#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Mati Pääsuke, University of Tartu, Estonia

#### REVIEWED BY

Michael Edwin Edmonds, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom Syeda Kanwal Batool Naqvi, Washington University in St. Louis, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanfen Hu Muyanfen713@xjtu.edu.cn Lingxia Li 1633529972@gg.com

RECEIVED 22 November 2024 ACCEPTED 06 June 2025 PUBLISHED 24 June 2025

#### CITATION

Hu Y, Yu J, Xu Z, Gao Y, Li Y and Li L (2025) Association between metabolic score for insulin resistance and lower limb pain among the elderly: a cross-sectional study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1530067. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1530067

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Hu, Yu, Xu, Gao, Li and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Association between metabolic score for insulin resistance and lower limb pain among the elderly: a cross-sectional study

Yanfen Hu <sup>1</sup>\*, Junxia Yu <sup>1</sup>, Zhenjie Xu<sup>2</sup>, Yan Gao<sup>2</sup>, Yang Li<sup>1</sup> and Lingxia Li <sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geriatric Endocrinology, Metabolism and Respiratory Medicine (the Cadre Ward), The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, <sup>2</sup>Jianjiyue Biomedical Research Center, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

**Purpose:** This study aims to investigate the association between the metabolic score for insulin resistance (Mets-IR) and lower limb pain (LLP) among the elderly.

**Methods:** Baseline and follow-up data were collected from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) database in 2011 and 2018, respectively. Multivariable logistic regression analysis and restricted cubic spline were performed to explored the relationship between Mets-IR and LLP. Subgroup analysis was also conducted.

**Results:** A total of 4983 participants were included in the study, among which 3350 (67.2%) suffered from LLP. Logistic regression analysis confirmed a statistically significant relationship between Mets-IR and LLP risk (p < 0.001). Restricted cubic spline plots indicated that the association between Mets-IR and LLP is not nonlinear. Both subgroup and interaction analyses hinted at the influence of variation in sleep duration on this correlation.

**Conclusions:** The findings indicate a positive correlation between Mets-IR and LLP risk, which is influenced reciprocally by sleep duration.

#### KEYWORDS

lower limb pain, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, metabolic score for insulin resistance, cross-sectional study

# 1 Introduction

Lower limb pain (LLP) is a prevalent condition that encompasses discomfort in the hip, knee, ankle, and foot (1). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has identified LLP as a significant source of chronic pain among adults (2). This type of pain is particularly common among the elderly, with many seniors experiencing discomfort in multiple lower limb joints simultaneously (3). LLP is often associated with activities that exert stress on the lower limbs, such as frequent jumping, bouncing, and sudden strenuous

movements (4). As research into LLP has advanced, several key risk factors have been identified. These include advancing age, gender, physical inactivity, heart disease, chronic metabolic disorders (e.g., kidney disease), and obesity (5, 6). Moreover, metabolic disorders have been shown to contribute to joint pain in the limbs (7). Notably, the severity of knee pain is closely linked to metabolic syndrome and its components, with central obesity being a primary mediator of severe knee pain (8).

Insulin resistance (IR) is a state characterized by reduced sensitivity and responsiveness to the actions of insulin, often occurring several years prior to the onset of diabetes (9). Studies have shown that hyperinsulinemia induced by IR accelerates the production of fatty acids, impedes the normal function of insulin, and triggers early atherosclerosis and abnormal blood pressure (10). The Metabolic Score for Insulin Resistance (Mets-IR) is a quantitative tool used to evaluate the degree of IR in individuals by integrating multiple metabolic indicators associated with IR. It combines parameters such as fasting plasma glucose (FPG), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and body mass index (BMI) to reflect the overall state of metabolic disturbances in the body (11). Mets-IR is a commonly used clinical surrogate marker that can effectively identify individuals at high risk of IR-related pathological changes (11). A cohort study conducted on the Chinese population found that, compared to the TG/HDL-C ratio and the triglyceride-glucose index (TyG), Mets-IR demonstrates stronger predictive ability for cardiovascular diseases (12). Nevertheless, the relationship between Mets-IR and LLP remains underexplored, particularly with regard to the potential association between LLP and Mets-IR in older adults.

The objective of this study was to examine the relationship between these two variables. A better understanding of the factors influencing LLP may be gained by assessing them using the Mets-IR. This would facilitate a more detailed study of LLP-related diseases.

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Study design and data source

The data for this study originated from the 2011 iteration of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). CHARLS, a nationally representative longitudinal survey in China, focuses on adults aged 45 and older and their spouses, encompassing evaluations of their social, economic, and health conditions. Conducted between June 2011 and March 2012, CHARLS 2011 enrolled 17,705 participants from 450 communities spanning 28 provinces. The CHARLS was ethically approved by the institutional review board at Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). All participants signed an informed consent form before taking part in the survey (13, 14).

## 2.2 Participants

The data for this study were derived from baseline data collected in 2011 and follow-up data collected in 2018. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age < 45; 2) missing values in LLP; 3) outliers in covariates; 4) could not calculate Mets-IR. Ultimately, 4,983 participants were included in the study (Figure 1).



**Abbreviations:** CHARLS, the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; LLP, lower limb pain; Mets-IR, Metabolic Score for Insulin Resistance; TG, triglycerides; TyG, triglyceride-glucose index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; IR, insulin resistance; BMI, body mass index; IL-6, interleukin-6; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.

## 2.3 Outcomes

LLP was assessed based on responses to the following question: Are you often troubled by pain in any part of your body? If the

### TABLE 1 The basic characteristics of participants at baseline.

Variables	Overall	Non-LLP	LLP	р
N (%)	4983 (100)	1633 (32.8)	3350 (67.2)	
Age (year, %)				< 0.001
45-60	3075 (61.7)	1092 (66.9)	1983 (59.2)	
60-90	1905 (38.2)	539 (33.0)	1366 (40.8)	
>90	3 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	
Gender (%)				< 0.001
Female	3023 (60.7)	865 (53.0)	2158 (64.4)	
Male	1960 (39.3)	768 (47.0)	1192 (35.6)	
Education (%)				< 0.001
College+	48 (1.0)	22 (1.3)	26 (0.8)	
High school	390 (7.8)	162 (9.9)	228 (6.8)	
Primary-	4545 (91.2)	1449 (88.7)	3096 (92.4)	
Marital (%)				0.002
Married	4208 (84.4)	1417 (86.8)	2791 (83.3)	
unmarried	775 (15.6)	216 (13.2)	559 (16.7)	
Location (%)				0.657
City	287 (5.8)	98 (6.0)	189 (5.6)	
Village	4695 (94.2)	1535 (94.0)	3160 (94.4)	
Take anti- hypertensive drugs (%)				0.730
Yes	963 (75.8)	258 (75.0)	705 (76.1)	
No	307 (24.2)	86 (25.0)	221 (23.9)	
Take anti-diabetic drugs (%)				0.949
Yes	186 (64.4)	49 (65.3)	137 (64.0)	
No	103 (35.6)	26 (34.7)	77 (36.0)	
Take anti-tumor drugs (%)				0.924
Yes	24 (49.0)	8 (53.3)	16 (47.1)	
No	25 (51.0)	7 (46.7)	18 (52.9)	
Take anti-stroke drugs (%)				0.730
Yes	963 (75.8)	258 (75.0)	705 (76.1)	
No	307 (24.2)	86 (25.0)	221 (23.9)	
Taking anti- psychotic drugs (%)				0.192
Yes	48 (39.7)	15 (51.7)	33 (35.9)	
No	73 (60.3)	14 (48.3)	59 (64.1)	
Smoking (%)				< 0.001
Non-smoker	3240 (65.0)	967 (59.2)	2273 (67.9)	

TABLE 1 Continued

Variables	Overall	Non-LLP	LLP	p
Ex-smoker	1743 (35.0)	666 (40.8)	1077 (32.1)	
Frequency of drinking (%)				<0.001
≥1/per month	1114 (22.4)	438 (26.8)	676 (20.2)	
<1/per month	375 (7.5)	121 (7.4)	254 (7.6)	
None of those	3494 (70.1)	1074 (65.8)	2420 (72.2)	
Sleep duration (h, %)				<0.001
<6	2677 (53.7)	804 (49.2)	1873 (55.9)	
6-9	1949 (39.1)	706 (43.2)	1243 (37.1)	
>9	357 (7.2)	123 (7.5)	234 (7.0)	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )				< 0.001
<18.5	330 (6.6)	108 (6.6)	222 (6.6)	
18.5-25.0	3049 (61.2)	1072 (65.6)	1977 (59.0)	
25.0-30.0	1334 (26.8)	385 (23.6)	949 (28.3)	
≥30.0	270 (5.4)	68 (4.2)	202 (6.0)	
TG (mg/dL, mean, SD)	134.5 (110.8)	132.5 (115.3)	135.5 (108.6)	0.374
HDL-C (mg/dL, mean, SD)	51.5 (15.2)	51.4 (15.4)	51.6 (15.2)	0.676
FPG (mg/dL, mean, SD)	109.5 (33.8)	107.1 (27.0)	110.7 (36.6)	<0.001
TyG	8.7 (0.7)	8.7 (0.7)	8.7 (0.7)	0.004
TG/HDL-C	3.3 (5.9)	3.3 (5.4)	3.4 (6.2)	0.666
Mets-IR	35.8 (8.6)	35.3 (8.3)	36.1 (8.8)	0.002

Data were summarized as mean (95% confidence intervals) or percentage (95% confidence intervals) according to their data type. LLP, lower limb pain; BMI, body mass index; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; Mets-IR, metabolic score for insulin resistance.

participants answered in the affirmative, the following question was: on what part of your body do you feel pain?

## 2.4 Assessment of Mets-IR

The Mets-IR was calculated according to a previous study (9). BMI = weight (kg)  $\div$  the square of height (m<sup>2</sup>); TG/HDL-C = TG (mg/dL)  $\div$  HDL-C (mg/dL); TyG = Ln [TG (mg/dL)  $\times$  FPG (mg/dL)  $\div$ 2]; Mets-IR = Ln [(2 $\times$ FPG (mg/dL) + TG (mg/dL)]  $\times$  BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

 $\div$  Ln [HDL-C (mg/dL)].

## 2.5 Variables

The demographic variables included age, gender, education, marital status and location. Smoking and drinking status, sleep

Frontiers in Endocrinology

TABLE 2 Weighted baseline characteristics of participants by quartiles of baseline Mets-IR.

Variables	Overall	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	р
N (%)	4983	1246	1246	1245	1246	
Age (year, %)						<0.001
45-60	3075 (61.7)	671 (53.9)	773 (62.0)	815 (65.5)	816 (65.5)	
60-90	1905 (38.2)	574 (46.1)	472 (37.9)	430 (34.5)	429 (34.4)	
>90	3 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	
Gender (%)						<0.001
Female	3023 (60.7)	674 (54.1)	706 (56.7)	801 (64.3)	842 (67.6)	
Male	1960 (39.3)	572 (45.9)	540 (43.3)	444 (35.7)	404 (32.4)	
Education (%)						<0.001
College+	48 (1.0)	0 (0.3)	11 (0.9)	17 (1.4)	16 (1.3)	
High school	390 (7.8)	69 (5.5)	90 (7.2)	118 (9.5)	113 (9.1)	
Primary-	4545 (91.2)	1473 (94.1)	1145(82.9)	1110 (89.2)	1117(89.6)	
Marital (%)						<0.001
Married	4208 (84.4)	1016 (81.5)	1033(82.9)	1068 (85.8)	1091(87.6)	
Unmarried	775 (15.6)	230 (18.5)	213(17.1)	177 (14.2)	155 (12.4)	
Location (%)						<0.001
City	287 (5.8)	36 (2.9)	60 (4.8)	96 (7.7)	95 (7.6)	
Village	4695 (94.2)	1210 (97.1)	1186(95.2)	1148 (92.3)	1151(92.4)	
Take anti-hypertensive drugs (%)						<0.001
Yes	963 (75.8)	111 (64.9)	175 (71.4)	245 (77.5)	432 (80.3)	
No	307 (24.2)	60 (35.1)	70 (28.6)	71 (22.5)	106 (19.7)	
Take anti-diabetic drugs (%)						0.003
Yes	186 (64.4)	9 (40.9)	24 (63.2)	39 (58.2)	114 (70.4)	
No	103 (35.6)	13 (59.1)	14 (36.8)	28 (41.8)	48 (29.6)	
Take anti-tumor drugs (%)						0.781
Yes	24 (49.0)	6 (46.2)	3 (42.9)	9 (60.0)	6 (42.9)	
No	25 (51.0)	7 (53.8)	4 (57.1)	6 (40.0)	8 (57.1)	
Take anti-stroke drugs (%)						0.212
Yes	66 (65.3)	8 (72.7)	13 (65.0)	14 (50.0)	31 (73.8)	
No	35 (34.7)	3 (27.3)	7 (35.0)	14 (50.0)	11 (26.2)	
Taking anti-psychotic drugs (%)						0.345
Yes	48 (39.7)	7 (28.0)	14 (38.9)	16 (51.6)	11 (37.9)	
No	73 (60.3)	18 (72.0)	22 (61.1)	15 (48.4)	18 (62.1)	
Smoking (%)						< 0.001
Non-smoker	3240 (65.0)	708 (56.8)	788 (63.2)	858 (68.9)	886 (71.1)	
Ex-smoker	1743 (35.0)	538 (43.2)	458 (36.8)	387 (31.1)	360 (28.9)	
Frequency of drinking (%)						<0.001

(Continued)

Variables	Overall	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	p
≥1/per month	1114 (22.4)	352 (28.3)	291 (23.4)	266 (21.4)	205 (16.5)	
<1/per month	375 (7.5)	95 (7.6)	106 (8.5)	92 (704)	82 (6.6)	
None of those	3494 (70.1)	799(64.1)	849 (68.1)	887 (71.2)	959 (77.0)	
Sleep duration (h, %)						0.103
<6	2677 (53.7)	691 (55.5)	686 (55.1)	659 (52.9)	641 (51.4)	
6-9	1949 (39.1)	477 (38.3)	471 (37.8)	480 (38.6)	521 (41.8)	
>9	357 (7.2)	78 (6.3)	89 (7.1)	106 (8.5)	84 (6.7)	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						<0.001
<18.5	330 (6.6)	318 (25.5)	11 (0.9)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	
18.5-25.0	3049 (61.2)	927 (74.4)	1180 (94.7)	748 (60.1)	194 (15.6)	
25.0-30.0	1334 (26.8)	1 (0.1)	55 (4.4)	490 (39.4)	788 (63.2)	
≥30.0	270 (5.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (0.5)	264 (21.2)	
TG (mg/dL, mean, SD)	134.5 (110.8)	83.7 (35.0)	105.8 (51.6)	133.7 (65.2)	214.8(176.5)	<0.001
HDL (mg/dL, mean, SD)	51.5 (15.2)	64.8 (15.4)	54.4 (11.6)	47.6 (10.2)	39.2 (10.1)	<0.001
FPG (mg/dL, mean, SD)	109.5 (33.8)	99.4 (17.1)	104.6 (24.8)	109.3 (28.5)	124.8 (49.9)	<0.001
TyG	8.7 (0.7)	8.2 (0.4)	8.5 (0.5)	8.8 (0.5)	9.3 (0.7)	<0.001
TG/HDL-C	3.3 (5.9)	1.4 (0.8)	2.1 (1.3)	3.0 (1.8)	6.9 (10.8)	<0.001
LLP (%)						<0.05
Yes	3350 (67.2)	812 (65.2)	814 (65.3)	835 (67.1)	889 (71.3)	
No	1633 (32.8)	434 (34.8)	432 (34.7)	410 (32.9)	357 (28.7)	

#### TABLE 2 Continued

Data were summarized as mean (95% confidence intervals) or percentage (95% confidence intervals) according to their data type. BMI, body mass index; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; Mets-IR, metabolic score for insulin resistance.

duration, and BMI (categorized as underweight [< 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>], normal [18.5-25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>], overweight [25.0-30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>], obesity [ $\geq$  30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>]) were used as variables for health-related behaviors. Blood biomarkers including TG, HDL-C, and FPG.

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as percentages, whereas normally distributed continuous variables are expressed as means

and standard deviations (SD). One-way ANOVA or Chi-square tests were employed to ascertain the characteristics and risk of developing LLP subsequent to the grouping of Mets-IR by quartiles (Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4). Three logistic models were constructed using Mets-IR as a categorical variable (quartiles) to estimate the 95% confidence intervals (CI) and the odds ratio (OR) for LLP. The three models investigated the correlation between Mets-IR and LLP, encompassing an unadjusted model (Model 1), an adjusted model incorporating age, gender, education, location and marital status

Predictor	Model 1	p	Model 2	p	Model 3	p
Mets-IR per IQR	1.03 (1.01-1.04)	<0.05	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	<0.001	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.80
Quartiles of Mets						
Q1	Ref		Ref		Ref	
Q2	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.93	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.59	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	0.86
Q3	1.02 (0.98-1.06)	0.31	1.03 (0.99-1.06)	0.19	1.00 (0.96-1.05)	0.85
Q4	1.06 (1.03-1.10)	<0.001	1.07 (1.03-1.11)	<0.001	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	0.30
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001		<0.001		0.25

Model 1: no covariables were adjusted; Model 2: adjusted for age, gender, marital status, location, and education level; Model 3: adjusted for age, gender, marital status, location, and education level, smoking status, drinking status, BMI, and sleep duration.

(Model 2), and a further adjusted model that considered smoking status, drinking status, BMI and sleep duration based on Model 2 (Model 3). All statistical analyses were conducted using R 4.4.1, and restricted cubic splines were performed with the "rms" package. A two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Baseline characteristics

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. A total of 4,983 individuals were included in this study, with females accounting for 60.7% and males 39.3%. Among them, 67.2% suffered from LLP. In the LLP group, the proportion of females was higher than that of males (64.4% vs. 35.6%). Most participants in this group were aged 45-60 years (59.2%). The proportion of married individuals was higher than that of unmarried (83.3% vs. 16.7%). Their educational level was generally low, with a large majority having an education level below primary (92.4%). Most of them located in villages (94.4%), and a significant proportion had short sleep durations, with over half sleeping less than 6 h (55.9%). Notably, the Mets-IR value was higher in the LLP group compared to the non-LLP group (36.1 vs. 35.3, p < 0.05). Additionally, medication use had no significant impact on the incidence of LLP, as there were no notable differences between the two groups in this regard.

Table 2 presents the characteristics of participants categorized based on the quartiles of Mets-IR. The Mets-IR quartiles are specifically defined as follows: Q1 (< 29.84), Q2 (29.84-34.54), Q3 (34.54-40.40), and Q4 (> 40.40). Compared to the Q1 group, participants in the Q4 group are predominantly aged between 45–60 years (65.5%), female (67.6%), married (87.6%), mostly located in villages (92.4%), with lower educational levels (89.6%), and a higher proportion sleeping less than 6 h (51.1%). Additionally, the majority of participants in the Q4 group have a BMI within the range of 25.0-30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (63.2%). Furthermore, when comparing the Q1 and Q4 groups, there is a gradual increase in the proportion of participants with LLP (65.2% vs. 71.3%), as well as an increase in the proportion of participants taking antihypertensive and hypoglycemic drugs, with statistically significant differences (p < 0.05).

## 3.2 Association between Mets-IR and LLP

The data indicate a link between Mets-IR and the risk of LLP (Table 3). In the unadjusted Model 1, individuals in the Q2, Q3, and Q4 Mets-IR groups had ORs of 1.00 (95% CI: 0.97-1.04), 1.02 (95% CI: 0.98-1.06), and 1.06 (95% CI: 1.03-1.10) for developing LLP, respectively, compared to those in the Q1 group. After adjusting for age, gender, education, location, and marital status in Model 2, the risk of LLP increased by 7% in the highest Mets-IR quartile compared to the lowest (OR: 1.07, 95% CI: 1.03-1.11, p < 0.001). Both Model 1 and Model 2 showed statistically significant trends (p



RCS of the association between Mets-IR and the risk of LLP. Restricted cubic spline curves for the association between Mets-IR and LLP risk. The model was adjusted for age, gender, education level, location, and marital status.

< 0.001). Model 3 further adjusted for smoking status, drinking status, BMI, and sleep duration based on Model 2, with fully adjusted ORs and 95% CIs of 1.00 (0.96-1.04), 1.00 (0.96-1.05), and 1.03 (0.97-1.09) for Q2, Q3, and Q4, respectively, compared with Model 1, and with p = 0.25 for the trend test.

## 3.3 Restricted cubic spline regression

Restricted cubic splines were employed to visualize and examine the dose-response relationship between Mets-IR and LLP. As illustrated in Figure 2, after adjusting for multiple variables, the p

<b>Age (year)</b> 45-60 60-90 >90		0.719 (0.63, 0.81) 1.397 (1.23, 1.58)	<0.001
60-90 >90	•		< 0.001
>90		1.397 (1.23, 1.58)	
	-		< 0.001
		0.244 (0.01, 2.54)	0.249
Gender (%)			
Female	-	1.607 (1.43, 1.81)	< 0.001
Male		0.622 (0.55, 0.70)	< 0.001
Education (%)			
College+	-	0.573 (0.32, 1.02)	0.056
High school		0.663 (0.54, 0.82)	< 0.001
Primary-	=	1.548 (1.27, 1.89)	< 0.001
Marital (%)			
married		0.761 (0.64, 0.90)	< 0.05
unmarried	-	1.314 (1.11, 1.56)	< 0.05
Location (%)			
City		0.937 (0.73, 1.21)	0.611
Village	<b>_</b>	1.067 (0.83, 1.37)	0.611
Smoking (%)			
Ex-smoker		0.688 (0.61, 0.78)	< 0.001
Non-smoker	-	1.454 (1.29, 1.64)	< 0.001
Drinking (%)			
≤1/per month	÷	1.025 (0.82, 1.29)	0.829
>1/per month		0.690 (0.60, 0.79)	< 0.001
None of these	-	1.354 (1.19, 1.54)	< 0.001
Sleep duration	(%)		
<6 h		1.308 (1.16, 1.47)	< 0.001
6-9 h		0.775 (0.69, 0.87)	< 0.001
>9 h		0.922 (0.74, 1.16)	0.482
BMI (kg/m²)			
<18.5	÷.	1.002 (0.79, 1.28)	0.986
18.5-25.0		0.754 (0.67, 0.85)	< 0.001
25.0-30.0	-	1.281 (1.12, 1.47)	< 0.001
≥30.0	-	1.477 (1.12, 1.97)	< 0.05
	0123	3	

#### FIGURE 3

Subgroup analysis. Forest plot of stratified analysis of the relationship between Mets-IR and LLP risk.

for non-linearity between Mets-IR and LLP = 0.25, the p for the overall was < 0.001. The p for non-linearity tests whether the non-linear term in the model is statistically significant, whereas the p for the overall tests the significance of the entire model. The results indicate that there is no significant non-linear relationship between Mets-IR and LLP. Furthermore, the risk of developing LLP gradually increases after the Mets-IR value > 34.72, and then remains relatively stable.

## 3.4 Subgroup analysis

To investigate whether there are differences in the impact of Mets-IR on the risk of developing LLP across different subgroups, the data were grouped based on the characteristics of the study participants. The results revealed that age, gender, education level, marital status, smoking status, drinking status, sleep duration, and BMI were all significantly associated with the relationship between Mets-IR and the risk of LLP (Figure 3). Further exploration was conducted to examine the interaction effects between different subgroups and the association between Mets-IR and LLP risk. The findings indicated that there were univariate interaction effects between Mets-IR and LLP risk with respect to sleep duration (Figure 4).

## 4 Discussion

This study utilized data from the 2011 and 2018 nationwide surveys of the CHARLS database for analysis, aiming to explore the association between Mets-IR and LLP. The results revealed significant differences between the non-LLP group and the LLP group in factors such as age, gender, educational level, marital status, sleep duration, smoking status, drinking status, and BMI. The value of Mets-IR was influenced by age, gender, educational



stratified by sleep duration to confirm the positive correlation between Mets-IR and LLP interacted across cohort characteristics.

level, marital status, BMI, and the use of antihypertensive and hypoglycemic drugs. This study indicates a significant association between Mets-IR and the risk of LLP.

LLP often occurs in the elderly and has a significant impact on their daily lives (15, 16). Results from a longitudinal study based on Korean elderly individuals showed that 61% of the participants reported experiencing musculoskeletal-related pain. The study found that pain in the legs, knees, and ankles was significantly associated with impaired ability to perform daily activities (17). In addition, physical activity levels, back pain, amputation, and psychological factors also have a significant impact on the risk of developing LLP (18). IR is defined as a reduced response of the body to the physiological effects of insulin, typically caused by a combination of genetic predisposition and adverse environmental factors (19). Research indicates that IR leads to disturbances in glucose and lipid metabolism, triggers chronic inflammation, and increases the risk of cardiovascular diseases (20). This study found that higher Mets-IR values are associated with an increased risk of LLP. This association may be attributed to inflammatory responses. Elevated levels of inflammatory factors, such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), can lead to vascular endothelial dysfunction and neuroinflammation (21). These inflammatory factors enter the bloodstream, initiating a systemic inflammatory response. They can directly act on leg tissues, activating inflammatory signaling pathways, promoting the infiltration of inflammatory cells, and releasing more inflammatory mediators, ultimately leading to pain (22). Similarly, LLP is often accompanied by inflammation, and reduced physical activity can exacerbate this inflammatory state. Chronic inflammation can lead to elevated levels of proinflammatory factors, which in turn interfere with insulin signaling pathways and reduce insulin sensitivity (23). In addition, IR is often accompanied by hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia (abnormal lipid metabolism). These metabolic disturbances may lead to peripheral neuropathy, manifesting as leg pain, numbness, and tingling sensations (24). Hyperglycemia can lead to the accumulation of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs). These substances are capable of damaging nerve fibers, thereby contributing to the development of LLP (25).

Subgroup analysis results indicate an interaction between Mets-IR and LLP in relation to sleep duration. Previous studies have shown that insufficient sleep duration can increase the risk of LLP (26). Among elderly individuals, those experiencing more severe sleep disorders are at a higher risk of developing LLP (27). Insufficient sleep or poor sleep quality can activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, leading to elevated cortisol levels (28). Cortisol can regulate inflammatory responses in the short term, but if it remains at a high level for a prolonged period, it may lead to dysfunction of the body's immune system, further exacerbating inflammatory reactions and thereby increasing the risk of LLP (22, 29, 30). Sleep duration is also closely linked to insulin sensitivity. Research indicates that insufficient sleep or poor sleep quality can lead to decreased insulin sensitivity, which in turn exacerbates IR (31). Sleep duration also influences pain perception and pain threshold. Insufficient sleep or poor sleep quality may lead to an increased sensitivity to pain (32). This study hypothesizes that when sleep duration is short, IR worsens, and pain perception simultaneously increases. This dual impact may make the association between Mets-IR and LLP even more pronounced.

Although this study has yielded some noteworthy observations, it still has certain limitations. 1) Insufficient data precision. Regarding LLP, the frequency and duration of pain, as well as whether it is neuropathic or rheumatic pain, were not specified. Due to these data limitations, the findings of this study should be considered preliminary explorations. 2) This study is a cross-sectional one, and thus, it is impossible to infer causality. 3) The relatively small sample size limits the generalizability of the results. In future research, it is necessary to further validate this association in multiple ethnic populations. Additionally, more precise data and longitudinal studies are required to verify the association and infer causality.

# **5** Conclusions

In summary, this study suggests that there is a significant association between the Mets-IR and the risk of LLP. Additionally, sleep duration interacts with this relationship. Improving insulin sensitivity and maintaining an appropriate sleep duration may be important and effective measures for preventing the occurrence of LLP.

## Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. The data sets used and analyzed in this study are available from the CHARLS (http://charls.pku.edu.cn), a nationally representative longitudinal survey conducted by Institute of Social Science Survey, Peking University.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the institutional review board at Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

YH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software,

# References

Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JY: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. ZX: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. YG: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. YL: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. LL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Foundation of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (No. YJ (QN) 202415).

## Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing high quality, nationally representative data.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

<sup>1.</sup> Patel S. Primary bone marrow oedema syndromes. *Rheumatol (Oxford England)*. (2014) 53:785–92. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket324

<sup>2.</sup> Köseoğlu BF, Akselim S, Kesikburun B, Ortabozkoyun Ö. The impact of lower extremity pain conditions on clinical variables and health-related quality of life in patients with stroke. *Topics stroke rehabilitation*. (2017) 24:50–60. doi: 10.1080/10749357.2016.1188484

<sup>3.</sup> Peat G, Thomas E, Wilkie R, Croft P. Multiple joint pain and lower extremity disability in middle and old age. *Disability rehabilitation*. (2006) 28:1543-9. doi: 10.1080/09638280600646250

<sup>4.</sup> Hagovská M, Buková A, Takáč P. Prevalence of lower limb pain and disability in football, hockey, and floorball players. *J Back Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*. (2024) 37:157–63. doi: 10.3233/bmr-230048

5. Ren Y, Hu J, Tan J, Tang X, Li Q, Yang H, et al. Incidence and risk factors of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis among the Chinese population: analysis from a nationwide longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health.* (2020) 20:1491. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09611-7

6. Mei F, Li JJ, Lin J, Xing D, Dong S. Multidimensional characteristics of musculoskeletal pain and risk of hip fractures among elderly adults: the first longitudinal evidence from CHARLS. *BMC musculoskeletal Disord*. (2024) 25:4. doi: 10.1186/s12891-023-07132-z

7. Vehmas T, Shiri R, Luoma K, Viikari-Juntura E. The relations of obesity indicators and early metabolic disturbance with upper extremity pain. *Pain Med (Malden Mass).* (2013) 14:1081–7. doi: 10.1111/pme.12132

8. Pan F, Tian J, Cicuttini F, Jones G. Metabolic syndrome and trajectory of knee pain in older adults. *Osteoarthritis cartilage*. (2020) 28:45-52. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.05.030

 Duan M, Zhao X, Li S, Miao G, Bai L, Zhang Q, et al. Metabolic score for insulin resistance (METS-IR) predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the general population: evidence from NHANES 2001-2018. *Cardiovasc diabetology*. (2024) 23:243. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02334-8

10. Badmus OO, Hillhouse SA, Anderson CD, Hinds TD, Stec DE. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD): functional analysis of lipid metabolism pathways. *Clin Sci (London England: 1979).* (2022) 136:1347–66. doi: 10.1042/cs20220572

11. Yoon J, Jung D, Lee Y, Park B. The Metabolic Score for Insulin Resistance (METS-IR) as a Predictor of Incident Ischemic Heart Disease: A Longitudinal Study among Korean without Diabetes. *J personalized Med.* (2021) 11:742. doi: 10.3390/jpm11080742

12. Wu Z, Cui H, Li W, Zhang Y, Liu L, Liu Z, et al. Comparison of three non-insulinbased insulin resistance indexes in predicting the presence and severity of coronary artery disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:918359. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.918359

13. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol*. (2014) 43:61–8. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dys203

14. Jin Y, Jing M, Zhang L, Song S, Ma X. Internet access and hypertension management among the elderly population: A nationally representative cross-sectional survey in China. *J Med Internet Res.* (2019) 21:e11280. doi: 10.2196/11280

15. Yamada E, Thomas DC. Common musculoskeletal diagnoses of upper and lower extremities in older patients. *Mount Sinai J medicine New York*. (2011) 78:546–57. doi: 10.1002/msj.20274

16. Ling SM, Bathon JM. Osteoarthritis in older adults. J Am Geriatrics Society. (1998) 46:216–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1998.tb02543.x

17. Singh A, Akkala S, Nayak M, Kotlo A, Poondla N, Raza S, et al. Impact of pain on activities of daily living in older adults: A cross-sectional analysis of korean longitudinal study of aging (KLoSA). *Geriatrics (Basel Switzerland)*. (2024) 9:65. doi: 10.3390/geriatrics9030065

18. Grimmer M, Riener R, Walsh CJ, Seyfarth A. Mobility related physical and functional losses due to aging and disease - a motivation for lower limb

exoskeletons. J neuroengineering rehabilitation. (2019) 16:2. doi: 10.1186/s12984-018-0458-8

19. Tokarz VL, Delgado-Olguín P, Klip A. Deprogram and reprogram to solve the riddle of insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest.* (2021) 131:e154699. doi: 10.1172/jci154699

20. Qian T, Sheng X, Shen P, Fang Y, Deng Y, Zou G. Mets-IR as a predictor of cardiovascular events in the middle-aged and elderly population and mediator role of blood lipids. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2023) 14:1224967. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1224967

21. Immanuel J, Yun S. Vascular inflammatory diseases and endothelial phenotypes. *Cells.* (2023) 12:1640. doi: 10.3390/cells12121640

22. García-Fernández P, Reinhold C, Üçeyler N, Sommer C. Local inflammatory mediators involved in neuropathic pain. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:7814. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24097814

23. Larun I, Brurberg KG, Odgaard-Jensen J, Price JR. Exercise therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome. *Cochrane Database systematic Rev.* (2024) 12:Cd003200. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003200.pub9

24. Samuel VT, Shulman GI. The pathogenesis of insulin resistance: integrating signaling pathways and substrate flux. *J Clin Invest.* (2016) 126:12–22. doi: 10.1172/jci77812

25. Lee SH, Park SY, Choi CS. Insulin resistance: from mechanisms to therapeutic strategies. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2022) 46:15–37. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2021.0280

26. Katsifaraki M, Nilsen KB, Christensen JO, Wærsted M, Knardahl S, Bjorvatn B, et al. Sleep duration mediates abdominal and lower-extremity pain after night work in nurses. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. (2019) 92:415–22. doi: 10.1007/s00420-018-1373-9

27. Wang Y, Li X, Zhang Y, Ma Y, Xu S, Shuai Z, et al. Association of sleep disturbance with catastrophizing and knee pain: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Arthritis Care Res.* (2023) 75:2134–41. doi: 10.1002/acr.25127

28. Yin Y, Zhang X. The causal relationship between sleep characteristics and multisite pain perception: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front Neurosci.* (2024) 18:1428951. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2024.1428951

29. Jones C, Gwenin C. Cortisol level dysregulation and its prevalence-Is it nature's alarm clock? *Physiol Rep.* (2021) 8:e14644. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14644

30. Yeager MP, Pioli PA, Guyre PM. Cortisol exerts bi-phasic regulation of inflammation in humans. *Dose-response: Publ Int Hormesis Society*. (2011) 9:332–47. doi: 10.2203/dose-response.10-013.Yeager

31. Zuraikat FM, Laferrère B, Cheng B, Scaccia SE, Cui Z, Aggarwal B, et al. Chronic insufficient sleep in women impairs insulin sensitivity independent of adiposity changes: results of a randomized trial. *Diabetes Care*. (2024) 47:117–25. doi: 10.2337/ dc23-1156

32. Chang JR, Fu SN, Li X, Li SX, Wang X, Zhou Z, et al. The differential effects of sleep deprivation on pain perception in individuals with or without chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2022) 66:101695. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2022.101695