Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Cristina Mihaela Ghiciuc, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania

REVIEWED BY

Youhua Wang, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Xinpei Wang, The University of Chicago, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yan Zhang yanzhang1016@126.com

RECEIVED 26 November 2024 ACCEPTED 19 May 2025 PUBLISHED 17 June 2025

CITATION

Wang Y, Zhang M, Zhang Y, Yin W, Zhao S, Mao W and Wang X (2025) Meta-analysis on the efficacy and safety of Guanxin Shutong capsule in the treatment of angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1534752. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1534752

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Zhang, Zhang, Yin, Zhao, Mao and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Meta-analysis on the efficacy and safety of Guanxin Shutong capsule in the treatment of angina pectoris of coronary heart disease

Yaqin Wang¹, Muchen Zhang^{1,2}, Yan Zhang^{3*}, Wenhao Yin¹, Shujun Zhao^{1,4}, Wenjun Mao¹ and Xuewei Wang¹

¹First Clinical College, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, China, ²Department of Chinese Medicine, Shenyang Anning Hospital, Shenyang, China, ³Expert Clinic, Traditional Chinese Medicine Hall, Affiliated Hospital of Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, China, ⁴Department of Obstetrics, Shenyang Women and Infants Hospital, Shenyang, China

Objective: This study aims to summarize all single clinical studies of Guanxin Shutong (GXST) capsule combined with Western medicine in the treatment of coronary heart disease angina pectoris and to systematically evaluate its efficacy and safety.

Methods: This study adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Chinese and English databases were searched to collect the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine in the treatment of patients with angina pectoris of coronary heart disease and to extract the data. Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was used to evaluate literature quality, and RevMan5.3 software was used to evaluate the outcome indicators, such as total effective rate of angina pectoris, frequency of angina pectoris, duration of angina pectoris, total effective rate of electrocardiogram (ECG), lipid level, inflammatory factor level, hemorheology, cardiac function, and adverse reactions, and to assess publication bias.

Results: A total of 27 RCTs with 3440 cases were identified. The results showed that the combined use of GXST capsule was more effective in terms of total effective rate of angina pectoris, frequency of angina pectoris, duration of angina pectoris, and total effective rate of ECG. In addition, the combined use of GXST capsule had more advantages in reducing total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor– α , high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and whole-blood viscosity and increasing left ventricular ejection fraction and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. However, for triglyceride and interleukin-1, there were two different results before and after the sensitivity analysis, which were attributed to the quality of the included literature. In terms of plasma viscosity and adverse reactions, after excluding the literature with large heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis indicated that the combined use of GXST capsule was helpful to reduce plasma viscosity and adverse reactions.

Conclusions: GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine has better efficacy and safety in the treatment of angina pectoris of coronary heart disease compared with Western medicine alone. However, our study still has some limitations. Thus, more standardized RCTs are needed in future studies to verify the conclusions, and longer follow-up periods need to be designed to explore the long-term efficacy.

KEYWORDS

GXST capsule, coronary heart disease, meta-analysis, systematic review, traditional Chinese medicine

1 Introduction

Coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, also known as coronary heart disease (CHD), is a heart disease that causes varying degrees of injury due to coronary artery stenosis or occlusion caused by coronary atherosclerosis, leading to myocardial ischemia, hypoxia, or necrosis (1). CHD mostly occurs in middle-aged and elderly people, more in men than in women, and predominantly in brain workers, which is a major disease that jeopardizes people's health globally, especially in developed countries (2). In recent years, Western medicine has made rapid development in the diagnosis and treatment of CHD, but it has not yet achieved satisfactory results in some aspects (3–5). More and more patients with CHD are seeking complementary and alternative treatments.

Chinese medicine has made great progress in the prevention and treatment of CHD by utilizing its own characteristics and combining with Western medicine. The application of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) in the treatment of CHD has a long history in China. Under the theoretical system of TCM syndrome differentiation and treatment, Chinese people combine various botanical drugs into prescriptions, which have rich theoretical connotation and therapeutic experience in the treatment of CHD (6, 7). Commercial Chinese polyherbal preparation (CCPP) Guanxin Shutong (GXST) capsule is a new drug developed by combining the theory of ethnic characteristics of Mongolian medicine with clinical practice, which is mainly used for the treatment of angina pectoris, as noted in Pharmacopoeia of the people's Republic of China (2020)

(https://ydz.chp.org.cn/#/item?bookId=1&entryId=1743) and drug instructions (buchang.com/newZBT/pages/product/ productDetail.html). GXST capsule is composed of five botanical drugs, including Choerospondias axillaris (Roxb.) B.L. Burtt & A.W. Hill (Anacardiaceae; Choerospondiatis fructus), Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge (Lamiaceae; Salviae miltiorrhizae radix et rhizoma), Syzygium aromaticum (L.) Merr. & L.M. Perry (Myrtaceae; caryophylli foris aetheroleum), Camphora officinarum Nees (Lauraceae; camphor leaves), and Bambusa textilis McClure (Poaceae; bambusae concretio silicea) (8). In Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Database and Analysis Platform (https://www.tcmsp-e.com/#/database), the screening criteria was set to Oral Bioavailability≥30% (OB≥30%), Caco-2 Permeability≥ -0.4 (Caco-2≥-0.4) (HL≥4), Drug Likeness≥0.18 (DL≥0.18), and Half-Life≥4 (9) and the botanical drug metabolites were inquired. The composition is detailed in Supplementary File S1. In the more than 20 years since its launch, there have been multiple evidencebased medical studies on the combination of GXST capsule and Western medicine for the treatment of CHD angina pectoris, for which no systematic evaluation of safety and clinical efficacy has been conducted currently. Meta-analysis is a high-level evaluation evidence in clinical studies. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to summarize all the individual clinical studies of GXST capsule combined with Western medicine in the treatment of angina pectoris of CHD and to systematically evaluate its efficacy and safety, so as to provide strong evidence for clinical decision-making by clinical workers and provide clear support for the promotion of CCPP and simple preparations.

2 Methods

2.1 Search strategy

This study adhered to the PRISMA guidelines (10, 11). Wanfang Data, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Biology Medicine disc (CBM disc), China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), and foreign databases,

Abbreviations: ACEI, Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, Angiotensin receptor blocker; CBM, China Biology Medicine; CCB, Calcium channel blocker; CHD, Coronary heart disease; CI, Confidence interval; CNKI, China National Knowledge Infrastructure; ECG, Electrocardiogram; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; GXST, Guanxin Shutong HDL-C, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; hs-CRP, Highsensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-1, Interleukin-1; IL-6, Interleukin-6; LDL-C, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; MD, Mean difference; RCTs, Randomized controlled trials; RR, Relative risk; SAP, Stable angina pectoris; SMD, Standard mean difference; TC, Total cholesterol; TCM, Traditional Chinese Medicine; TG, Triglyceride; TNF- α , Tumor necrosis factor– α ; UAP, Unstable angina pectoris.

including Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and PubMed, were searched from database building to 21 December 2023, with the search terms "Guanxinshutong capsule," "GXST," "Coronary disease," "Angina Pectoris," "CHD," "chest pain," etc. Chinese databases were searched with the translation of the above terms. Review articles, review references, and conference abstracts were also reviewed to avoid missing potential studies that met the inclusion criteria.

2.2 Selection criteria

The following criteria were pre-specified according to the PICO model (12).

- Study subjects: All patients with angina pectoris of CHD diagnosed by modern medicine, regardless of age, sex, race, clinical type, and course of disease.
- 2) Interventions: The control group received guidelineconforming therapy tailored to angina classification (with or without placebo): For stable angina patients: β-blocker therapy, long-acting nitrate, calcium channel blocker as needed, high-intensity statin; for unstable angina patients: dual antiplatelet loading (aspirin 300 mg + ticagrelor 180 mg on admission), anticoagulation, intravenous nitroglycerin titration, high-dose statin (atorvastatin of 80 mg nocte); all control patients received sublingual nitroglycerin (0.5 mg prn) as rescue medication. Dose adjustments were made per 2023 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines based on blood pressure/ heart rate monitoring. The treatment group received the aforementioned stratified therapy combined with GXST capsules (0.9g, tid), maintaining identical conventional treatment protocols between groups.
- 3) Study type: All published randomized controlled trials (RCT).
- 4) Outcome: The study included at least one of the following outcome indicators: ① total effective rate of angina pectoris,
 ② frequency of angina pectoris, ③ duration of angina pectoris, ④ total effective rate of electrocardiogram (ECG),
 ③ lipid level, ⑥ inflammatory factor level, ⑦ hemorheology,
 ⑧ heart function: left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF),
 ⑨ adverse reactions. Among them, ① to ④ were the main efficacy indicators, ⑤ to ⑧ were the secondary efficacy indicators, and ⑨ was the safety evaluation indicators.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Studies that could not be traced back to the full text; 2) studies such as animal experiments, consensus, reviews, case reports, and systematic reviews; and 3) duplicate published studies.

2.3 Literature screening and data extraction

First, the databases were searched by two reviewers independently and imported with Note Express. software. The titles and abstracts were screened after duplicate documents were removed to exclude the literature unrelated to the study topic. After that, full texts were evaluated to eliminate non-compliant literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. When the two reviewers have different opinions or doubts, a third-party reviewer can be requested to conduct screening and discussion to make a selection of the literature. After inclusion of eligible literature, data extraction was performed, including: characteristics of the literature (first author and year of publication), type of study design, sample size, demographic characteristics, interventions, outcome indicators, adverse reactions, and other useful information. Data were cross-checked and input.

2.4 Quality evaluation

The quality of included studies was independently evaluated from six aspects according to the method described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (13), including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias, with ratings of "low risk of bias," "high risk of bias," and "unclear risk of bias." Quality evaluation was conducted by two post-graduates. Disagreements, if any, would be resolved through discussion, and, if necessary, the original authors could be contacted to verify potential risks of bias. The risk assessment of subjective outcomes and objective outcomes was assessed separately using RoB2 (14).

2.5 Statistics and analysis

RevMan5.3 software was used for statistics. Measurement data were represented by mean difference (MD) or standard mean difference (SMD), and binary classification data were described by relative risk (RR), all of which were expressed as 95% confidence interval (CI), and P < 0.05 was statistically significant. Heterogeneity results were expressed as I^2 . $I^2 \leq 50\%$ indicated that the heterogeneity of each study was acceptable, and fixed-effects model was used for analysis. $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated large heterogeneity of the study, and sensitivity analysis or subgroup analysis was used to identify the source of heterogeneity. If there was still significant heterogeneity, random-effects model was used to combine the effect size. When the number of studies was not less than 10, the funnel plot was used to check for the presence of bias.

3 Results

3.1 Literature retrieval

A total of 248 records were retrieved from Chinese databases, with an additional 7 identified from English sources. Following deduplication procedures, 76 duplicate entries were eliminated. During the title/abstract screening phase, 92 articles were excluded as irrelevant. The remaining 87 publications underwent full-text eligibility assessment based on predetermined inclusion/ exclusion criteria. Ultimately, 27 studies met the requirements for final inclusion. The complete literature selection process is detailed in Figure 1.

3.2 Characteristics of the included studies

All studies were RCTs, of which 1 (15) was from an English database and 26 from a Chinese database. For intervention, conventional Western medicine [including aspirin, beta-blockers, calcium channel blocker (CCB), statins, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB)] was adopted in the control group, whereas GXST capsule (0.9 g, tid) combined with conventional Western medicine was administrated in the treatment group. The duration of the study ranged from 4 to 12 weeks (Table 1).

the remaining 9 studies (16, 20, 21, 24, 26, 28, 34, 37, 39) did not describe the specific random allocation method. Two studies (15, 40) employed allocation concealment, using sealed opaque envelopes, which were rated as low risk, and the remaining studies did not mention allocation concealment. One study mentioned the use of blinding for subjects, implementers, and data analyzers and was rated as low risk (15), although it was not clear whether blinding was used in the remaining studies. Two studies (15, 40) did not complete the outcome that included all patients at the beginning of the study due to dropping out of patients and were considered high risk. All studies fully reported all pre-set outcomes. For other biased outcomes, one study (15) reported no conflict of interest and the rest were not mentioned. See Figures 2 and 3. The risk assessment of subjective outcomes and objective outcomes were assessed separately. They are shown in Supplementary File S2.

table method, computer table method, or lottery method), whereas

3.3 Quality evaluation

All studies were RCTs, of which 18 studies described the random allocation method in detail with the correct method (random number

3.4 Meta-analysis of primary outcomes

3.4.1 Total effective rate of angina pectoris

A total of 24 studies reported the total effective rate of angina pectoris, involving a total of 3,187 subjects, including 1,595 in the



TABLE 1 General information on included studies.

First author, publication year	Sample size (T/C)	Diagnosis	Gender (male/ female)	Age (mean <u>+</u> SD or range in years)	Duration of AP (mean <u>+</u> SD or range in years)	Treatment duration (weeks)	Outcomes
R.Y. Cai,, 2022 16	62/62	NT	T: 43/19 C: 41/21	T: 62.69 ± 4.8 C: 62.63 ± 4.71	T: - C: -	4	0, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9
X.Y. Cao, 2013 17	42/42	NT	T: 29/13 C: 31/11	T: 61.3 ± 5.2 C: 60.1 ± 6	T: 7.8 ± 3.7 C: 7.2 ± 4.3	4	٩
Y.J. Chen, 2016 18	50/50	UAP	T: 21/29 C: 24/26	T: 40~65 C: 41~65	T: 2~11 C: 2~11	4	1, 2, 3, and 6
W.H. Gou, 2020 19	150/150	UAP	T: 76/74 C: 72/78	T: 53.81 ± 8.12 C: 52.48 ± 7.29	T: - C: -	4	1), 2), 3), 6), 7), and 9
D.Q. Guo, 2013 20	42/40	UAP	T: 23/19 C: 22/18	T: 63.42 ± 17.73 C: 62.21 ± 16.34	T: 5.41 ± 3.27 C: 5.52 ± 3.43	4	4
Y.Y. Ji,, 2017, <mark>21</mark>	43/42	SAP	T: 20/23 C: 24/18	T: 45.12 ± 0.61 C: 46.12 ± 0.41	T: 3.03 ± 0.31 C: 3.11 ± 0.21	8	0
Q.F. Jia, 2023, 22	57/57	SAP	T: 31/26 C: 34/23	T: 60.05 ± 5.91 C: 59.42 ± 6.28	T: 12.24 ± 1.44 C: 12.17 ± 1.52	12	1), (3), and (6)
X.B. Li, 2014 23	34/34	NT	T: 27/7 C: 25/9	T: 77.5 ± 4.4 C: 75.2 ± 5.4	T: 9.4 ± 1.4 C: 8.7 ± 1.5	6	0
Y. Li, 2019 15	143/144	SAP	T: 73/70 C: 82/62	T: 56.6 ± 8.1 C: 55.3 ± 8.3	T: - C: -	4	1), 2), and 9)
B. Liang, 2015 24	43/43	UAP	T: 27/16 C: 25/18	T: 61.8 ± 4.2 C: 61.1 ± 5.6	T: - C: -	4	1
Y.C. Lin, 2016 25	39/39	UAP	T: 21/18 C: 23/16	T: 65.13 ± 7.04 C: 64.81 ± 6.97	T: - C: -	4	0
S.S. Liu, 2019 26	66/66	SAP	T: 37/29 C: 39/27	T: 69.5 ± 5.7 C: 69.6 ± 5.2	T: 2.3 ± 0.2 C: 2.1 ± 0.3	6	① and ④
S.F. Luo, 2021 27	150/150	SAP	T: 68/82 C: 66/84	T: 58.7 ± 3.9 C: 59.4 ± 3.5	T: - C: -	8	①, ③, and ⑥
L.J. Miao, 2017 28	44/43	UAP	T: 27/17 C: 25/18	T: 55.9 ± 7.29 C: 56.88 ± 7.32	T: 5.1 ± 1.3 C: 4.17 ± 1.21	4	©, ③, ④, and ⑨
L. Pan, 2022 29	54/54	SAP	T: 33/21 C: 31/23	T: 57.92 ± 3.96 C: 58.69 ± 4.18	T: 3.19 ± 0.32 C: 3.14 ± 0.28	4	①, ©, ⑦, and ⑨
S.H. Ren, 2017 30	41/40	NT	T: 25/16 C: 23/17	T: 66.05 ± 8.48 C: 65.48 ± 8.37	T: - C: -	8	①, ④, ⑦, and ⑧
H. Shi, 2022 31	48/48	SAP	T: 26/22 C: 25/23	T: 66.18 ± 1.25 C: 62.97 ± 1.20	T: 2.78 ± 1.01 C: 2.9 ± 1.05	4	0, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 8
J. Shi, 2018 32	41/41	SAP	T: 21/20 C: 20/21	T: 60.4 ± 11.1 C: 59.2 ± 12.4	T: 6.8 ± 3.6 C: 7.1 ± 3.8	12	0, 2, 3, 5, and 6
J.H. Song, 2016 33	81/81	NT	T: 46/35 C: 56/25	T: 59.45 ± 5.21 C: 61.23 ± 4.97	T: 4.23 ± 1.26 C: 5.12 ± 0.96	6	0
G. Wang, 2021 34	40/40	SAP	T: 24/16 C: 22/18	T: 43.42 ± 7.39 C: 43.68 ± 7.45	T: 4.41 ± 1.16 C: 4.58 ± 1.24	4	①, ④, and ⑥
Y. Wang, 2015 35	40/40	SAP	T: 26/14 C: 17/13	T: 59.9 ± 7.4 C: 60.4 ± 7.6	T: - C: -	4	①, ④, and ⑥
Z.J. Wu, 2015 <mark>36</mark>	181/181	SAP	T: 114/67 C: 100/81	T: 63 ± 1 C: 62.5 ± 1	T: 6.11 ± 1.67 C: 6.01 ± 1.71	6	0, 4, and 3
N. Yang, 2018 37	30/30	UAP	T: 19/11 C: 18/12	T: 65.45 ± 1.23 C: 66.45 ± 1.98	T: 4.12 ± 1.34 C: 4.23 ± 1.05	8	1), ©, and 9

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

First author, publication year	Sample size (T/C)	Diagnosis	Gender (male/ female)	Age (mean <u>+</u> SD or range in years)	Duration of AP (mean <u>+</u> SD or range in years)	Treatment duration (weeks)	Outcomes
Z.C. You, 2021 38	30/30	NT	T: 16/14 C: 18/12	T: 57.43 ± 3.34 C: 56.52 ± 3.51	T: - C: -	4	① and ⑨
J.S. Zhao, 2015 39 Zhao, 2015 40	40/40	UAP	T: 24/16 C: 22/18	T: 55.45 ± 8.35 C: 56.86 ± 9.04	T: 3.35 ± 1.07 C: 3.67 ± 1.58	4	① and ④
K. Zhao, 2015 40	67/65	SAP	T: 45/22 C: 51/14	T: 60 ± 9.9 C: 58.4 ± 10.1	T: - C: -	4	① and ⑨
X.J. Zhu, 2017 41	65/65	SAP	T: 38/27 C: 40/25	T: 52.8 ± 2.4 C: 52.4 ± 1.8	T: 4.9 ± 2.1 C: 4.7 ± 1.5	4	① and ⑨

T, treatment group; C, control group; AP, angina pectoris; SAP, stable angina pectoris; UAP, unstable angina pectoris; NT, not tested. Outcome: ① Total effective rate of angina pectoris; ③ frequency of angina pectoris; ③ duration of angina pectoris; ④ total effective rate of ECG; ③ lipid level; ⑥ inflammatory factor level; ⑦ hemorheology; ⑧ heart function: LVEF; and ③adverse reactions.

treatment group and 1,592 in the control group. Statistically, there was no heterogeneity (P = 0.98, $I^2 = 0$). The fixed-effects model meta-analysis demonstrated a significantly higher total effective rate in the GXST combination therapy group compared to that in conventional treatment alone [n = 3,187; OR, 3.36; 95% CI (2.78, 4.07); P < 0.00001] (Figure 4). This indicates that adjunctive GXST capsule therapy enhanced angina pectoris management when combined with guideline-directed medical therapy. According to Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) evidence quality evaluation, the total effective rate of angina pectoris was moderate-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.4.2 Frequency of angina pectoris

A total of seven studies (15, 16, 18, 19, 28, 31, 32) reported the frequency of angina pectoris, involving a total of 1,076 subjects, including 538 in both the treatment group and the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 97\%$). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no single study

disproportionately influenced the pooled effect estimate. Randomeffects model meta-analysis revealed a substantial reduction in angina frequency with GXST adjuvant therapy versus guidelinebased treatment alone [n = 1076; SMD, -2.11; 95% CI (-3.08, -1.15); P < 0.0001] (Figure 5). The effect magnitude suggests clinically meaningful improvement according to ESC chronic coronary syndrome management targets. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, the frequency of angina pectoris was moderate-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.4.3 Duration of angina pectoris

A total of six studies (16, 18, 19, 28, 31, 32) reported the duration of angina pectoris, involving a total of 789 subjects, including 395 in the treatment group and 394 in the control group. Statistically, heterogeneity was detected (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 84\%$). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no single study disproportionately influenced the pooled effect estimate. The random-effects model was used for meta-analysis, and this analysis showed significant results [n = 789; SMD, -1.41;





95% CI (-1.82, -1.00); P < 0.00001] (Figure 6). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine reduced the duration of angina pectoris. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, the duration of angina pectoris was low-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.4.4 ECG efficacy

A total of nine studies (15, 17, 20, 28, 30, 34–36, 39) reported the efficacy of ECG, involving a total of 1068 subjects, including 536 in the treatment group and 532 in the control group. Statistically, there was no heterogeneity (P = 0.68, $I^2 = 0$). Fixedeffects model meta-analysis demonstrated significant improvement [n = 1068, OR: 2.22; 95% CI (1.62, 3.01); P < 0.00001] (Figure 7). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine increased the total effective rate of ECG. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, ECG efficacy was moderate-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.5 Meta-analysis of secondary outcomes

3.5.1 Lipid level

3.5.1.1 TC

A total of six studies (16, 22, 27, 31, 32, 36) reported total cholesterol (TC) levels, involving a total of 1,078 subjects, including 539 in both the treatment group and the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 95\%$). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no single study disproportionately influenced the pooled effect estimate. Random-effects meta-analysis revealed a notable reduction [n = 1078; MD, -0.87; 95% CI (-1.36, -0.39); P = 0.0004] (Figure 8A). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine reduced TC levels. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, TC was moderate-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.5.1.2 TG

A total of six studies (16, 22, 27, 31, 32, 36) reported triglyceride (TG) levels, involving a total of 1,078 subjects, including 539 in both the treatment group and the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 =$ 99%). Pooled analysis using random-effects model indicated measurable changes [n = 1078; MD, -0.62; 95% CI (-1.09, -0.16; P = 0.009] (Figure 8B), in general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine reduced TG levels. Sensitivity analysis by leave-one-out method showed that there was still significant heterogeneity in the combined results of the remaining studies; however, when one trial (36) was excluded, there was no significant difference in TG between the two groups [n = 716; MD, -0.52; 95% CI (-1.10, 0.07);P = 0.08] (Figure 8C), which was attributed to the quality of the included papers. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, TG was very low-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Cai RY 2022	57	62	49	62	3.2%	3.02 [1.01, 9.08]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chen YJ 2016	48	50	40	50	1.3%	6.00 [1.24, 28.99]	
Gou WH 2020	136	150	116	150	8.9%	2.85 [1.46, 5.56]	
Ji YY 2017	38	43	26	42	2.5%	4.68 [1.52, 14.35]	· · · · ·
Jia QF 2023	55	57	47	57	1.4%	5.85 [1.22, 28.05]	
Li XB 2014	24	34	17	34	4.1%	2.40 [0.88, 6.51]	
Li Y 2019	109	143	66	144	12.8%	3.79 [2.29, 6.28]	
Liang B 2015	40	43	35	43	2.0%	3.05 [0.75, 12.39]	
lin YC 2016	37	39	31	39	1.3%	4.77 [0.94, 24.16]	
Liu SS 2019	56	66	50	66	6.2%	1.79 [0.75, 4.31]	
Luo SF 2021	134	150	97	150	8.5%	4.58 [2.47, 8.48]	
Pan L 2022	46	54	35	54	4.2%	3.12 [1.22, 7.96]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ren SH 2017	38	41	27	40	1.6%	6.10 [1.58, 23.50]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Shi H 2022	42	48	34	48	3.5%	2.88 [1.00, 8.30]	
Shi J 2018	40	41	34	41	0.7%	8.24 [0.96, 70.32]	•
Song JH 2016	77	81	64	81	2.6%	5.11 [1.64, 15.96]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Wang G 2021	39	40	33	40	0.7%	8.27 [0.97, 70.73]	
Wang Y 2015	37	40	32	40	2.0%	3.08 [0.75, 12.61]	
Wu ZJ 2015	147	181	117	181	18.0%	2.37 [1.46, 3.83]	
Yang N 2018	26	30	18	30	2.0%	4.33 [1.20, 15.61]	
You ZC 2021	28	30	22	30	1.2%	5.09 [0.98, 26.43]	
Zhao JS 2015	36	40	29	40	2.4%	3.41 [0.98, 11.85]	
Zhao K 2015	56	67	41	65	5.6%	2.98 [1.31, 6.76]	
Zhu XJ 2017	60	65	55	65	3.5%	2.18 [0.70, 6.78]	
Total (95% CI)		1595		1592	100.0%	3.36 [2.78, 4.07]	•
Total events	1406		1115				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	11.21, df =	23 (P =	0.98); l² =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 12.44 (P < 0.00	0001)				Favours [control] Favours [experimental]
URE 4							
ta-analysis results of t	he total effe	ective ra	te of ang	ina peo	ctoris.		

3.5.1.3 HDL-C

A total of five studies (16, 27, 31, 32, 36) reported high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, involving a total of 964 subjects, including 482 in both the treatment group and the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 97\%$). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no single study disproportionately influenced the pooled effect estimate. Meta-analysis with random-effects model showed positive outcomes [n = 964; MD, 0.40; 95% CI (0.12, 0.68); P = 0.005] (Figure 8D). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine increased HDL-C levels. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, HDL-C was low-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.5.1.4 LDL-C

A total of five studies (16, 27, 31, 32, 36) reported low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels, involving a total of 964 subjects, including 482 in both the treatment group and the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 97\%$). Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no single study disproportionately influenced the pooled effect estimate. Significant decreases were observed via random-effects model [n = 964; MD, -0.85; 95% CI (-1.16, -0.54); P < 0.00001] (Figure 8E). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine reduced LDL-C levels. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, LDL-C was low-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.5.2 Inflammatory factors

Pooled analyses of inflammatory factors demonstrated consistent reduction trends with GXST combination therapy, although evidence quality varied (GRADE: low to very low). Key findings include the following.

3.5.2.1 Pro-inflammatory cytokines

Significant decreases in interleukin-6 (IL-6) (SMD, -1.54; 95% CI, -2.23 to -0.85; P < 0.001; n = 716, I² = 93%) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) (SMD = -1.68; 95% CI, -2.64 to -0.71; P = 0.001; n = 758, I² = 97%) were observed. Interleukin-1 (IL-1) reduction became non-significant after excluding one outlier study (22; n = 222; SMD, -1.41; 95% CI, -3.04 to 0.22; P = 0.09).

3.5.2.2 hs-CRP

Marked reduction (SMD, -2.65; 95% CI, -3.58 to -1.71; P < 0.001; n = 962, I² = 96%), although with substantial heterogeneity. Detailed forest plots are presented in Figures 9A–E, with full GRADE assessments in Supplementary File S3.

3.5.3 Hemorheological parameters

Plasma viscosity showed significant improvement postsensitivity analysis (MD, -0.45; 95% CI, -0.53 to -0.36; P <

		Experimental			Control			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference	
	Study or Subgroup	Mean SD Total		Mean	SD	Total Weight		IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
	Cai RY 2022	0.64	0.17	62	1.52	0.2	62	13.7%	-4.71 [-5.40, -4.02]		
	Chen YJ 2016	3.51	0.69	50	4.13	0.712	50	14.4%	-0.88 [-1.29, -0.47]	•	
	Gou WH 2020	2.17	0.55	150	6.23	1.62	150	14.5%	-3.35 [-3.70, -3.00]	•	
	Li Y 2019	2.5	1.7	143	7.5	2.2	144	14.5%	-2.54 [-2.85, -2.22]	•	
	Miao LJ 2017	0.56	0.44	44	1.51	0.79	43	14.3%	-1.48 [-1.95, -1.00]		
	Shi H 2022	1.3	0.3	48	2.06	0.58	48	14.3%	-1.63 [-2.10, -1.17]	1	
	Shi J 2018	1.68	1.36	41	2.08	1.26	41	14.3%	-0.30 [-0.74, 0.13]	t	
	Total (95% CI)			538			538	100.0%	-2.11 [-3.08, -1.15]	•	
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.65; Ch	ni² = 22	0.08, d	f = 6 (P	< 0.000	01); l²	= 97%			
	Test for overall effect:	st for overall effect: Z = 4.28 (P < 0.0001)								Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	
GURE 5											
Aeta-analysis results of the freq	uency of angina pecto	oris.									

	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol		:	Std. Mean Difference		Std.	Mean Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, I	Random, 95	% CI	
Cai RY 2022	2.31	0.52	62	4.33	1.05	62	16.0%	-2.42 [-2.89, -1.96]			•		
Chen YJ 2016	2.75	1.26	50	4.33	1.54	50	16.6%	-1.11 [-1.54, -0.69]			1		
Gou WH 2020	3.52	1.38	150	6.89	2.78	150	18.7%	-1.53 [-1.79, -1.27]			-		
Miao LJ 2017	3.04	1.46	44	4.61	2.09	43	16.4%	-0.86 [-1.31, -0.42]			•		
Shi H 2022	1.25	0.31	48	1.97	0.55	48	16.1%	-1.60 [-2.06, -1.14]					
Shi J 2018	3.88	2.1	41	5.98	2.32	41	16.2%	-0.94 [-1.40, -0.48]			1		
Total (95% CI)			395			394	100.0%	-1.41 [-1.82, -1.00])		
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.22; Chi² = 31.38, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); l² = 84%								-100	-50		50	100	
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.69 (P < 0.00001)									Favo	ours [experime	ental] Favo	urs [control]

FIGURE 6

Meta-analysis results of the duration of angina pectoris.



0.001; n = 189, $I^2 = 0\%$). Whole-blood viscosity changes were nonsignificant (MD, -0.55; 95% CI, -1.28 to 0.19; P = 0.15; n = 408; $I^2 =$ 98%). See Figures 10A–C for complete analysis. All hemorheology outcomes were graded as low/very low-quality evidence (Supplementary File S3).

3.5.4 Cardiac function (LVEF)

A total of two studies (30, 31) reported LVEF, involving a total of 177 subjects, including 89 in the treatment group and 88 in the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P = 0.003, $I^2 = 89\%$). Random-effects modeling detected meaningful changes [n = 177; MD, 4.86; 95% CI (0.70, 9.01); P = 0.02] (Figure 11). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine improved LVEF. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, LVEF was low-quality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.6 Adverse reactions

A total of nine studies (16, 19, 20, 28, 29, 37–39, 41) reported adverse reactions, involving a total of 1,083 subjects, including 544 in the treatment group and 539 in the control group. Statistically, there was heterogeneity (P = 0.73, $I^2 = 52$) (Figure 12A), so sensitivity analysis was performed. By excluding two studies (19, 38), the heterogeneity was reduced to 0%. Fixed-effects analysis post-heterogeneity adjustment revealed patterns [n = 723; MD, 1.43; 95% CI (0.90, 2.26); P = 0.13] (Figure 12B). In general, combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine did not increase adverse reactions. According to GRADE evidence quality evaluation, adverse reactions were lowquality evidence, as shown in Supplementary File S3.

3.7 Publication bias

Projects with more than 10 outcome indicators were included in this meta-analysis to assess the risk of publication bias. The results showed that the total effective rate of angina pectoris was more evenly distributed on both sides of the midline, indicating a low risk of publication bias (Figure 13).

3.8 Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis was performed on some outcomes based on the two main clinical types of angina pectoris, stable angina pectoris, and unstable angina pectoris. The results showed that there was a subgroup effect on IL-6, although GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine could reduce IL-6 levels in both subgroups, the effect was better in the SAP subgroup. In terms of reducing TNF- α and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine did not show superior efficacy to conventional Western medicine in the UAP subgroup, whereas it did in the SAP subgroup, and there was no subgroup effect on other outcomes, as shown in Table 2. The forest chart for each outcome is detailed in Supplementary File S4.



4 Discussion

GXST capsule is mainly composed of Fructus choerospondiatis, salvia miltiorrhiza, clove, borneol, and concretio silicea bambusae. Previous studies have shown that GXST capsule mainly has the effects of anti-cardiomyocyte apoptosis, increasing myocardial energy metabolism, regulating blood lipids, inhibiting thrombosis, reducing inflammation levels, anti-oxidative stress, and promoting angiogenesis (42, 43). GXST capsule can delay the progression of atherosclerosis by regulating lipid profile and downregulating the



levels of inflammatory cytokines [e.g., TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and Intercellular Cell Adhesion Molecule-1 (ICAM-1)] and Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B) p65 (43, 44). In addition, GXST capsule can improve the left ventricular function of rats after Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) surgery by increasing the blood vessel density in the infarction area, improving the myocardial pathological morphology, alleviating fibrosis, reducing the infarct size, and reducing Apoptotic Index (AI). Histologically, GXST capsule can ameliorate myocardial pathologic changes and improve myocardial fibrotic areas and cardiac

Ŀ	~	
Ć	М	

04									
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV, Random, 95% CI
Gou WH 2020	4.01	0.36	150	4.18	0.99	150	49.9%	-0.17 [-0.34, -0.00]	•
Pan L 2022	5.51	0.32	54	6.43	0.46	54	50.1%	-0.92 [-1.07, -0.77]	•
Total (95% CI)			204			204	100.0%	-0.55 [-1.28, 0.19]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.27; Ch	ni² = 42	.57, df	= 1 (P <	0.000	01); l ²	= 98%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.46	(P = 0	.15)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
R									
D	Expe	erimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV, Random, 95% CI
Gou WH 2020	1.62	0.33	150	1.8	0.4	150	35.7%	-0.18 [-0.26, -0.10]	•
Pan L 2022	1.28	0.22	54	1.71	0.28	54	35.1%	-0.43 [-0.52, -0.34]	•
Ren SH 2017	1.6	0.3	41	2.1	0.5	40	29.2%	-0.50 [-0.68, -0.32]	†
Total (95% CI)			245			244	100.0%	-0.36 [-0.56, -0.16]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Ch	ni² = 19	.83, df	= 2 (P <	0.000	1); I ² =	90%		
• •	7 - 2 - 4	(P = 0)	.0004)						-100 -50 0 50 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect:	2 = 3.51	(,)							
Test for overall effect:	2 = 3.51	(1 0							
Test for overall effect:	Expe	erimen	ital	с	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup	Expe Mean	erimen SD	tal Total	C Mean	ontrol SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Pan L 2022	Expe <u>Mean</u> 1.28	erimen SD 0.22	ital <u>Total</u> 54	C <u>Mean</u> 1.71	ontrol SD 0.28	Total 54	<u>Weight</u> 78.2%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% CI -0.43 [-0.52, -0.34]	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Pan L 2022 Ren SH 2017	Expe <u>Mean</u> 1.28 1.6	erimen <u>SD</u> 0.22 0.3	tal <u>Total</u> 54 41	C <u>Mean</u> 1.71 2.1	ontrol SD 0.28 0.5	Total 54 40	Weight 78.2% 21.8%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl -0.43 [-0.52, -0.34] -0.50 [-0.68, -0.32]	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Pan L 2022 Ren SH 2017 Total (95% CI)	Expe Mean 1.28 1.6	erimen <u>SD</u> 0.22 0.3	tal <u>Total</u> 54 41 95	C <u>Mean</u> 1.71 2.1	ontrol SD 0.28 0.5	<u>Total</u> 54 40 94	Weight 78.2% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% CI -0.43 [-0.52, -0.34] -0.50 [-0.68, -0.32] -0.45 [-0.53, -0.36]	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Pan L 2022 Ren SH 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	Expe <u>Mean</u> 1.28 1.6	erimen <u>SD</u> 0.22 0.3 = 1 (P	tal <u>Total</u> 54 41 95 = 0.50)	C <u>Mean</u> 1.71 2.1 ; l ² = 0%	ontrol <u>SD</u> 0.28 0.5	Total 54 40 94	Weight 78.2% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% CI -0.43 [-0.52, -0.34] -0.50 [-0.68, -0.32] -0.45 [-0.53, -0.36]	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Pan L 2022 Ren SH 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect:	Expe Mean 1.28 1.6 0.45, df	erimen <u>SD</u> 0.22 0.3 = 1 (P	ttal 54 41 95 = 0.50) 0.0000	C <u>Mean</u> 1.71 2.1 ; I ² = 0%	ontrol SD 0.28 0.5	<u>Total</u> 54 40 94	Weight 78.2% 21.8% 100.0%	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% Cl -0.43 [-0.52, -0.34] -0.50 [-0.68, -0.32] -0.45 [-0.53, -0.36]	Mean Difference IV. Fixed, 95% CI

Mean Difference

Mean Difference

FIGURE 10 Forest plots for meta-analysis. (A) whole-blood viscosity; (B) plasma viscosity; (C) plasma viscosity (adjusted).

А

Experimental

Control



hypertrophy by upregulating intercellular connexins (N-cad and Cx-43) and downregulating angiogenis-associated proteins [Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA)], myocardial fibrosis-associated proteins (TGF- β 1), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) to resist myocardial ischemic pathological changes (45, 46). These results provide a basis for the clinical application of GXST capsule in CHD angina pectoris.

A total of 27 studies were included in this meta-analysis, including 3,440 participants, to investigate the efficacy and safety of GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine in the treatment of CHD angina pectoris, as compared with conventional Western medicine alone. The results suggested that the combined use of GXST capsule had better effect on the total effective rate of angina pectoris, frequency of angina pectoris, duration of angina pectoris, and total effective rate of ECG. In reducing TC, LDL-C, IL-6, TNF-α, hs-CRP, and wholeblood viscosity and in increasing LVEF and HDL-C, the combined use of GXST capsule had more advantages. However, for TG and IL-1, there were two different results before and after the sensitivity analysis, which were attributed to the quality of the included literature. In terms of plasma viscosity and adverse reactions, after excluding the literature with large heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis indicated that the combined use of GXST capsule was helpful to reduce plasma viscosity and adverse reactions. Overall, the systematic review provided evidence that combined use of GXST capsule on the basis of conventional Western medicine was more beneficial than Western medicine alone.

Although there have been three systematic reviews on the treatment of angina pectoris of CHD with GXST capsule on CNKI (47-49), our study provides additional perspectives. The main differences between our study and previous studies are as follows: firstly, more literatures were included in our study. The most recent systematic review has been published for over 5 years (47), during which time many new findings, which were not systematically investigated, were supplemented by our study. Secondly, compared to previous studies, inflammatory factors such as IL-6, IL-1, and TNF- α , as well as hemorheological and cardiac function indicators, which are closely related to the occurrence of CHD and cardiovascular adverse events and have not been studied previously, were added in our study. Thirdly, previous studies have not evaluated the quality of outcomes, whereas the GRADE evidence quality rating was added in our study to rate the quality of evidence for the included outcome indicators, clearly demonstrating the quality of evidence and strength of recommendation for each outcome. Our study has certain advantages. Previous studies have only evaluated the efficacy of GXST capsule in stable angina pectoris (47) or unstable angina pectoris (48, 49), whereas our study included all types of angina pectoris and evaluated the overall efficacy of GXST capsule in treating angina. The quality of our study was controlled more strictly, the RCTs with a sample size of 60 or more were selected, and stricter inclusion and exclusion criteria were adopted to improve the quality of evidence and reduce the risk of bias.



Finally, subgroup analyses were added in our study to determine the efficacy and safety of GXST capsule under specific circumstances.

Systematic review and meta-analysis in this study were conducted in strict accordance with the PRISMA guidelines to evaluate the degree of standardization of clinical trials from a methodological perspective. However, our study still has certain limitations: some original studies were of low quality, with mostly uncertain risks in terms of allocation concealment and blinding of investigators and data processing; The number of literatures on some indicators was small, thus not allowing for bias detection. The sample sizes of some studies were still small.



Subgroup analysis		Number of studies (patients)	SMD, 95% CI	P overall effect	P interaction
Total effective rate of	SAP	13 (1,988)	1.29 (1.22, 1.35)	<0.00001	0.10
angina pectoris	UAP	6 (704)	1.20 (1.12, 1.28)	<0.00001	0.10
	SAP	3 (465)	-1.50 (-2.83, -0.16)	0.03	
Frequency of angina pectoris	UAP	3 (487)	-1.90 (-3.48, -0.33)	0.02	0.70
	SAP	2 (178)	-1.27 (-1.92, -0.62)	0.0001	0.07
Duration of angina pectoris	UAP	3 (487)	-1.20 (-1.62, -0.97)	<0.00001	0.86
Total effective rate of ECG	SAP	4 (654)	2.25 (1.49, 3.39)	0.0001	
	UAP	3 (249)	1.66 (0.93, 2.95)	0.09	0.40
IL-6	SAP	4 (556)	-2.03 (-3.03, -1.04)	<0.0001	
	UAP	2 (160)	-0.65 (-0.97, -0.34)	<0.0001	0.01
	SAP	4 (398)	-1.56 (-2.43, -0.69)	0.0004	
TNF-α	UAP	2 (360)	-1.87 (-4.51, 0.76)	0.16	0.83
hs-CRP	SAP	3 (478)	-1.82 (-2.66, -0.98)	<0.0001	
	UAP	2 (360)	-16.65 (-45.54, 12.24)	0.26	0.31
	SAP	4 (657)	1.48 (0.87, 2.53)	0.15	
Adverse reactions	UAP	4 (529)	0.82 (0.29, 2.31)	0.70	0.32

TABLE 2 Subgroup analysis on the types of angina pectoris.

SAP, stable angina pectoris; UAP, unstable angina pectoris.

5 Conclusions

In summary, GXST capsule combined with conventional Western medicine has superior efficacy and safety to Western medicine alone in the treatment of CHD angina pectoris. Our study provides a direction for further research and a guidance for future clinical studies to be more standardized and rigorous in design. In future studies, more standardized RCTs are needed to verify the above conclusions, and longer follow-up periods shall be designed to explore its long-term efficacy.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

YW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. MZ: Writing – review & editing. YZ: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. WY: Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. SZ: Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. WM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. XW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Famous Old Traditional Chinese Medicine Experts Inheritance Studio Construction Program (No. 2022-304), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82174241), and the Study on Evidence-based Optimization of Comprehensive Treatment Protocol of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Guanxin Shutong Capsule) for Coronary Heart Disease and Heart Failure (No. 2017YFC1700403).

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the authors who participated in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Krievins DK, Zellans E, Latkovskis G, Kumsars I, Krievina AK, Jegere S, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of ischemia-producing coronary stenoses improves 5-year survival of patients undergoing major vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg.* (2024) S0741-5214:00500-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2024.02.043

2. Al-Lamee RK. Angina pectoris 2023: With and without obstructive coronary artery disease: Epidemiology, diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. *Vasc Pharmacol.* (2024) 155:107285. doi: 10.1016/j.vph.2024.107285

 Balla C, Pavasini R, Ferrari R. Treatment of angina: where are we? Cardiology. (2018) 140:52–67. doi: 10.1159/000487936

4. Henry TD, Satran D, Jolicoeur EM. Treatment of refractory angina in patients not suitable for revascularization. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2014) 11:78–95. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2013.200

5. Münzel T, Daiber A, Gori T. More answers to the still unresolved question of nitrate tolerance. *Eur Heart J.* (2013) 34:2666–73. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht249

6. Jia Y, Gao G, Leung SW. How efficacious are traditional Chinese medicine injections in treating angina pectoris? A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Ethnopharmacol.* (2023) 303:115996. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2022.115996

7. Luo J, Xu H, Yang G, Qiu Y, Liu J, Chen K. Oral Chinese proprietary medicine for angina pectoris: an overview of systematic reviews/meta-analyses. *Complement. Ther Med.* (2014) 22:787–800. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2014.05.011

8. Cui X, Han S, Li J, Li W, Wang ZF, Zhang Q, et al. Clinical comprehensive evaluation of Guanxin Shutong Capsules in treatment of coronary heart disease angina pectoris with heart blood stasis syndrome. *China J Chin. Mater Med.* (2022) 47:1469–75. doi: 10.19540/j.cnki.cjcmm.20211118.501

9. Tian S, Wang JM, Li YY, Li D, Xu L, Hou TJ. The application of in silico druglikeness predictions in pharmaceutical research. *Adv Drug Deliv. Rev.* (2015) 86:2–10. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2015.01.009

 Knobloch K, Yoon U, Vogt PM. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement and publication bias. J Craniomaxillofac. Surg. (2011) 39:91–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2010.11.001

11. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med.* (2009) 151:W65–94. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00136

12. Zhang X, Geng P, Zhang TT, Lu Q, Gao P, Mei J. Aceso: PICO-guided evidence summarization on medical literature. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform.* (2020) PP:(3). doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2020.2984704

13. McKenzie JE, Brennan SE, Ryan RE, Thomson HJ, Johnston RV. Chapter9: summarizing study characteristics and preparing for synthesis. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J., Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA, editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.3 (updated February 2022)*. London: Cochrane (2022). Available at: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook (Accessed January 21, 2024).

14. Sterne JAC, Savovié J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. (2019) 366:14898. doi: 10.1136/bmj.148983

15. Li Y, Zhang L, Lv SZ, Wang XZ, Zhang J, Tian XX, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral Guanxinshutong capsules in patients with stable angina pectoris in China: a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. *BMC Complement Altern. Med.* (2019) 19:363. doi: 10.1186/s12906-019-2778-z

16. Cai RY. Treatment effects, safety and effective rates of guanxin shutong capsule in angina pectoris with hypertension. *Liaoning J Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2022) 49:127–30. doi: 10.13192/j.issn.1000-1719.2022.06.035

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025.1534752/ full#supplementary-material

17. Cao XY, Yue YY. Clinical effect of Guanxin Shutong capsule on angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *Guid. Chin. Med.* (2013) 11:249–50. doi: 10.15912/j.cnki.gocm.2013.26.030

18. Chen YJ, Chen YQ, Xiao Y, Liu D, Cao XH, Zhao HM. The influence of guanxin shutong capsule and trimetazidine dihydrochloride on the expressions of IL-6 and NT-proBNP in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *Chin. J Integr Med Cardio-Cerebrovasc. Dis.* (2016) 14:2484–6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-1349.2016.21.005

19. Gou WH, Zhang D. Efficacy of guanxinshutong capsule combined with metoprolol in the treatment of unstable angina pectoris and its effects on hemorheology and inflammatory factors. *Eval. Anal Drug-Use Hosp. China.* (2020) 20:1075–1077 + 1082. doi: 10.14009/j.issn.1672-2124.2020.09.013

20. Guo DQ, Li P. Clinical observation of Guanxinshutong capsule in the treatment of unstable angina pectoris. *Chin. J Clin.* (2013) 41:37–8. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-1089.2013.12.013

21. Ji YY. Effect of Guanxinshutong capsule on stable angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *Cardiovasc Dis J Integr Tradit. Chin. West Med.* (2017) 5:169 + 172. doi: 10.16282/j.cnki.cn11-9336/r.2017.01.127

22. Jia QF, Li XW. Clinical observation of Guanxinshutong capsule combined with fluvastatin in the treatment of angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *J Pract Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2023) 39:1555–7. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-ZYAO202308028.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

23. Li XB, Li JX, Li ZH. Effect of Guanxinshutong capsule on myocardial insufficiency of coronary heart disease. *Pract J Card Cereb Pneumal Vasc Dis.* (2014) 22:131-2. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-SYXL201409078.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

24. Liang B. Clinical observation of 43 cases of unstable angina pectoris treated with Guanxinshutong capsule. *Yunnan J Tradit. Chin. Med Mater Med.* (2015)36:23–4. doi: 10.16254/j.cnki.53-1120/r.2015.02.011

25. Lin YC. Effect of Guanxinshutong capsule on vascular endothelial cell function in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *J New Chin. Med.* (2016) 48:18–20. doi: 10.13457/j.cnki.jncm.2016.05.008

26. Liu SS, Li J, Shi YX, Huang ZZ, Li ZG. Effect of Guanxinshutong capsule combined with metoprolol on angina pectoris and plasma homocysteine level in elderly patients with coronary heart disease. *Mod J Integr Tradit. Chin. West Med.* (2019) 28:1892–5. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8849.2019.17.017

27. Luo SF. The Clinical Efficacy of Coronary Heart Sultan Capsule combined with Western Medicine to Treat Coronary Heart Disease Stable Angina and its Effect on Blood Lipids and hs-CRP. *Smart Healthc.* (2021) 7:173–5. doi: 10.19335/j.cnki.2096-1219.2021.08.056

28. Miao LJ, Cheng GS. Effect of Guanxinshutong Capsule on electrocardiogram and myocardial enzyme in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *Asia-Pac. Tradit. Med.* (2017) 13:152–4. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-YTCT201716065.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

29. Pan L, Li P, Ouyang Sk, Song H, Li JX. Effects of guanxinshutong capsule combined with nicorandil on cardiac function, hemorheology and inflammatory factors in patients with stable angina pectoris and heart blood stasis type. *Prog Mod Biomed.* (2022) 22:4125–4129 + 4152. doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2022.21.022

30. Ren SH, Zhang RJ, Ke SX, Liu JB, Shi YF, Guo Y, et al. Effects of Guanxinshutong Capsule on cardiac function and hemorheology in patients with coronary heart disease. *J Pract Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2017) 33:492–3. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-ZYAO201705022.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

31. Shi H. Effect of guanxin shutong capsule(冠心舒通胶藥) combined with metoprolol in treatment of stable angina pectoris of coronary heart disease and its influence on blood lipids and hs-CRP. *Liaoning J Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2022) 49:137–40. doi: 10.13192/j.issn.1000-1719.2022.09.039

32. Shi J, Abudujili A. Clinical study of Guanxinshutong capsule in treating stable angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *Chin. J Integr Med Cardio-Cerebrovasc. Dis.* (2018) 16:199–201. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-ZYYY201802020.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

33. Song JH. Exploring the effect of Guanxin Shutong capsule combined with Western medicine in the treatment of coronary heart disease angina pectoris. *Cardiovasc Dis J Integr Tradit. Chin. West Med.* (2016) 4:39 + 41. doi: 10.16282/j.cnki.cn11-9336/r.2016.04.022

34. Wang G. Clinical effect of Guanxinshutong capsule combined with isosorbide mononitrate tablet on patients with angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. *Med Forum.* (2021) 25:648–50. doi: 10.19435/j.1672-1721.2021.05.028

35. Wang Y, Wu JS. Observe the clinical effect of Guanxin Shutong capsule treatment of Coronary heart disease with cariac blood stasis syndrome. *Clin J Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2015) 27:666–8. doi: 10.16448/j.cjtcm.2015.0253

36. Wu ZJ, Huang XX, Chen J. Clinical observation of Guanxinshutong capsule combined with Western medicine in the treatment of angina pectoris of coronary heart disease. J New Chin. Med. (2015) 47:43–4. doi: 10.13457/j.cnki.jncm.2015.01.019

37. Yang N, Zhang JJ. Clinical effect of Guanxin Shutong capsule combined with conventional western medicine on angina pectoris and its effect on inflammatory factors. *Clin Res Prac.* (2018) 3:4243. doi: 10.19347/j.cnki.2096-1413.201828018

38. You ZC. Clinical efficacy and safety analysis of patients with coronary heart disease in patients with coronary heart disease in patients with coronary heart disease. *Syst Med.* (2021) 6:88–90. doi: 10.19368/j.cnki.2096-1782.2021.19.088

39. Zhao JS, Zhang YQ. Effects of Guanxin-shutong capsule on QT dispersion in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *Hebei J Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2015) 37:1698–700. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-2619.2015.11.035

40. Zhao K, Meng K, Ge CJ, Lv SZ. Curative effect of Guanxin Shutong Capsules on psychocardiacology in patients with chronic stable angina pectoris (syndrome of heart blood stasis). *Chin. J Evid. Based Cardiovasc Med.* (2015) 7:184–7. doi: 10.3969/j.1674-4055.2015.02.10

41. Zhu XJ, Liu J. Effect of Guanxinshutong capsule on patients with angina pectoris complicated with hypertension. *Hebei Med J.* (2017) 39:269–71. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-7386.2017.02.033

42. Liang Z, Liu LF, Yao TM, Huo Y, Han YL. Cardioprotective effects of Guanxinshutong (GXST) against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. J Geriatr. Cardiol. (2012) 9:130–6. doi: 10.3724/SPJ.1263.2011.11261

43. Lu YD, Sun YC, Jiang ZL, Zhang DD, Lin HC, Qu Y, et al. Guanxinshutong alleviates atherosclerosis by suppressing oxidative stress and proinflammation in apoE-/- mice. Evid. *Based Complement Alternat. Med.* (2020) 2020:1219371. doi: 10.1155/2020/1219371

44. Liang Z, Yao TM, Huo Y, Han YL. The effects of Guanxinshutong on protection of left ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction in rats. *Chin. J Intern Med.* (2012) 51:225–7. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0578-1426.2012.03.013

45. Jiang LH, Wu CZ. Effects of myocardial angiogenesis on Guanxin Shutong capsules in acute myocardial infaretion rats. *J Changchun Univ Chin. Med.* (2015) 31:451–3. doi: 10.13463/j.cnki.cczyy.2015.03.005

46. Zhu JQ, Zhou HF, Li C, He Y, Pan YM, Shou QY, et al. Guanxinshutong capsule ameliorates cardiac function and architecture following myocardial injury by modulating ventricular remodeling in rats. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2020) 130:110527. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110527

47. Xi YT, Wang SD, Yuan LY, Liu XY, Wu W. Guanxin shutong capsule in the adjuvant treatment of unstable angina pectoris: A meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *China Pharm.* (2019) 30:956–62. doi: 10.6039/j.issn.1001-0408.2019.07.20

48. Jia WX, Wei FX. Meta analysis of clinical effects and the safety of guanXin shuTong capsules in treating stable angina pectoris. *West. J Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2017) 30:67–70. Available at: https://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-GSZY201708021.htm (Accessed December 21, 2023).

49. Sui JY, Xu H, Qin L, Xu GL. A meta-analysis of guanxin shutong capsule on patients with unstable angina. *J Emerg Tradit. Chin. Med.* (2016) 25:1863–1865 + 1893. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-745X.2016.10.009