Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yao-Wu Liu, Xuzhou Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Di Chen, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China Chunyu Zhou, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China Reaz Ahmmed, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhouji Shen Nulshenzhouji@nbu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 29 April 2025 ACCEPTED 19 June 2025 PUBLISHED 17 July 2025

CITATION

Dong Q, Xu H, Xu P, Liu J and Shen Z (2025) Bioinformatic analysis identifies LPL as a critical gene in diabetic kidney disease via lipoprotein metabolism. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1620032. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1620032

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Dong, Xu, Xu, Liu and Shen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bioinformatic analysis identifies LPL as a critical gene in diabetic kidney disease via lipoprotein metabolism

Qian Dong[†], Huan Xu[†], Pengjie Xu, Jiang Liu and Zhouji Shen^{*}

Department of Nephrology, The Affiliated Lihuili Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China

Background: Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is a common and serious complication of diabetes, affecting approximately 40% of patients with the condition. The pathogenesis of DKD is complex, involving multiple processes such as metabolism, inflammation, and fibrosis. Given its increasing incidence and associated mortality, there is an urgent need to identify novel pathogenic genes and therapeutic targets.

Methods: This study systematically identified hub DKD-associated genes and their potential molecular mechanisms through bioinformatic analysis. Gene expression datasets from DKD patients and healthy controls were obtained from the GEO database. Hub genes were screened using differential expression analysis, weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), LASSO regression, random forest (RF) algorithms, and consensus clustering for DKD patient classification. Additionally, immune cell infiltration analysis was performed on differentially expressed genes to explore the relationship between hub genes and the immune microenvironment. Potential drugs targeting LPL were predicted based on gene-drug interaction analysis. Immunohistochemistry was used to verify the expression of LPL and TNF- α in kidney tissues from patients with varying degrees of DKD severity, as well as their relationship with kidney function impairment.

Results: This study revealed that LPL, a lipoprotein metabolism gene, plays a crucial role in DKD, participating in cholesterol and glycerolipid metabolism as well as PPAR signaling. LPL expression was negatively correlated with pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages and various subsets of T cells, including naïve CD4 T cells and gamma delta T cells, while positively correlated with follicular helper T cells, suggesting its immune-regulation effects in DKD progression. Potential LPL-targeting drugs, such as Ibrolipim, anabolic steroid, and acarbose, might mitigate DKD. LPL expression was decreased with DKD severity and was correlated with TNF- α and kidney dysfunction markers, indicating its key role in DKD progression.

Conclusion: LPL is a pivotal regulator of lipid metabolism and immune inflammation in DKD. Potential drugs targeting LPL offer new candidates for precision treatment of DKD. These findings lay a theoretical foundation for understanding the molecular mechanisms of DKD and developing LPL-based therapeutic strategies.

KEYWORDS

diabetic kidney disease, lipoprotein lipase, immune cell infiltration, lipid metabolism, bioinformatic

Introduction

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is a common and serious microvascular complication of diabetes and is one of the leading causes of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD), affecting approximately 40% of patients with diabetes (1, 2). The early stages of DKD are often asymptomatic, resulting in delayed diagnosis in huge amount of DKD patients. This delayed detection contributes to the global rise in DKD prevalence and mortality rates, especially in low- and middle-income countries, making it a significant public health burden (3–5). The pathophysiology of DKD is complex, involving the interplay of multiple factors such as metabolic disturbances induced by hyperglycemia, hemodynamic abnormalities, oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and genetic susceptibility (6–9).

Hyperglycemia activates several key biochemical pathways such as the polyol pathway, the advanced glycation end product (AGE) pathway, and protein kinase C, which further exacerbate kidney damage (10, 11). Concurrently, glomerular hyperfiltration and overactivation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) lead to increased intraglomerular pressure, promoting mesangial matrix expansion and podocyte apoptosis (12, 13). Besides, Hyperglycemia promotes the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines including Creactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factoralpha (TNF-α), all of which have been shown to contribute to DKD progression and cardiovascular disease development (14, 15). Oxidative stress and inflammatory responses further exacerbate cellular damage, while tubular epithelial cell trans differentiation and extracellular matrix deposition drive fibrotic progression (6, 16, 17). Additionally, genetic background and epigenetic regulation influence disease susceptibility and progression (18). These mechanisms collectively contribute to glomerulosclerosis, tubulointerstitial fibrosis, and progressive renal function decline. Given the limitations of current treatment strategies, identifying new pathogenic factors and therapeutic targets, particularly through in-depth exploration of molecular mechanisms, may offer new opportunities to improve the diagnosis and treatment of DKD (19).

In this study, a comprehensive analysis to explore key pathogenic genes and potential therapeutic targets of DKD was conducted. Gene expression datasets from DKD patients and healthy controls were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and subjected to multi-level bioinformatic analysis. Differential expression analysis including Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) and weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) in combination with LASSO regression and random forest (RF) algorithms to identify hub genes, and simultaneously classified DKD patients using consensus clustering. Immune cell infiltration analysis was performed to elucidate the role of inflammatory response in the progression of DKD and to provide theoretical support for immunotherapy. In addition, potential targeted drugs and drug-gene interactions involving hub genes were conducted. The bioinformatic conclusion was approved by immunohistochemistry. This study highlights the role of lipid metabolism disorders and their associated immune-inflammatory responses, providing new insights and potential targets for the early diagnosis, classification and targeted treatment of DKD.

Materials and methods

Data search and download

In this study, datasets related to DKD were obtained from the GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) for subsequent data analyses. Both the GSE30122 (training set) and GSE104948 (validation set) datasets are based on microarray technology. The gene expression data for GSE30122 was obtained from the GEO database. These files are typically provided as log2-transformed and pre-normalized expression matrices by the data submitters or GEO processing pipeline. Following this, our preprocessing involved handling probes that correspond to multiple genes by averaging their expression levels to represent a single gene symbol. Subsequently, Z-score normalization was applied to the log2transformed data to standardize gene expression distributions across samples, which facilitates comparability in downstream analyses. The GSE30122 dataset was selected as the training set, which contained the gene expression profiles of 19 DKD patients and 50 healthy controls. We utilized GSE104948 dataset was used as a validation set to verify the robustness of the model and the reliability of the GSE104948-GPL22945 subset, which included gene expression profiles of 7 DKD patients and 18 healthy controls, directly relevant to diabetic kidney disease.

Identification of differentially expressed genes

The "Limma" package (version 3.60.5) in R was utilized to screen the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between DKD patients and healthy controls in the training set (GSE30122). Genes with a P < 0.05and $|\log_2(FC)| > 0.585$ were considered significantly differentially expressed. This threshold, corresponding to a fold change of 1.5, was selected to balance statistical significance with the retention of genes exhibiting subtle yet potentially critical biological changes, thereby ensuring a comprehensive yet reliable set of differentially expressed genes for downstream functional analyses. The expression profiles of DEGs were visualized using a volcano plot and a heatmap generated with the pheatmap package (version 1.0.12) and the ggplot2 package (version 3.5.1), respectively.

Enrichment analysis

To better understand the biological functions of key genes, GO functional enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed on the identified DEGs using the clusterProfiler package (version 4.12.6) in R. GO enrichment analysis evaluated gene enrichment across three domains: Biological Processes (BP), Molecular Functions (MF), and Cellular Components (CC), offering a comprehensive understanding of their functional characteristics.

Additionally, GSEA was conducted to examine the distribution of DEGs within known functional pathways. By analyzing the ranking of gene expression, GSEA determines whether specific gene sets are significantly enriched in particular biological pathways. In this study, GSEA was performed using the clusterProfiler package (version 4.12.6), with the Hallmark gene sets from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) as the reference standard. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

WGCNA analysis of differentially expressed genes

To further identify the key gene modules associated with DKD, this study used the R package WGCNA (version 1.72-5) to construct a gene co-expression network. WGCNA is a widely used bioinformatic method that can reveal co-expression patterns between genes and identify gene modules associated with specific biological characteristics (20).

First, the pickSoftThreshold function was used to select an optimal soft threshold to ensure the sparsity of the network and to generate a robust co-expression network. Subsequently, gene co-expression was assessed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient between gene pairs in the adjacency matrix. These weighted co-expression relationships enabled the evaluation of interaction strength between genes and the identification of key gene modules within the network. Finally, genes from key modules associated with DKD were extracted for further analysis and validation.

LASSO and RF analysis

To further identify hub genes closely related to DKD, the key module genes screened by WGCNA were subjected to Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression analysis and RF analysis.

In the LASSO regression analysis, we used the R package glmnet (version 4.1-8) and 5-fold cross-validation to determine the optimal regularization parameter. By incorporating L1 regularization, the number of variables in the model was controlled by compressing less important coefficients to zero, thereby reducing model complexity and retaining the most representative gene features.

The RF analysis, an ensemble learning method based on decision trees, assesses the importance of each gene by constructing multiple decision trees. RF analysis was performed using the R package randomForest (version 4.7-1.1), and the most critical genes were identified based on their importance scores, measured as Mean Decrease Gini.

The results of LASSO regression and RF analysis were analyzed through Venn diagram intersection analysis using EVenn (http://www.ehbio.com/test/venn/#/) to screen out hub genes related to DKD for subsequent in-depth research.

Consensus cluster analysis

To explore molecular heterogeneity within the DKD patient cohort based on the five identified hub genes (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1), consensus clustering was performed on the DKD samples from the training dataset (GSE30122). The analysis was conducted using the ConsensusClusterPlus R package (v1.58.0) applying the k-means algorithm with Euclidean distance. Cluster stability was evaluated for k values ranging from 2 to 9 (maxK=9), using 1000 resampling iterations (reps=1000) with 80% samples randomly selected in each iteration (pItem=0.8). The optimal number of clusters was determined based on the consensus cumulative distribution function (CDF) plots and the stability of consensus matrices. DKD samples were subsequently classified into the optimal number of subtypes, and the expression patterns of the five hub genes across these subtypes were visualized using heatmaps and box plots.

Immune cell infiltration assessment

To evaluate the composition of 22 types of immune cells in the samples, the CIBERSORT algorithm was used to conduct immune cell infiltration analysis based on differentially expressed genes. A box plot was utilized to visually present the differences in immune cell composition between the two groups. In addition, Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to assess the relationships between hub genes and various immune cells. The R package corrplot was used to generate a heatmap of the correlation between genes and immune cells, aiming to visualize the association between hub genes and immune infiltration characteristics.

Gene-drug prediction

To explore potential drug targets of hub genes, this study leveraged the Drug-Gene Interaction Database (DGIdb, https:// www.dgidb.org/) to perform drug association analysis on the screened hub genes to identify possible drug-gene interactions. The interaction scores provided by DGIdb are quantitative measures derived from integrated information across various public databases and literature sources, reflecting the strength of potential drug-gene associations. Our study primarily utilized these pre-computed scores for predictive analysis.

Clinical sample collection and immunohistochemical analysis

To verify the correlation between LPL and the severity of DKD and its renal function indicators, 20 renal tissue samples were collected, including 10 paraffin-embedded renal biopsy samples from patients with varying severities of DKD and 10 healthy control samples from non-tumor renal tissue adjacent to renal tumors. The age and gender of the samples in each group were matched to eliminate the influence of confounding factors. All sample collections were carried out with informed consent from patients and approved by the hospital's ethics committee (KY2024SL295-01).

The collected kidney tissue samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde buffer at room temperature for 24 hours, then embedded in paraffin and sectioned into 4 µm thick sections. The sections were hydrated, and nonspecific binding was blocked with 5% goat serum for 1 hour at room temperature. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, including anti-LPL antibody (1:200, RayBiotech, # 144-64237) and anti-TNF- α antibody (1:200, Abcam, #ab1793). The next day, the sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibodies (1:500, Ray Biotech, # 144-00277) at room temperature for 1 hour. Subsequently, 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB, MilliporeSigma) was applied for 5 minutes for staining, followed by hematoxylin counterstaining at room temperature for 60 seconds. After counterstaining, the sections were dehydrated with gradient ethanol, cleared with xylene, and mounted with neutral balsam. The completed sections were observed and recorded under an optical microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to evaluate the expression level of LPL protein in DKD and control tissues.

Results

Differentially expressed gene identification

Through analyses of the GSE30122 dataset from the GEO database, a total of 477 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified between DKD patients and healthy controls, based on the criteria of P < 0.05 and |log2FC| > 0.585. Among these, 193 genes were upregulated, and 284 were downregulated (Figures 1A, B).

To further explore the BP in which these DEGs may be involved in, we conducted GO and KEGG enrichment analyses. The KEGG analysis revealed that these genes were significantly enriched in immune and inflammation-related pathways, such as the NF-kappa B signaling pathway, Antigen processing and presentation, and Phagosome (Figure 1C). In GO analysis, differentially expressed genes were significantly enriched in BP, MF, and CC, involving key processes such as positive regulation of cell adhesion, collagencontaining extracellular matrix, and MHC class II protein complex binding (Figure 1D). Additionally, the GSEA analysis further confirmed significant enrichment of these DEGs in pathways such as Interferon gamma response, inflammatory response, epithelial mesenchymal transition, complement, and allograft rejection (Figures 1E, F), providing further insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying DKD.

WGCNA to identify key modules

To identify gene modules associated with DKD, WGCNA was performed on 477 differentially expressed genes from 19 DKD samples and 50 normal samples. Based on the scale-free topological network construction (Figures 2A, B), the soft threshold was set to 12. Hierarchical clustering identified multiple modules containing different numbers of co-expressed genes, and the similarity analysis of module feature genes revealed the correlation between modules. Correlation analysis showed that the MEturquoise module was significantly correlated with DKD (r = 0.47, P = 5e-05) (Figure 2C). Within the MEturquoise module, 110 genes were found to be correlated with DKD (r = -0.22, P = 0.021, Figure 2D). The expression levels of genes in the MEturquoise module are shown in Figure 2E. To further investigate the function of the genes in the MEturquoise module, KEGG and GO analyses were conducted. The results revealed significant associations with pathways such as the Wnt signaling pathway, adherens junction, and cholesterol binding (Figures 2F, G). These findings further suggest that the genes within the MEturquoise module and the associated pathways may play a key role in the progression of DKD, offering potential directions for its diagnosis and therapy.

LASSO and RF analysis to identify hub genes

LASSO regression and RF analysis were performed on the key module genes screened by WGCNA to identify key genes with significant predictive value. After LASSO regression determined the optimal regularization parameter through 5-fold cross-validation, 24 key genes were finally identified (Figures 3A, B). In the RF analysis, the top 20 genes were screened out based on the gene importance score (Mean Decrease Gini) (Figures 3C, D).

A total of 10 overlapping genes were identified from both LASSO and RF analysis, which were visualized using Venn diagrams (Figure 4A). The expression levels of these genes are shown in Figure 4B. Further correlation analysis revealed the



Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis and enrichment results in DKD patients versus healthy controls. (A) Volcano plot of DEGs identified in the GSE30122 dataset based on the criteria |log2FC| > 0.585 and P < 0.05 in diabetic kidney disease (DKD) patients compared to controls. (B) Heatmap showing the expression levels of selected DEGs in DKD and control groups. (C) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs. (D) GO enrichment analysis illustrating DEGs significantly associated with biological processes (BP), molecular functions (MF), and cellular components (CC). (E, F) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of DEGs.

correlations between the expression levels of these genes (Figure 4C). To evaluate the potential of these genes in diagnosis, ROC analysis was performed, and the results showed that the combination of these genes had good predictive performance (Figure 4D). In addition, the gene-gene interaction network constructed using the GENEMANIA database revealed that the functions of these genes are closely related to mesenchymal cell proliferation, locomotory behavior, astrocyte differentiation, and regulation of insulin-like growth factor receptor signaling (Figure 4E). Finally, the expression levels of these genes were

verified on the validation set, and 5 hub genes closely related to DKD (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1) were screened out (Figure 4F), providing important candidate targets for further functional research and drug development.

Consensus cluster analysis

Consensus cluster analysis was performed on the DKD patient samples from the training set using the expression profiles



of the five hub genes (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1) to identify distinct molecular subtypes. Evaluation of the consensus CDF curves and the consensus matrix heatmaps indicated that k=2 yielded the most stable clustering solution (Figures 5A, B). Consequently, the DKD samples were classified into two robust molecular subtypes, designated Cluster A and Cluster B.

Significant differences in the expression levels of the hub genes were observed between these two subtypes (Figures 5C, D). Specifically, compared to Cluster B, Cluster A exhibited significantly lower expression of LPL (P<0.01) and lower expression of BCAM. Conversely, Cluster A showed significantly higher expression of SERPINE2 (p<0.01), higher expression of GCNT3, and significantly higher expression of CTNNBIP1 (p<0.001) compared to Cluster B.



FIGURE 3

Identification of key predictive genes using LASSO regression and Random Forest (RF) analysis. (A) LASSO regression model with 5-fold crossvalidation to select the optimal regularization parameter (λ). The minimum mean squared error was used to determine the optimal λ value, resulting in the selection of key predictive genes. (B) LASSO coefficient profiles for each gene as a function of the regularization parameter λ . Genes with non-zero coefficients at the optimal λ were identified as key genes. (C) RF analysis showing the error rate as a function of the number of decision trees. (D) Top 20 genes ranked by importance score (Mean Decrease Gini) in the RF analysis.

Immune cell infiltration assessment

To evaluate the immune microenvironment, the proportions of 22 types of immune cells in DKD patient and healthy control samples were estimated using the CIBERSORT algorithm based on the overall gene expression profiles from the training dataset. The analysis revealed distinct immune cell composition profiles between the groups (Figure 6A). Comparing the estimated immune cell proportions between the DKD group and the healthy control group, significant alterations in several immune cell subsets were observed (Figure 6B). Notably, DKD patients exhibited significantly increased proportions of M1 macrophages, naïve CD4 T cells, and gamma delta T cells compared to controls, suggesting a shift towards a pro-inflammatory immune microenvironment in DKD.

To further explore the potential relationship between the key genes identified in this study and the immune landscape, we performed a correlation analysis specifically between the expression levels of the five identified hub genes (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1) and the estimated proportions of various immune cell types (Figure 6C). This analysis revealed that LPL expression was positively correlated with M0 macrophages and follicular helper T cells, while being negatively correlated with M1 and M2 macrophages. These correlations suggest that LPL may play a role within the proinflammatory immune context of DKD. Additionally, significant correlations were observed between BCAM and SERPINE2 expression and various immune cells, indicating their potential involvement in modulating inflammatory responses and immune infiltration in DKD.

Hub gene enrichment analysis

To further reveal the biological pathways that the screened hub genes may be involved in DKD, KEGG enrichment analysis was performed. The results showed that LPL was significantly associated with Mucin type O-glycan biosynthesis (P=0.012), Cholesterol metabolism (P=0.015) and Glycerolipid metabolism (P=0.018,



Table 1). These findings suggest that LPL may play a crucial role in lipid metabolism disorders, and through regulating lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses, it could contribute to the progression of DKD.

Further gene-drug interaction analysis identified a variety of potential targeted drugs that may affect the pathological progression of DKD by regulating the activity of the LPL gene. The analysis results showed that IBROLIPIM had the strongest interaction with LPL (Interaction score = 1.875), suggesting that it has a high potential in regulating LPL activity. Other compounds, such as anabolic steroid (Interaction score=1.250) and ACARBOSE (Interaction score=0.625) also showed significant interaction scores with LPL, indicating that they may have a significant effect on the functional regulation of LPL (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). These results offer promising therapeutic targets for DKD intervention.

Immunohistochemical analysis of the correlation between LPL expression and DKD severity

To validate the expression pattern of LPL protein in renal tissue and its relationship with disease severity, immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on kidney samples from healthy controls and DKD patients with varying degrees of severity. LPL staining was reduced in DKD samples compared to controls, particularly in moderate/severe cases, while TNF- α staining appeared increased with severity (Figure 7A). Quantitative analysis confirmed these trends, revealing a significant decrease in LPL staining intensity with increasing DKD severity (Ctrl vs. Mild vs. Moderate/Severe DKD, *P*<0.001), whereas TNF- α staining intensity significantly increased (*P*<0.001, Figure 7B).

Correlation analysis was performed between LPL and TNF- α staining intensities and indicators of renal function using the combined patient and control samples (Figure 7C). Notably, LPL staining intensity showed a strong negative correlation with TNF- α staining intensity (r = -0.85). Furthermore, LPL staining was negatively correlated with serum creatinine (r = -0.66) and BUN (r = -0.68), while TNF- α showed positive correlations with these renal function markers. Linear regression analysis focusing on the patient samples further confirmed a significant negative correlation between LPL staining intensity and both serum creatinine (R²=0.402, P=0.049) (Figure 7D) and BUN (R²=0.524, P=0.018) (Figure 7E). While these correlations were statistically significant, the R² values indicate that LPL expression explains a moderate proportion of the variance in these renal function markers. No significant correlation was found between LPL staining and 24-hour urinary protein (P=0.690) (Figure 7F). These IHC results demonstrate that LPL protein expression decreases in the kidney tissue of DKD patients as the



Consensus clustering analysis of five hub genes in DKD patients. (A) Consensus matrix for clustering, showing optimal stability at k=2, resulting in two molecular subtypes (Type A and Type B) based on the expression of hub genes. (B) Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) curve for consensus clustering with different values of k. (C) Expression levels of the hub genes (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1) in the two identified subtypes. ***P<0.001 (D) Heatmap of gene expression between Type A and Type B. **P<0.01.

disease progresses and is negatively correlated with renal function impairment and inflammatory response (TNF- α). This finding aligns with the LPL downregulation observed in transcriptome analyses and supports a complex role for LPL in DKD pathogenesis, potentially differing from its role in systemic lipid metabolism.

Discussion

DKD is the leading cause of ESRD worldwide. Its incidence and medical costs continue to rise, placing a heavy burden on the medical system (21). The clinical feature of DKD is persistent albuminuria, which indicates that the disease could irreversibly progress to ESRD (22). Given the increasing global prevalence of DKD, this study identified the key role of lipoprotein metabolism-related gene LPL in DKD through systematic bioinformatic analysis, and further revealed the potential pathological mechanisms of lipid metabolism disorders and immune inflammatory responses in DKD, providing new insights and potential targets for the early diagnosis and targeted treatment of DKD.

In the progression of DKD, lipid metabolism disorders are increasingly recognized as a significant risk factor (23). Normally, the balance of lipid metabolism pathways is essential for maintaining kidney health and function (24). However, in patients with diabetes, hyperglycemia and insulin resistance lead to profound disruptions in lipid metabolism, initiating a cascade of pathological events. Excess lipids gradually accumulate in the renal tubules and glomeruli, and this abnormal lipid accumulation has been identified one of the key factors promoting the progression of DKD (25, 26). Excessive lipids not only directly damage the kidney structure, but also significantly accelerates tissue fibrosis and aggravates kidney damage by activating inflammatory responses and oxidative stress (23). Previous studies have demonstrated that the inflammatory responses caused by lipid metabolism disorders play key regulatory roles in the development of DKD. Abnormal lipid accumulation often induces the aggregation and activation of macrophages, further aggravating the inflammatory



Analysis of immune cell composition and hub gene immune associations in DKD using CIBERSORT. (A) Proportional distribution of immune cell types in DKD patients and healthy controls (Control group). (B) Comparative analysis of immune cell infiltration in DKD and control groups. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. (C) Correlation analysis of hub genes (LPL, BCAM, SERPINE2, GCNT3, and CTNNBIP1) with various immune cell types. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

microenvironment of the kidney (27). Activated macrophages release large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β , which directly damage the renal tubules and glomeruli, impairing both renal structure and function (28). These cytokines also activate oxidative stress and increase the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), accelerating renal cell apoptosis and tissue fibrosis, which ultimately promotes the progression of DKD (29). To better understand the specific mechanisms of lipid metabolism dysregulation in DKD, recent studies have utilized bioinformatic analysis and machine learning to analyze in depth gene expression data from DKD patients and healthy individuals (30). These studies suggested that lipid metabolism imbalance may influence DKD pathological process by regulating the behavior of immune cells (31, 32). It is worth noting that specific metabolic enzymes are closely related to the activity of M1 macrophages, and the increase in M1 macrophages is positively correlated with further deterioration of renal function (33, 34). In contrast, M2 macrophages have anti-inflammatory and protective effects, and their reduction may exacerbate renal damage in DKD patients (35).

As a key regulator of lipid metabolism, LPL may play a core role in lipid metabolism disorders associated with DKD. Functional enrichment analysis in this study showed that LPL genes were significantly enriched in lipid metabolism and inflammatory response pathways, especially in the Cholesterol metabolism and

TABLE 1 Results of hub gene functional enrichment analysis.

Term	P-value	Genes
Mucin type O-glycan biosynthesis	0.009	GCNT3
Cholesterol metabolism	0.012	LPL
Glycerolipid metabolism	0.015	LPL
PPAR signaling pathway	0.018	LPL
Wnt signaling pathway	0.041	CTNNBIP1

Glycerolipid metabolism pathways, suggesting that LPL may play an important role in the occurrence and development of DKD. In diabetic patients, due to insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, lipid metabolism is significantly disrupted, leading to lipid accumulation in the kidneys (23, 36). Previous studies have shown that abnormal lipid metabolism not only damages the glomerular and tubular structures, but also aggravates local inflammatory responses and oxidative stress, promoting the progression of DKD (23, 37). The enrichment of LPL genes in these pathways supports previous findings and further highlights its key role in regulating lipid metabolism disorders in DKD.

In addition to enrichment in lipid metabolism pathways, the significant enrichment of LPL genes in the PPAR signaling pathway also suggests that it may play an important role in regulating inflammatory responses. The PPAR pathway is a key antiinflammatory signaling pathway that inhibits inflammatory responses by inhibiting the expression of pro-inflammatory factors (38). Previous studies have shown that activation of the PPAR signaling pathway can reduce the production of inflammatory cytokines by downregulating pro-inflammatory pathways such as NF-KB, thereby playing a protective role in chronic inflammatory diseases such as DKD (39, 40). In the immune cell infiltration analysis of this study, it was found that pro-inflammatory immune cells increased significantly in DKD patients, forming a significant pro-inflammatory immune microenvironment, which is closely related to the pathological progression of DKD. It is worth noting that the aggregation and activation of M1 macrophages are commonly accompanied by structural destruction of glomeruli and tubules (41). A previous study has shown that M1 macrophages play a crucial role in the



FIGURE 7

Immunohistochemical analysis of LPL and TNF- α expression in relation to DKD severity. (A) Representative immunohistochemical staining of LPL and TNF- α in kidney tissues from control, mild DKD, and moderate/severe DKD patients (scale bar = 100 µm). (B) Quantitative analysis of LPL and TNF- α staining intensities among the control, mild DKD, and moderate/severe DKD groups. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (C) Correlation matrix of LPL and TNF- α staining intensities with kidney function indicators (serum creatinine, urea nitrogen, and 24-hour urinary protein). (D) Linear regression analysis of LPL staining intensity with serum creatinine levels. (E) Linear regression analysis of LPL staining intensity with urea nitrogen levels.

progression of inflammation and fibrosis in DKD, and under high glucose conditions, they promote the polarization of macrophages to the M1 phenotype by downregulating STAT-3-mediated autophagy (42).

The expression level of the LPL gene was negatively correlated with M1 and M2 macrophages, suggesting that LPL may affect the proinflammatory microenvironment of DKD by regulating the polarization state of macrophages, thereby exacerbating kidney damage. In addition, immunohistochemistry detection revealed low LPL expression in both glomerular and tubular regions of DKD patients. This finding further supports the significant downregulation of LPL in DKD renal tissue and underscores its potential anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic roles in pathological progression (43). While the immunohistochemical analysis revealed a statistically significant negative correlation between LPL protein expression and renal function indicators such as serum creatinine (P=0.049) and BUN (P=0.018), it is crucial to interpret these findings in light of the relatively moderate R^2 values ($R^2 = 0.402$) for serum creatinine and $R^2 = 0.524$ for BUN). These R^2 values indicate that LPL expression explains only a moderate proportion of the observed variance in these markers. This suggests that while LPL plays a discernible contributing role in DKD progression and kidney dysfunction, its impact is part of a highly complex and multifactorial disease etiology. The pathogenesis of DKD involves intricate interactions between various factors including hemodynamic changes, metabolic disturbances, inflammation, and fibrosis. Consequently, no single gene or pathway is expected to fully account for the broad spectrum of variability observed in clinical outcomes. Therefore, while LPL has been identified as a key player in DKD, its precise impact on overall renal function needs to be understood within this broader pathological context, necessitating further studies to delineate its exact contribution and interplay with other intricate mechanisms.

In the gene-drug interaction analysis, several potential therapeutic agents that may regulate lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses through the LPL gene were identified. Among them, Ibrolipim showed a high interaction score, indicating that it may alleviate lipid metabolism disorders and related inflammatory responses in DKD by regulating LPL activity. As a lipid-lowering drug, Ibrolipim may reduce the inflammatory cascade caused by lipid accumulation by reducing the deposition of cholesterol and triglycerides in the kidneys (44). Other drugs such as anabolic steroid and acarbose also exhibited high interaction scores with LPL, suggesting potential therapeutic value in regulating LPL activity. Anabolic steroid has an immunomodulatory effect and may reduce the inflammatory damage of DKD by balancing the ratio of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory immune cells; while acarbose, as a hypoglycemic agent, may indirectly regulate lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses by improving glucose metabolism (45, 46). The potential mechanisms of action of these drugs provide a variety of possible intervention pathways for the targeted treatment of DKD. By regulating the activity of LPL, these drugs are expected to not only alleviate lipid metabolism disorders but also improve the proinflammatory immune environment in the kidneys, reduce persistent tissue damage, and ultimately delay the progression of DKD. The significant correlation between LPL and renal function indicators (serum creatinine, urea nitrogen, and 24-hour urine protein excretion) in immunohistochemistry results further indicates that these drugs targeting LPL may have clinical application value in monitoring and improving the condition of DKD patients.

Limitation

However, this study has certain limitations. Firstly, the sample sizes were relatively small, including only 19 DKD patients and 50 healthy controls in the training set, and 7 DKD patients and 18 healthy controls in the validation set. Additionally, the immunohistochemical experiments included only 10 kidney biopsy specimens from DKD patients with varying disease severity and 10 healthy control samples, which may not fully reflect the widespread variations in LPL expression levels among DKD patients. Future studies with larger sample sizes are needed to validate the generalizability of the results. Secondly, while this study revealed a strong association between LPL and DKD progression through bioinformatic analysis and immunohistochemistry, it is important to acknowledge that correlation does not automatically imply causation. Therefore, the exact underlying mechanisms and causal relationships still need to be further verified through in vivo and in vitro experiments to functionally validate these findings.

Furthermore, while consensus clustering identified distinct molecular subtypes of DKD, the current study, due to the inherent limitations of publicly available datasets, was unable to perform comprehensive clinical correlations with functional outcomes such as eGFR decline, which would further strengthen the clinical relevance of these subtypes. To address these limitations and establish causality, future research should focus on: (1) integrating multi-omics data analysis to reveal the complex molecular mechanisms of DKD; (2) conducting longitudinal studies to track the dynamic changes of LPL in the progression of DKD, providing stronger evidence for its role and potential as a therapeutic target; and (3) evaluating LPL-targeted therapies for their potential in precision medicine, including a focus on correlating identified molecular subtypes with specific clinical outcomes to enhance personalized diagnostic and therapeutic strategies.

Conclusion

This study systematically identified the lipoprotein metabolismrelated gene LPL as a hub gene in DKD through bioinformatic analysis and immunohistochemical validation, revealing its multiple roles in lipid metabolism disorders and immuneinflammatory response regulation. The study found that the expression level of LPL significantly decreased with the severity of DKD and was negatively correlated with the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- α and kidney function indicators. Further functional analyses suggested that LPL dysregulation is intricately linked to DKD progression, potentially influencing lipid metabolism and the immune microenvironment in complex ways. Additionally, genedrug interaction analysis identified several potential drugs that may target LPL to alleviate DKD progression, providing an important theoretical basis for future clinical interventions.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Lihuili Hospital of Ningbo University (KY2024SL295-01).

Author contributions

QD: Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Supervision. HX: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Methodology, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. PX: Formal analysis, Visualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Software. JL: Software, Writing – review & editing, Validation, Formal analysis, Resources. ZS: Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported

References

1. Zhang Y, Zhao L, Jia Y, Zhang X, Han Y, Lu P, et al. Genetic evidence for the causal relationship between gut microbiota and diabetic kidney disease: A bidirectional, two-sample mendelian randomisation study. *J Diabetes Res.* (2024) 2024:4545595. doi: 10.1155/2024/4545595

2. Chadban SJ, Briganti EM, Kerr PG, Dunstan DW, Welborn TA, Zimmet PZ, et al. Prevalence of kidney damage in Australian adults: The AusDiab kidney study. *J Am Soc Nephrol JASN*. (2003) 14:S131–138. doi: 10.1097/01.asn.0000070152.11927.4a

3. Horton WB, Barrett EJ. Microvascular dysfunction in diabetes mellitus and cardiometabolic disease. *Endocr Rev.* (2020) 42:29. doi: 10.1210/endrev/bnaa025

4. Amorim RG, Guedes G da S, Vasconcelos SM de L, Santos JC de F. Kidney disease in diabetes mellitus: cross-linking between hyperglycemia, redox imbalance and inflammation. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* (2019) 112:577. doi: 10.5935/abc.20190077

5. Fermín-Martínez CA, Bello-Chavolla OY, Paz-Cabrera CD, Ramírez-García D, Perezalonso-Espinosa J, Fernández-Chirino L, et al. Prediabetes as a risk factor for allcause and cause-specific mortality: a prospective analysis of 115,919 adults without diabetes in Mexico City. *MedRxiv Prepr Serv Health Sci.* (2024), 2024.04.15.24305840. doi: 10.1101/2024.04.15.24305840

 Efiong EE, Maedler K, Effa E, Osuagwu UL, Peters E, Ikebiuro JO, et al. Decoding diabetic kidney disease: a comprehensive review of interconnected pathways, molecular mediators, and therapeutic insights. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. (2025) 17:192. doi: 10.1186/ s13098-025-01726-4 by Medical and Health Research Project of Zhejiang Province (2025KY1263).

Conflict of interest

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025.1620032/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Gene-drug interaction analysis identifying potential therapeutic agents targeting LPL in DKD.

7. Liu Z, Liu J, Wang W, An X, Luo L, Yu D, et al. Epigenetic modification in diabetic kidney disease. Front Endocrinol. (2023) 14:1133970. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1133970

8. VB K, Rajh B. Biomarkers of oxidative stress and inflammation in chronic kidney disease: A cross-sectional study of vitamin C and pentraxin 3 dynamics during hemodialysis. *Cureus*. (2025) 17:e83480. doi: 10.7759/cureus.83480

9. Furuya F, Ishii T, Kitamura K. Chronic inflammation and progression of diabetic kidney disease. *Contrib Nephrol.* (2019) 198:33–9. doi: 10.1159/000496526

10. Tanase DM, Gosav EM, Anton MI, Floria M, Seritean Isac PN, Hurjui LL, et al. Oxidative stress and NRF2/KEAP1/ARE pathway in diabetic kidney disease (DKD): new perspectives. *Biomolecules*. (2022) 12:1227. doi: 10.3390/biom12091227

11. Babel RA, Dandekar MP. A review on cellular and molecular mechanisms linked to the development of diabetes complications. *Curr Diabetes Rev.* (2021) 17:457–73. doi: 10.2174/1573399816666201103143818

12. Sinha SK, Nicholas SB. Pathomechanisms of diabetic kidney disease. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12:7349. doi: 10.3390/jcm12237349

13. Jha R, Lopez-Trevino S, Kankanamalage HR, Jha JC. Diabetes and renal complications: an overview on pathophysiology, biomarkers and therapeutic interventions. *Biomedicines*. (2024) 12:1098. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines12051098

14. Lee SY, Han K, Kwon HS, Koh ES, Chung S. Fecal calprotectin as a prognostic biomarker for mortality and renal outcomes in chronic kidney disease. *Biomolecules*. (2025) 15:557. doi: 10.3390/biom15040557

15. Langhoff AF, Modin D, Dons M, Skaarup KG, Sengeløv M, Borchsenius J, et al. Inflammation and risk of cardiovascular outcomes in atrial fibrillation and flutter patients: A Nationwide Registry Study. *Heart Rhythm.* (2025), S1547–5271(25)02511-1. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2025.05.051

16. Stenvinkel P, Chertow GM, Devarajan P, Levin A, Andreoli SP, Bangalore S, et al. Chronic inflammation in chronic kidney disease progression: role of nrf2. *Kidney Int Rep.* (2021) 6:1775–87. doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2021.04.023

17. Deng B, Song A, Zhang C. Cell-cycle dysregulation in the pathogenesis of diabetic kidney disease: an update. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:2133. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24032133

18. Skinner MK. Epigenetic biomarkers for disease susceptibility and preventative medicine. *Cell Metab.* (2024) 36:263–77. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2023.11.015

19. Shi Y, Guo Z, Liu F, Pan S, Gao D, Zhou S, et al. Analysis of potential biomarkers for diabetic kidney disease based on single-cell RNA-sequencing integrated with a single-cell sequencing assay for transposase-accessible chromatin. *Aging.* (2023) 15:10681–704. doi: 10.18632/aging.205107

20. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinf.* (2008) 9:559. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

21. Gonzalez-Manzanares R, Anguita-Gámez M, Muñiz J, Barrios V, Gimeno-Orna JA, Pérez A, et al. Prevalence and incidence of heart failure in type 2 diabetes patients: results from a nationwide prospective cohort-the DIABET-IC study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:253. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02358-0

22. Alicic RZ, Rooney MT, Tuttle KR. Diabetic kidney disease: challenges, progress, and possibilities. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN*. (2017) 12:2032–45. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11491116

23. You G, Zhao X, Liu J, Yao K, Yi X, Chen H, et al. Machine learning-based identification of CYBB and FCAR as potential neutrophil extracellular trap-related treatment targets in sepsis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1253833. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1253833

24. Pei K, Gui T, Li C, Zhang Q, Feng H, Li Y, et al. Recent progress on lipid intake and chronic kidney disease. *BioMed Res Int.* (2020) 2020:3680397. doi: 10.1155/2020/3680397

25. Fang Z, Liu R, Xie J, He JC. Molecular mechanism of renal lipid accumulation in diabetic kidney disease. J Cell Mol Med. (2024) 28:e18364. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.18364

26. Palazhy S, Viswanathan V. Lipid abnormalities in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with overt nephropathy. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2017) 41:128. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2017.41.2.128

27. Hu C, Du Y, Xu X, Li H, Duan Q, Xie Z, et al. Lipidomics revealed aberrant metabolism of lipids including FAHFAs in renal tissue in the progression of lupus nephritis in a murine model. *Metabolites*. (2021) 11:142. doi: 10.3390/metabo11030142

28. Chen H, Jin G. Downregulation of Salusin- β protects renal tubular epithelial cells against high glucose-induced inflammation, oxidative stress, apoptosis and lipid accumulation via suppressing miR-155-5p. *Bioengineered*. (2021) 12:6155–65. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2021.1972900

29. Shen Y, Chen W, Han L, Bian Q, Fan J, Cao Z, et al. VEGF-B antibody and interleukin-22 fusion protein ameliorates diabetic nephropathy through inhibiting lipid accumulation and inflammatory responses. *Acta Pharm Sin B*. (2021) 11:127–42. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2020.07.002

30. Ting KKY, Yu P, Dow R, Floro E, Ibrahim H, Scipione CA, et al. Oxidized lowdensity lipoprotein accumulation suppresses glycolysis and attenuates the macrophage inflammatory response by diverting transcription from the HIF-1 α to the nrf2 pathway. *J Immunol Baltim Md* 1950. (2023) 211:1561–77. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2300293

31. Alnuqaydan AM, Almutary AG, Azam M, Manandhar B, De Rubis G, Madheswaran T, et al. Phytantriol-based berberine-loaded liquid crystalline

nanoparticles attenuate inflammation and oxidative stress in lipopolysaccharideinduced RAW264.7 macrophages. *Nanomater Basel Switz*. (2022) 12:4312. doi: 10.3390/nano12234312

32. Alexander RK, Liou YH, Knudsen NH, Starost KA, Xu C, Hyde AL, et al. Bmal1 integrates mitochondrial metabolism and macrophage activation. *eLife*. (2020) 9: e54090. doi: 10.7554/eLife.54090

33. Marrocco A, Ortiz LA. Role of metabolic reprogramming in pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion from LPS or silica-activated macrophages. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:936167. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.936167

34. Ebert R, Cumbana R, Lehmann C, Kutzner L, Toewe A, Ferreirós N, et al. Longterm stimulation of toll-like receptor-2 and -4 upregulates 5-LO and 15-LO-2 expression thereby inducing a lipid mediator shift in human monocyte-derived macrophages. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids*. (2020) 1865:158702. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2020.158702

35. Putman AK, Contreras GA. 15-F2t-isoprostane favors an anti-inflammatory phenotype in RAW 264.7 macrophages during endotoxin challenge. *Antioxid Basel Switz*. (2022) 11:586. doi: 10.3390/antiox11030586

36. Izquierdo-Lahuerta A, Martínez-García C, Medina-Gómez G. Lipotoxicity as a trigger factor of renal disease. *J Nephrol.* (2016) 29:603–10. doi: 10.1007/s40620-016-0278-5

37. Yang W, Luo Y, Yang S, Zeng M, Zhang S, Liu J, et al. Ectopic lipid accumulation: potential role in tubular injury and inflammation in diabetic kidney disease. *Clin Sci Lond Engl* 1979. (2018) 132:2407–22. doi: 10.1042/CS20180702

38. Necela BM, Su W, Thompson EA. Toll-like receptor 4 mediates cross-talk between peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and nuclear factor-kappaB in macrophages. *Immunology*. (2008) 125:344–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.02849.x

39. Rojas-Juárez R, Rodríguez-Castelán J, Cuatecontzi-Fuentes I, Mendez-Tepepa M, Cruz-Lumbreras R, Rodríguez-Antolín J, et al. Pancreatic inflammation induced by hypothyroidism in female rabbits is associated with cholesterol accumulation and a reduced expression of CYP51A1, FXRβ, and PPARβ/δ. *Anat Rec Hoboken NJ.* (2007) 308:1517–28. doi: 10.1002/ar.25590

40. Jia R, Liang L, Yin Y, Niu C, Zhao X, Shuwen X, et al. Vitamin D supplementation could enhance the effectiveness of glibenclamide in treating type 2 diabetes by improving the function of pancreatic β -cells through the NF- κ B pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2024) 733:150596. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2024.150596

41. Tang PMK, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Lan HY. Macrophages: versatile players in renal inflammation and fibrosis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2019) 15:144–58. doi: 10.1038/ s41581-019-0110-2

42. Zhao Y, Jiang Y, Wang F, Sun L, Ding M, Zhang L, et al. High glucose promotes macrophage switching to the M1 phenotype via the downregulation of STAT-3 mediated autophagy. *PloS One.* (2024) 19:e0314974. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0314974

43. Verzola D, Cappuccino L, D'Amato E, Villaggio B, Gianiorio F, Mij M, et al. Enhanced glomerular Toll-like receptor 4 expression and signaling in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy and microalbuminuria. *Kidney Int.* (2014) 86:1229–43. doi: 10.1038/ki.2014.116

44. Doi M, Kondo Y, Tsutsumi K. Lipoprotein lipase activator NO-1886 (ibrolipim) accelerates the mRNA expression of fatty acid oxidation-related enzymes in rat liver. *Metabolism.* (2003) 52:1547–50. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2003.07.007

45. Kong L, Andrikopoulos S, MacIsaac RJ, Mackay LK, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Torkamani N, et al. Role of the adaptive immune system in diabetic kidney disease. J Diabetes Investig. (2022) 13:213–26. doi: 10.1111/jdi.13725

46. Glauben R, Sonnenberg E, Wetzel M, Mascagni P, Siegmund B. Histone deacetylase inhibitors modulate interleukin 6-dependent CD4+ T cell polarization *in vitro* and in *vivo. J Biol Chem.* (2014) 289:6142–51. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.517599