Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ramoji Kosuru, Versiti Blood Research Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY

Priyanka Choudhury, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States Hitesh Singh Chaouhan, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NIH), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Bin Ning Imingbingfy@yeah.net Qinghua Yuan Imingbinghua@sysush.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 02 August 2024 ACCEPTED 03 January 2025 PUBLISHED 19 March 2025

CITATION

Sun L, Yuan J, Wang T, Ning B and Yuan Q (2025) Association between Hemoglobin Glycation Index and In-Hospital all-cause mortality of patients with Congestive Heart Failure: a retrospective study utilizing the MIMIC-IV database. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1475063. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1475063

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun, Yuan, Wang, Ning and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between Hemoglobin Glycation Index and In-Hospital all-cause mortality of patients with Congestive Heart Failure: a retrospective study utilizing the MIMIC-IV database

Ling Sun^{1,2}, Jie Yuan³, Tao Wang¹, Bin Ning^{2*†} and Qinghua Yuan^{4*†}

¹Department of Cardiology, Fuyang Tumor Hospital, Fuyang, China, ²Department of Cardiology, Fuyang People's Hospital Affiliated to Anhui Medical University, Fuyang, China, ³Consultancy Department, Hanyi Data Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd, Shenzhen, China, ⁴Department of Cardiology, The Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China

Background: The aim of this study was to explore the relationship between the hemoglobin glycation index (HGI) of Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) patients and their risk of mortality within 365 days.

Patients and methods: The Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC-IV) database supplied the patient data for this study, which was categorized into quartiles based on the HGI. The primary endpoint was all-cause mortality within a 365-day period. Kaplan-Meier (K-M) analysis was utilized to compare this primary endpoint across the four aforementioned groups. The relationship between the HGI and the endpoint was examined using restricted cubic splines (RCS) and a Cox proportional hazards analysis.

Results: A total of 985 patients were included in this study. HGI was significantly associated with 30 days mortality (15.9%; HR, 0.79; 95% CI, (0.67~0.92); P=0.003) and 60 days mortality (19.3%; HR, 0.83; 95% CI, (0.72~0.96); P=0.011) and 90 days mortality (22.1%; HR, 0.86; 95% CI, (0.75~0.99); P=0.031) and 365 days mortality (30.7%; HR, 0.97; 95% CI, (0.86~1.09); P=0.611) in patients with critical CHF in the completely adjusted Cox proportional risk model. RCS analysis revealed a U-shaped relationship between HGI and outcome events. KM curves survival analysis suggests a correlation between 30 days and 365 days mortality in HGI and CHF patients.

Conclusions: A higher HGI has a more protective effect than a low HGI for patients with CHF and was directly associated with short-term mortality rates. These findings may be helpful in the management of patients with CHF.

KEYWORDS

congestive heart failure, hemoglobin glycation index, in-hospital mortality, prognosis, $\mathsf{MIMIC}\text{-}\mathsf{IV}$

Introduction

Heart Failure (HF) is a prevalent and serious cardiovascular disease characterized by high incidence and mortality rates globally (1). Previous research indicates that approximately 40% of patients with HF also have diabetes, and HF is a significant contributor to morbidity and mortality in individuals with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) (2). Early identification of individuals at high risk for HF is essential for timely intervention and the development of effective preventive strategies.

Blood glucose control has been shown to provide long-term cardiovascular benefits focusing on fasting blood glucose(FPG)and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) control (3). FPG alone has a low predictive value for cardiovascular outcomes. HbA1c is currently the most reliable measure for evaluating long-term blood glucose control (4, 5). HbA1c, utilized in the diagnosis and management of DM, reflects an individual's average blood glucose over a threemonth period and is currently the most commonly used surrogate marker of the effectiveness of glucose-lowering interventions (6, 7). HbA1c is not only utilized for the diagnosis and treatment of DM but also plays a significant role in the individualized management of patients with HF (8). However, the relationship between HbA1c and mortality in patients with both DM and HF has yielded conflicting results. Some cohort studies indicate that elevated HbA1c levels increase the risk of death in HF patients (9), while others suggest that higher HbA1c levels may reduce the risk of death (10, 11) Additionally, some studies have reported a U-shaped relationship between the two (12) Given that various factors, such as ethnicity, age, genetic variation, red blood cell (RBC) lifespan, iron deficiency, and anemia, can cause discrepancies between HbA1c and blood glucose levels, Hempe et al. first proposed the use of the Hemoglobin Glycation Index (HGI) to quantify individual variations in HbA1c levels (13). The HGI, which defined as is the difference between observed and predicted HbA1c, is derived from either mean or FPG and serves as a predictive tool for severe hypoglycemia and major adverse cardiovascular events (14).

Previous studies have indicated that the HGI is closely associated with adverse cardiovascular events (15, 16). However, both high and low levels of HGI have been linked to adverse outcomes in patients with CHF to varying degrees. Therefore, in the present study, the MIMIC-IV was database was utilized to construct linear regression equations to calculate the HGI and analyze the correlation between the HGI and adverse outcomes in patients with critical CHF.

Methods

Study participants

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) collaborated in developing the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC-IV) electronic database (version 2.2), which was used in the present study. Qinghua Yuan, one of the study's authors, completed the National Institutes of Health's web-based course 'Protecting Human Research Participants' (Record ID: 13111980). Following this, access to the database for data extraction was granted to him. To safeguard patient privacy, the data were deidentified. Consequently, the ethical committee of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center waived the requirement for obtaining patients' informed consent.

These 11196 patients were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for the first time between 2008 and 2019 with CHF. We excluded 10211 patients lacking HbA1c level data; ultimately, 985 patients with severe CHF were eligible for the current study (Figure 1).

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) <18years of age, (2) acute coronary syndrome, (3) congenital heart disease, (4) advanced cancer, (5) lack of data at admission and (6) loss to follow-up.

Data extractions

The software Postgres Structured Query Language (SQL) (version 13.7.2) and Navicate Premium (version 16) were used to extract information with a running SQL. The potential confounding variables included in this study are as follows: 1. Baseline demographic information: age, gender, race; vital signs: heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), respiratory rate; 2. Comorbidities: Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), myocardial infarction (MI), cerebrovascular disease, diabetes, paraplegia, renal disease; 3. Laboratory parameters: white blood cells (WBC), RBC, hemoglobin (HGB), first creatinine.

The definition of variable exposure and outcome events

Diabetes was diagnosed based on criteria established by the American Diabetes Association (17), which included a fasting plasma glucose level equal to or exceeding 126 mg/dL, a 2 h plasma glucose during OGTT equal to or exceeding 200 mg/dL, or an HbA1c level in whole blood equal to or exceeding 6.5%.



In this study, a linear regression model was established between FPG and HbA1c for all patients. Based on this model, the predicted HbA1c was calculated using the formula: Predicted HbA1c = $0.01 \times FPG$ (mg/dL) + 5.04. The difference between the measured and predicted HbA1c values is denoted as HGI (18). Four subgroups were established based on HGI quartiles: quartile 1 (Q1), (n=243, HGI \leq -0.879; quartile 2 (Q2), n=246, -0.879<HGI \leq -0.149; and quartile 3 (Q3), n=249, -0.419<HGI \leq 0.311; and quartile 4 (Q4), n=247, HGI >0.311.

Outcomes

The main objective of this study was 1-year mortality. Secondary outcomes focused on within 30 days, 60 days and 90 days all-cause mortality.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed with the R statistical software package (http://www.R-project.org, R Foundation) and the Fengrui statistical software version 1.9.2 were used for all analyses. Categorical data were represented as percentages and statistically compared between groups via the chi-square test. Continuous numerical variables, following an assessment for normal distribution, were depicted as medians with their respective interquartile ranges and were compared across the quartiles employing the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. The Cox proportional hazards model was employed to assess the HR associated with the HGI as a predictor of the outcome event, using the first quartile as the reference group. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, factors such as age, gender, SBP, cerebrovascular disease, respiratory rate, CCI, WBC and RBC were considered as potential confounders. These variables were included in the model and underwent trend analysis to account for their influence on the results.

Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival analysis was performed, stratifying the study population by quartile of the HGI, with the log-rank test used to evaluate differences among these groups. We investigated the relationship between HGI and the outcome event using restricted cubic splines (RCS) to identify threshold effects and inflection points within the HGI distribution. Additionally, subgroup analyses were conducted to validate our findings. The software PostgresSQL (version 13.7.2) and Navicate Premium (version 16) were used to extract information with a running SQL. A P-value of less than 0.05, in a two-tailed test, was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Baseline information

age of all patients is 70.8 ± 14.0 years. Among them, 406 patients experienced fatal events during the 365-day follow-up period. The baseline data based on the HGI quartiles are presented in Table 1. Age, race, MI, cerebrovascular disease, diabetes, paraplegia, renal disease, CCI, SBP mean, resp rate mean, WBC, RBC and creatinine were significantly different among CHF. Gender and hemoglobin were not significantly different in Table 1.

Mortality rate

Mortality rate of patients with CHF at different time periods after admission. The rate of mortality within 30 days was 15.9%, or 60 days was 19.3%, 90 days was 22.1%, and 365 days was 30.7% in Table 2.

Among the patients who died from CHF, HGI \leq -0.879: 51 (21%) died within 30 days, 60 (24.7%) died within 60 days, 67 (27.6%) died within 90 days, and 82 (33.7%) died within 365 days. -0.879<HGI \leq -0.149: 45 (18.3%) died within 30 days, 51 (20.7%) died within 60 days, 57 (23.2%) died within 90 days, and 74 (30.1%) died within 365 days. -0.419<HGI \leq 0.311: 28 (11.2%) died within 30 days, 36 (14.5%) died within 60 days, 44 (17.7%) died within 90 days, and 69 (27.7%) died within 365 days. HGI>0.311: 33 (13.4%) died within 30 days, 43 (17.4%) died within 60 days, 50 (20.2%) died within 90 days, and 77 (31.2%) died within 365 days (Tables 2–6).

Correlation of the HGI with outcome events

In the comparison of patients' baseline information, we found that the Q1 group (HGI≤-0.879) had the highest mortality rate compared to the remaining groups. Based on the above, we analyzed the correlation between HGI and the primary outcome by developing Cox proportional risk models with the Q1 group as the reference group. HGI was significantly associated with 30 days mortality (HGI 3: HR, 0.54; P= 0.012; HGI 4: HR, 0.54; P=0.016) and 60 days mortality (HGI 3: HR, 0.59; P=0.014 and HGI 4: HR, 0.63; P=0.043) and 90 days mortality (HGI 3: HR, 0.65; P=0.032) in patients with critical CHF in the completely adjusted Cox proportional risk model (Table 7).

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis

We compared the incidence of the primary outcome between groups using K-M survival analysis curves based on the HGI quartiles (as shown in Figure 2). The rate of mortality within 30 days or 60 days and 90 days was significantly higher in the Q1 group than Groups Q2 or Q3 and Q4 and the difference between the groups was significant (log-rank P<0.05) (Figures 2A–C), which indicated that low HGI were detrimental to the long-term survival of patients with CHF. The one-year mortality rate was also significantly higher in Groups Q1 than that in the other group, but no difference between the groups was significant (log-rank P>0.05) (Figure 2D).

The study included a total of 985 patients with CHF, comprising 579 male patients (58.8%) and 406 female patients (41.2%). Average

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of congestive heart failure with quartile of HGI.

Variables	Total (n = 985)	HGI≤- 0.879 (n = 243)	-0.879 <hgi≤- 0.149 (n = 246)</hgi≤- 	-0.419 <hgi ≤<br="">0.311 (n = 249)</hgi>	HGl>0.311 (n = 247)	р	statistic
Gender, n (%)						0.142	5.441
1	579 (58.8)	141 (58)	156 (63.4)	133 (53.4)	149 (60.3)		
2	406 (41.2)	102 (42)	90 (36.6)	116 (46.6)	98 (39.7)		
age, Mean ± SD	70.8 ± 14.0	70.4 ± 14.0	72.4 ± 15.6	71.9 ± 13.5	68.4 ± 12.6	0.006	4.194
Race, n (%)						0.002	26.037
1	609 (61.8)	143 (58.8)	157 (63.8)	168 (67.5)	141 (57.1)		
2	97 (9.8)	19 (7.8)	15 (6.1)	25 (10)	38 (15.4)		
3	52 (5.3)	13 (5.3)	9 (3.7)	16 (6.4)	14 (5.7)		
4	227 (23.0)	68 (28)	65 (26.4)	40 (16.1)	54 (21.9)		
myocardial infarct, n (%)						0.019	9.988
0	517 (52.5)	107 (44)	133 (54.1)	135 (54.2)	142 (57.5)		
1	468 (47.5)	136 (56)	113 (45.9)	114 (45.8)	105 (42.5)		
cerebrovascular disease, n (%)						0.021	9.685
0	665 (67.5)	181 (74.5)	151 (61.4)	166 (66.7)	167 (67.6)		
1	320 (32.5)	62 (25.5)	95 (38.6)	83 (33.3)	80 (32.4)		
Diabetes, n (%)						< 0.001	300.647
0	542 (55.0)	173 (71.2)	198 (80.5)	148 (59.4)	23 (9.3)		
1	443 (45.0)	70 (28.8)	48 (19.5)	101 (40.6)	224 (90.7)		
paraplegia, n (%)						0.006	12.593
0	822 (83.5)	205 (84.4)	188 (76.4)	213 (85.5)	216 (87.4)		
1	163 (16.5)	38 (15.6)	58 (23.6)	36 (14.5)	31 (12.6)		
renal disease, n (%)						0.012	10.958
0	658 (66.8)	154 (63.4)	180 (73.2)	174 (69.9)	150 (60.7)		
1	327 (33.2)	89 (36.6)	66 (26.8)	75 (30.1)	97 (39.3)		
charlson comorbidity index, Mean ± SD	7.4 ± 2.6	7.2 ± 2.5	7.2 ± 2.8	7.5 ± 2.3	7.9 ± 2.4	0.005	4.239
sbp mean, Mean ± SD	121.2 ± 19.1	117.5 ± 21.0	122.6 ± 18.2	121.9 ± 18.1	122.7 ± 18.4	0.007	4.083
resp rate mean, Mean ± SD	20.3 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 3.6	20.4 ± 3.7	19.8 ± 3.6	20.4 ± 3.7	0.02	3.277
first white blood cells, Mean ± SD	12.0 ± 6.1	13.7 ± 6.7	11.8 ± 5.5	10.8 ± 4.6	11.6 ± 7.1	< 0.001	9.597
first hemoglobin, Mean ± SD	11.7 ± 2.3	11.4 ± 2.5	11.8 ± 2.2	11.6 ± 2.2	11.8 ± 2.1	0.223	1.465
first red blood cells, Mean ± SD	3.9 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 0.7	4.0 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.7	< 0.001	6.604
first creatinine, Mean ± SD	1.6 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 1.9	1.4 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 1.6	1.6 ± 1.3	0.011	3.747

Gender 1: male, 2: female; Race 1: Yellow people, 2: White people, 3: black people, 4: other races.

Variables		Total (n = 985)	1 (n = 243)	2 (n = 246)	3 (n = 249)	4 (n = 247)	р	statistic
mor icu, n (%)							0.04	8.294
(0	904 (91.8)	213 (87.7)	226 (91.9)	235 (94.4)	230 (93.1)		
1	1	81 (8.2)	30 (12.3)	20 (8.1)	14 (5.6)	17 (6.9)		
mor hospital, n (%)							0.071	7.041
(0	864 (87.7)	202 (83.1)	220 (89.4)	225 (90.4)	217 (87.9)		
1	1	121 (12.3)	41 (16.9)	26 (10.6)	24 (9.6)	30 (12.1)		
mor 28d, n (%)							0.024	9.467
(0	832 (84.5)	195 (80.2)	201 (81.7)	221 (88.8)	215 (87)		
1	1	153 (15.5)	48 (19.8)	45 (18.3)	28 (11.2)	32 (13)		
mor 30d, n (%)							0.012	10.96
(0	828 (84.1)	192 (79)	201 (81.7)	221 (88.8)	214 (86.6)		
]	1	157 (15.9)	51 (21)	45 (18.3)	28 (11.2)	33 (13.4)		
mor 60d, n (%)							0.027	9.178
(0	795 (80.7)	183 (75.3)	195 (79.3)	213 (85.5)	204 (82.6)		
1	1	190 (19.3)	60 (24.7)	51 (20.7)	36 (14.5)	43 (17.4)		
mor 90d, n (%)							0.052	7.714
(0	767 (77.9)	176 (72.4)	189 (76.8)	205 (82.3)	197 (79.8)		
]	1	218 (22.1)	67 (27.6)	57 (23.2)	44 (17.7)	50 (20.2)		
mor 365d, n (%)							0.537	2.176
(0	683 (69.3)	161 (66.3)	172 (69.9)	180 (72.3)	170 (68.8)		
1	1	302 (30.7)	82 (33.7)	74 (30.1)	69 (27.7)	77 (31.2)		

TABLE 2 Mortality rate of patients with congestive heart failure at different time periods after admission.

HGI, Hemoglobin Glycation Index.

RCS regression analysis of HGI with oneyear all-cause mortality

We employed RCS to further analyze the association between HGI and all-cause mortality in patients with CHF. The results indicated a "U-shaped" correlation between HGI and the risk of death in patients with severe CHF (Figure 3). In the threshold effect analysis, we identified the inflection points for HGI the primary outcomes to be -0.419.

ROC curve analysis of HGI with CHF patient all-cause mortality

We generated ROC curves and found the prognostic value of the HGI in all patients with CHF. The optimal cut-off value of the HGI in predicting death was 0.162, with an area under the curve of 0.577 (95% CI: 0.526–0.628), a sensitivity of 61.4% and a specificity of 51.9% (Figure 4).

TABLE 3 Mortality rate within 30 days of admission in CHF patients with different HGI levels.

Variable	n.total	n.event_%	Followup.Time	crude.HR (95%Cl)	crude.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value
HGI≤-0.879	243	51 (21)	6189.8	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)	
-0.879 <hgi≤-0.149< td=""><td>246</td><td>45 (18.3)</td><td>6474.3</td><td>0.84 (0.56~1.26)</td><td>0.405</td><td>0.86 (0.57~1.32)</td><td>0.493</td></hgi≤-0.149<>	246	45 (18.3)	6474.3	0.84 (0.56~1.26)	0.405	0.86 (0.57~1.32)	0.493
-0.419 <hgi 0.311<="" td="" ≤=""><td>249</td><td>28 (11.2)</td><td>6920.7</td><td>0.5 (0.31~0.79)</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.54 (0.34~0.87)</td><td>0.012</td></hgi>	249	28 (11.2)	6920.7	0.5 (0.31~0.79)	0.003	0.54 (0.34~0.87)	0.012
HGI>0.311	247	33 (13.4)	6742.2	0.6 (0.39~0.93)	0.023	0.54 (0.32~0.89)	0.016
Trend.test	985	157 (15.9)	26327	0.81 (0.7~0.93)	0.004	0.79 (0.67~0.92)	0.003

HGI, Hemoglobin Glycation Index.

TABLE 4 Mortality rate within 60 days of admission in CHF patients with different HGI levels.

Variable	n.total	n.event_ %	Followup.Time	crude.HR (95%Cl)	crude.P value	adj.HR (95%Cl)	adj.P value
HGI≤-0.879	243	60 (24.7)	11821.8	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)	
-0.879 <hgi≤-0.149< td=""><td>246</td><td>51 (20.7)</td><td>12386</td><td>0.81 (0.56~1.18)</td><td>0.276</td><td>0.83 (0.56~1.22)</td><td>0.34</td></hgi≤-0.149<>	246	51 (20.7)	12386	0.81 (0.56~1.18)	0.276	0.83 (0.56~1.22)	0.34
-0.419 <hgi 0.311<="" td="" ≤=""><td>249</td><td>36 (14.5)</td><td>13425.1</td><td>0.54 (0.36~0.82)</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.59 (0.38~0.9)</td><td>0.014</td></hgi>	249	36 (14.5)	13425.1	0.54 (0.36~0.82)	0.003	0.59 (0.38~0.9)	0.014
HGI>0.311	247	43 (17.4)	12977.1	0.66 (0.45~0.98)	0.04	0.63 (0.4~0.99)	0.043
Trend.test	985	190 (19.3)	50610	0.84 (0.74~0.96)	0.009	0.83 (0.72~0.96)	0.011

HGI, Hemoglobin Glycation Index; ICU, Intensive Care Unit.

TABLE 5 Mortality rate within 365 days of admission in CHF patients with different HGI levels.

Variable	n.total	n.event_%	Followup.Time	crude.HR (95%Cl)	crude.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value
HGI≤-0.879	243	67 (27.6)	17178.8	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)	
-0.879 <hgi≤-0.149< td=""><td>246</td><td>57 (23.2)</td><td>18136.6</td><td>0.81 (0.57~1.15)</td><td>0.244</td><td>0.83 (0.57~1.21)</td><td>0.332</td></hgi≤-0.149<>	246	57 (23.2)	18136.6	0.81 (0.57~1.15)	0.244	0.83 (0.57~1.21)	0.332
-0.419 <hgi 0.311<="" td="" ≤=""><td>249</td><td>44 (17.7)</td><td>19683.6</td><td>0.59 (0.4~0.86)</td><td>0.006</td><td>0.65 (0.44~0.96)</td><td>0.032</td></hgi>	249	44 (17.7)	19683.6	0.59 (0.4~0.86)	0.006	0.65 (0.44~0.96)	0.032
HGI>0.311	247	50 (20.2)	18948.2	0.69 (0.48~0.99)	0.045	0.68 (0.45~1.05)	0.083
Trend.test	985	218 (22.1)	73947.2	0.86 (0.76~0.97)	0.014	0.86 (0.75~0.99)	0.031

HGI, Hemoglobin Glycation Index.

TABLE 6 Mortality rate within 365 days of admission in CHF patients with different HGI levels.

Variable	n.total	n.event_%	Followup.Time	crude.HR (95%Cl)	crude.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value
HGI≤-0.879	243	82 (33.7)	63642.8	1 (Ref)		1 (Ref)	
-0.879 <hgi≤-0.149< td=""><td>246</td><td>74 (30.1)</td><td>67734.3</td><td>0.86 (0.62~1.17)</td><td>0.329</td><td>0.86 (0.62~1.19)</td><td>0.36</td></hgi≤-0.149<>	246	74 (30.1)	67734.3	0.86 (0.62~1.17)	0.329	0.86 (0.62~1.19)	0.36
-0.419 <hgi 0.311<="" td="" ≤=""><td>249</td><td>69 (27.7)</td><td>71915.2</td><td>0.75 (0.54~1.03)</td><td>0.079</td><td>0.8 (0.58~1.12)</td><td>0.196</td></hgi>	249	69 (27.7)	71915.2	0.75 (0.54~1.03)	0.079	0.8 (0.58~1.12)	0.196
HGI>0.311	247	77 (31.2)	68698.3	0.87 (0.64~1.18)	0.368	0.97 (0.67~1.39)	0.849
Trend.test	985	302 (30.7)	271990.6	0.94 (0.85~1.05)	0.271	0.97 (0.86~1.09)	0.611

HGI, Hemoglobin Glycation Index.

 TABLE 7
 30, 60, 90, 365days Cox regression of congestive heart failure with quartile of HGI.

	30 days		60 days		90 days		365 days	
Variable	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%Cl)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%Cl)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value
-0.879 <hgi≤-0.149< td=""><td>0.86 (0.57~1.32)</td><td>0.493</td><td>0.83 (0.56~1.22)</td><td>0.34</td><td>0.83 (0.57~1.21)</td><td>0.332</td><td>0.86 (0.62~1.19)</td><td>0.36</td></hgi≤-0.149<>	0.86 (0.57~1.32)	0.493	0.83 (0.56~1.22)	0.34	0.83 (0.57~1.21)	0.332	0.86 (0.62~1.19)	0.36
-0.419 <hgi 0.311<="" td="" ≤=""><td>0.54 (0.34~0.87)</td><td>0.012</td><td>0.59 (0.38~0.9)</td><td>0.014</td><td>0.65 (0.44~0.96)</td><td>0.032</td><td>0.8 (0.58~1.12)</td><td>0.196</td></hgi>	0.54 (0.34~0.87)	0.012	0.59 (0.38~0.9)	0.014	0.65 (0.44~0.96)	0.032	0.8 (0.58~1.12)	0.196
HGI>0.311	0.54 (0.32~0.89)	0.016	0.63 (0.4~0.99)	0.043	0.68 (0.45~1.05)	0.083	0.97 (0.67~1.39)	0.849
Gender	1.24 (0.88~1.74)	0.22	1.16 (0.85~1.58)	0.342	1.3 (0.97~1.74)	0.078	1.16 (0.91~1.49)	0.224
age	1.03 (1.01~1.05)	0.001	1.03 (1.01~1.04)	<0.001	1.03 (1.01~1.04)	< 0.001	1.02 (1.01~1.04)	< 0.001
sbp mean	0.98 (0.97~0.99)	< 0.001	0.98 (0.97~0.99)	<0.001	0.98 (0.97~0.99)	< 0.001	0.99 (0.98~0.99)	< 0.001
first white blood cells	1.03 (1.02~1.04)	<0.001	1.03 (1.01~1.04)	<0.001	1.03 (1.02~1.04)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01~1.04)	< 0.001

(Continued)

TABLE 7 Continued

	30 days		60 days		90 days		365 days	
Variable	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%Cl)	adj.P value	adj.HR (95%CI)	adj.P value
first red blood cells	1.02 (0.81~1.29)	0.862	1.06 (0.86~1.31)	0.591	1.06 (0.87~1.29)	0.59	0.99 (0.84~1.18)	0.953
cerebrovascular disease	2.26 (1.53~3.35)	<0.001	2.32 (1.63~3.3)	<0.001	2.23 (1.6~3.1)	<0.001	1.75 (1.32~2.31)	<0.001
Diabetes	1.23 (0.83~1.83)	0.299	1.16 (0.81~1.66)	0.415	1.05 (0.75~1.47)	0.789	0.95 (0.71~1.27)	0.719
charlson comorbidity index	1.1 (1.01~1.19)	0.02	1.12 (1.04~1.2)	0.002	1.13 (1.06~1.21)	<0.001	1.15 (1.09~1.22)	<0.001
Race2	0.9 (0.45~1.82)	0.774	0.9 (0.48~1.69)	0.735	1.33 (0.8~2.18)	0.269	1.19 (0.79~1.81)	0.409
Race3	1.1 (0.48~2.53)	0.827	1.23 (0.59~2.54)	0.579	1.07 (0.52~2.21)	0.846	0.75 (0.38~1.47)	0.397
Race4	1.6 (1.11~2.29)	0.011	1.78 (1.29~2.46)	0.001	1.67 (1.23~2.29)	0.001		

Gender 1: male, 2: female; Race 1: Yellow people, 2: White people, 3: black people, 4: other races.



Kaplan-Meier all-cause mortality survival analysis curve (A) showing comparison of mortality within 30 days between groups, (B) showing comparison of mortality within 90 days between groups, (D) showing comparison of mortality within 365 days between groups.



Subgroup analysis

We performed risk subgroup analyses of patient outcome events according to age, gender, diabetes and non-diabetes, cerebrovascular disease and non-cerebrovascular disease, use insulin and non-use insulin. Diabetes and non-diabetes. Use insulin and non-use insulin were significantly associated with with HGI in CHF. However, we observed that in a subgroup analysis with mortality as the outcome event, the four levels of HGI in the remaining subgroups were no statistically significant difference with primary 30 days, 90 days and 365 days mortality in patients with critical CHF. (Supplementary Figure S1).

Discussion

A multitude of studies have demonstrated that the HGI is associated with a negative prognosis in cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), particularly in cases of coronary heart disease (CHD) and arrhythmia (19–21). While previous research has established a link between elevated HGI levels and an increased risk of CVD (22–24), evidence regarding the association between HGI and mortality in patients with congestive heart failure (CHF) remains inadequate. Therefore, this study developed a linear regression model utilizing MIMIC-IV data to evaluate the HGI in individuals with severe CHF and to investigate the relationship between HGI and adverse outcomes in these patients. The data we gathered indicated that the mortality rate among patients was 15.9% within the initial 30 days of hospital admission, rising to 19.3% by 60 days, 22.1% at 90 days, and escalating to 30.7% by 365 days post-admission. In the fully adjusted Cox proportional hazards model, HGI demonstrated a significant correlation with



mortality rates at both the 30-day and 60-day intervals for patients suffering from severe CHF. An elevated HGI functions as a protective factor, enhancing the survival outlook for individuals with CHF. These findings could be instrumental in developing management strategies for CHF patients.

In our evaluation rooted in the RCS curve analysis, a 'U'-shaped connection has been identified between the HGI and the risk ratio for outcome events, with inflection points nearing zero, aligning with earlier research (13, 25). Within a specific cohort, HbA1c levels exceeding 10% demonstrate a progressively non-linear association with HF development, while levels falling below 6% have been linked to a heightened risk of HF (1). Currie et al. previously undertook a retrospective analysis of T2DM patients via the UK General Practice Research Database (GPRD) (26, 27) revealing a Ushaped correlation between average HbA1c levels and overall mortality as well as cardiac incidents. A recent investigation has indicated that patients exhibiting low HbA1c alongside high glycemic variability show poorer left ventricular diastolic function than those with elevated HbA1c but low glycemic variability (28). Inadequate or subpar blood glucose management can lead to microvascular changes and cardiac fibrosis, increasing the HF risk (29). These observations imply that both elevated and diminished HbA1c values can forecast HF progression. Consequently, it is essential in clinical practice to develop a linear regression model to compute the HGI for a substantial patient population.

Previous studies have identified several risk factors for the development of HF in T2DM, including increasing age, body mass index (BMI), SBP, baseline creatinine levels, and a history of coronary artery disease (CAD) (30, 31). Our univariate analysis indicates that age, race, MI, cerebrovascular disease, DM, paraplegia, renal disease, CCI, SBP, respiratory rate, WBC, RBC, and creatinine are risk factors for heart rate (HR). Even after multivariate adjusting the above risk factors, Medium to high level HGI was still independently related to mortality in CHF. Additionally, our cox regression analysis suggest that SBP is one of the risk factors for HF patients. Specifically, maintaining lower SBP levels is significantly linked to a reduced risk of acute MI and stroke in this population (32). Moreover, SBP has been correlated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular events and mortality (33). The Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT) provided further evidence, illustrating that targeting SBP levels below the standard guidelines for non-diabetic individuals can lead to a decreased risk of cardiovascular outcomes and death (34). Lebeche et al. indicates that elevated HGI levels correspond with a greater prevalence of HF in hypertensive patients (35). Consequently, HGI may represent an independent risk factor for individuals experiencing severe CHF.

Our analysis of subgroups indicates that patients with HF who also have diabetes may experience a more pronounced protective effect from higher levels of the HGI compared to HF patients without diabetes. In individuals with HF, the presence of DM has been shown to independently correlate with increased overall mortality, cardiovascular deaths, and a higher frequency of hospital readmissions (36, 37). Most evidence suggests that hyperglycemia, regardless of the treatment administered, can alter the quantitative relationship between HbA1c and blood glucose levels, resulting in a significant increase in HGI levels (38–40). Consequently, diabetic patients are more likely to exhibit elevated HGI levels and may benefit from improved glycemic control interventions. This observation suggests that the HGI could hold greater prognostic significance for patients suffering from advanced heart failure who do not have diabetes.

The study presents several limitations that warrant acknowledgment. Firstly, BMI and other factors have not been measured or may have been inadequately assessed, which could still affect the associations we observed. Secondly, we did not implement a time-dependent model to analyze the use of antihyperglycemic medications. As a result, we are unable to ascertain whether the relationship between these medications and the progression of heart failure (HF) is influenced by factors. Lastly, while the study included data on all-cause mortality, we did not collect information specifically regarding cardiovascular mortality or hospitalizations due to heart failure. This gap highlights the need for further investigation to uncover more nuanced insights into the causes of mortality within this patient demographic.

In conclusion, by utilizing patient data retrieved from the MIMIC-IV database, the authors were able to demonstrate a nonlinear relationship HGI and all-cause mortality in patients with CHF. A higher HGI has a more protective effect than a low HGI for patients with CHF and is closely related to both short-term mortality rates. We believe that HGI is a good prognostic indicator for poor outcomes in CHF patients and could serve as a potential marker for stratifying the risk of short-term and long-term mortality in this patient population. These findings may aid in the management of CHF patients and their blood sugar levels and directing limited healthcare resources towards those who require more intensive management.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: No applicable.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by No the full name and affiliation of the ethics committee/institutional review board for the studies involving humans because No the full name and affiliation of the ethics committee/institutional review board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because No the full name and affiliation of the ethics committee/institutional review board.

Author contributions

LS: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JY: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. TW: Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. BN: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. QY: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Formal Analysis, Resources, Supervision, Validation.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

Author JY was employed by the company Hanyi Data Technology Shenzhen Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be constructed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Wang R, Chen C, Xu G, Jin Z. Association of triglyceride glucose-body mass index and hemoglobin glycation index with heart failure prevalence in hypertensive populations: a study across different glucose metabolism status. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2024) 23:53. doi: 10.1186/s12944-024-02045-9

2. Ponikowski P, Anker SD, AlHabib KF, Cowie MR, Force TL, Hu S, et al. Heart failure: preventing disease and death world wide. *ESC Heart Fail.* (2014) 1:4–25. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12005

3. Preiss D, Zetterstrand S, McMurray JJV, Ostergren J, Michelson EL, Granger CB, et al. Predictors of development of diabetes in patients with chronic heart failure in the candesartan in heart failure assessment of reduction in mortality and morbidity (CHARM) program. *Diabetes Care.* (2009) 32:915–20. doi: 10.2337/dc08-1709

4. Elder DHJ, Singh JSS, Levin D, Donnelly LA, Choy AM, George J, et al. Mean HbA1c and mortality in diabetic individuals with heart failure: a population cohort study: mean HbA1c and mortality in CHF. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2016) 18:94–102. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2016.18.issue-1

5. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. (2014) 37 Suppl 1:S81-90. doi: 10.2337/dc14-S081

6. Yang G, Au Yeung SL, Schooling CM. Sex differences in the association of fasting glucose with HbA1c, and their consequences for mortality: a mendelian randomization study. *EBioMedicine*. (2022) 284:104259. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104259

7. van Steen SC, Woodward M, Chalmers J, Li Q, Marre M, Cooper ME, et al. Haemoglobin glycation index and risk for diabetes-related complications in the action in diabetes and vascular disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled evaluation (ADVANCE) trial. *Diabetologia*. (2018) 61:780–9. doi: 10.1007/s00125-017-4539-1

8. Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Ndumele CE, Zhang S, Florido R, Matsushita K, Coresh J, et al. Diabetes and progression of heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2022) 79:2285–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.03.378

9. Gerstein HC, Swedberg K, Carlsson J, McMurray JV, Michelson EL, Olofsson B, et al. The hemoglobin A1c level as a progressive risk factor for cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, or death in patients with chronic heart failure: an analysis of the Candesartan in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) program. Arch Internal Med. (2008) 168(15):1699–704. doi: 10.1001/archinte.168.15.1699

10. Eshaghian S, Horwich TB, Fonarow GC. An unexpected inverse relationship between HbA1c levels and mortality in patients with diabetes and advanced systolic heart failure. *Am Heart J.* (2006) 91:e91–91. e96. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2005.10.008

11. Tomova GS, Nimbal V, Horwich TB. Relation between hemoglobin A1c and outcomes in heart failure patients with and without diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol.* (2012) 109(12):1767–73. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.02.022

12. Elder DH, Singh JS, Levin D, Donnelly LA, Choy AM, Jacob George J, et al. Mean HbA1c and mortality in diabetic individuals with heart failure: a population cohort study. *Eur J Heart fail*. (2016) 18(1):94–102. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2016.18.issue-1

13. Cheng W, Huang R, Pu Y, Li T, Bao X, Chen J, et al. Association between the haemoglobin glycation index (HGI) and clinical outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Ann Med.* (2024) 56:2330615. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2024.2330615

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025. 1475063/full#supplementary-material

14. Klein KR, Franek E, Marso S, Pieber TR, Pratley RE, Gowda A, et al. Hemoglobin glycation index, calculated from a single fasting glucose value, as a prediction tool for severe hypoglycemia and major adverse cardiovascular events in DEVOTE. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. (2021) 9:e002339. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2021-002339

15. Xu S, Qin Z, Yuan R, Cui X, Zhang L, Bai J, et al. The hemoglobin glycation index predicts the risk of adverse cardiovascular events in coronary heart disease patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:992252. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.992252

 Cheng M-D, Tang J-N, Liu Z-Y, Guo Q-Q, Zhang J-C, Zhang Z-L, et al. Association of hemoglobin glycation index with prognosis of coronary artery disease after percutaneous coronary intervention: a retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes Vasc Dis Res.* (2023) 20:14791641231193306. doi: 10.1177/14791641231193306

17. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care*. (2021) 44:S15–S33. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002

18. Hempe JM, Liu S, Myers L, McCarter RJ, Buse JB, Fonseca V. The hemoglobin glycation index identifies subpopulations with harms or benefits from intensive treatment in the ACCORD trial. *Diabetes Care*. (2015) 38:1067–74. doi: 10.2337/dc14-1844

19. Twomey PJ, Viljoen A, Reynolds TM, Wierzbicki AS. Biological variation in HbA1c predicts risk of retinopathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (2004) 27:2569–70. doi: 10.2337/diacare.27.10.2569

20. Kim W, Go T, Kang DR, Lee EJ, Huh JH. Hemoglobin glycation index is associated with incident chronic kidney disease in subjects with impaired glucose metabolism: a 10-year longitudinal cohort study. *J Diabetes Complic*. (2021) 35:107760. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2020.107760

21. Weil X, Chen X, Zhang Z, Wei J, Hu B, Long N, et al. Risk analysis of the association between different hemoglobin glycation index and poor prognosis in critical patients with coronary heart disease-A study based on the MIMIC-IV database. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:113. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02206-1

22. Fonarow GC, Srikanthan P, Costanzo MR, Cintron GB, Lopatin M. An obesity paradox in acute Heart Failure: analysis of body mass index and inhospital mortality for 108,927 patients in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry. *Am Heart J.* (2007) 153:74–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2006.09.007

23. Sharma A, Lavie CJ, Borer JS, Vallakati A, Goel S, Lopez-Jimenez F, et al. Metaanalysis of the relation of body mass index to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization in patients with chronic Heart Failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2015) 115:1428–34. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.02.024

24. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and Cardiovascular Disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2009) 53:1925–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.12.068

25. Zhou Q, Yang J, Tang H, Guo Z, Dong W, Wang Y, et al. High triglycerideglucose index is associated with poor prognosis of Heart Failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:263. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02001-4

26. Sheka AC, Adeyi O, Thompson J, Hameed B, Crawford PA, Ikramuddin S. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: A review. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1175-83. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2298

27. Lee YH, Kim KJ, Yoo ME, Kim G, Yoon HJ, Jo K, et al. Association of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with subclinical myocardial dysfunction in non-cirrhotic patients. *J Hepatol.* (2018) 68:764–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11.023

28. Yokota S, Tanaka H, Mochizuki Y, Soga F, Yamashita K, Tanaka Y, et al. Association of glycemic variability with left ventricular diastolic function in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2019) 18:166. doi: 10.1186/s12933-019-0971-5

29. Longo M, Scappaticcio L, Cirillo P, Maio A, Carotenuto R, Maiorino MI, et al. Glycemic control and the heart: the tale of diabetic cardiomyopathy continues. *Biomolecules.* (2022) 12:272. doi: 10.3390/biom12020272

30. Ray KK, Seshasai SR, Wijesuriya S, Sivakumaran R, Nethercott S, Preiss D, et al. Effect of intensive control of glucose on cardiovascular outcomes and death in patients with diabetes mellitus: a metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet.* (2009) 373:1765–72. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60697-8

31. Rawshani A, Franzén S, Sattar N, Eliasson B, Svensson AM, Zethelius B, et al. Risk factors, mortality, and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Observ Study N Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:633–44. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800256

32. Nagoshi T, Yoshimura M, Rosano GM, Lopaschuk GD, Mochizuki S. Optimization of cardiac metabolism in Heart Failure. *Curr Pharm Design.* (2011) 17:3846–53. doi: 10.2174/138161211798357773

33. Tuunanen H, Knuuti J. Metabolic remodelling in human Heart Failure. Cardiovasc Res. (2011) 90:251–7. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvr052

34. Falcao-Pires I, Leite-Moreira AF. Diabetic cardiomyopathy: understanding the molecular and cellular basis to progress in diagnosis and treatment. *Heart Fail Rev.* (2012) 17:325–44. doi: 10.1007/s10741-011-9257-z

35. Lebeche D, Davidoff AJ, Hajjar RJ. Interplay between impaired calcium regulation and insulin signaling abnormalities in diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med.* (2008) 5:715–24. doi: 10.1038/ncpcardio1347

36. Johnson A, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Sci Data.* (2023) 10:1. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

37. Cheng Y, Fang Z, Zhang X, Wen Y, Lu J, He S, et al. Association between triglyceride glucose-body mass index and cardiovascular outcomes in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a retrospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:75. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01794-8

38. Bell DS. Functional class in patients with Heart Failure is associated with the development of Diabetes. *Am J Med.* (2003) 115:412. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(03) 00392-9

39. Mamas MA, Deaton C, Rutter MK, Yuille M, Williams SG, Ray SG, et al. Impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in Heart Failure: underrecognized and undertreated? *J Card Fail*. (2010) 16:761–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2010.05.027

40. Heck PM, Dutka DP. Insulin resistance and heart failure. *Curr Heart Fail Rep.* (2009) 6:89–94. doi: 10.1007/s11897-009-0014-8