Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Nienke Biermasz, Leiden University, Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE Toru Tateno tateno@ualberta.ca

RECEIVED 06 September 2024 ACCEPTED 15 October 2024 PUBLISHED 23 October 2024

CITATION

Tateno T, Dumitriu Stan R-I and Du Four S (2024) Editorial: Prognostic factors in pituitary tumors: clinical, biochemical, imaging, and pathological aspects. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1492448. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1492448

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tateno, Dumitriu Stan and Du Four. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Prognostic factors in pituitary tumors: clinical, biochemical, imaging, and pathological aspects

Toru Tateno^{1*}, Roxana-Ioana Dumitriu Stan² and Stephanie Du Four³

¹Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada, ²Department of Endocrinology, 'Carol Davila' University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania, ³Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Brussels, Brussels, Belgium

KEYWORDS

pituitary tumors, prognostic factors, complications - pituitary surgery, gene expression, biomarkers

Editorial on the Research Topic

Prognostic factors in pituitary tumors: clinical, biochemical, imaging, and pathological aspects

Pituitary tumors, affecting nearly 17 percent of the population, carry substantial implications (1). While functional pituitary tumors can be effectively monitored for recurrence using hormonal biomarkers, the absence of an equivalent parameter for predicting recurrence in non-functional pituitary tumors results in delayed recognition and subsequent management. Additionally, there remains limited data regarding the risk of complications following transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary tumors. Consequently, there exists a critical need to identify prognostic biomarkers that can serve as surveillance tools for patients and potential therapeutic targets. The recognition of prognostic factors in pituitary tumors holds significant promise for tailoring personalized therapeutic approaches. By doing so, we can enhance treatment success rates, improve survival outcomes, and elevate overall quality of life for affected patients. This Research Topic comprises three original research articles, one case report with a literature review, and one comprehensive review, all aimed at advancing our understanding of prognostic factors in pituitary tumors.

One subtype of aggressive pituitary tumors is the plurihormonal Pit1-lineage pituitary tumor (2–4). However, due to its rarity, clinical features associated with GH/TSH-cosecreting pituitary tumors remain scarce. Consequently, managing this specific tumor type poses significant challenges. Yu et al. retrospectively reviewed 12 GH/TSH-cosecreting pituitary tumor from 2063 patients diagnosed with GH-secreting pituitary tumorshen. GH/TSH-cosecreting pituitary tumors are more aggressive and resistant to therapies. Also, higher occurrence rates of complications, such as arrhythmia, heart enlargement, and osteoporosis/osteopenia, were seen in GH/TSH-cosecreting pituitary tumors than GH-secreting pituitary tumors clinical features of GH/TSH-cosecreting pituitary tumors.

Regarding aggressive prolactinomas, they show resistance to multimodal therapies (5). Medina et al. reported a case with aggressive prolactinoma treated with a potent and selective multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, pazopanib with a literature

review regarding potential biomarkers for aggressive prolactinomas. The literature review suggests potential risk factors for aggressive prolactinomas, including genetic and epigenetic changes as well as receptor expression profiles. The article shed the light into the pathological molecular findings in aggressive pituitary tumors, which ca be candidate molecules for personalized medicine in the future.

Bioinformatics is an integral discipline in cancer research that utilizes advanced computational strategies to decipher complex biological data sets. This approach will facilitate advances in precision medicine by greatly increasing our understanding of the molecular complexities underlying cancer and facilitating the design of treatments tailored to an individual's genetic makeup. Chen et al. conducted a bioinformatics analysis using the Gene Expression Omnibus database, which revealed the correlation between the expression of basement membrane genes, including SPARCL1, GPC3, LAMA1, SDC4, GPC4, ADAMTS8, LAMA2, LAMC3, SMOC1, LUM and THBS2 genes, and immune infiltration. Based on the findings, a novel risk model, which predicts the invasiveness of pituitary tumors, has been proposed. These findings may lead to close surveillance of patients with pituitary tumors which have high risk of aggressive features.

One of the complications of transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary tumors is cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage. The incidence of CSF leakage has been reported between 0.5%-15.0% (6-8). CSF leak is often associated with various complications and may increase the long-term and cost of hospitalization and affect the prognosis of patients. Therefore, identification of the prognostic factors of postoperative CSF leakage is crucial for early intervention. Previous studies suggest several factors, including BMI, tumor invasiveness, intraoperative cerebrospinal fluid leakage as the risk factors. Currently, there remains no consensus or comprehensive agreement regarding the risk factors associated with CSF leakage following transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary tumors. Zhao et al. conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis, examining risk factors associated with postoperative cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage in patients with pituitary tumors. Their study included 6775 patients across eighteen articles. The identified risk factors encompassed body mass index (BMI), history of multiple operations, tumor size, tumor invasion, and tumor hard texture. The findings will lead to early medical interventions for CSF leakage.

Another complication of post transsphenoidal surgery is hyponatremia with incidence between 6.3% and 23. 4%, which may be

symptomatic or asymptomatic. Previous studies suggested risk factors of hyponatremia after transsphenoidal surgery, however, they have been still debatable. Lin et al. reported a new nomogram based on the multivariable model of risk factors, such as findings regarding pituitary stalk and diaphragm sellae on MRI scan, postoperative diabetes insipidus, blood sodium levels on the second day after surgery, to predict the risk of delayed hyponatremia after transsphenoidal surgery. The novel nomogram achieved an AUC of 0.849, which can be used by neurosurgeons in a clinical setting to provide more accurate predictions of delayed hyponatremia after transsphenoidal surgery in patients with pituitary tumors.

Collectively, the articles featured in this Research Topic contribute novel evidence regarding prognostic factors in patients with pituitary tumors. Through comprehensive analyses of clinical, radiological, pathological, molecular, and bioinformatic data, these studies identify innovative prognostic markers. These markers hold promise for predicting both the prognosis of pituitary tumors and complications associated with transsphenoidal surgery, ultimately benefiting patients. However, further studies are necessary to validate these findings.

Author contributions

TT: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. RD: Writing – review & editing. SD: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Ezzat S, Asa SL, Couldwell WT, Barr CE, Dodge WE, Vance ML, et al. The prevalence of pituitary adenomas: a systematic review. *Cancer.* (2004) 101:613–9. doi: 10.1002/cncr.v101:3

2. Whyte E, Nezu M, Chik C, Tateno T. Update on current evidence for the diagnosis and management of nonfunctioning pituitary neuroendocrine tumors. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul).* (2023) 38:631–54. doi: 10.3803/EnM.2023.1838

3. Cai Y, Liu S, Zhao X, Ren L, Liu X, Gang X, et al. Pathogenesis, clinical features, and treatment of plurihormonal pituitary adenoma. *Front Neurosci.* (2024) 17. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1323883

^{4.} Duan ZJ, Feng J, Zhao HQ, Wang HD, Gui QP, Zhang XF, et al. Plurihormonal PIT1-lineage pituitary neuroendocrine tumors: a clinicopathological study. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi.* (2023) 52:1017–24. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112151-20230216-00137

^{5.} Nakano-Tateno T, Lau KJ, Wang J, McMahon C, Kawakami Y, Tateno T, et al. Multimodal non-surgical treatments of aggressive pituitary tumors. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2021) 12:624686. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.624686

^{6.} Cappabianca P, Cavallo LM, Colao A, de Divitiis E. Surgical complications associated with the endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach for pituitary adenomas. *J Neurosurg.* (2002) 97:293–8. doi: 10.3171/jns.2002.97.2.0293

7. Shiley SG, Limonadi F, Delashaw JB, Barnwell SL, Andersen PE, Hwang PH, et al. Incidence, etiology, and management of cerebrospinal fluid leaks following transsphenoidal surgery. *Laryngoscope*. (2003) 113:1283–8. doi: 10.1097/00005537-200308000-00003 8. Messerer M, De Battista JC, Raverot G, Kassis S, Dubourg J, Lapras V, et al. Evidence of improved surgical outcome following endoscopy for nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma removal. *Neurosurg Focus*. (2011) 30:E11. doi: 10.3171/ 2011.1.FOCUS10308