Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Adriana Laura Burgueño, National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET), Argentina

REVIEWED BY Maria Luisa Lazo De La Vega Monroy, University of Guanajuato, Mexico Luz Andreone,

Universidad Austral, Argentina *CORRESPONDENCE

Kathleen A. Pennington kathleen.pennington@bcm.edu R. Scott Rector rectors@health.missouri.edu

RECEIVED 19 September 2024 ACCEPTED 29 May 2025 PUBLISHED 25 June 2025

CITATION

Shryack GE, Krause AA, Hernandez Ruano S, Schulz LC, Pennington KA and Rector RS (2025) A murine model of gestational diabetes reveals MASLD risk and alterations in markers of hepatic mitochondrial metabolism. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1498764. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1498764

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Shryack, Krause, Hernandez Ruano, Schulz, Pennington and Rector. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A murine model of gestational diabetes reveals MASLD risk and alterations in markers of hepatic mitochondrial metabolism

Grace E. Shryack^{1,2,3}, Alexa A. Krause^{1,2,3}, Simone Hernandez Ruano⁴, Laura C. Schulz⁵, Kathleen A. Pennington^{4*} and R. Scott Rector^{1,2,3*}

¹Research Service, Harry S Truman Memorial Veterans Medical Center, Columbia, MO, United States, ²NextGen Precision Health, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ³Department of Nutrition and Exercise Physiology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States, ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States, ⁵Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Women's Health, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States

Introduction: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) impacts roughly 1 in 7 pregnancies and results in metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) in 30% of these women. Nonetheless, there exists a dearth of investigation into the relationship between GDM and MASLD. Here, we sought to investigate the potential role of hepatic mitochondrial function in GDM and MASLD.

Methods: One week prior to conception and throughout pregnancy, mice were fed either a low-fat control diet (CD) or a high-fat, high-sucrose (HFHS) diet to induce an established model of GDM. Maternal livers were collected at day 0, 6.5, 13.5 and 17.5 of pregnancy. Hepatic markers (via mRNA and western blot analyses) of mitochondrial biogenesis, autophagy, mitophagy, activity, and function were assessed, as well as markers of inflammation and antioxidant status were evaluated.

Results: Progressing gestation in both CD and GDM dams significantly decreased protein and mRNA markers of hepatic mitochondrial biogenesis (*Pgc1-a, Tfam*), autophagy (*Atg5, Sqstm1*), mitophagy (*Pink1, Bnip3*) and lipid handling (*Ampk*, pAMPK/AMPK, FAS, ACC, pACC, *Mttp*) with a main effect for time (P<0.05). HFHS-induced model of GDM lead to significant elevations in liver triglycerides and NAFLD Activity Score (NAS) (P<0.0001, P<0.0001) independent of body weight gain during gestation. MASLD development in the GDM mice occurred in conjunction with significant reductions in hepatic mitochondrial activity at day 6.5 (citrate synthase, p<0.01) and day 17.5 (β -HAD, citrate synthase, P<0.001) compared to CD mice. However, GDM lead to elevated protein and/or mRNA markers of mitochondrial biogenesis (*Tfam*), mitophagy (BNIP3, *Bnip3, Sqstm1, Pink1*), lipid handling (*Mttp*), inflammation (*Il-1* β , *Tnf-\alpha, Tgf-\beta*) and antioxidant defense (*Gxp1, Nfe2l2, Sod2*) (P<0.05).

Discussion: Pregnancy, independent of diet, decreased markers of liver mitochondrial biogenesis, autophagy, and mitophagy in dams. The GDM mouse model exhibited elevated hepatic TG and NAS, as well as decreased liver mitochondrial activity. These findings demonstrate that pregnancy and GDM significantly impact maternal liver mitochondrial metabolism and unveil new insight on the potential relationship between MASLD and GDM.

KEYWORDS

GDM, MASLD, NAFLD, mitochondria, liver

Introduction

The diagnosis of glucose intolerance during pregnancy or Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM), affects up to 14% of pregnancies globally (1, 2). Although pregnancy is a natural state of insulin resistance, women with GDM face a worsened level of insulin resistance coupled with glucose intolerance (3–8). This disease can then further be exacerbated by a lack of beta cell expansion in the pancreas, leading to reduced insulin secretion (9–11). This failure to adapt to the metabolic demands of pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes, GDM in subsequent pregnancies, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, increased body mass index, and cesarean delivery (12). Moreover, GDM induces adverse outcomes in the fetus, including increased risk for preterm birth, macrosomia, and type 2 diabetes (12).

Recently, studies have found that women with GDM have a heightened risk for developing metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), formerly known as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), during pregnancy and post-partum (13–15). Women with MASLD were three times more likely to develop GDM (16, 17). Furthermore, compared to their healthy, pregnant counterparts, women with GDM display alterations in hepatic serum metabolites such as lysophosphatidylcholine, glycerophospholipids, monoacylglycerol, serine, proline, leucine, and isoleucine (18, 19). These metabolites are involved in lipid and amino acid metabolism (19, 20), and such alterations of these metabolites may help explain the metabolic impact of GDM (21–23), but a mechanistic link between GDM and MASLD has yet to be determined.

MASLD, characterized by a greater than 5% of hepatocytes containing lipid, is the most common form of chronic liver disease (24, 25). MASLD is linked to several metabolic disorders including type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and obesity (24, 26). Although the specific cause of MASLD is unknown, there are a number of processes that influence this disease, such as alterations in lipid metabolism and mitochondrial function (27-30). The hepatic mitochondrion is responsible for the breakdown of different substrates via glycolysis and fatty acid oxidation which then feed into the TCA cycle and activates ATP synthesis via the electron transport chain, a process known as respiration (31-34). Further, functional mitochondria are in a constant cycle of turnover via biogenesis, autophagy, and mitophagy. During MASLD, mitochondrial respiration, metabolism, activity, and turnover are impaired (35–37). This creates a pool of poorly functioning mitochondria that exhibits inflammation, increases reactive oxygen species generation, and decreases in antioxidant capacity (35). The health of a hepatic mitochondria depends on the interaction between these processes and when impaired significantly contributes to the development and progression of MASLD (32, 37).

As stated previously, there is limited investigation into the mechanistic links between GDM and MASLD. Previous murine models of GDM have shown alterations in maternal hepatic electron transport chain and UCP2, a marker of ROS (38). Offspring of mice with GDM display impaired mitochondrial function and hepatic lipid accumulation (38, 39). The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of GDM on hepatic lipid metabolism, mitochondrial function, and inflammation in the maternal liver. It is imperative to understand how GDM and MASLD are connected. By evaluating the liver at varying time points during gestation, we can further understand the natural changes that occur in the liver in a healthy vs. GDM pregnancy. We hypothesized that GDM will significantly increase maternal hepatocellular injury.

Materials and methods

Experimental animals

The Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal procedures. All methods performed were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory animals. Seven-week-old female and 12-week-old male wild type C57BL/6J were purchased from JAX (Bar Harbor, ME). Mice were kept at 23°C, 40-60% humidity, and a 14-hour light/10-hour dark cycle. Mice had ab libitum access to food and water. Female mice were mated to C57BL/6J breeder males for five days. Following, observation of a copulatory plug was identified and marked as day 0 of pregnancy. A total of 60 mice were included in this study. CD: day 0 n=8, day 6.5 n=8, day 13.5 n=4, and day 17.5 n=10. GDM: day 0 n=8, day 6.5 n=5, day 13.5 n=7, and day 17.5 n=10.

Diet and timeline

Mice were randomized and fed either a high-fat, high-sucrose (HFHS) diet (D12451, Research Diets Inc., New Brunswick, NJ) or control diet (CD) (D12450K, Research Diets Inc.). Maternal feeding started 1-week prior to conception and continued throughout pregnancy. This feeding timeline has been verified to confirm a model of GDM in C57BL/6J mice (40–42). Livers were collected on days 0, 6.5, 13.5, and 17.5 of pregnancy. After a 6-hour fast, mice were euthanized via CO_2 inhalation and cardiac exsanguination.

Histology

Liver tissues fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours were embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) by IDEXX BioAnalytics (Columbia, MO, USA) for histological evaluation. Steatosis was scored by direct observation of the percentage of overall surface area covered by lipid accumulation within cells on a low to medium power and scored as a 0 (<5%), 1 (5-33%), 2 (33-66%), or 3 (>66%). Lobular inflammation refers to the number of foci with white blood cell infiltrate present per 200x powered field, scored as 0 (no foci), 1 (<2 foci), 2 (2-4 foci), or 3 (>4 foci). Hepatocyte ballooning refers to the presence of hepatocytes that have pathologically swollen with or without the presence of lipid vacuoles, indicating a trend towards apoptosis and necrosis of the cell, and is scored as 0 (no ballooned hepatocytes), 1 (rare but definite ballooned cells), or 2 (many/most cells are prominent with ballooning). NAS (NAFLD Activity Score) assessment consists of histologically examining the liver for steatosis, lobular inflammation, and hepatocyte ballooning utilizing H&E staining, and is indicated as a sum of these scores (0-8) (43).

Serum triglycerides and insulin

Triglycerides were isolated from the liver utilizing previous methods (44). Once extracted, liver and serum triglycerides were measured using the Serum Triglyceride Determination Ket (Sigma-Aldrich). Serum insulin was measured after a 6-hour fast using a Rat/Mouse Insulin ELISA kit from EMD Millipore according to the manufacturer's instructions. Serum insulin and triglycerides were part of previous studies that have been published (40–42).

Mitochondrial activity

 β -hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (β -HAD) and citrate synthase activities were measured utilizing methods from Srere et al. (45) and Bass et al. (46) as previously described by our lab (28, 47, 48). For citrate synthase, liver homogenates were incubated with acetyl-CoA, DTNB, and oxaloacetate. Following, detection of reduced DTNB at a wavelength of 412nm served as an index of enzymatic activity. For β -HAD, liver homogenate was placed in an assay buffer of EDTA, triethanolamine-HCl, and NADH at a pH of 7.0. After baseline reading, acetoacetyl-CoA was added, and the rates of NADH disappearance to NAD appearance ratio were measured every 10 seconds for 5 minutes at 340 nm.

RNA extraction and quantitative PCR

Gene expression was completed from whole liver tissue samples. RNA and complementary DNA were isolated based on previous protocols reported from our lab (48, 49). Quantitative Real-time PCR (qPCR) was conducted using iTAQ Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Results are displayed as RQ (Relative Quantification) and was calculated using the Ct of the target gene, Ct of the housekeeping gene, and the average control group (day 0, CD). Results were calculated using the delta Ct methods and values are expressed relative to the control group (day 0, CD). Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Western blotting

Whole liver homogenates were prepared for Western blot analysis as previously described (28, 47, 49, 50). Primary antibodies were used at 1:1,000 dilutions, and secondary antibodies at 1:5,000 dilutions. Primary antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Blots were analyzed via densiometric analysis (Image Lab v5.1, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA). Total protein was assessed with Amido black (0.1%; Millipore Sigma) to control differences in protein loading and transfer, as previously described (28, 47, 49, 50).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were completed using GraphPad Prism 10.0.2. All data was analyzed via two-way ANOVA (diet, time) with Tukey's multiple comparison *post-hoc* test employed when necessary. Differences were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM.

Results

Animal characteristics and MASLD development

As we have previously reported for this mouse model of GDM, a significant increase in body weight across pregnancy stages occurred in both groups with a main effect for time (Figure 1A, P<0.0001). There were significant main effects for diet and time for serum insulin, serum triglycerides, and liver triglycerides (P<0.01).

10.3389/fendo.2025.1498764

On days 13.5 and 17.5, serum insulin increased in CD, whereas GDM caused insulin secretion to be blunted (P=0.01, P=0.004, Figure 1B). This model, employed by our group, also exhibited systemic insulin resistance compared to control by day 13.5, as confirmed by glucose tolerance test and euglycemichyperinsulinemic clamp studies (41, 42). GDM mice compared to control mice displayed a non-significant elevation in serum triglycerides at day 0, but both groups were decreased by day 17.5 (Figure 1C). Liver triglycerides were markedly elevated in the GDM mice compared to control at day 17.5 (P<0.0001, Figure 1D). NAFLD activity score (NAS) and its three main components were evaluated to determine MASLD progression (Figure 1E). GDM displayed elevations in hepatic steatosis and significant increases in total NAS for day 0 (P<0.01), 6.5 (P<0.0001), and 17.5 (P<0.0001) compared to control mice. Hepatic inflammation and ballooning were also increased in GDM mice compared to CD at day 6.5 and 17.5 (P<0.05). There was a main effect for time and diet for all three components and NAS (P<0.01).

Markers of hepatic lipid metabolism decreased throughout gestation

Markers of hepatic lipid metabolism were evaluated throughout gestational development. mRNA expression of *Ampk* (AMPactivated protein kinase) decreased as pregnancy progressed (main effect for time, P<0.0001, Figure 2A) while protein expression of phospho-AMPK to AMPK decreased at day 6.5 but slightly increased by day 17.5 (main effect for time, P=0.0373, Figure 2B). Other major markers of lipid handling [fatty acid synthase (FAS), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), phospho-ACC, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (*Mttp*)] except ACC (acetyl-CoA Carboxylase) protein were significantly decreased with gestational duration (P<0.05, Figure 2). GDM significantly decreased hepatic *de novo* lipogenesis marker FAS (fatty acid synthase) protein at day 0 (P=0.024), day 6.5 (P<0.0001), and 17.5 (P=0.0001) compared to CD (Figure 2D) and there were main effects for GDM for ACC and phospho-ACC protein content (P<0.01, Figures 2E, F). *Mttp*, which aids in transporting lipids across cell membranes, was elevated at day 0 (P=0.007) and then decreased at day 6.5 (P=0.035) in GDM compared to control mice (Figure 2G).

Alterations in markers of mitochondrial turnover in GDM and gestation

Measures of hepatic mitochondrial function, citrate synthase and β -HAD activities, significantly decreased in dams with GDM at days 6.5 (P=0.001), 13.5 (P=0.019) and 17.5 (P=0.003) (Figure 3A). Markers of mitochondrial biogenesis, *Pgc1-α* (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha), *and Tfam* (mitochondrial transcription factor A) exhibited parallel declines as pregnancy progresses (main effect of time, P<0.0001, Figure 3B). Markers of autophagy (*Atg5*: Autophagy-related protein 5, *Sqstm1*: Sequestosome-1) and mitophagy (*Pink1*: PTEN Induced Kinase 1, *Bnip3*: BCL2 Interacting Protein 3) in both groups were significantly attenuated as gestation progressed to day 17.5 (main



Animal characteristics. (A) Body weight of dams throughout stages of pregnancy (g). (B) Fasting serum insulin concentrations (ng/ml). (C) Serum triglycerides (mg/dL). Data were previously reported (40-42). (D) Liver triglycerides (mg/dL). (E) NAFLD Activity Score and Liver H&E Representative images. D0 = day 0, D6.5 = day 6.5, D13.5 = day 13.5, D17.5 = day 17.5 of pregnancy. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ***P<0.001 all denote an interaction. Main effect for time and/or diet indicated under each graph. Error bars represent SEM. N=6-10. CD, Control Diet; GDM, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.



effect for time, P<0.0001, Figures 3C, D). GDM significantly increased BNIP3 protein and mRNA expression at day 0 compared with CD mice (P=0.004 and P<0.001, respectively, Figure 3D) but had similar expression to CD by day 17.5. Interestingly, there was a main effect for diet with GDM mice exhibiting elevated protein and/or mRNA markers of mitochondrial biogenesis (*Tfam*), autophagy (*Sqstm1*), mitophagy (BNIP3, *Bnip3, Pink1*) (P<0.05).

GDM elevates markers of inflammation and antioxidant defense

GDM had no effect on the protein expression of hepatic CD68 (cluster of differentiation 68), a marker of macrophage infiltration, but there was a main effect for time (P=0.0077, Figure 4A). Time during gestation also significantly decreased other markers of inflammation [*Il*-1 β (interleukin-1 beta), *Tnf*- α (tumor necrosis



factor-alpha), and $Tgf-\beta$ (transforming growth factor β)] and hepatic markers of antioxidant defense [*Nfe2l2* (nuclear-factor erythroid-derived 2-like 2), *Sod2* (superoxide dismutase 2), *Gpx1* (glutathione peroxidase 1)] (Figure 4B). GDM markedly upregulated *Il-1* β , *Tnf-* α , and Gpx1 at day 0 (P<0.0001, Figure 4A). There was also a main effect for diet with increases in hepatic Tnf- α (P<0.001), *Tgf-* β (P=0.0009), *Nfe2l* (P=0.0287), *Sod2* (P=0.0207), and *Gpx1* (P=0.0003) (Figure 4B).

Discussion

Gestational diabetes mellitus is associated with an increased risk of MASLD, but there is a paucity of data mechanistically linking these two conditions. Here we provide novel evidence in an established high fat, high sucrose-fed mouse model of GDM (40– 42) that changes in maternal liver lipid metabolism may play an important role in GDM pathology. GDM mice developed hepatic steatosis, the accumulation of lipid in the liver, with increases in hepatocellular inflammation and ballooning degeneration, consistent with MASH (35). The GDM mice also exhibited global downregulation in markers of lipid metabolism, and mitochondrial function, biogenesis, mitophagy, and autophagy with increasing gestation time.

Heightened insulin resistance is the distinguishing feature of GDM that is commonly accompanied by inadequate pancreatic beta cell expansion (51). Obesity is a risk factor for the development of GDM (and MASLD), but it has been found that up to one third of women with GDM are considered lean (BMI < 18.5 kg/m^2) (52). To better characterize the condition, GDM is now being classified into subtypes dependent on insulin metabolism. Approximately 50% of women with GDM will have systemic insulin resistance, 35% will display insufficient insulin secretion, and 15% will exhibit variations of altered insulin metabolism (53–55). These data highlight the importance of understanding and investigating the varying pathophysiology of GDM. The mouse model used in the current



study exhibited systemic insulin resistance and impaired insulin secretion with attenuated beta cell expansion, making it a preferred translational model to investigate GDM (40-42).

During healthy gestation, several metabolic adaptations occur such as elevated glucose utilization, decreases in fatty acid oxidation in the liver, and subsequent systemic insulin resistance (56, 57). As pregnancy progresses into its later stages, adipose tissue undergoes increased lipolysis to ensure sufficient circulating free fatty acids (58). GDM appears to extend these adaptations beyond what is metabolically necessary, aligning with MASLD development. Here, we found in our model of GDM that maternal liver triglycerides were significantly elevated at day 17.5 compared to control diet fed mice, while serum triglycerides were markedly decreased (Figure 1). Similarly, NAS, which includes steatosis, was markedly increased at day 0, 6.5, and 17.5 in GDM compared to CD with elevations occurring as gestation processed. Our data showed that markers of lipid breakdown (Ampk, pAMPK/AMPK) and export (Mttp) decreased as gestation progresses, in both groups. These data suggest that increased hepatic lipid uptake occurs in GDM, concomitant with significant decreases in lipid breakdown and export. This outcome was accompanied with decreased levels of FAS and ACC, markers of hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL), which is the formation of fats in the liver from other sources such as sugar (59). Decreased markers of hepatic DNL correspond with other short-term high fat diet feedings studies that show similar reductions (60–64). Overall, these data suggest GDM significantly decreases in lipid breakdown and export, eliciting the formation of lipid accumulation in the liver.

The mitochondrion is the main residence for lipid import and break down. The dysfunction of this organelle is an important feature of MASLD development (27, 28). With our findings of altered lipid storage and export, we hypothesized that our model of GDM would display significant alterations in hepatic mitochondrial function, aligning with MASLD progression. Citrate synthase and β-HAD activities, enzymes that catalyze the TCA cycle and fatty acid βoxidation within the mitochondria, respectively, were both significantly decreased in GDM mice compared to control mice by day 17.5 (65). Interestingly, early in GDM pregnancy, markers of mitochondrial activity (citrate synthase, β-HAD), biogenesis (Tfam), autophagy (Atg5), and mitophagy (BNIP3, Bnip3, Pink1) were either significantly elevated or trending. Similar outcomes were seen with inflammation (Il1-B, Tnf-a, Tgf-B) and antioxidant defense (Sod2, Gpx1). This information suggests that early pregnancy is a critical window where transcriptional hepatic adaptations to GDM first emerge. In line with these findings, work from our group have previously shown that mitochondrial dysfunction actually precedes MASLD development (28), and findings from others suggest that alterations in plasma lipidomics in early pregnacy predict GDM development (21-23). It is known that pregnancy requires great

metabolic flexibility, and substrate and additional energy requirements by the fetus, placenta, and uterus take priority (66–68). Regardless of GDM or control conditions, we found that all measures of mitochondrial turnover, lipid metabolism, inflammation, and antioxidant defense were attenuated by day 17.5 of pregnancy. Early pregnancy displays the largest alterations in hepatic mRNA and protein markers between GDM and CD, but it is not until later in pregnancy that the liver cannot compensate, and it develops overt metabolic characteristics of MASLD exhibited by increased liver triglycerides, elevated NAS (steatosis, inflammation, and ballooning), and attenuated mitochondrial activity.

One of the strengths of this study is the use of an established dietfed mouse model to develop GDM. This model closely mimics key metabolic features of human GDM, such as insulin resistance and impaired insulin secretion. Furthermore, this is the first study to evaluate the impact of GDM on hepatic markers of mitochondrial activity, biogenesis, mitophagy, autophagy, inflammation, antioxidant defense, and lipid metabolism throughout gestation. Despite these strengths, there are limitations. While the HFHS-fed mouse model does recapitulate GDM pathophysiology, it is important to note that pregnancy in a human is much lengthier and likely more complex, limiting the translation of these findings from rodent GDM to human GDM. Secondly, the present study does not include any non-pregnant mice as a control group. Although previous literature suggests that control-diet fed non-pregnant mice would not display any hepatic metabolic alterations over time (69-72), direct comparisons with this experimental setting would help strengthen the conclusions.

In conclusion, our data demonstrates that HFHS feeding in a GDM model leads to increased maternal hepatic triglyceride accumulation, elevated NAS, and reduced mitochondrial activity, accompanied by increases in hepatic inflammation and antioxidant defense. Pregnancy itself acts as a powerful metabolic switch, reprogramming nutrient utilization and energy balance to support fetal development. This metabolic shift appears strong enough to override liver adaptations to high-fat diet-induced GDM, ensuring continued development of the fetus and support organs but leading to hepatic lipid increases. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate and characterize alterations in hepatic mitochondrial and lipid metabolism during both normal gestation and in a GDM model. These findings highlight the significant impact of gestation and GDM on liver health and MASLD risk.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

GS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AK: Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SR: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. LS: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. KP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. RR: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The work was supported in part by VA-Merit Grant I01BX003271 (Salary support for RR) and NIH grant R03HD105831 (LS). This work was supported by resources and the use of facilities at the University of Missouri and Harry S. Truman Memorial Veterans Hospital in Columbia, MO.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025.1498764/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. (2010) 33 Suppl 1:S62-69. doi: 10.2337/dc10-S062

2. Sweeting A, Hannah W, Backman H, Catalano P, Feghali M, Herman WH, et al. Epidemiology and management of gestational diabetes. *Lancet.* (2024) 404:175–92. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00825-0

3. Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Wolfe RR, Calles J, Roman NM, Amini SB, et al. Carbohydrate metabolism during pregnancy in control subjects and women with gestational diabetes. *Am J Physiol.* (1993) 264:E60–67. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.1993.264.1.E60

4. Yang SJ, Kim TN, Baik SH, Kim TS, Lee KW, Nam M, et al. Insulin secretion and insulin resistance in Korean women with gestational diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance. *Korean J Intern Med.* (2013) 28:306–13. doi: 10.3904/kjim.2013.28.3.306

5. Homko C, Sivan E, Chen X, Reece EA, Boden G. Insulin secretion during and after pregnancy in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2001) 86:568–73. doi: 10.1210/jc.86.2.568

6. McIntyre HD, Catalano P, Zhang C, Desoye G, Mathiesen ER, Damm P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2019) 5:47. doi: 10.1038/s41572-019-0098-8

7. American Diabetes Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2019. *Diabetes Care*. (2019) 42:S13-s28. doi: 10.2337/dc19-S002

8. Baz B, Riveline JP, Gautier JF. ENDOCRINOLOGY OF PREGNANCY: Gestational diabetes mellitus: definition, aetiological and clinical aspects. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2016) 174:R43-51. doi: 10.1530/EJE-15-0378

9. Salazar-Petres ER, Sferruzzi-Perri AN. Pregnancy-induced changes in β -cell function: what are the key players? J Physiol. (2022) 600:1089–117. doi: 10.1113/JP281082

10. Lee K, Kuang A, Bain JR, Hayes MG, Muehlbauer MJ, Ilkayeva OR, et al. and Lowe WL, Jr. Metabolomic and genetic architecture of gestational diabetes subtypes. *Diabetologia*. (2024) 67:895–907. doi: 10.1007/s00125-024-06110-x

11. Mittal R, Prasad K, Lemos JRN, Arevalo G, Hirani K. Unveiling gestational diabetes: an overview of pathophysiology and management. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2025) 26. doi: 10.3390/ijms26052320

12. Gregory EC, Ely DM. Trends and characteristics in gestational diabetes: United States, 2016-2020. Natl Vital Stat Rep. (2022) 71:1–15.

13. Ajmera VH, Gunderson EP, VanWagner LB, Lewis CE, Carr JJ, Terrault NA. Gestational diabetes mellitus is strongly associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2016) 111:658–64. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2016.57

14. Lavrentaki A, Thomas T, Subramanian A, Valsamakis G, Thomas N, Toulis KA, et al. Increased risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in women with gestational diabetes mellitus: A population-based cohort study, systematic review and meta-analysis. J Diabetes Complications. (2019) 33:107401. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2019.06.006

15. Cho Y, Chang Y, Ryu S, Kim C, Wild SH, Byrne CD. History of gestational diabetes and incident nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: the Kangbuk Samsung health study. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2023) 118:1980–8. doi: 10.14309/ajg.00000000002250

16. Song S, Duo Y, Zhang Y, Qiao X, Xu J, Zhang J, et al. The predictive ability of hepatic steatosis index for gestational diabetes mellitus and large for gestational age infant compared with other noninvasive indices among Chinese pregnancies: A preliminary double-center cohort study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. (2021) 14:4791–800. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S335364

17. Retnakaran R, Luo J, Shah BR. Gestational diabetes in young women predicts future risk of serious liver disease. *Diabetologia*. (2019) 62:306–10. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4775-z

18. Zhan Y, Wang J, He X, Huang M, Yang X, He L, et al. Plasma metabolites, especially lipid metabolites, are altered in pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2021) 517:139–48. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2021.02.023

19. Zhao H, Li H, Chung ACK, Xiang L, Li X, Zheng Y, et al. Large-scale longitudinal metabolomics study reveals different trimester-specific alterations of metabolites in relation to gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Proteome Res.* (2019) 18:292–300. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00602

20. Wang Y, Pan XF, Pan A. Lipidomics in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. (2023) 34:1–11. doi: 10.1097/MOL.00000000000858

21. Chen X, Chen H, Zhang Y, Jiang Y, Wang Y, Huang X, et al. Maternal liver dysfunction in early pregnancy predisposes to gestational diabetes mellitus independent of preconception overweight: A prospective cohort study. *Bjog.* (2022) 129:1695–703. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17117

22. Wang Y, Huang Y, Wu P, Ye Y, Sun F, Yang X, et al. Plasma lipidomics in early pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective nested case-control study in Chinese women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2021) 114:1763–73. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab242

23. Zhang Z, Zhou Z, Li H. The role of lipid dysregulation in gestational diabetes mellitus: Early prediction and postpartum prognosis. *J Diabetes Investig.* (2024) 15:15–25. doi: 10.1111/jdi.14119

24. Cotter TG, Rinella M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease 2020: the state of the disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2020) 158:1851-64. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.01.052

25. Perumpail BJ, Khan MA, Yoo ER, Cholankeril G, Kim D, Ahmed A. Clinical epidemiology and disease burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2017) 23:8263–76. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i47.8263

26. Pouwels S, Sakran N, Graham Y, Leal A, Pintar T, Yang W, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): a review of pathophysiology, clinical management and effects of weight loss. *BMC Endocr Disord.* (2022) 22:63. doi: 10.1186/s12902-022-00980-1

27. Wei Y, Rector RS, Thyfault JP, Ibdah JA. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and mitochondrial dysfunction. World J Gastroenterol. (2008) 14:193–9. doi: 10.3748/wjg.14.193

28. Rector RS, Thyfault JP, Uptergrove GM, Morris EM, Naples SP, Borengasser SJ, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction precedes insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis and contributes to the natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in an obese rodent model. *J Hepatol.* (2010) 52:727–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.11.030

29. Prasun P, Ginevic I, Oishi K. Mitochondrial dysfunction in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and alcohol related liver disease. *Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 6:4. doi: 10.21037/tgh-20-125

30. Ramanathan R, Ali AH, Ibdah JA. Mitochondrial dysfunction plays central role in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Int J Mol Sci. (2022) 23. doi: 10.3390/ijms23137280

31. Javadov S, Kozlov AV, Camara AKS. Mitochondria in health and diseases. *Cells.* (2020) 9. doi: 10.3390/cells9051177

32. Morio B, Panthu B, Bassot A, Rieusset J. Role of mitochondria in liver metabolic health and diseases. *Cell Calcium*. (2021) 94:102336. doi: 10.1016/j.ceca.2020.102336

33. Ajaz S, McPhail MJ, Gnudi L, Trovato FM, Mujib S, Napoli S, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction as a mechanistic biomarker in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Mitochondrion*. (2021) 57:119-30. doi: 10.1016/j.mito.2020.12.010

34. Fromenty B, Roden M. Mitochondrial alterations in fatty liver diseases. J Hepatol. (2023) 78:415–29. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2022.09.020

35. Pierantonelli I, Svegliati-Baroni G. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: basic pathogenetic mechanisms in the progression from NAFLD to NASH. *Transplantation*. (2019) 103:e1-e13. doi: 10.1097/TP.00000000002480

36. Longo M, Meroni M, Paolini E, Macchi C, Dongiovanni P. Mitochondrial dynamics and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): new perspectives for a fairy-tale ending? *Metabolism*. (2021) 117:154708. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154708

37. Legaki AI, Moustakas II, Sikorska M, Papadopoulos G, Velliou RI, Chatzigeorgiou A. Hepatocyte mitochondrial dynamics and bioenergetics in obesity-related non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Curr Obes Rep.* (2022) 11:126–43. doi: 10.1007/s13679-022-00473-1

38. Stevanović-Silva J, Beleza J, Coxito P, Pereira S, Rocha H, Gaspar TB, et al. Maternal high-fat high-sucrose diet and gestational exercise modulate hepatic fat accumulation and liver mitochondrial respiratory capacity in mothers and male offspring. *Metabolism.* (2021) 116:154704. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154704

39. Pereira TJ, Fonseca MA, Campbell KE, Moyce BL, Cole LK, Hatch GM, et al. Maternal obesity characterized by gestational diabetes increases the susceptibility of rat offspring to hepatic steatosis via a disrupted liver metabolome. *J Physiol.* (2015) 593:3181–97. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2015.593.issue-14

40. Pennington KA, Dong Y, Ruano SH, van der Walt N, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Yallampalli C. Brief high fat high sugar diet results in altered energy and fat metabolism during pregnancy in mice. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:20866. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-77529-6

41. Pennington KA, van der Walt N, Pollock KE, Talton OO, Schulz LC. Effects of acute exposure to a high-fat, high-sucrose diet on gestational glucose tolerance and subsequent maternal health in mice. *Biol Reprod.* (2017) 96:435–45. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.116.144543

42. Mishra A, Ruano SH, Saha PK, Pennington KA. A novel model of gestational diabetes: Acute high fat high sugar diet results in insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction during pregnancy in mice. *PloS One.* (2022) 17:e0279041. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0279041

43. Brunt EM, Janney CG, Di Bisceglie AM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Bacon BR. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (1999) 94:2467–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.1999.01377.x

44. Folch J, Lees M, Sloane Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissues. *J Biol Chem.* (1957) 226:497–509. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)64849-5

45. Srere PA. Citrate synthase: [EC 4.1.3.7. Citrate oxaloacetate-lyase (CoA-acetylating). *Methods Enzymol.* (1969) 13:3–11. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(69)13005-0

46. Bass A, Brdiczka D, Eyer P, Hofer S, Pette D. Metabolic differentiation of distinct muscle types at the level of enzymatic organization. *Eur J Biochem.* (1969) 10:198–206. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1969.tb00674.x

47. Rector RS, Thyfault JP, Morris RT, Laye MJ, Borengasser SJ, Booth FW, et al. Daily exercise increases hepatic fatty acid oxidation and prevents steatosis in Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty rats. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2008) 294: G619–626. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00428.2007

48. Linden MA, Fletcher JA, Meers GM, Thyfault JP, Laughlin MH. and Rector RS. A return to ad libitum feeding following caloric restriction promotes hepatic steatosis in

hyperphagic OLETF rats. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. (2016) 311:G387-395. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00089.2016

49. Moore MP, Cunningham RP, Meers GM, Johnson SA, Wheeler AA, Ganga RR, et al. Compromised hepatic mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and reduced markers of mitochondrial turnover in human NAFLD. *Hepatology.* (2022) 76:1452–65. doi: 10.1002/hep.32324

50. Moore MP, Shryack G, Alessi I, Wieschhaus N, Meers GM, Johnson SA, et al. Relationship between serum β -hydroxybutyrate and hepatic fatty acid oxidation in individuals with obesity and NAFLD. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* (2024) 326: E493–e502. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00336.2023

51. Moyce BL, Dolinsky VW. Maternal β -cell adaptations in pregnancy and placental signalling: implications for gestational diabetes. Int J Mol Sci. (2018) 19. doi: 10.3390/ijms19113467

52. Wadivkar P, Hawkins M. Is gestational diabetes mellitus in lean women a distinct entity warranting a modified management approach? *Front Clin Diabetes Healthc.* (2024) 5:1338597. doi: 10.3389/fcdhc.2024.1338597

53. Kotzaeridi G, Blätter J, Eppel D, Rosicky I, Linder T, Geissler F, et al. Characteristics of gestational diabetes subtypes classified by oral glucose tolerance test values. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2021) 51:e13628. doi: 10.1111/eci.13628

54. Ortega-Montiel J, Martinez-Juarez LA, Montoya A, Morales-Juárez L, Gallardo-Rincón H, Galicia-Hernández V, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus subtypes classified by oral glucose tolerance test and maternal and perinatal outcomes: results of a Mexican multicenter prospective cohort study "Cuido Mi Embarazo. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* (2024) 17:1491–502. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S450939

55. Powe CE, Allard C, Battista MC, Doyon M, Bouchard L, Ecker JL, et al. Heterogeneous contribution of insulin sensitivity and secretion defects to gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care.* (2016) 39:1052–5. doi: 10.2337/dc15-2672

56. Fang H, Li Q, Wang H, Ren Y, Zhang L, Yang L. Maternal nutrient metabolism in the liver during pregnancy. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2024) 15:1295677. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1295677

57. Wild R, Feingold KR. Effect of pregnancy on lipid metabolism and lipoprotein levels. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Blackman MR, Boyce A, Chrousos G, Corpas E, et al, editors. *Endotext.* MDText.com, Inc. Copyright © 2000-2024, MDText.com, Inc., South Dartmouth (MA (2000).

58. Chavan-Gautam P, Rani A, Freeman DJ. Distribution of fatty acids and lipids during pregnancy. Adv Clin Chem. (2018) 84:209-39. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2017.12.006

59. Kawano Y, Cohen DE. Mechanisms of hepatic triglyceride accumulation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Gastroenterol.* (2013) 48:434–41. doi: 10.1007/s00535-013-0758-5

60. Costabile G, Della Pepa G, Salamone D, Luongo D, Naviglio D, Brancato V, et al. Reduction of *de novo* lipogenesis mediates beneficial effects of isoenergetic diets on fatty liver: mechanistic insights from the MEDEA randomized clinical trial. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14. doi: 10.3390/nu14102178

61. Schwarz JM, Linfoot P, Dare D, Aghajanian K. Hepatic *de novo* lipogenesis in normoinsulinemic and hyperinsulinemic subjects consuming high-fat, low-carbohydrate and low-fat, high-carbohydrate isoenergetic diets. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2003) 77:43–50. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/77.1.43

62. Duarte JA, Carvalho F, Pearson M, Horton JD, Browning JD, Jones JG. and Burgess SC. A high-fat diet suppresses *de novo* lipogenesis and desaturation but not elongation and triglyceride synthesis in mice. *J Lipid Res.* (2014) 55:2541–53. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M052308

63. Reis-Costa A, Belew GD, Viegas I, Tavares LC, Meneses MJ, Patrício B, et al. The effects of long-term high fat and/or high sugar feeding on sources of postprandial hepatic glycogen and triglyceride synthesis in mice. *Nutrients*. (2024) 16. doi: 10.3390/ nu16142186

64. Goedeke L, Strober JW, Suh R, Paolella LM, Li X, Rogers JC, et al. High-fat-dietinduced hepatic insulin resistance per se attenuates murine *de novo* lipogenesis. *iScience*. (2024) 27:111175. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2024.111175

65. Nassir F, Ibdah JA. Role of mitochondria in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2014) 15:8713–42. doi: 10.3390/ijms15058713

66. Lain KY, Catalano PM. Metabolic changes in pregnancy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* (2007) 50:938–48. doi: 10.1097/GRF.0b013e31815a5494

67. Prentice AM, Goldberg GR. Energy adaptations in human pregnancy: limits and long-term consequences. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2000) 71:1226s–32s. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/71.5.1226s

68. Armistead B, Johnson E, VanderKamp R, Kula-Eversole E, Kadam L, Drewlo S, et al. Placental regulation of energy homeostasis during human pregnancy. *Endocrinology*. (2020) 161. doi: 10.1210/endocr/bqaa076

69. Poussin C, Ibberson M, Hall D, Ding J, Soto J, Abel ED, et al. Oxidative phosphorylation flexibility in the liver of mice resistant to high-fat diet-induced hepatic steatosis. *Diabetes*. (2011) 60:2216–24. doi: 10.2337/db11-0338

70. Ciapaite J, van den Broek NM, Te Brinke H, Nicolay K, Jeneson JA, Houten SM, et al. Differential effects of short- and long-term high-fat diet feeding on hepatic fatty acid metabolism in rats. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* (2011) 1811:441–51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2011.05.005

71. Velázquez KT, Enos RT, Bader JE, Sougiannis AT, Carson MS, Chatzistamou I, et al. Prolonged high-fat-diet feeding promotes non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and alters gut microbiota in mice. *World J Hepatol.* (2019) 11:619–37. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v11.i8.619

72. Undamatla R, Fagunloye OG, Chen J, Edmunds LR, Murali A, Mills A, et al. Reduced mitophagy is an early feature of NAFLD and liver-specific PARKIN knockout hastens the onset of steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:7575. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-34710-x

Glossary

GDM	Gestational Diabetes Mellitus	PGC1-a	Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma
MASLD	Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Steatotic Liver Disease		coactivator 1-aipna
MASH	Metabolic-Dysfunction Associated Steatohepatitis	TFAM	Mitochondrial transcription factor A
CD68	Cluster of Differentiation 68	PINK1	PTEN Induced Kinase 1
ACC	Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase	SQSTM1	Sequestosome 1
pACC	phosphorylated Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase	BNIP3	BCL2 interacting protein 3
FAS	Fatty acid synthase	ATG5	Autophagy protein 5
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor beta	SOD2	Superoxide dismutase 2
NFE2L2/NRF2	Nuclear factor, erythroid 2-like 2	GPX1	Glutathione peroxidase 1
β-HAD	β-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase	TNF-α	Tumor necrosis factor alpha
АМРК	AMP-activated protein kinase	IL1-β	Interleukin-1 beta
PPIB	Peptidylprolyl Isomerase B	MTTP	Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein