Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jerzy Beltowski, Medical University of Lublin, Poland

REVIEWED BY Leman Damla Kotan, Çukurova University, Türkiye Leyla Akın, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Türkiye

*CORRESPONDENCE Yoko Kuroki Muroki-y@ncchd.go.jp

RECEIVED 23 January 2025 ACCEPTED 29 May 2025 PUBLISHED 18 June 2025

CITATION

Sawano K, Nagasaki K, Suzuki E, Ogiwara Y, Kageyama I, Fukami M and Kuroki Y (2025) *De novo* retrotransposon insertion into the *FGFR1* gene in a boy with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism: a case report. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:15655316. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1565316

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sawano, Nagasaki, Suzuki, Ogiwara, Kageyama, Fukami and Kuroki. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

De novo retrotransposon insertion into the *FGFR1* gene in a boy with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism: a case report

Kentaro Sawano¹, Keisuke Nagasaki¹, Erina Suzuki², Yasuko Ogiwara², Ikuko Kageyama², Maki Fukami^{2,3} and Yoko Kuroki^{3,4,5*}

¹Division of Pediatrics, Department of Homeostatic Regulation and Development, Niigata University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Niigata, Japan, ²Department of Molecular Endocrinology, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan, ³Division of Diversity Research, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Department of Genome Medicine, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan, ⁵Division of Collaborative Research, National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan

Congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (CHH) is a rare endocrine disorder characterized by gonadal dysfunction attributed to impaired gonadotropin secretion. CHH is associated with approximately 60 genes including FGFR1. Nevertheless, the nucleotide variants of these genes are only related to less than half of the cases. Herein, we report a case of CHH caused by a novel mechanism. A 6-year-old boy presented with hypomasculinized genitalia, hyposmia, and syndactyly. Endocrine examinations showed impaired gonadotropin secretion. Short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS) identified the absence of mutations in the major causative genes for CHH. However, it detected an accumulation of discordant and split reads in a genomic region within the FGFR1 gene. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization did not detect copy-number abnormalities. Targeted long-read NGS and Sanger sequencing identified a de novo 333-bp insertion in exon 9 of the FGFR1 gene. A similarity search revealed that the insertion was an Alu element. This insertion caused a frameshift and resulted in premature termination (p. His409fsTer31). Further, it had several hallmarks of retrotransposition such as target site duplication, an endonuclease cleavage site-like motif, and a poly-A tail. The study results broadened the genetic basis of CHH that considered retrotransposon insertions. Importantly, this case emphasizes the need for additional genomic analyses in patients with CHH who had negative results on short-read NGS and array-based comparative genomic hybridization.

KEYWORDS

Alu element, FGFR1, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, long-read NGS, retrotransposon

1 Introduction

Congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (CHH) is a rare endocrine disorder characterized by gonadal dysfunction attributed to the impaired secretion of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) from the pituitary gland (1). CHH typically causes hypomasculinized external genitalia in male neonates and delayed puberty in children of both sexes (1). Patients with CHH frequently exhibit additional clinical features such as anosmia/hyposmia and skeletal abnormalities (2–4).

CHH is a genetically heterogeneous condition. This disorder is associated with approximately 60 genes, including FGFR1 (5-7). The most common pathogenic variants in these genes were single nucleotide substitutions and small indels. Meanwhile, the structural variants, such as deletions, insertions, and translocations, accounted for a small percentage of the cases (1). Based on a previous study, systematic mutation screening using short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS) and genome-wide copy-number analysis with array-based comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) identified pathogenic variants in less than half of patients with CHH (8). Therefore, unrecognized genetic abnormalities play a significant role in the development of CHH. In this context, de novo retrotransposon insertion into the genome is considered a rare cause of various congenital disorders (9). Nevertheless, it is not linked to CHH. Herein, we report the first case of CHH caused by retrotransposon insertion.

2 Case description

The patient was a 6-year-old boy. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the patient. He was the first child of a nonconsanguineous Japanese couple. His parents had normal clinical characteristics. The patient was born at 38 weeks of gestation via cesarean section because his mother experienced

hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets (HELLP) syndrome. His birth weight and height were 1,668 (-3.8 standard deviation [SD]) g and 43 (-2.4 SD) cm, respectively. At birth, he presented with micropenis (stretched penile length: 20 mm), bilateral cryptorchism, and 3/4 syndactyly of the right foot. Endocrine examinations at 3 months of age during minipuberty revealed low LH, FSH, and testosterone levels. The gonadotropinreleasing hormone stimulation test performed at 7 months of age revealed basal/peak LH and FSH levels at <0.2/2.3 and 1.1/24.8 IU/ L, respectively. The peak LH and FSH levels were within the normal range, which indicated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. Magnetic resonance imaging showed hypoplasia of the left olfactory groove and olfactory bulb (Figure 1). Thus, the patient was clinically diagnosed with CHH. He underwent orchidopexy at 2.1 years of age. Repeated endocrine examinations during childhood invariably showed low blood gonadotropin and testosterone levels. At 5.4 years of age, he had standard height and weight. His penile size was 35 (-1.8 SD) mm. The testes, with a volume of 1.0 mL, were palpable in the scrotum. Olfactory testing with a T&T olfactogram revealed mild olfactory loss. In particular, the right olfactory bulb had a normal function. However, olfactory perception was not detected on the left side. This finding was in accordance with the magnetic resonance imaging results.

3 Molecular analyses

Genomic DNA samples were obtained from the peripheral leukocytes of the patient and his parents. The DNA sample of the patient was subjected to mutation screening of the major causative genes for CHH (*ANOS1*, *CHD7*, *FGF8*, *FGFR1*, *GNRH1*, *GNRHR*, *IGSF1*, *KISS1*, *KISS1R*, *MKRN3*, *PROK2*, *PROKR2*, *SOX10*, *TAC3*, *TACR3*, and *WDR11*) (5–7). Short-read NGS was performed at the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (Chiba, Japan). The variants were analyzed, as described in a previous study (14). Results showed the absence of pathogenic nucleotide variants in the coding region of

Age at examination	Luteinizing hormone level (IU/L)		Follicle-stimulating hormone level (IU/L)		Testosterone level (nmol/L)	
	Patient	Reference intervals	Patient	Reference intervals	Patient	Reference intervals
0 years, 3 months	<0.2	0.62-4.08	<1.0	0.41-3.02	0.13	0.69-7.60
0 years, 6 months	<0.2	0.10-8.06	1.0	0.19-3.63	<0.12	0.42-5.77
1 years, 7 months	<0.2	0.02-0.15	<1.0	0.38-1.11	<0.12	<0.35
2 years, 8 months	<0.2	0.02-0.15	<1.0	0.38-1.11	0.12	<0.35
3 years, 8 months	<0.2	0.02-0.15	<1.0	0.38-1.11	<0.12	<0.35
5 years, 4 months	<0.2	0.02-0.15	<1.0	0.38-1.11	0.22	<0.35

TABLE 1 Serum hormone levels of the patient.

The reference intervals for each hormone during infancy are based on previously reported data (10, 11). The reference ranges for hormone levels after the age of 1 year are based on the reference levels listed in the Japanese Society of Endocrinology (12) and the textbook of endocrinology (13).



the 16 genes. However, the graphic visualization of the NGS data using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (https://igv.org) revealed an accumulation of discordant reads and split reads in a genomic region within the *FGFR1* gene (Figure 2A). These results indicated the presence of a structural variant in this region. Thus, CGH analysis was performed using a catalog array (Agilent Technologies, CA, the USA). However, copy-number variations were not identified in the genome of the patient (Figure 2B).

Then, targeted long-read sequencing was conducted using the patient's DNA sample (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, England). An approximately 100-kb genomic interval harboring FGFR1 (T2T-CHM13/hs1, chr8:38,658,107–38,758,601) was subjected to adaptive sampling, as reported in a previous study (15, 16). Results showed a heterozygous insertion of an

approximately 330-bp DNA fragment in exon 9 of the *FGFR1* gene (Figure 2C). Genomic region encompassing the insertion was PCR-amplified, and the PCR products were sequenced (Figure 3A). The insertion was found to be a 333-bp fragment (Figure 3B). A sequence similarity search using RepeatMasker (https://www.repeatmasker.org) revealed that the inserted sequence was an Alu element. The inserted sequence had known hallmarks of retrotransposition such as a target site duplication, an endonuclease cleavage site-like motif (TTCC/AC) at the breakpoints, and a poly-A tail (Figure 3B) (17, 18). This insertion has not been reported in patients with CHH and is absent from the gnomAD SV database (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/). This insertion was predicted to cause a frameshift from the 409th codon of the FGFR1 gene and result in premature termination at the 440th codon (p.



His409fsTer31) (Figure 3C). PCR using the DNA samples of the patient's parents yielded no products encompassing the insertion. Therefore, the insertion of the patient was a *de novo* variant (Figure 3A).

4 Discussion

In the current case, an unreported 333-bp insertion in the FGFR1 gene was found in a boy with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. The patient exhibited CHH, hyposmia, and syndactyly, which are common features of FGFR1 haploinsufficiency (19, 20). The patient presented with severe intrauterine growth failure, which is rare in patients with FGFR1 abnormalities. However, this phenotype can be attributed to HELLP syndrome (21, 22). Based on these results, the insertion of the patient destroyed the function of the *FGFR1* gene on one allele. Indeed, the mutated mRNA satisfied the condition of

nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (23). This case emphasizes the importance of submicroscopic structural variants in the etiology of CHH.

In this case, the insertion was an Alu element. This insertion was a *de novo* variant, and it exhibited several hallmarks of retrotransposition such as target site duplication of 8–18 bp, the endonuclease cleavage site-like motif, and a poly-A tail. Alu is a primate-specific retrotransposon that accounts for approximately 10% of the human genome (24, 25). Retrotransposition of Alu and other repetitive elements is a common mechanism during gametogenesis or early embryogenesis. The rate of germline Alu retrotransposition is as high as 1 in 40 births (26). Retrotransposon insertions in the germline predominantly occur in intergenic or heterochromatin regions and usually permit a normal phenotype (26). However, these insertions can disrupt the coding regions or cis-regulatory elements, thereby causing genetic disorders (27). To date, patients with various monogenic disorders have presented with retrotransposon insertions into coding regions or regulatory



elements (9, 28). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of CHH caused by retrotransposon insertion. Importantly, the insertion was not identified by short-read NGS. Nevertheless, the accumulation of split and discordant reads indicated the presence of a structural variant in the *FGFR1 gene*. Further, the insertion was overlooked by the array-based CGH. This can be explained by the fact that the probes of the custom CGH arrays are designed primarily in single-copy regions. Hence, retrotransposons may be hidden in other patients with CHH of unknown etiology.

In conclusion, the study results broadened the genetic basis of CHH that includes retrotransposition insertion. Based on this case, patients with CHH who have negative results for short-read NGS and array-based CGH require additional genomic analyses.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Child Health and Development. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

KS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. KN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. ES: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YO: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. IK: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. MF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by grants from the National Center for Child Health and Development (2023B-4), the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (24ek0109743h0001), the Canon Foundation, the Japanese Endocrine Society, and the Takeda Science Foundation.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Noriko Ito, Ph.D., Hiroko Ogata, Ph.D., and Kazuhiko Nakabayashi, Ph.D., for performing the experiments and data analyses of the long-read sequencing.

References

1. Young J, Xu C, Papadakis GE, Acierno JS, Maione L, Hietamäki J, et al. Clinical management of congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Endocr Rev.* (2019) 40:669–710. doi: 10.1210/er.2018-00116

2. Legouis R, Hardelin JP, Levilliers J, Claverie JM, Compain S, Wunderle V, et al. The candidate gene for the X-linked Kallmann syndrome encodes a protein related to adhesion molecules. *Cell.* (1991) 67:423–35. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(91)90193-3

3. Franco B, Guioli S, Pragliola A, Incerti B, Bardoni B, Tonlorenzi R, et al. A gene deleted in Kallmann's syndrome shares homology with neural cell adhesion and axonal path-finding molecules. *Nature.* (1991) 353:529–36. doi: 10.1038/353529a0

4. Palmert MR, Dunkel L. Clinical practice. Delayed puberty. N Engl J Med. (2012) 366:443–53. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp1109290

5. Lima Amato LG, Latronico AC, Gontijo Silveira LF. Molecular and genetic aspects of congenital isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* (2017) 46:283–303. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2017.01.010

 Butz H, Nyírő G, Kurucz PA, Likó I, Patócs A. Molecular genetic diagnostics of hypogonadotropic hypogonadism: from panel design towards result interpretation in clinical practice. *Hum Genet.* (2021) 140:113–34. doi: 10.1007/s00439-020-02148-0

7. Al Sayed Y, Howard SR. Panel testing for the molecular genetic diagnosis of congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism - a clinical perspective. *Eur J Hum Genet*. (2023) 31:387–94. doi: 10.1038/s41431-022-01261-0

8. Izumi Y, Suzuki E, Kanzaki S, Yatsuga S, Kinjo S, Igarashi M, et al. Genome-wide copy number analysis and systematic mutation screening in 58 patients with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Fertil Steril.* (2014) 102:1130–6.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.06.017

9. Hancks DC, Kazazian HH Jr. Roles for retrotransposon insertions in human disease. *Mobile DNA*. (2016) 7:9. doi: 10.1186/s13100-016-0065-9

10. Johannsen TH, Main KM, Ljubicic ML, Jensen TK, Andersen HR, Andersen MS, et al. Sex differences in reproductive hormones during mini-puberty in infants with normal and disordered sex development. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2018) 103:3028–37. doi: 10.1210/jc.2018-00482

11. Tarifa CS, Silvano LK, Martin SE, Campi V, Aguirre MC, Sobrero GM, et al. Neonatal and infant reference intervals for FSH, LH, Testosterone, Estradiol, Cortisol

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

and DHEA-S by electrochemiluminescence in Argentina. *Pediatr Endocrinol Rev.* (2020) 17:235–42. doi: 10.17458/per.vol17.2020.tsm.NeonatalinfantintervalsArgentina

12. Research group for investigating disorders of the diencephalon and pituitary, supported by the ministry of health, labour and welfare, Japan. (2019).

13. Brown R, Brook CGD, Clayton P. Brook's Clinical pediatric endocrinology. 5th edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: Wiley-Blackwell (2005), ISBN: .

14. Shima H, Tokuhiro E, Okamoto S, Nagamori M, Ogata T, Narumi S, et al. SOX10 mutation screening for 117 patients with Kallmann syndrome. *J Endocr Soc.* (2021) 5:bvab056. doi: 10.1210/jendso/bvab056

15. Loose M, Malla S, Stout M. Real-time selective sequencing using nanopore technology. *Nat Methods*. (2016) 13:751–4. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3930

16. Payne A, Holmes N, Clarke T, Munro R, Debebe BJ, Loose M. Readfish enables targeted nanopore sequencing of gigabase-sized genomes. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2021) 39:442–50. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-00746-x

17. Jurka J. Sequence patterns indicate an enzymatic involvement in integration of mammalian retroposons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1997) 94:1872–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.5.1872

18. Dewannieux M, Esnault C, Heidmann T. LINE-mediated retrotransposition of marked Alu sequences. *Nat Genet.* (2003) 35:41–8. doi: 10.1038/ng1223

19. Villanueva C, de Roux N. FGFR1 mutations in Kallmann syndrome. *Front Horm Res.* (2010) 39:51–61. doi: 10.1159/000312693

20. Villanueva C, Jacobson-Dickman E, Xu C, Manouvrier S, Dwyer AA, Sykiotis GP, et al. Congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism with split hand/foot malformation: a clinical entity with a high frequency of FGFR1 mutations. *Genet Med.* (2015) 17:651–9. doi: 10.1038/gim.2014.166

21. Haram K, Svendsen E, Abildgaard U. The HELLP syndrome: clinical issues and management. A Review BMC Pregn Childbirth. (2009) 9:8. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-9-8

22. Giannubilo SR, Marzioni D, Tossetta G, Ciavattini A. HELLP syndrome and differential diagnosis with other thrombotic microangiopathies in pregnancy. *Diagn* (*Basel*). (2024) 14:352. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics14040352

23. Lykke-Andersen S, Jensen TH. Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: an intricate machinery that shapes transcriptomes. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2015) 16:665–77. doi: 10.1038/nrm4063

24. Martinez-Gomez L, Abascal F, Jungreis I, Pozo F, Kellis M, Mudge JM, et al. Few SINEs of life: Alu elements have little evidence for biological relevance despite elevated translation. *NAR Genom Bioinform*. (2020) 2:lqz023. doi: 10.1093/nargab/lqz023

25. Salem AH, Watkins WS, Batzer MA. Recently integrated Alu elements and human genomic diversity. *Mol Biol Evol.* (2003) 20:1349–61. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msg150

26. Feusier J, Watkins WS, Thomas J, Farrell A, Witherspoon DJ, Baird L, et al. Pedigree-based estimation of human mobile element retrotransposition rates. *Genome Res.* (2019) 29:1567–77. doi: 10.1101/gr.247965.118

27. Nekrutenko A, Li WH. Transposable elements are found in a large number of human protein-coding genes. *Trends Genet.* (2001) 17:619–21. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525 (01)02445-3

28. Liao X, Zhu W, Zhou J, Li H, Xu X, Zhang B, et al. Repetitive DNA sequence detection and its role in the human genome. *Commun Biol.* (2023) 6:954. doi: 10.1038/ s42003-023-05322-y