner K, Boeing H, Stefan N, Schulze MB. Characterization of metabolically unhealthy normal-weight individuals: Risk factors and their associations with type 2 diabetes. *Metabolism*. (2015) 64:862–71. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2015.03.009

of their data in the current research, in accordance with the principle of informed. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

#### Author contributions

CH: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZG: Formal analysis, Software, Writing – original draft. YZ: Data curation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. GL: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Project administration.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. Welsh A, Hammad M, Piña IL, Kulinski J. Obesity and cardiovascular health. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. (2024) 31:1026–35. doi: 10.1093/eurjpc/zwae025

7. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 8. Obesity and weight management for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care*. (2022) 45:S113–S24. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S008

8. Pelczyńska M, Miller-Kasprzak E, Piątkowski M, Mazurek R, Klause M, Suchecka A, et al. The role of adipokines and myokines in the pathogenesis of different obesity phenotypes-new perspectives. *Antioxidants (Basel).* (2023) 12:2046. doi: 10.3390/antiox12122046

9. Gujral UP, Mohan V, Pradeepa R, Deepa M, Anjana RM, Narayan KM. Ethnic differences in the prevalence of diabetes in underweight and normal weight individuals: The CARRS and NHANES studies. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2018) 146:34–40. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2018.09.011

10. Deurenberg P, Deurenberg-Yap M, Guricci S. Asians are different from Caucasians and from each other in their body mass index/body fat per cent relationship. *Obes Rev.* (2002) 3:141-6. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-789X.2002. 00065.x

11. Kuwahara K, Honda T, Nakagawa T, Yamamoto S, Hayashi T, Mizoue T. Body mass index trajectory patterns and changes in visceral fat and glucose metabolism before the onset of type 2 diabetes. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:43521. doi: 10.1038/srep43521

12. Iacobini C, Pugliese G, Blasetti Fantauzzi C, Federici M, Menini S. Metabolically healthy versus metabolically unhealthy obesity. *Metabolism*. (2019) 92:51–60. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2018.11.009

13. . Okamura TeaDfEfoptgrfitdap-blsDD.

14. Okamura T, Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, Obora A, Kojima T, Fukui M. Ectopic fat obesity presents the greatest risk for incident type 2 diabetes: a population-based longitudinal study. Int J Obes (Lond). (2019) 43:139–48. doi: 10.1038/s41366-018-0076-3

15. Klitgaard HB, Kilbak JH, Nozawa EA, Seidel AV, Magkos F. Physiological and lifestyle traits of metabolic dysfunction in the absence of obesity. *Curr Diabetes Rep.* (2020) 20:17. doi: 10.1007/s11892-020-01302-2

16. Zoghi G, Shahbazi R, Mahmoodi M, Nejatizadeh A, Kheirandish M. Prevalence of metabolically unhealthy obesity, overweight, and normal weight and the associated risk factors in a southern coastal region, Iran (the PERSIAN cohort study): a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* (2021) 21:2011. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-12107-7

17. Seo M-W, Lee J-M, Jung HC. Prevalence of combined metabolic health and weight status by various diagnosis criteria and association with cardiometabolic disease in Korean adults. *Obes Res Clin Pract.* (2023) 17:137–43. doi: 10.1016/j.orcp.2023.03.002

18. Benziger CP, Bernabé-Ortiz A, Gilman RH, Checkley W, Smeeth L, Málaga G, et al. Metabolic abnormalities are common among south American hispanics subjects with normal weight or excess body weight: the CRONICAS cohort study. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0138968. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138968

19. Caleyachetty R, Thomas GN, Toulis KA, Mohammed N, Gokhale KM, Balachandran K, et al. Metabolically healthy obese and incident cardiovascular disease events among 3.5 million men and women. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 70:1429–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.07.763

20. Durward CM, Hartman TJ, Nickols-Richardson SM. All-cause mortality risk of metabolically healthy obese individuals in NHANES III. J Obes. (2012) 2012:460321. doi: 10.1155/2012/460321

21. Ye J, Guo K, Li X, Yang L, Zhou Z. The prevalence of metabolically unhealthy normal weight and its influence on the risk of diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. (2023) 108:2240–7. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgad152

22. Magliano DJ, Sacre JW, Harding JL, Gregg EW, Zimmet PZ, Shaw JE. Youngonset type 2 diabetes mellitus - implications for morbidity and mortality. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2020) 16:321–31. doi: 10.1038/s41574-020-0334-z

23. Viner R, White B, Christie D. Type 2 diabetes in adolescents: a severe phenotype posing major clinical challenges and public health burden. *Lancet*. (2017) 389:2252–60. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31371-5

24. Salama OE, Hizon N, Del Vecchio M, Kolsun K, Fonseca MA, Lin DTS, et al. DNA methylation signatures of youth-onset type 2 diabetes and exposure to maternal diabetes. *Clin Epigenetics*. (2024) 16:65. doi: 10.1186/s13148-024-01675-1

25. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome-a new worldwide definition. *Lancet.* (2005) 366:1059-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67402-8

26. Croke S, Volkmann A-M, Perry C, Atkinson RA, Pruneddu A, Morris L, et al. What are the perspectives of adults aged 18-40 living with type 2 diabetes in urban settings towards barriers and opportunities for better health and well-being: a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open.* (2023) 13:e068765. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-068765

27. Wagenknecht LE, Lawrence JM, Isom S, Jensen ET, Dabelea D, Liese AD, et al. Trends in incidence of youth-onset type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the USA, 2002-18: results from the population-based SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2023) 11:242–50. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(23)00025-6

28. Nagaya T, Yoshida H, Takahashi H, Kawai M. Increases in body mass index, even within non-obese levels, raise the risk for Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a follow-up study in a Japanese population. *Diabetes Med.* (2005) 22:1107–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2005.01602.x

29. Viitasalo A, Pitkänen N, Pahkala K, Lehtimäki T, Viikari JSA, Raitakari O, et al. Increase in adiposity from childhood to adulthood predicts a metabolically obese phenotype in normal-weight adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. (2020) 44:848–51. doi: 10.1038/ s41366-019-0479-9

30. Fujihara K, Khin L, Murai K, Yamazaki Y, Tsuruoka K, Yagyuda N, et al. Incidence and predictors of remission and relapse of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japan: Analysis of a nationwide patient registry (JDDM73). *Diabetes Obes Metab.* (2023) 25:2227–35. doi: 10.1111/dom.15100

31. Ma RCW, Chan JCN. Type 2 diabetes in East Asians: similarities and differences with populations in Europe and the United States. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2013) 1281:64–91. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12098

32. Zhu X, Hu J, Yang M, Guo H, Ji D, Li Y, et al. A genetic analysis identifies haplotype at adiponectin locus: Association with the metabolic health and obesity phenotypes. *Gene*. (2021) 784:145593. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2021.145593

33. Titova OE, Baron JA, Fall T, Michaëlsson K, Larsson SC. Swedish snuff (Snus), cigarette smoking, and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Prev Med.* (2023) 65:60–6. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2023.01.016

34. Ye Q, Ouyang X, Qin Z, Chen Y, Zhang X, Liu Y, et al. The association between alcohol drinking and glycemic management among people with type 2 diabetes in China. J Diabetes Investig. (2024) 15:237–44. doi: 10.1111/jdi.14108

35. Vitale M, Orsi E, Solini A, Garofolo M, Grancini V, Bonora E, et al. Association between age at diagnosis and all-cause mortality in type 2 diabetes: the Renal Insufficiency and Cardiovascular Events (RIACE) Italian Multicenter Study. *Acta Diabetol.* (2024). doi: 10.1007/s00592-024-02294-1

36. Olah N, Petrescu S, Marian E, Tünde J, Marc F, Dobjanschi L, et al. The study of antioxidant capacity in extracts from vegetal sources with hypoglycaemic action. *Rev Chimie*. (2019) 70:102–6. doi: 10.37358/RC.19.1.6860

37. Oba S, Nanri A, Kurotani K, Goto A, Kato M, Mizoue T, et al. Dietary glycemic index, glycemic load and incidence of type 2 diabetes in Japanese men and women: the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study. *Nutr J.* (2013) 12:165. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-12-165

38. Nanri A, Shimazu T, Takachi R, Ishihara J, Mizoue T, Noda M, et al. Dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes in Japanese men and women: the Japan Public Health Centerbased Prospective Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2013) 67:18–24. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2012.171

39. Nanri A, Mizoue T, Noda M, Takahashi Y, Matsushita Y, Poudel-Tandukar K, et al. Fish intake and type 2 diabetes in Japanese men and women: the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2011) 94:884–91. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.012252

40. Hirata A, Ohnaka K, Tashiro N, Wang Z, Kohno M, Kiyohara C, et al. Effect modification of green tea on the association between rice intake and the risk of diabetes mellitus: a prospective study in Japanese men and women. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* (2017) 26:545–55. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.042016.04

41. Wang W-Q, Wei B, Song Y-P, Guo H, Zhang X-H, Wang X-P, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity and unhealthy normal weight rural adults in Xinjiang: prevalence and the associated factors. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:1940. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11996-y

42. Teich T, Zaharieva DP, Riddell MC. Advances in exercise, physical activity, and diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. (2019) 21:S112–S22. doi: 10.1089/ dia.2019.2509

43. Horikawa C, Tsuda K, Oshida Y, Satoh J, Hayashino Y, Tajima N, et al. Dietary intake and physical activity in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: the Japan Diabetes Complication and its Prevention prospective study (JDCP study 8). *Diabetol Int.* (2022) 13:344–57. doi: 10.1007/s13340-022-00575-0

44. Wadén J, Tikkanen H, Forsblom C, Fagerudd J, Pettersson-Fernholm K, Lakka T, et al. Leisure time physical activity is associated with poor glycemic control in type 1 diabetic women: the FinnDiane study. *Diabetes Care.* (2005) 28:777–82. doi: 10.2337/diacare.28.4.777

45. Kaizu S, Kishimoto H, Iwase M, Fujii H, Ohkuma T, Ide H, et al. Impact of leisure-time physical activity on glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Fukuoka Diabetes Registry. *PloS One.* (2014) 9:e98768. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098768

46. Szilágyi A, Takács B, Szekeres R, Tarjányi V, Nagy D, Priksz D, et al. Effects of voluntary and forced physical exercise on the retinal health of aging Wistar rats. *Geroscience.* (2024). doi: 10.1007/s11357-024-01208-x

47. Strati M, Moustaki M, Psaltopoulou T, Vryonidou A, Paschou SA. Early onset type 2 diabetes mellitus: an update. *Endocrine*. (2024) 85:965–78. doi: 10.1007/s12020-024-03772-w

48. Al-Saeed AH, Constantino MI, Molyneaux L, D'Souza M, Limacher-Gisler F, Luo C, et al. An inverse relationship between age of type 2 diabetes onset and complication risk and mortality: the impact of youth-onset type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. (2016) 39:823–9. doi: 10.2337/dc15-0991

49. Martinez-Gomez D, Lavie CJ, Hamer M, Cabanas-Sanchez V, Garcia-Esquinas E, Pareja-Galeano H, et al. Physical activity without weight loss reduces the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors - a prospective cohort study of more than one hundred thousand adults. *Prog Cardiovasc diseases*. (2019) 62:522–30. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2019.11.010

50. Murakami K, Livingstone MBE, Sasaki S. Diet quality scores in relation to metabolic risk factors in Japanese adults: a cross-sectional analysis from the 2012 National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan. *Eur J Nutr.* (2019) 58:2037–50. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1762-6