#### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Manoocher Soleimani, University of New Mexico, United States

REVIEWED BY Jianhua MaNanjing Medical University, China Laşin Özbek, Koç University, Türkiye

\*CORRESPONDENCE Pei Yu Vupei@tmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 24 January 2025 ACCEPTED 03 June 2025 PUBLISHED 27 June 2025

#### CITATION

Gao S, Liu Y, Liu H, Lin Y, Bai P, Hou F, Lu S, Zhou S, Sun H, Ma G, Liu H, Zhang M, Cui Z and Yu P (2025) Association between obesity indexes and chronic kidney disease risk: a double-cohort prospective study in the Binhai and UK Biobank.

Front. Endocrinol. 16:1566011. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1566011

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Gao, Liu, Liu, Lin, Bai, Hou, Lu, Zhou, Sun, Ma, Liu, Zhang, Cui and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Association between obesity indexes and chronic kidney disease risk: a double-cohort prospective study in the Binhai and UK Biobank

Suhua Gao<sup>1,2†</sup>, Yixi Liu<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Hongyan Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Yao Lin<sup>1,2</sup>, Pufei Bai<sup>1,2</sup>, Fang Hou<sup>4</sup>, Shan Lu<sup>1,5</sup>, Saijun Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>, Haizhen Sun<sup>1,5</sup>, Guangyang Ma<sup>1,2</sup>, Hao Liu<sup>4</sup>, Mianzhi Zhang<sup>6</sup>, Zhuang Cui<sup>7</sup> and Pei Yu<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Health Commission (NHC) Key Laboratory of Hormones and Development, Chu Hsien-I Memorial Hospital and Tianjin Institute of Endocrinology, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>2</sup>Tianjin Key Laboratory of Metabolic Diseases, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Nephrology, Xi'an Jiao Tong University-affiliated Honghui Hospital, Xi'an, China, <sup>4</sup>Community Health Service Center, Tianjin, China, <sup>5</sup>Department of Radiology, Chu Hsien-I Memorial Hospital and Tianjin Institute of Endocrinology, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, <sup>6</sup>Department of Nephrology, Dongfang Hospital of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>7</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China

**Introduction:** The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased worldwide, leading to growing concern regarding the impact of visceral adipose deposition on renal function. The aim of this study was to evaluate the predictive value of 10 obesity indexes for the risk of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in both Chinese populations and Western.

**Methods:** The Tianjin Chronic Kidney Disease Study (Binhai, primary cohort) included 126,109 participants, while 358,918 adults from the U.K. Biobank (UKB, replication cohort) were included. Cox proportional hazard and restricted cubic spline models were used to assess the relationships between obesity indexes and the risk of CKD.

**Results:** During a median follow-up of 35 months in the Binhai cohort, 14,435 CKD cases were identified, while 358,918 CKD cases were observed in the U.K. Biobank cohort during 161 months of follow-up. The risk of CKD increased with increasing quartile levels of the Chinese Visceral Adiposity Index (CVAI) (P for trend < 0.001). CVAI was associated with increased CKD risk (hazard ratio in comparing the highest to the lowest quintile = 1.22 [95% CI 1.16-1.30]) and its predictive ability was the highest among the 10 obesity indexes, with an AUC value of 0.588 (0.581-0.594) in the female subgroup of the Binhai cohort. All of the obesity indexes were negatively correlated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR).

**Discussion:** Findings from two large prospective cohort studies support the notion that obesity indexes, particularly CVAI, are significantly associated with the risk of CKD across diverse ethnic groups.

#### KEYWORDS

obesity, chronic kidney disease, Chinese visceral adiposity index, obesity indexes, double-cohort study



## Introduction

Based on the findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study, the global prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) reached 697.5 million cases in 2017, with China alone contributing 132 million CKD patients, marking a significant 29.3% increase since 1990 (1). The prevalence of CKD is reported to be 23% among individuals with obesity (2).

Among the several clinical evaluation indicators, the body mass index (BMI) remains the most widely used and recognized measure for identifying obesity (3). However, it is important to note that obesity, especially visceral obesity, is strongly associated with the prevalence of CKD (4). Thus, the assessment of visceral obesity is critical for the development of strategies aimed at preventing CKD.

Waist circumference (WC), hip circumference, and waist-tohip ratio (WHtR) are commonly used measures to differentiate between peripheral and central obesity. Furthermore, body fat content and visceral fat area, as derived from anthropometric measures, may also be applied to the evaluation of obesity (5). Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are both commonly used to assess visceral obesity. However, their high cost restricts its application in routine clinical practice and large-scale population screening. In 2016, the Chinese Visceral Adiposity Index (CVAI), validated through CT, was established as a clinical index specifically intended to predict visceral fat function in Asian populations (6). A study indicated that CVAI outperforms both body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC) in effectively predicting the risk of prediabetes and diabetes in Chinese adults (7). Several studies have shown that CVAI is associated with the development of diabetes and offers higher predictive validity compared to other indicators (8-10). Among the indexes of neck circumference, WHtR, lipid accumulation product (LAP), VAI, and

CVAI, it has been found that CVAI exhibits the strongest association with cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, as well as diabetic kidney disease (11). However, existing evidence regarding the relationship between CVAI and CKD remains limited, with a lack of comprehensive data derived from largescale prospective cohort studies.

To address the gaps in understanding the relationship between obesity indexes and CKD, we conducted research to: 1) investigate the influence of several obesity indexes, including ABSI, BAI, BMI, BRI, CVAI, Hips, LAP, VAI, WC and WHtR, on the incidence of CKD using data from the Binhai and U.K. Biobank cohorts; 2) evaluate the differences and consistency in the associations between these indexes and CKD risk across the two cohorts; and 3) explore how these indexes, in combination with established CKDrelated indicators, may enhance predictive models for CKD incidence.

In this research, we prospectively investigated the relationship between obesity indexes and the risk of CKD using data from two large-scale cohorts: 126,109 Chinese community-based participants and 358,918 patients from a diverse, multi-ethnic population. This double-cohort analysis provides novel insights into the role of obesity indexes in CKD risk, marking the first comprehensive study to compare and contrast these correlations across diverse populations.

## Materials and methods

## Study design and participants

We included the Tianjin Chronic Kidney Disease Study (Binhai) as the primary cohort and U.K. Biobank (UKB) as the replication cohort. The participants in this study were adults over 18 years old in the Binhai New Area, Tianjin, China (12). This study is a prospective cohort study initiated in January 2013, designed to investigate the underlying patterns in the occurrence and progression of chronic diseases in the elderly population. The adults who received regular physical examinations were followed up for 3 years from 2018 to 2021. The median interval of the visits for the participants is 37 months. Inclusion criteria: 1. Aged > 18 years old; 2. More than 2 visits during the 3 years, including the baseline visit. Exclusion criteria: 1. History of CKD; 2. eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or positive urinary protein (ACR  $\ge$  30 mg/g or urinary protein  $\ge$  1+); 3. With diseases that could lead to positive urinary protein; 4. With missing variables at baseline; 5. Had mental illness or unable to cooperate; 6. History of renal transplantation. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 126,109 participants (68,206 female and 57,903 male) were finally enrolled in the Binhai cohort (Figure 1). In the U.K. Biobank cohort, we included adult participants aged 18 and above, with baseline data collected from 2006 to 2010 and follow-up until September 2022. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 358,918 participants (194,354 female and 164,564 male) were finally enrolled in the U.K. Biobank cohort (Figure 1).

### Diagnostic criteria of CKD

According to the 2020 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes clinical practice guidelines for the management of CKD, the definition is abnormal renal structure or function for more than 3 months (13). Any of the following indicators last for more than 3 months can be used to diagnose CKD: 1. The signs of renal injury were as follows: a. positive urinary protein (ACR  $\geq$  30 mg/g or urinary protein  $\geq$  1+); b. abnormalities in urinary sediment as markers of kidney damage; c. pathological abnormalities. 2. eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (eGFR stage: G3a~G5 stage). The eGFR was calculated by the CKD-EPI formula (14). In the U.K. Biobank cohort, CKD was defined using ICD10 codes from hospital inpatient records (N03.\*, N06.\*, N08.\*, N11.\*, N12.\*, N13.\*,N14.\*, N15.\*, N16.\*, N18.\*, N19.\*, N20.\*, N21.\*, UKB field 41270: https:// biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/field.cgi?id=41270) (15, 16).

### Data collection

The Binhai cohort data included demographic characteristics (age and sex), physical measurements (height, weight, BMI, WC, blood pressure), lifestyle (smoking status, drinking frequency, dietary habits, and physical activity), medication history, history of diseases (type 2 diabetes, hypertension, stroke), and laboratory examination. Physical activity frequency was categorized as never, 1 time per week, 1–7 times per week, and >7 times per week. Dietary habits were categorized as balanced meat consumption and imbalanced meat consumption (vegetarian-based, meat-based, heavy salt, heavy oil, and heavy sugar). Smoking status: current, never, and quit. Drinking frequency: never, 1, 1-7, and >7 times per week. Laboratory tests included HB, FBG, AST, ALT, TB, Cr, BUN, TC, TG, LDL-c, HDL-c.

The calculation of obesity indexes was based on the formulas provided in Supplementary Table S1. Experienced physicians and nurses from community and medical examination centers completed the data collection and entered the data into the Tianjin Community Health Service Center for management. The original data can be accessed and downloaded by logging into the system. The U.K. Biobank cohort data were collected through the UKB database, as described in our previous study (17–19).

Abbreviations: ABSI, A body shape index; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate transaminase; AUC, area under the curve; BAI, body adiposity index; BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CI, confidential interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CKD-EPI, chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration equation; Cr, serum creatinine; CVAI, Chinese visceral adiposity index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FBG, fasting blood glucose; Hb, Haemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Hips, hip circumference; HR, hazard ratio; LAP, lipid accumulation product; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; OR, odd ratio; ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; Tbil, total bilirubin; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; TP, total protein; UA, uric acid; UKB, U.K. biobank; VAI, visceral adiposity index; WC, waist circumference; WHtR, waist to height ratio.



## Statistical analyses

After conducting normality tests, continuous variables with normal distribution were described by mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (mean  $\pm$  SD). An independent samples t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were employed to compare between two groups and multiple groups. Non-normally distributed data were expressed as median (25th-75th percentile), and the standard Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for comparison. Categorical variables were presented as frequency (N, %) and analyzed using the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. The association between obesity indexes and eGFR was examined using Spearman's rank correlation analysis, and the Cochran-Armitage (CA) trend test was employed to determine whether a trend existed among categorical variables.

In addition, a Cox proportional hazards regression model was employed to assess the association between obesity indexes and the risk of CKD, with hazard ratio (HR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) calculated to quantify the strength and precision of the associations. Specifically, we considered the following series of models: 1) in Model 2, adjustments were made for age and gender; 2) in Model 3, a more comprehensive adjustment was applied, accounting for age, gender, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, lipid profiles (including LDL-c, HDL-c, TC, TG), Cr, eGFR, smoking status, drinking frequency, dietary habits, physical activity frequency, and the presence of comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and a history of stroke.

In the trend analysis, obesity indexes were categorized into quartiles, with the median value of each quartile incorporated into the regression model. To explore the nonlinear relationship between obesity indexes and the risk of CKD, we employed a restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression model to analyze the dose-response association. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to calculate the area under the curve (AUC) and 95% confidence interval (CI) predicted by obesity-related indicators for CKD. Additionally, we conducted stratified analyses according to gender (male and female), age (< 65 years old, 65–70 years old, 70–75 years old, > 75 years old), hypertension (yes or no), diabetes (yes or no), and stroke (yes or no). The method of the U.K. Biobank cohort is similar to that of the Binhai cohort. All analyses were performed using R software (version 4.1.2). The *p*-values for all tests were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

## Result

## Baseline characteristics

The graphical abstract shows the overview of the study. During a median follow-up of 35 months, a total of 14,435 patients developed CKD among the 126,109 participants without CKD at baseline. The baseline characteristics of the participants according to CVAI quartile are shown in Table 1. Individuals in the highest quartile of CVAI had higher values of WHtR, VAI, ABSI, LAP, and BRI, as well as blood pressure and TG, while levels of HDL-c and eGFR were lower (P < 0.001). There were statistical differences observed in smoking status, drinking frequency, exercise frequency, and dietary habits across the groups. In the quantile groups of CVAI, the incidence rates over the 3-year follow-up were 2,478 (7.89%), 3,219 (10.21%), 3,899 (12.37%),

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics stratified by the quartile of CVAI (Binhai cohort).

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	
Characteristics	<94.55 (n = 31521)	94.55-114.06 (n = 31541)	114.06-135.09 (n = 31517)	>135.09 (n = 31530)	p value
Male	18756 (59.5)	13977 (44.3)	11803 (37.4)	13367 (42.4)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Age, years	66.87 (6.37)	67.82 (6.09)	69.08 (6.29)	70.66 (6.62)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	22.16 (2.09)	23.84 (1.86)	25.40 (2.04)	28.07 (2.59)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
WC, cm	78.18 (4.99)	82.94 (4.63)	86.89 (5.05)	95.23 (6.92)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
WHtR	0.47 (0.03)	0.50 (0.03)	0.53 (0.03)	0.58 (0.04)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
VAI	1.18 (0.65)	1.75 (0.94)	2.22 (1.27)	2.68 (1.57)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
ABSI	0.077 (0.005)	0.078 (0.005)	0.079 (0.005)	0.081 (0.005)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
LAP	18.64 (9.20)	31.17 (12.66)	43.48 (18.03)	64.46 (29.09)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
BRI	2.86 (0.52)	3.46 (0.52)	4.00 (0.62)	5.07 (0.94)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
SBP, mmHg	125.32 (11.94)	127.32 (12.01)	129.43 (12.31)	132.30 (13.06)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
DBP, mmHg	77.57 (7.17)	78.28 (7.05)	78.98 (9.75)	79.78 (7.46)	<0.001a
Laboratory tests					
FBG, mmol/L	5.43 (0.86)	5.58 (0.94)	5.70 (0.99)	5.90 (1.08)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
HGB, g/L	140.59 (13.69)	140.47 (13.45)	140.76 (13.32)	142.46 (13.49)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
TC, mmol/L	5.12 (0.98)	5.21 (1.02)	5.236 (1.03)	5.22 (1.05)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
TG, mmol/L	1.18 (0.51)	1.46 (0.62)	1.69 (0.73)	1.90 (0.83)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.57 (0.40)	1.42 (0.34)	1.36 (0.32)	1.29 (0.31)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
LDL-C, mmol/L	2.72 (0.89)	2.85 (0.92)	2.91 (0.92)	2.91 (0.93)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
TBIL, μmol/L	14.06 (5.12)	13.80 (4.99)	13.84 (4.98)	14.04 (5.09)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
ALT, U/L	20.52 (14.89)	21.43 (14.73)	22.25 (23.57)	23.35 (17.50)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
AST, U/L	22.60 (11.41)	22.17 (10.01)	22.20 (10.60)	22.56 (13.00)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Cr, mol/L	70.66 (14.52)	69.13 (14.43)	68.66 (14.49)	69.89 (14.71)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
eGFR, ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	89.26 (14.23)	87.19 (13.75)	85.55 (13.42)	84.40 (13.45)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
BUN, mg/dL	5.52 (1.37)	5.43 (1.32)	5.42 (1.31)	5.47 (1.33)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Smoking					
Current	8249 (26.17)	6470 (20.51)	5646 (17.91)	5715 (18.13)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Never	21001 (66.63)	22999 (72.91)	23669 (75.10)	22990 (72.91)	
Quit	2271 (7.20)	2072 (6.57)	2202 (6.99)	2825 (8.96)	
Drinking					
Never	22968 (72.87)	24928 (79.03)	25525 (80.99)	24530 (77.79)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
1/week	4149 (13.16)	3448 (10.93)	3205 (10.17)	3755 (11.91)	
1-7/week	1224 (3.88)	960 (3.04)	846 (2.68)	967 (3.07)	
>7/week	3180 (10.09)	2205 (6.99)	1941 (6.16)	2278 (7.22)	
Exercise					
Never	8184 (25.96)	7517 (23.83)	7101 (22.53)	7566 (24.00)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
1/week	1795 (5.69)	1965 (6.23)	1817 (5.76)	1809 (5.74)	
1-7/week	5154 (16.36)	5132 (16.27)	5474 (17.37)	5597 (17.75)	

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	1st <94.55 (n = 31521)	2nd 94.55-114.06 (n = 31541)	3rd 114.06-135.09 (n = 31517)	4th >135.09 (n = 31530)	p value
Exercise					
>7/week	16388 (51.99)	16927 (53.67)	17125 (54.33)	16558 (52.51)	
Dietary conditions					
Balanced diet	29787 (94.50)	29923 (94.87)	29870 (94.77)	29681 (94.13)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Imalanced diet	1734 (5.50)	1618 (5.13)	1647 (5.23)	1849 (5.86)	
new cases of CKD	2487 (7.89)	3219 (10.21)	3899 (12.37)	4830 (15.32)	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Analysis of Variance, <sup>b</sup>Chi-square test. Normally distributed data are expressed as mean and SDs, non-normally distributed data are expressed as median and quartiles, the rest are expressed as counts and percentages. SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HGB, haemoglobin; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TBIL, total bilirubin; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine transaminase; Cr, creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen.

and 4,830 (15.32%). Overall, the Cochran-Armitage trend test revealed a significant increase in the incidence (*P*-trend < 0.001). The baseline characteristics of the participants, stratified by gender and outcome, are shown in Supplementary Tables S2, S3.

In the U.K. Biobank cohort, during a median follow-up of 161 months, a total of 358,918 patients developed CKD among the 22,853 participants. The baseline characteristics of the participants according to CVAI quartile are shown in Supplementary Tables S4–S6.

# Correlation analyses of obesity indexes and estimated glomerular filtration rate

In the Binhai cohort, eight obesity indexes were analyzed: ABSI, BMI, BRI, CVAI, LAP, VAI, WC, and WHtR. The results revealed positive correlations among all the obesity indexes, except for the negative correlation between BMI and ABSI (coefficient = -0.16). All eight obesity indexes were negatively correlated with eGFR, with CVAI exhibiting the most significant negative correlation (coefficient = -0.14). The details are presented in Figure 2. Similar results were obtained in the replication cohort, the UKB, as shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

# Relationship between baseline obesity indexes and risk of chronic kidney disease

We employed a Cox proportional hazards regression model to evaluate the efficiency of baseline obesity indexes in predicting the risk of CKD. The eight obesity indexes were categorized into quartiles, and three models were constructed. As shown in Table 2, in Model 3, CVAI, VAI, and LAP were significantly correlated with the risk of CKD incidence (P < 0.05). Specifically, for CVAI, with increasing quartile levels, the HR (95% CI) of Q2, Q3, and Q4 in comparison with Q1 were 1.10 (1.04-1.16), 1.14 (1.08-1.21) and 1.22 (1.16-1.30), respectively, demonstrating a significant upward trend (*P* for trend < 0.001). The risk of CKD in the Q4 group of VAI was 1.16 times higher than Q1, and the risk of CKD in the Q4 group of LAP was 1.26 times higher than Q1. The VAI and LAP were positively associated with the risk of CKD after adjusting for all confounders (*P* for trend < 0.001). Moreover, the BRI, WC, WHtR, and BMI were associated with an increased risk of CKD after adjusting for all confounders (*P* for trend < 0.001).

These findings were consistent with the results of substitution analysis in the U.K. Biobank cohort. According to the CVAI quartile levels, the HR (95% CI) of Q2, Q3, Q4 in comparison with Q1 were 1.22 (1.16-1.28), 1.33 (1.26-1.28) and 1.63 (1.54-1.73). The details are shown in Table 3.

# The dose-response relationships between obesity indexes and risk of CKD

As shown in Figure 3, all obesity indexes, including CVAI, VAI, WHtR, BRI, LAP, ABSI, BMI, and WC, exhibited non-linear dose-response relationships with the risk of CKD (*P* for non-linear < 0.001). We observed a J-shaped dose-response relation between CVAI, WHtR, ABSI, BRI, LAP, and the risk of CKD, with an increased risk as these obesity indexes elevated. Specifically, the risk of CKD was significantly higher when CVAI > 114.06, WHtR > 0.52, ABSI > 0.079, BRI > 3.7, and LAP > 33.2. In addition, BMI and WC demonstrated a U-shaped relationship with CKD risk, with inflection points observed at 24.57 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for BMI and 85 cm for WC. However, VAI exhibited an inverted U-shaped relationship with CKD risk, where the risk sharply increased when VAI > 1.62, but then decreased as VAI > 4.

Findings from the UKB were similar (Supplementary Figure S2). CVAI, VAI, WHtR, BRI, LAP, ABSI, BMI, WC, Hips, and BAI, exhibited non-linear dose-response relationships with the risk of CKD (*P* for non-linear < 0.001).



#### TABLE 2 The relationships between baseline obesity indexes and risk of chronic kidney disease (Binhai cohort).

Variable	Ν	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
		HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	
CVAI					
Q1: <94.55	31521	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	
Q2: 94.55-114.06	31541	1.29 (1.22-1.36)	1.17 (1.11-1.23)	1.10 (1.04-1.16)	
Q3: 114.06-135.09	31517	1.55 (1.48-1.63)	1.28 (1.22-1.35)	1.14 (1.08-1.21)	
Q4: >135.09	31530	1.95 (1.86-2.05)	1.47 (1.39-1.54)	1.22 (1.16-1.30)	
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
VAI					
Q1: <1.10	31771	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	
Q2: 1.10-1.62	31040	1.17 (1.11-1.22)	1.14 (1.09-1.20)	1.09 (1.04-1.16)	
Q3: 1.62-2.45	31838	1.24 (1.18-1.30)	1.20 (1.14-1.26)	1.13 (1.06-1.21)	
Q4: >2.45	31460	1.30 (1.24-1.37)	1.25 (1.19-1.31)	1.16 (1.05-1.29)	
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	0.041	
LAP					
Q1: <21.84	31472	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	
Q2: 21.84-33.20	31593	1.18 (1.12-1.24)	1.18 (1.12-1.24)	1.11 (1.05-1.16)	
Q3: 33.20-50.40	31586	1.23 (1.17-1.29)	1.23 (1.17-1.29)	1.12 (1.06-1.18)	
Q4: >50.40	31458	1.42 (1.36-1.49)	1.42 (1.36-1.49)	1.26 (1.18-1.35)	

(Continued)

### TABLE 2 Continued

	N	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Variable	IN	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)
LAP				
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BRI				
Q1: <3.13	32256	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2: 3.13-3.70	31456	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	1.04 (0.99-1.09)	0.98 (0.93-1.03)
Q3: 3.70-4.43	30574	1.25 (1.19-1.31)	1.18 (1.13-1.24)	1.06 (1.01-1.12)
Q4: >4.43	31823	1.48 (1.41-1.55)	1.30 (1.24-1.36)	1.12 (1.06-1.17)
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
WC				
Q1: <80.00	26817	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2: 80.00-85.00	38511	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	1.00 (0.96-1.05)
Q3: 85.00-90.00	30369	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	1.18 (1.12-1.24)	1.06 (1.01-1.12)
Q4: >90.00	30412	1.20 (1.15-1.26)	1.34 (1.27-1.40)	1.13 (1.08-1.19)
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
ABSI				
Q1: <0.076	35563	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2: 0.076-0.079	32341	1.05 (1.00-1.09)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.00 (0.96-1.05)
Q3: 0.079-0.082	27999	1.05 (1.00-1.10)	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	0.97 (0.93-1.02)
Q4: >0.082	30206	1.22 (1.17-1.28)	1.05 (1.00-1.10)	1.05 (1.00-1.10)
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	0.055	0.061
WHtR				
Q1: <0.49	34762	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2: 0.49-0.52	31060	1.06 (1.01-1.12)	1.03 (0.98-1.08)	0.97 (0.93-1.02)
Q3: 0.52-0.55	26034	1.26 (1.20-1.33)	1.19 (1.13-1.25)	1.08 (1.02-1.13)
Q4: >0.55	34253	1.46 (1.40-1.53)	1.29 (1.23-1.35)	1.11 (1.06-1.16)
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BMI				
Q1: <22.86	30686	1.0(ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2: 22.86-24.57	32609	1.00 (0.96-1.05)	1.07 (1.02-1.13)	1.00 (0.95-1.05)
Q3: 24.57-26.75	31364	1.15 (1.10-1.21)	1.24 (1.18-1.30)	1.10 (1.05-1.15)
Q4: >26.75	31450	1.26 (1.20-1.32)	1.36 (1.30-1.43)	1.14 (1.09-1.20)
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

## Sensitivity and specificity analysis

We adopted the ROC curve to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of obesity indexes as prognostic indicators for CKD. Compared to the other 9 obesity indexes, CVAI demonstrated the highest predictive ability for both men and women, with AUC values of 0.564 (0.556-0.571) and 0.588 (0.581-0.594), respectively, in Binhai cohort. In addition, the top three obesity indexes for both genders were CVAI, WHtR, and BRI.

The details are shown in Supplementary Figure S3. Equivalent results were obtained from the U.K. Biobank cohort, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure S4.

Variable	N	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Vallable		HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)
CVAI				
Q1:<85.94	89723	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:85.94-118.78	89727	1.87 (1.78-1.96)	1.39 (1.32-1.46)	1.22 (1.16-1.28)
Q3:118.78-153.63	89746	2.62 (2.50-2.74)	1.80 (1.71-1.89)	1.33 (1.26-1.28)
Q4:>153.63	89722	4.26 (4.07-4.45)	2.80 (2.67-2.94)	1.63 (1.54-1.73)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
VAI				
Q1:<1.04	89011	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:1.04-1.66	90776	1.31 (1.25-1.37)	1.20 (1.15-1.26)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)
Q3:1.66-2.67	89280	1.69 (1.62-1.76)	1.48 (1.42-1.54)	1.08 (1.02-1.14)
Q4:>2.67	89851	2.21 (2.13-2.30)	1.94 (1.87-2.02)	1.15 (1.07-1.23)
P for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
LAP				
Q1:<22.89	89732	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:22.89-41.54	89752	1.61 (1.54-1.68)	1.34 (1.28-1.41)	1.18 (1.13-1.24)
Q3:41.54-71.55	89696	2.11 (2.02-2.20)	1.66 (1.59-1.73)	1.29 (1.22-1.35)
Q4:>71.55	89738	2.89 (2.77-3.01)	2.30 (2.21-2.40)	1.53 (1.45-1.63)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BRI				
Q1:<3.03	89379	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:3.03-3.93	90289	1.51 (1.44-1.58)	1.29 (1.23-1.35)	1.13 (1.07-1.18)
Q3:3.93-4.97	89419	2.04 (1.96-2.14)	1.61 (1.53-1.68)	1.24 (1.18-1.30)
Q4:>4.97	89831	3.24 (3.11-3.38)	2.47 (2.37-2.58)	1.53 (1.46-1.61)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
WC				
Q1:<80.00	82422	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:80.00-90.00	105509	1.54 (1.47-1.61)	1.41 (1.34-1.47)	1.18 (1.12-1.23)
Q3:90.00-99.00	87425	2.05 (1.96-2.14)	1.82 (1.74-1.91)	1.30 (1.23-1.37)
Q4:>99.00	83562	3.14 (3.00-3.27)	2.74 (2.62-2.87)	1.58 (1.50-1.66)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
ABSI				
Q1:<0.073	94235	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:0.073-0.077	89676	1.34 (1.29-1.40)	1.21 (1.16-1.26)	1.11 (1.06-1.16)
Q3:0.077-0.081	95626	1.65 (1.59-1.72)	1.35 (1.29-1.41)	1.17 (1.12-1.22)
Q4:>0.081	79381	2.23 (2.15-2.32)	1.59 (1.52-1.66)	1.31 (1.25-1.38)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

#### TABLE 3 The relationships between baseline obesity indexes and risk of chronic kidney disease (U.K. Biobank cohort).

(Continued)

### TABLE 3 Continued

	N	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Variable	N	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)
WHtR				
Q1:<0.48	85543	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:0.48-0.53	94782	1.52 (1.45-1.59)	1.30 (1.24-1.36)	1.13 (1.08-1.19)
Q3:0.53-0.58	89695	2.08 (1.99-2.18)	1.63 (1.55-1.70)	1.25 (1.19-1.31)
Q4:>0.58	88898	3.29 (3.15-3.43)	2.49 (2.39-2.60)	1.54 (1.47-1.62)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BMI				
Q1:<24.10	89721	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:24.10-26.68	89666	1.37 (1.31-1.43)	1.22 (1.16-1.27)	1.02 (0.98-1.07)
Q3:26.68-29.81	89832	1.77 (1.70-1.85)	1.52 (1.45-1.58)	1.10 (1.05-1.15)
Q4:>29.81	89699	2.62 (2.51-2.72)	2.34 (2.25-2.43)	1.37 (1.31-1.43)
P for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Hips				
Q1:<97.00	76640	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:97.00-102.00	107509	1.25 (1.20-1.30)	1.15 (1.10-1.20)	1.01 (0.97-1.05)
Q3:102.00-108.00	92802	1.49 (1.42-1.55)	1.35 (1.29-1.40)	1.06 (1.02-1.11)
Q4:>108.00	81967	2.02 (1.94-2.10)	1.94 (1.87-2.02)	1.26 (1.21-1.32)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
WHtR				
Q1:<0.80	84787	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:0.80-0.87	91393	1.48 (1.41-1.55)	1.39 (1.33-1.46)	1.18 (1.13-1.24)
Q3:0.87-0.94	98544	1.85 (1.77-1.93)	1.82 (1.73-1.91)	1.31 (1.25-1.38)
Q4:>0.94	84194	2.78 (2.67-2.90)	2.62 (2.49-2.76)	1.54 (1.45-1.62)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BAI				
Q1:<25.63	89821	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
Q2:25.63-28.50	89103	1.15 (1.11-1.20)	1.21 (1.16-1.25)	1.03 (0.99-1.07)
Q3:28.50-32.16	90197	1.23 (1.19-1.28)	1.46 (1.40-1.52)	1.12 (1.07-1.17)
Q4:>32.16	89797	1.59 (1.54-1.65)	2.15 (2.06-2.25)	1.36 (1.30-1.42)
<i>P</i> for trend		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

## Subgroup analyses

As shown in Figure 4, in the subgroup analyses stratified by sex, the results showed that for every 100-unit increase in CVAI, the risk of CKD in women significantly increased by 36.1% (HR: 1.361; 95% CI, 1.192-1.453, [P < 0.001]), which was significantly higher than in men (HR: 1.189; 95% CI, 1.094-1.292, [P < 0.001]). In the subgroup analysis stratified by diabetes, individuals with diabetes had a significantly higher risk of CKD (HR: 1.284; 95% CI, 1.130-1.458, P = 0.015)

compared to those without diabetes. Furthermore, younger individuals, particularly men, were more likely to be affected by LAP and develop CKD (*P* for interaction < 0.05). Similarly, younger males were more susceptible to the impact of BMI and BRI and developed CKD (*P* for interaction < 0.05). For WC, the highest risk of CKD was observed in individuals aged 65–70 years (*P* for interaction = 0.003). In terms of WHtR, the risk of CKD in males was significantly higher than in females (*P* for interaction = 0.015). Furthermore, considering the ethnic diversity of the European population, we



exercise, diet, diabetes, hypertension and stroke.

assessed the predictive value of CVAI for incident CKD across different ethnic subgroups. In our study, White participants accounted for 94.7% of the UK Biobank cohort (Supplementary Table S9), which is consistent with previous reports showing proportions ranging from 94.2% to 95.8% (20–22). The results demonstrated that CVAI maintained a stable predictive value for CKD in the White, Black, and Asian subgroups under both Model 1 and Model 2 adjustments (Supplementary Table S10). Moreover,

ubaroup	N		HR(95%CI)	P for Interact	ionsubgroup	N		HR(95%CI)	P for Interaction
Gender	••••••			<0.001	Gender				0.006
/len	57903(5693)	Hel	1.189(1.094-1.292)		Men	57903(5693)		1.066(1.037-1.095)	
Vomen	68206(8742)	H+H	1.316(1.192-1.453)		Women	68206(8742)	⊢⊷	1.039(1.020-1.059)	
ae	. ,		, , ,	0.174	Age	. ,		, , ,	0.039
:65	36932(2589)	H++	1.335(1.145-1.557)		<65	36932(2589)		1.087(1.045-1.132)	
5-70	48014(4785)	Here	1.315(1.177-1.468)		65-70	48014(4785)		1.058(1.029-1.088)	
0–75	22340(3129)	Herei	1.268(1.108-1.451)		70-75	22340(3129)		1.042(1.009-1.076)	
75	18823(3932)	H	1.245(1.106-1.401)		>75	18823(3932)	<b>⊬</b> •1	1.022(0.994-1.051)	
lypertension				0.412	Hypertension				0.996
és	63501(9181)	H	1.313(1.216-1.419)		Yes	63501(9181)	⊢⊷	1.059(1.039-1.079)	
lo	62608(5254)	H	1.191(1.070-1.327)		No	62608(5254)	<b>⊢</b> •1	1.026(0.999-1.054)	
Diabetes				0.015	Diabetes				0.542
és	22571(3459)	H++	1.284(1.130-1.458)		Yes	22571(3459)		1.064(1.032-1.097)	
lo	103538(10976)	н	1.269(1.180-1.364)		No	103538(10976)	⊢⊷	1.044(1.025-1.062)	
stroke				0.989	Stroke				0.822
és	3343(518)		1.737(1.290-2.330)		Yes	3343(518)	_ <b>⊢</b> → →		
lo	122766(13917)	м	1.258(1.180-1.341)		No	122766(13917)	H	1.047(1.030-1.063)	
		1 1							
		1 2	3				1 1.1	1.2	
C		Hazard ratio			р		Hazard ratio		
C	LA	P-every 10 un	it increase		D	W	C-every 10 un	it increase	
ubaroup	N	v	HR(95%Cl)	P for Interact	ionsubaroup	N		HR(95%CI)	P for Interactio
ender				0.002	Gender				0.142
en	57903(5693)		1.042(1.022-1.063)	0.000	Men	57903(5693)		1.039(1.01 - 1.07)	
/omen	68206(8742)	l i⊷i	1.038(1.023-1.053)		Women	68206(8742)		1.075(1.049-1.102)	
ae	00200(01 12)			0.03	Age	00200(07.12)			0.003
35	36932(2589)		1.042(1.013-1.071)	0.00	<65	36932(2589)		1.029(0.984-1.076)	01000
5-70	48014(4785)		1.042(1.022-1.063)		65-70	48014(4785)		1 072(1 038-1 107)	
)-75	22340(3129)		1 037(1 012-1 062)		70-75	22340(3129)		1.066(1.024-1.109)	
75	18823(3932)		1.027(1.003-1.051)		>75	18823(3932)		1.047(1.009-1.086)	
vnertension	10020(0302)	1	1.027(1.000 1.001)	0.838	Hypertension	10020(0302)		1.047(1.000 1.000)	0 268
99011010101	63501(9181)		1 043(1 028-1 057)	0.000	Yes	63501(9181)		1 066(1 042-1 091)	0.200
0	62608(5254)		1.026(1.005-1.047)		No	62608(5254)		1.049(1.016-1.083)	
iahetes	02000(0201)		1.020(1.000 1.011)	0 47	Diabetes	02000(0201)		1.010(1.010 1.000)	0 752
28	22571(3459)		1 030(1 007-1 053)	0.41	Yes	22571(3459)		1 045(1 005-1 086)	0.702
0	103538(10976)	l Hei	1.041(1.028-1.055)		No	103538(10976)		1.067(1.044-1.090)	
troke				0.263	Stroke				0.444
es	3343(518)		1.075(1.018-1.135)		Yes	3343(518)	<b>_</b>	1.060(0.962-1.168)	
0	122766(13917)	Hel	1.037(1.025-1.049)		No	122766(13917)	·   ⊢⊷⊣	1.061(1.041-1.082)	
		1 1	1				1 1		
		1 1.1	1.2				1 1.1	1.2	
Б		Hazard ratio			-		Hazard ratio		
E	WH	tR-every 0.1 u	nit increase		F	BN	4I-every 10 ur	nit increase	
ubaroun	N		HB(95%CI)	P for Interact	ionsubaroup	N		HR(95%CI)	P for Interaction
lender			in (co , co)	0.015	Gender				0.002
len	57903(5693)		1.133(1.073-1.197)		Men	57903(5693)		1.207(1.098-1.328)	
/omen	68206(8742)	⊷	1.082(1.041-1.125)		Women	68206(8742)	⊷	1.182(1.105-1.264)	
ae	( )		· · · /	0.067	Age	( )		( )	0.001
65	36932(2589)		1.176(1.084-1.275)		<65	36932(2589)		1.216(1.065-1.389)	
5-70	48014(4785)		1.120(1.058-1.185)		65-70	48014(4785)		1.215(1.104-1.336)	
)-75	22340(3129)		1.092(1.022-1.167)		70-75	22340(3129)		1.187(1.058-1.332)	
75	18823(3932)	<b>↓</b> → ↓	1.046(0.988-1.108)		>75	18823(3932)		1.113(1.003-1.236)	
vpertension	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	0.805	Hypertension	,		,	0.268
s	63501(9181)	⊷	1.125(1.082-1.170)		Yes	63501(9181)	⊷	1.228(1.148-1.314)	
0	62608(5254)		1.052(0.997-1.111)		No	62608(5254)		1.114(1.015-1.224)	
abetes				0.717	Diabetes				0.91
s	22571(3459)		1.139(1.068-1.214)		Yes	22571(3459)		1.185(1.060-1.325)	
0	103538(10976)	H++	1.090(1.051-1.130)		No	103538(10976)	H+H	1.195(1.122-1.272)	
troke	(.00.0)			0.749	Stroke	(.00.0)			0.927
es	3343(518)	<b> </b>	1.251(1.068-1.465)	211.40	Yes	3343(518)		1.326(0.994-1.769)	5.02.
	122766(13917)		1.097(1.062-1.33)		No	122766(13917)	1 Hell	1.187(1.123-1.255)	
-		1	1.007 (7.002 1.00)						
		1 1.25	1.5				1 1.5	2	

FIGURE 4

The stratified analyses of the associations between obesity indexes and risk of CKD. (A) CVAI, Chinese visceral adiposity index. (B) BRI, body roundness index. (C) LAP, lipid accumulation product. (D) WC, waist circumference. (E) WHtR, waist-height ratio. (F) BMI, body mass index. Analyses were adjusted for gender, age, SBP, DBP, LDL-c, HDL-c, TC, TG, smoking, drinking, exercise, diet, diabetes, hypertension and stroke.

considering the difference in median follow-up time between the two cohorts, we conducted a subgroup analysis in the U.K. Biobank cohort with a 35-month follow-up period. The results showed that the HR for CKD per every 100-unit increase in CAVI was 2.69 (95% CI: 2.50–2.89) in Model 1 (Supplementary Table S11). This finding further supports the positive association between elevated CAVI and increased CKD risk in the European population within a comparable follow-up duration.

After adjustment, no significant differences between subgroups were observed in VAI and ABSI (Supplementary Tables S7, S8). The results from the U.K. Biobank cohort are consistent with these findings, and the details are shown in Supplementary Figure S5.

## Discussion

This study assessed the association between obesity indexes and the risk of CKD using data from the Binhai and U.K. Biobank cohorts. To our knowledge, this is the first prospective doublecohort study to examine the critical role of CVAI in CKD risk on such a large scale. Our findings demonstrate that CVAI, a novel index of visceral adiposity, exhibits strong associations with CKD risk, exceeding established indicators such as BMI and WC, and is significantly correlated with visceral fat burden, as defined by CT, as well as several key renal risk factors. This study provides new insights into the role of adiposity in CKD pathogenesis and demonstrates the importance of using advanced obesity indexes for risk stratification in clinical settings.

It is worth noting that the subgroup analysis of CVAI showed that females and individuals with diabetes exhibited a higher susceptibility to CKD development associated with elevated CVAI levels. In line with previous reports, in 2017, it was estimated that in the approximately 700 million people with CKD globally, females had a prevalence of 1.29 times higher than males (1). Notably, gender-specific differences in CKD prevalence vary by country, with some regions reporting prevalence in females as twice that in males. This may be due to women's longer life expectancy and the use of equations to eGFR, which could lead to the overdiagnosis of CKD in women (23). Previous studies have reported that CVAI appears to be more valuable than other obesity indexes in predicting renal impairment in females (24), which is consistent with the findings of our study. In contrast to males, females exhibit distinct patterns of adipose tissue distribution, characterized by greater fat accumulation in the subcutaneous depot prior to menopause, followed by a shift toward increased visceral fat deposition and accrual after menopause (25). This shift is accompanied by a corresponding rise in CKD risk. Research in animal models demonstrates that estrogen signaling deficiency promotes metabolic dysregulation characterized by adiposity redistribution toward visceral depots and disturbances in glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity (26). Furthermore, insulin resistance induced glucolipotoxicity manifests in renal parenchyma through altered podocyte viability and tubular function, mechanistically contributing to progressive renal dysfunction (27). Taken together, this may explain in part why CVAI demonstrates a stronger association with CKD risk in females, while the underlying mechanisms require further investigation. Although the prevalence of CKD is higher in females, the progression of kidney disease generally is reported to be more rapid in males (28), as evidenced by large cohort studies demonstrating increased risks of renal replacement therapy among males (29). A large systematic review and meta-analysis found that the association between obesity and CKD varies by gender, with obesity increasing the risk of CKD for females more than for males (30). Similarly, consistent with our results, the gender subgroup analysis revealed that WHtR and BRI exhibited a higher risk in males compared to females, unlike CVAI. We propose that WHtR and BRI are relatively simple indexes that cannot be corrected by gender, age, BMI, and HDL-c. Additionally, we identified a J-shaped dose-response relation between CVAI, WHtR, BRI, and the risk of CKD, with inflection point values (CVAI: 114.06, WHtR: 0.52, BRI: 3.7). These nonlinear transition points indicate critical biological thresholds where metabolic disorders may accelerate renal function decline, enabling focused treatment surveillance for individuals exceeding these cutoffs.

Diabetes, recognized as the leading cause of CKD globally, is strongly associated with obesity (31). Adiposity contributes to insulin resistance and chronic low-grade inflammation, both of which exacerbate renal dysfunction (32, 33). Similar results were also observed in our studies that the risk of CKD affected by the abdominal adiposity index CVAI was different in patients with diabetes and those without diabetes. An accumulating body of studies has investigated the association between obesity indexes and CKD risk, but the conclusions remain inconsistent. A large prospective cohort study in Sweden demonstrated significant associations between anthropometric measures, including BMI, WC,

WHtR, and body fat percentage, and the incidence of CKD (34). However, other studies have reported that WHtR, rather than BMI, is more closely associated with both the incidence and mortality of CKD (35). According to some researchers, ABSI may serve as a better indicator of CKD risk than BMI (36). Moreover, the body adiposity index has been proposed as a potential predictive tool for identifying obesity-related CKD in the early stage (37). Although fat distribution patterns in Asians differ from those in Europeans and Americans, our findings demonstrate that CVAI has the most predictive value for CKD progression among all obesity indexes. Specifically, results from the Binhai and U.K. Biobank cohorts indicate that CVAI, WHtR, and BRI consistently rank as the top three obesity indexes in both males and females, and CVAI shows the highest average predictive AUC values of ROC analysis. In-depth comparison of the parameter composition of various obesity indexes, together with the exploration of potential biological mechanisms underlying these parameters, may reveal why individuals with greater CVAI in our study exhibited a higher risk of renal impairment. Compared to the other 9 obesity indexes, CVAI incorporates multiple parameters, including BMI, WC, TG, and HDL-c, reflecting increased ectopic fat depots and providing a more comprehensive depiction of abnormal lipid metabolism. The visceral adipose tissue is the main contributor to systemic inflammation in obesity, as it can produce and secrete a greater quantity of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as leptin, adiponectin, interleukin 6, and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , which is compared to subcutaneous fat (38-40). These cytokines and adipokines induce oxidative stress, inflammation, and even trigger fibrotic changes in the kidney, ultimately leading to renal damage (38). Hence, this suggests that obesity indexes incorporating lipid metabolic parameters may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the contribution of lipotoxicity to the progression of kidney disease.

Currently, research available on CVAI remains relatively limited, with existing studies exhibiting considerable heterogeneity in the final enrolled cohort sample size, assessment of outcome, study type, and statistical analyses employed. A longitudinal survey demonstrated that six insulin resistance indexes were associated with rapid kidney function decline (RKFD) in Chinese with normal renal function over age 45, while CVAI was the best index for predicting further progression to CKD (41). A retrospective cross-sectional study indicated that the CVAI is significantly and negatively correlated with eGFR, particularly showing superior screening efficiency in the female population (24). A cross-sectional survey revealed that the CVAI are significantly and positively associated with CKD prevalence in a Korean population (42). A previous study in the Beijing Health Examination cohort, consisting of 23,522 participants aged 20 to 80 years, showed a significant positive correlation between CVAI and carotid plaque risk, characterized by a non-linear dose-response relationship, with a stronger association observed in men compared to women (43). Other studies have indicated that CVAI may be a reliable index to identify high-risk groups of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (44). A single-center observational cohort study demonstrated that insulin resistance (IR) surrogate indicators, such as CVAI, offer advantages of simplicity, costeffectiveness, and insulin independence, highlighting their significant potential as valuable clinical indicators for reflecting IR levels (45).

The current study has several strengths, this large-scale, community-based study, is the first to address the limitations of

10.3389/fendo.2025.1566011

previous research that focused on a single ethnic group and lacked Hips metrics. It provides robust evidence for the positive correlation between CVAI and the incidence of CKD across different ethnicities, confirming the value of CVAI as an effective obesity index for predicting CKD risk. In addition, in subgroup analysis, we found that the association between CVAI and progression to CKD was more significant in subjects in the female subgroup or with diabetes. There are limitations that should be considered in our study. The albumin-to-creatinine ratio, an important marker for assessing renal function and detecting kidney injury, was not included in the current analysis.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, in these two large prospective cohort studies, we found that obesity indexes CVAI, WHtR, ABSI, BRI, and LAP are significantly positively correlated with the risk of CKD. This underlines the critical role of these obesity indexes in identifying individuals at heightened risk for CKD, particularly in the continuing rise in the global obesity epidemic. Notably, among these obesity indexes, CVAI stands out as a simple, cost-effective indicator, and our findings indicate its applicability across both the Chinese population and other ethnic groups. These insights are crucial for guiding principles in early interventions, which may ultimately help reduce the burden of CKD, especially in high-risk groups.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Tianjin Chu Hsien-I Memorial Hospital (No. ZHYJNYYhMEC2013-19). All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Research performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant and was recorded by the physician who explained the study procedures. Written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee of Tianjin Chu Hsien-I Memorial Hospital because the data were anonymous and observational. The study was registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Register (ChiCTR) with the identification number ChiCTR1900023701. The U.K. Biobank obtained ethics approval from the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (21/NW/ 0157). All participants provided informed consent.

## Author contributions

SG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YXL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HYL: Writing – review & editing. YL: Writing – review & editing. PB: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. FH: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. SL: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Writing – review & editing. HS: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. GM: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. HL: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Writing – review & editing. ZC: Writing – review & editing. PY: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by "Tianjin Medical Talents" project, the second batch of high-level talents selection project in health industry in Tianjin (no.TJSJMYXYC-D2-014); Key Project of Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin (no.22JCZDJC00590); Tianjin Key Medical Discipline (Specialty) Construct Project (no.TJYXZDXK-032A); Tianjin Science and Technology Major Special Project (no.21ZXGWSY00100).

## Acknowledgments

This research was conducted using the U.K. Biobank resource under application number 91377.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025.1566011/ full#supplementary-material 1. GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:709–33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20) 30045-3

2. Câmara NOS, Iseki K, Kramer H, Liu Z-H, Sharma K. Kidney disease and obesity: epidemiology, mechanisms and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2017) 13:181–90. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.191

3. Song W-H, Bae EH, Ahn JC, Oh TR, Kim Y-H, Kim JS, et al. Effect of body mass index and abdominal obesity on mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention: a nationwide, population-based study. *Korean J Intern Med.* (2021) 36:S90–8. doi: 10.3904/kjim.2020.099

4. Chintam K, Chang AR. Strategies to treat obesity in patients with CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. (2021) 77:427-39. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.08.016

5. Nimptsch K, Konigorski S, Pischon T. Diagnosis of obesity and use of obesity biomarkers in science and clinical medicine. *Metabolism*. (2019) 92:61–70. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2018.12.006

6. Xia M-F, Chen Y, Lin H-D, Ma H, Li X-M, Aleteng Q, et al. A indicator of visceral adipose dysfunction to evaluate metabolic health in adult Chinese. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:38214. doi: 10.1038/srep38214

 Xia M-F, Lin H-D, Chen L-Y, Wu L, Ma H, Li Q, et al. Association of visceral adiposity and its longitudinal increase with the risk of diabetes in Chinese adults: A prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2018) 34:e3048. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.v34.7

8. Wu J, Gong L, Li Q, Hu J, Zhang S, Wang Y, et al. A Novel Visceral Adiposity Index for Prediction of Type 2 Diabetes and Pre-diabetes in Chinese adults: A 5-year prospective study. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:13784. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-14251-w

9. Tsou M-T, Chang Y-C, Hsu C-P, Kuo Y-C, Yun C-H, Huang W-H, et al. Visceral adiposity index outperforms conventional anthropometric assessments as predictor of diabetes mellitus in elderly Chinese: a population-based study. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. (2021) 18:87. doi: 10.1186/s12986-021-00608-6

10. Wei J, Liu X, Xue H, Wang Y, Shi Z. Comparisons of visceral adiposity index, body shape index, body mass index and waist circumference and their associations with diabetes mellitus in adults. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:1580. doi: 10.3390/nu11071580

11. Wan H, Wang Y, Xiang Q, Fang S, Chen Y, Chen C, et al. Associations between abdominal obesity indices and diabetic complications: Chinese visceral adiposity index and neck circumference. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2020) 19:118. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01095-4

12. Bai P, Shao X, Ning X, Jiang X, Liu H, Lin Y, et al. Association between the trajectory of ideal cardiovascular health metrics and incident chronic kidney disease among 27,635 older adults in northern China-a prospective cohort study. *BMC Geriatr.* (2024) 24:193. doi: 10.1186/s12877-024-04760-5

13. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Diabetes Work Group. KDIGO 2020 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* (2020) 98:S1–S115. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.06.019

14. Levey AS, Coresh J. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet. (2012) 379:165-80. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60178-5

15. Geng T, Li X, Ma H, Heianza Y, Qi L. Adherence to a healthy sleep pattern and risk of chronic kidney disease: the UK biobank study. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2022) 97:68–77. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.08.028

16. Wuttke M, König E, Katsara M-A, Kirsten H, Farahani Khomeijani S, Teumer A, et al. Imputation-powered whole-exome analysis identifies genes associated with kidney function and disease in the UK Biobank. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:1–16. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36864-8

17. Bai P, Ning X, Gao R, Shao X, Zhou S, Li J, et al. Association between circadian physical activity patterns and cancer incidence through regulation of inflammation: A UK biobank study. *Prev Med.* (2024) 179:107831. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2023.107831

18. Bai P, Zhou S, Shao X, Lin Y, Liu H, Yu P, et al. Ideal 24-h physical activity trajectory reduces all-cause, cause-specific mortality and cardiovascular outcomes through aging deceleration and inflammation regulation: A UK biobank study. *Int J Cardiol.* (2024) 399:131770. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2024.131770

19. Bai P, Shao X, Chen L, Zhou S, Lin Y, Liu H, et al. Association between circadian physical activity trajectories and incident type 2 diabetes in the UK Biobank. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:6459. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-57082-2

20. Nagar SD, Jordan IK, Mariño-Ramírez L. The landscape of health disparities in the UK Biobank. *Database (Oxford)*. (2023) 2023:baad026. doi: 10.1093/database/baad026

21. Lee KK, Norris ET, Rishishwar L, Conley AB, Mariño-Ramírez L, McDonald JF, et al. Ethnic disparities in mortality and group-specific risk factors in the UK Biobank. *PloS Glob Public Health.* (2023) 3:e0001560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0001560

22. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2017) 186:1026–34. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwx246

23. Carrero JJ, Hecking M, Chesnaye NC, Jager KJ. Sex and gender disparities in the epidemiology and outcomes of chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2018) 14:151–64. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.181

24. Xu Y, Wang XY, Liu H, Jin D, Song X, Wang S, et al. A novel clinical diagnostic marker predicting the relationship between visceral adiposity and renal function evaluated by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in the Chinese physical examination population. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2023) 22:32. doi: 10.1186/s12944-023-01783-6

25. Palmer BF, Clegg DJ. The sexual dimorphism of obesity. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* (2015) 402:113–9. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2014.11.029

26. Meda C, Benedusi V, Cherubini A, Valenti L, Maggi A, Torre Della S, et al. Hepatic estrogen receptor alpha drives masculinization in post-menopausal women with metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease. *JHEP Rep.* (2024) 6:101143. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2024.101143

27. Artunc F, Schleicher E, Weigert C, Fritsche A, Stefan N, Häring H-U, et al. The impact of insulin resistance on the kidney and vasculature. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2016) 12:721–37. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.145

28. Cobo G, Hecking M, Port FK, Exner I, Lindholm B, Stenvinkel P, et al. Sex and gender differences in chronic kidney disease: progression to end-stage renal disease and haemodialysis. *Clin Sci (Lond).* (2016) 130:1147–63. doi: 10.1042/CS20160047

29. Swartling O, Rydell H, Stendahl M, Segelmark M, Lagerros YT, Evans M, et al. CKD progression and mortality among men and women: A nationwide study in Sweden. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2021) 78:190–199.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.11.026

30. Wang Y, Chen X, Song Y, Caballero B, Cheskin LJ. Association between obesity and kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Kidney Int*. (2008) 73:19–33. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5002586

31. Wyld MLR, De La Mata NL, Viecelli A, Swaminathan R, O'Sullivan KM, O'Lone E, et al. Sex-based differences in risk factors and complications of chronic kidney disease. *Semin Nephrol.* (2022) 42:153–69. doi: 10.1016/j.semnephrol.2022.04.006

32. Levin A, Tonelli M, Bonventre J, Coresh J, Donner J-A, Fogo AB, et al. Global kidney health 2017 and beyond: a roadmap for closing gaps in care, research, and policy. *Lancet.* (2017) 390:1888–917. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30788-2

33. Chang Y, Ryu S, Choi Y, Zhang Y, Cho J, Kwon M-J, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity and development of chronic kidney disease: A cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2016) 164:305–12. doi: 10.7326/M15-1323

34. Memarian E, Nilsson PM, Zia I, Christensson A, Engström G. The risk of chronic kidney disease in relation to anthropometric measures of obesity: A Swedish cohort study. *BMC Nephrol.* (2021) 22:330. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02531-7

35. Elsayed EF, Sarnak MJ, Tighiouart H, Griffith JL, Kurth T, Salem DN, et al. Waist-to-hip ratio, body mass index, and subsequent kidney disease and death. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2008) 52:29–38. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.02.363

36. Kim B, Kim G, Kim E, Park J, Isobe T, Sakae T, et al. The A body shape index might be a stronger predictor of chronic kidney disease than BMI in a senior population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:12874. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182412874

37. Stępień M, Stępień A, Wlazeł RN, Paradowski M, Banach M, Rysz M, et al. Obesity indices and adipokines in non-diabetic obese patients with early stages of chronic kidney disease. *Med Sci Monit.* (2013) 19:1063–72. doi: 10.12659/MSM.889390

38. Mitrofanova A, Merscher S, Fornoni A. Kidney lipid dysmetabolism and lipid droplet accumulation in chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2023) 19:629–45. doi: 10.1038/s41581-023-00741-w

 Czaja-Stolc S, Potrykus M, Stankiewicz M, Kaska Ł, Małgorzewicz S. Proinflammatory profile of adipokines in obesity contributes to pathogenesis, nutritional disorders, and cardiovascular risk in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:1457. doi: 10.3390/nu14071457

40. Hamdy O, Porramatikul S, Al-Ozairi E. Metabolic obesity: the paradox between visceral and subcutaneous fat. *Curr Diabetes Rev.* (2006) 2:367–73. doi: 10.2174/1573399810602040367

41. Liu S, Sun H, Liu J, Wang G. Accessing the relationship between six surrogate insulin resistance indexes and the incidence of rapid kidney function decline and the progression to chronic kidney disease among middle-aged and older adults in China: Results from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2024) 212:111705. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2024.111705

42. Jin J, Woo H, Jang Y, Lee W-K, Kim J-G, Lee I-K, et al. Novel asian-specific visceral adiposity indices are associated with chronic kidney disease in Korean adults. *Diabetes Metab J*. (2023) 47:426–36. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2022.0099

43. Bi H, Zhang Y, Qin P, Wang C, Peng X, Chen H, et al. Association of Chinese visceral adiposity index and its dynamic change with risk of carotid plaque in a large cohort in China. J Am Heart Assoc. (2022) 11:e022633. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.022633

44. Huang Y-C, Huang JC, Lin C-I, Chien H-H, Lin Y-Y, Wang C-L, et al. Comparison of innovative and traditional cardiometabolic indices in estimating atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in adults. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. (2021) 11:603. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11040603

45. Zhao Q, Cheng YJ, Xu Y-K, Zhao Z-W, Liu C, Sun T-N, et al. Comparison of various insulin resistance surrogates on prognostic prediction and stratification following percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2021) 20:190. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01383-7