



The Major Driving Factors of Carbon Emissions in China and Their Relative Importance: An Application of the LASSO Model

Wai Yan Shum¹, Ning Ma²*, Xiaomei Lin² and Tingting Han²

¹Hang Seng University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR China, ²Hainan College of Economics and Business, Haikou, China

China is one of the biggest energy consumers and carbon emitters in the world. Understanding the factors affecting carbon emissions is critical for policymakers to control the rising trend of carbon emissions. This paper investigates the relative importance of carbon emissions drivers in China. Literature review has been carried out to determine a set of predominant independent variables; the LASSO model is then introduced to rank the relative importance among the set of independent variables. The results find that 1) carbon emissions were mainly driven by economic growth and energy consumption followed by population size and industrialization; and 2) income growth slowed down carbon emissions during the studied period, but it is the least significant factor among the other factors. The ranking allows policy makers to focus on the most critical contributors to carbon emissions and gives policymakers more flexibility in determining policy interventions.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Xunpeng Shi, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Reviewed by:

Chi Lau, Teesside University, United Kingdom Xing Shi, Hefei University of Technology, China

> *Correspondence: Ning Ma

china_ma_ning@hotmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Sustainable Energy Systems and Policies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Energy Research

> Received: 16 June 2021 Accepted: 27 July 2021 Published: 06 August 2021

Citation:

Shum WY, Ma N, Lin X and Han T (2021) The Major Driving Factors of Carbon Emissions in China and Their Relative Importance: An Application of the LASSO Model. Front. Energy Res. 9:726127. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2021.726127 Keywords: energy consumption, carbon emissions, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), China, City'

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is now a global challenge. The increase of carbon emissions, which is now at the highest level in history, is the main cause for global warming and climate change. Given that the environmental deterioration became a serious issue, studies on the driving factors of carbon emissions has become a subject incredibly significant both at the international and domestic level. Over the past few decades since 1978, China has experienced remarkable economic growth, which has been associated with deteriorating environmental conditions in the country (Ma et al., 2018). Taking measures to control the rising trend of carbon emissions is increasingly recognized as critical in the Chinese government's efforts to mitigating climate change (Liu et al., 2011). As the world's largest carbon emitter, China's effort to achieve its reduction target assumes significance for global climate change control. Understanding the driving factors of carbon emissions in Chinese cities is critical for policymakers to control the rising trend of carbon emissions.

Countries have signed agreements of economic cooperation, which have increased globalization throughout the world (Zaidi et al., 2019). Globalization has promoted worldwide growth in trade, increase in energy consumption and intensity, industrial expansion and people's income. Whether the developing countries can increase growth rates with the help of globalization without damaging environmental is a critical question.

1

Energy intensity and carbon emissions have a long-term linkage (Shahbaz et al., 2016). When globalization comes with innovative technology and increases the country's energy intensity and its increases the level of carbon emissions.

The relationship between carbon emissions and energy consumption and economic growth has gained little attention in the literature. From the methodology perspective, most of previous studies have used traditional panel regression models, but we introduce the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression model to investigates the relative importance of the impact factors influencing carbon emissions in China. Compare with traditional regression method, LASSO obtains a refined regression model which provides the smallest possible forecast error with a minimum number of regressors, and it offers important information on the relative importance of the variables. The benefits of using the LASSO regression model can be summarised as follows: 1) LASSO adds first order penalty to the regressors and this allows LASSO to select out the relevant predictors for dependent variables (Hastie et al., 2019); 2) The importance of independent variables in terms of the change of parameters of the LASSO model can be ranked and, this gives policymakers more flexibility in determining policy interventions (Shi et al., 202).

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: *Literature Review* presents the literature review linked to energy consumption, carbon emission, income, population size, industrialization, and economic growth. *Methodology* introduces the LASSO regression model. *LASSO Regression Results* represents the empirical results and discusses the key drivers of carbon emissions. *Conclusion and Policy Implications* comprises the conclusion of the study and policy implications.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Numerous studies have proved that economic growth and energy consumption are important driving factors for carbon emissions. Shabaz et al. (2013) examined the relationship between economic growth, energy consumption, financial development, trade openness, and carbon emissions from 1975 to 2011 in Indonesia. Their study applied Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) causality analysis, finding that economic growth and energy consumption increases carbon emissions. Munir et al. (2020) examined the relationship between carbon emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth for the five main Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries. They have applied Granger non-causality test and found that there was causality between GDP and energy consumption for all countries. Meanwhile, Shahbaz et al. (2016) examined the direction of causality among carbon emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth in 11 countries for the period 1972-2013. The authors used a novel approach and found that economic growth caused carbon emissions in Bangladesh and Egypt. Hu et al. (2020) used the Tapio decoupling model and Kaya-LMDI (Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index) model to investigate the spatiotemporal evolution of decoupling and driving factors of carbon emissions of 57 Belt and Road Initiative countries from

1991 to 2016. According to the results, most countries' carbon emissions significantly increased due to economic growth. Liu and Hao (2018) examined the relationship between energy consumption and economic growth of 69 countries along the Belt and Road between 1970 and 2013. This paper applied the VECM model, fully modified OLS, and dynamic OLS approaches. According to the findings, there was a bidirectional causality between carbon emissions, energy use, and GDP per capita for the energy-exporting countries. Zaman and Moemen (2017) examined the interrelationship between energy consumption, economic growth, and carbon emissions under the six alternative and plausible hypotheses in the context of low and middle-income countries, high-income countries, and in the aggregated panel, from 1975 to 2015. The results supported energy-induced emissions in different regions of the world. Kahouli (2018) examined the linkages between electricity consumption, carbon emissions, R&D stocks, and economic growth for Mediterranean countries over 1990-2016. The findings revealed that there were strong feedback effects between electricity consumption, carbon emissions, R&D stocks, and economic growth. Awodumi and Adewuyi (2020) adopted a non-linear autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) technique to examine the role of non-renewable energy in economic growth and carbon emissions of oil-producing countries in Africa during 1980-2015. The result has confirmed that there is an asymmetric effect of per capita consumption of petroleum and natural gas on economic growth and carbon emissions per capita in all the selected countries except Algeria. Correspondingly, Mohmand et al. (2020) investigated the causal relationship between transport infrastructure, economic growth, and transport emissions in Pakistan from 1971-2017. The results found a short-term causality running from transport infrastructure, economic growth, and fuel consumption to carbon emissions. In the long run, a bidirectional relationship exists between economic growth and infrastructure.

In addition to economic growth and energy consumption, other factors like industrialization, population size, and income level are also important driving forces for carbon emissions. Nasir et al. (2021) examined the relationship between carbon emissions, economic growth, energy consumption, industrialization, and other factors in Australia from 1980 to 2014. The study found that all variables have affected carbon emissions. Li et al. (2021) discussed the effect of economic growth, economic structure, and other factors on per capita carbon emissions in 147 countries from 1990 to 2015. The results showed that at the global level, economic growth and economic structure were respectively the most significant positive and negative factors affecting carbon emissions. Luo et al. (2021) investigated the factors influencing carbon emissions in Shanghai during 1995-2017. They applied the LMDI method and Granger causality test, and they found that motor vehicle amount, disposable personal income, and carbon intensity are the top three driving factors of carbon emissions. Zhang et al. (2020) analysed the decoupling elasticity between carbon emissions, GDP, and energy consumption in China and ASEAN countries throughout 1990-2014. Based on the LMDI method, the authors found that carbon density, energy intensity,

GDP, and population have a positive relationship with carbon emissions.

Based on the above literatures, it can be concluded that economic growth, energy consumption, population size, industrialization and income can be classified as the predominant primary driving factors for carbon emissions. Other factors, such as foreign direct investment (Essandoh et al., 2020; Le et al., 2020; Khan and Rana, 2021), financial development (Shahbaz et al., 2013; Bhattacharva et al., 2017; Zaidi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), oil price (Brini et al., 2017; Shahbaz et al., 2021), renewable energy consumption (Vo et al., 2020; Assi et al., 2021; Magazzino et al., 2021) and innovation (Nguven et al., 2020) can be largely classified as the secondary driving factors which affect the primary factors. For example, it has already been proven that foreign direct investment, financial development and innovation are all positively related to growth (Jones, 1995; Muhammad and Khan, 2019), while oil price and renewable energy consumption will affect energy consumption as a whole. Instead of looking at the detailed components of each driving factors, this study limits the focus on ranking the relative importance of the primary driving factors.

METHODOLOGY

The Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) is a reduction and selection method for the linear regression model which enhances its prediction accuracy and limits the regression coefficients within a certain range at the same time. It was originally proposed by Robert Tibshirani (Tibshirani, 1996) based on Leo Breiman's non-negative parameter inference (Breiman, 1995). The main objective of LASSO is to obtain a refined regression model which provides the smallest possible forecast error with a minimum number of regressors. Given a set of regressors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the regressand y, LASSO fits the linear model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + \varepsilon$. The selection criterion is to minimize the objection function:

$$\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y-\widehat{y})^{2}+\lambda\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|\beta_{j}\right|$$

where \hat{y} denotes the fitted value of the linear model, *n* is the number of observations, *p* signifies the number of regressors and λ represents a non-negative regularization parameter.

The first sum of the objective function is the usual sum of squared errors of the multiple linear least squares regression while the second sum is a LASSO regularization term. The regularization term has no effect when λ is small enough and the LASSO regression method is the same as the least squares' method. However, when λ is large enough, all the regression coefficients are forced to be zero; for this reason, the LASSO solutions are reduced versions of the least squares estimates. It follows that, the coefficients will change from zero to nonzero one after another by continuously adjusting the value of λ in descending order. The quality of the LASSO estimator can be measured by the mean squared error (MSE) defined as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$. MSE is a reliable quality measurement for

LASSO regression model selection. The smaller the MSE, the higher the quality and vice versa. Hence, it is apparent that the LASSO estimation method can be used to derive a model which provides the smallest possible forecast error with a minimum number of regressors.

The LASSO regression method has been applied by Shi et al. (2020) to study carbon emissions in China at household level. The present study follows the approach given in their paper to find the optimal model at city level. The full details of the approach can be found in their paper whereas a summary is given below: In Shi et al. (2020), the value of λ is adjusted as a descending geometric sequence. The maximum value of λ is set in such a manner that all coefficients except the intercept are forced to be zero; the value of λ is then adjusted downward geometrically such that the minimum value of λ is 1.00E-04 times the maximum value. A hundred specifications are constructed and estimated within the range of the maximum and minimum values of λ . Specification one is the specification of the minimum value of λ , and specification (100) is the specification of the maximum value of λ .

According to the order in which the coefficients appear, it is possible to identify the regressor which is the most important for model prediction. The more important factors appear earlier, whereas the less important factors appear later. Thereby, unlike the traditional regression method which signifies a set of significant factors, LASSO offers important information on the relative importance of the variables. In essence, LASSO provides a ranking for the significance of the variables. The ranking has important policy implications for policy makers when resources are scarce; it allows them to focus on the most critical areas and allocate resources effectively on those areas.

Apart from the relative importance of the variables, LASSO also provides important information on the robustness of the coefficients. Unlike the traditional regression method which estimates the model only once, LASSO estimates the model repeatedly for different values of λ . Thus, the values and the significance of the coefficients can be observed when the value of λ is adjusted downward. Therefore, the model's robustness can also be studied by observing the significance of the variables for different specifications.

LASSO REGRESSION RESULTS

Six main factors of carbon emissions have been considered in this study. The details of the variables of the linear model are illustrated in **Table 1**. There were 286 prefecture and above-prefecture levels (PAA) cities in China by the end of 2014. However, there are two cities in Tibet for which most of the data are not available (Lasa and Rikaze). Therefore, we use a panel data set encompassing across 284 cities across the period 2002 to 2014. All variables are logarithmic transformed except *IND*. Our datasets are comprised mainly from four main components: 1) China City Statistical Yearbook (CCSY); 2) China Environmental Statistical Yearbook (CUCSY); China Statistical Yearbook (CSY). The summary of our variables is well represented in

TABLE 1 | Variables description.

Variables	Abbreviations	Units
Carbon emissions	CO ₂	Ten thousand tons
GDP	GDP	(100) million Chinese Yuar
Population	POPULATION	10 thousand people
GDP per Capita	GDP_PC	Chinese Yuan
Per Capita Energy Consumption	ENERGY	Tons of coal
Percentage of Secondary Industry	IND	%
Average Annual Salary	INCOME	Chinese Yuan

TABLE 2 | Descriptive statistics.

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Log Carbon emissions	2.73	0.47
Log Per Capita Energy Consumption	-0.44	0.44
Log GDP	2.72	0.44
Log GDP per Capita	4.18	0.35
Log Average Annual Salary	4.28	0.21
Log Population	2.53	0.30
Percentage of Secondary Industry	0.49	0.28

TABLE 3 | LASSO regression results for specification (99) and (100).

Regressors	Specification	
	(99)	(100) Estimates
	Estimates	
Log GDP	0.070089	0
Log POPULATION	0	0
Log GDP_PC	0	0
Log ENERGY	0.01255	0
IND	0	0
Log INCOME	0	0
Intercept	2.54587	2.731,028
d.f.	2	0
λ	0.343,431	0.376,915
MSE	0.193,211	0.219,535

Notes: 1) d.f. is the number of non-zero estimates. 2) λ is the LASSO regularization parameter. 3) MSE is the mean squared error of the model defined as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

Table 2 below and this is a strongly balanced panel dataset, which will show us more robust results.

Table 3 presents the LASSO regression results for specifications (99) and (100). Specification (100) uses the maximum λ with a value of 0.376,915; as such, all coefficients are forced to be zero with the exception of the intercept. When the value of λ reduced by one step from 0.376,915 in specification (100) to 0.343,431 in specification (99), the variables Log *GDP* and Log *ENERGY* become nonzero. Moreover, the MSE decreased by 12% from 0.219,535 to 0.193,211. Thus, it can be concluded that GDP and per capita energy consumption are the two most important influencing factors for carbon emissions in China. The results are in line with the previous studies Please see, for example Shabaz et al. (2013), Munir et al. (2020), Hu et al. (2020, Mohmand et al. (2020, Zaman and Moemen (2017), and Shahbaz et al. (2016) for a detail discussion on the effects of GDP

TABLE 4 | LASSO regression results for specification (82) and (83).

	Specification	
	(82)	(83)
Regressor	Estimates	Estimates
Log GDP	0.492,542	0.488,897
Log POPULATION	0.012009	0
Log GDP_PC	0	0
Log ENERGY	0.446,819	0.430,176
IND	0	0
Log INCOME	0	0
Intercept	1.555,549	1.588,681
d.f.	3	2
λ	0.070627	0.077513
MSE	0.035029	0.036883

Notes: 1) d.f. is the number of non-zero estimates. 2) λ is the LASSO regularization parameter. 3) MSE is the mean squared error of the model defined as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

growth and energy consumption on carbon emission. The effects of GDP and per capita energy consumption on carbon emissions can be summarized as follow: CO_2 is expected to be positively related to Log *GDP*; without major policy interventions, improving energy efficiency alone is unlikely to cope with the negative environmental impacts attributed to economic growth. Meanwhile, with an increase in energy consumption per head, the carbon emission level is expected to increase unless the increase in energy consumption per head is driven mainly by the decrease in population.

Table 4 unveils the third factor affecting carbon emissions in China. From **Table 4**, it is apparent that when the value of λ reduces from 0.077513 in specification (83) to 0.070627 in specification (82), Log *POPULATION* becomes nonzero and the MSE decreases by 82% from 0.193,211 in specification (99) to 0.035029 in specification (82). It indicates that Log *POPULATION* is an important variable since its inclusion as an additional variable in the regression model significantly reduced the model's MSE. Unsurprisingly, Shahbaz et al. (2016), and Hu et al. (2020 also found the similar results. This finding is alarming because given a fixed carbon emission target, the larger the population, the lower the allowable per capita emission level. Put differently, as the population in China grows, everyone's pollution rights are declining.

Note that GDP per capita (*GDP_PC*) is calculated by dividing the GDP of a country by its population. Having all three variables (Log *GDP*, Log *POPULATION*, and Log *GDP_PC*)) in the model

TABLE 5 | LASSO regression results for specification (66) and (67).

Regressor	Specification	
	(66) Estimates	(67) Estimates
Log POPULATION	0.695,022	0.672,686
Log GDP_PC	0	0
Log ENERGY	0.867,245	0.855,307
IND	0.000145	0
Log INCOME	0	0
Intercept	0.907,977	0.934,912
d.f.	4	3
λ	0.015941	0.017495
MSE	0.013801	0.014087

Notes: 1) d.f. is the number of non-zero estimates. 2) λ is the LASSO regularization parameter. 3) MSE is the mean squared error of the model defined as $\sum_{l=1}^{n} (y_l - \hat{y}_l)^2$.

will introduce perfect multicollinearity, which will prevent the least squares method from solving the system of equations. Evidently, it is feasible to drop one variable to avoid perfect multicollinearity. The challenge is, no regression model can automatically identify the set of linearly dependent variables and correctly pick the most significant variables out of the set. With LASSO, the solutions can be found by omitting the most insignificant variable and including the most important ones. Based on the result presented in Table 3, it can be inferred that, out of the three linearly related variables, Log GDP is the most significant factor affecting carbon emissions. Together with the evidence in Table 4, it can be concluded that Log GDP and Log POPULATION are more important factors affecting carbon emissions in China when compared with Log GDP_PC = Log(GDP/POPULATION). In other words, GDP per capita which shows the manner in which the economy grows with the population is not as important as GDP and population by themselves.

Table 5 presents the LASSO regression results for specifications (66) and (67). It can be observed that when the value of λ reduced from 0.017495 in specification (67) to 0.907,977 in specification (66), the variable *IND* becomes nonzero. Moreover, the MSE decreased from 0.035029 in specification (82) to 0.013801 in specification (66). Therefore, it can be concluded that *IND* is the fourth influencing factor for carbon emissions in China. The results were in consonance with the expectations due to Bhattacharya et al. (2017), Zaman and Moemen (2017) and Liu and Hao (2018) found the similar results. Over the past few decades, China has focused on using its cheap labour force and coal to expand its manufacturing sector. Thus, its energy-intensive manufacturing sector is expected to be one of the main drivers of carbon emissions in China.

Table 6 shows the LASSO regression results for specifications (63) and (64). It reveals the fifth significant factors affecting carbon emissions in China. According to **Table 6**, when the value of λ reduced from 0.013234 in specification (64) to 0.012059 in specification (63), the variable Log *INCOME* becomes nonzero. Moreover, the MSE decreased from 0.013801 in specification (66)

TABLE 6 | LASSO regression results for specification (63) and (64).

Regressor	Specification	
	(63)	(64)
Log GDP	0.129,156	0.136,991
Log POPULATION	0.752,109	0.73538
Log GDP_PC	0	0
Log ENERGY	0.897,685	0.888,075
IND	0.000598	0.000461
Log INCOME	-0.00535	0
Intercept	0.856,457	0.857,175
d.f.	5	4
λ	0.012059	0.013234
MSE	0.013131	0.013346

Notes: 1) d.f. is the number of non-zero estimates. 2) λ is the LASSO regularization parameter. 3) MSE is the mean squared error of the model defined as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

to 0.013131 in specification (63). Thus, it can be concluded that Log *INCOME* is the fifth influencing factor for carbon emissions in China. For previous studies, Le et al. (2020, Awodumi and Adewuyi (2020), Apergis et al. (2018) and Nasir et al. (2021) have concluded that there was a negative relationship between income and carbon emissions. The variable *INCOME* is a measure of the average annual salary of Chinese citizens. As the Chinese citizens' income level increases, carbon emissions reduce - although their effect of it is not that important. Notably, the variable Log *GDP_PC* remains zero and indeed it remains zero for the rest of the 62 specifications (i.e., specifications 1–62). This finding is consistent with what has been presented in **Table 3** and **Table 4**. In essence, the LASSO regression method identified the two most important variables out of the three perfectly linearly related variables and ignored the least important one.

Lastly, it can be observed the significance of the variables remains stable throughout the regression process. Put succinctly, once the variables turn to nonzero, they will not turn to zero again later. Therefore, it implies that the LASSO estimation results presented in this study are robust.

CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

In this paper, the main driving factors of China's carbon emissions have been analysed. The evidence shows that, firstly, carbon emissions were mainly driven by economic growth (*GDP*) and Per Capita Energy Consumption (*ENERGY*) followed by population size (*POPULATION*) and Percentage of Secondary Industry (*IND*). Secondly, annual average salary (*INCOME*) slowed down the growth of carbon emissions during the studied period, but it is the least significant factor among the five factors. Thirdly, out of the three perfectly linearly related variables (Log *GDP*, Log *POPULATION*, and Log *GDP_PC*), Log *GDP* is the most significant factor affecting carbon emissions followed by population size (Log *POPULATION*) whereas Log *GDP_PC* is the least important factor and is dropped automatically. In other words, GDP per capita which shows the manner in which the economy grows with the population is not as important as economic growth and population by themselves. Lastly, since the significance of the variables remains stable throughout the regression process, the above results are robust.

This study contributes to the existing literature in the following ways. The LASSO regression method not only addressed the problem of perfect multicollinearity and identified a set of significant factors influencing carbon emissions in China but also provided important information on the ranking for the variables' significance. It allows policymakers to identify the main area of focus, allocate resources to those prioritized areas, and reduce carbon emissions more effectively by having more flexible policy interventions.

Based on the relevant importance of the driving factors, this research has the following policy recommendations. The first is to promote green manufacturing to achieve sustainable economic development. As the largest developing country in the world, China is facing an extremely difficult task in maintaining sustainable growth. China's energy structure is still dominated by coal, and coal occupies an important share in energy conversion. The large amount of coal consumption, especially the direct combustion of coal in the terminal production process, puts tremendous pressure on carbon emission reduction. Green manufacturing can be enhanced in two directions: On the one hand, advanced and applicable energy-saving, low-carbon, and environmentally friendly technologies can be used to transform traditional industries into low-carbon factories to reduce processrelated emissions. One the other hand, efficient and effective polices can be implemented to promote the use of renewable energy, such as solar and wind energy, instead of fossil fuels to reduce energy-related emissions.

Secondly, population is also an important factor affecting carbon emissions in China. The larger the population, the higher the total emissions and the lower the allowable per capita emission level given a fixed carbon emission target. Thus, considering the relative importance of the variable and the huge population size in China, lowering energy consumption per head is the second most important tasks to reduce carbon emissions in China. It is essential to promote the green life

REFERENCES

- Apergis, N., Can, M., Gozgor, G., and Lau, C. K. M. (2018). Effects of export Concentration on CO2 Emissions in Developed Countries: an Empirical Analysis. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25 (14), 14106–14116. doi:10.1007/ s11356-018-1634-x
- Assi, A. F., Zhakanova Isiksal, A., and Tursoy, T. (2021). Renewable Energy Consumption, Financial Development, Environmental Pollution, and Innovations in the ASEAN + 3 Group: Evidence from (P-ARDL) Model. *Renew. Energ.* 165, 689–700. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2020.11.052
- Awodumi, O. B., and Adewuyi, A. O. (2020). The Role of Non-renewable Energy Consumption in Economic Growth and Carbon Emission: Evidence from Oil Producing Economies in Africa. *Energ. Strategy Rev.* 27, 100434. doi:10.1016/ j.esr.2019.100434
- Bhattacharya, M., Rafiq, S., Lean, H. H., and Bhattacharya, S. (2017). The Regulated Coal Sector and CO2 Emissions in Indian Growth Process: Empirical Evidence over Half a century and Policy Suggestions. *Appl. Energ.* 204, 667–678. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.07.061

movement extensively to encourage residents to adopt a green, low carbon, civilized and healthy lifestyle in terms of clothing, food, housing, transport, and travel.

In this study, the focus is limited to the relative importance of the primary driving factors (i.e., economic growth, energy consumption, population size, percentage of secondary industry and average annual salary) on carbon emissions in China. These effects are worth investigating for the future research on a global perspective. In addition, future research could incorporate other non-linear analytical techniques into the analysis to complement existing studies.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WS: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing" Original draft preparation, Writing–Review and Editing. NM: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing" Original draft preparation, Writing–Review and Editing. XL: Conceptualization, Project administration, Data Curation, Writing–Review and Editing. TH: Conceptualization, Project administration, Data Curation, Writing–Review and Editing.

FUNDING

1. This work was supported by the Hainan College of Economics and Business (Project Reference Number: hnjmk2021301). 2. This work was supported by the Faculty Development Scheme of the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (Project Reference Number: UGC/FDS14/B19/16).

- Breiman, L. (1995). Better Subset Regression Using the Nonnegative Garrote. *Technometrics* 37 (4), 373–384. doi:10.1080/00401706.1995.10484371
- Brini, R., Amara, M., and Jemmali, H. (2017). Renewable Energy Consumption, International Trade, Oil price and Economic Growth Inter-linkages: The Case of Tunisia. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 76, 620–627. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.067
- Essandoh, O. K., Islam, M., and Kakinaka, M. (2020). Linking International Trade and Foreign Direct Investment to CO2 Emissions: Any Differences between Developed and Developing Countries? *Sci. Total Environ.* 712, 136437. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136437
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., and Wainwright, M. (2019). *Statistical Learning with Sparsity: The Lasso and Generalizations*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Hu, M., Li, R., You, W., Liu, Y., and Lee, C.-C. (2020). Spatiotemporal Evolution of Decoupling and Driving Forces of CO2 Emissions on Economic Growth along the Belt and Road. J. Clean. Prod. 277, 123272. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123272
- Jones, C. I. (1995). R & D-Based Models of Economic Growth. J. Polit. Economy 103, 759–784. doi:10.1086/262002
- Kahouli, B. (2018). The Causality Link between Energy Electricity Consumption, CO2 Emissions, R&D Stocks and Economic Growth in Mediterranean Countries (MCs). Energy 145, 388–399. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.136

- Khan, M., and Rana, A. T. (2021). Institutional Quality and CO2 Emission-Output Relations: The Case of Asian Countries. J. Environ. Manage. 279, 111569. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111569
- Le, T.-H., Le, H.-C., and Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2020). Does Financial Inclusion Impact CO2 Emissions? Evidence from Asia. *Finance Res. Lett.* 34, 101451. doi:10.1016/j.frl.2020.101451
- Li, R., Wang, Q., Liu, Y., and Jiang, R. (2021). Per-capita Carbon Emissions in 147 Countries: The Effect of Economic, Energy, Social, and Trade Structural Changes. Sustainable Prod. Consumption 27, 1149–1164. doi:10.1016/ j.spc.2021.02.031
- Liu, L.-C., Wu, G., Wang, J.-N., and Wei, Y.-M. (2011). China's Carbon Emissions from Urban and Rural Households during 1992-2007. J. Clean. Prod. 19 (15), 1754–1762. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.06.011
- Liu, Y., and Hao, Y. (2018). The Dynamic Links between CO2 Emissions, Energy Consumption and Economic Development in the Countries along "the Belt and Road". *Sci. total Environ.* 645, 674–683. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.062
- Luo, Y., Zeng, W., Hu, X., Yang, H., and Shao, L. (2021). Coupling the Driving Forces of Urban CO2 Emission in Shanghai with Logarithmic Mean Divisia index Method and Granger Causality Inference. J. Clean. Prod. 298, 126843. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126843
- Ma, N., Wu, Y., and Wu, J. (2018). Environmental Efficiency and its Distribution Dynamics in Chinese Cities. J. Chin. Econ. Business Stud. 16 (4), 417–445. doi:10.1080/14765284.2018.1512817
- Magazzino, C., Mele, M., and Schneider, N. (2021). A Machine Learning Approach on the Relationship Among Solar and Wind Energy Production, Coal Consumption, GDP, and CO2 Emissions. *Renew. Energ.* 167, 99–115. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2020.11.050
- Mohmand, Y. T., Mehmood, F., Mughal, K. S., and Aslam, F. (2020). Investigating the Causal Relationship between Transport Infrastructure, Economic Growth and Transport Emissions in Pakistan. *Res. Transportation Econ.*, 100972. doi:10.1016/j.retrec.2020.100972
- Muhammad, B., and Khan, S. (2019). Effect of Bilateral FDI, Energy Consumption, CO2 Emission and Capital on Economic Growth of Asia Countries. *Energ. Rep.* 5, 1305–1315. doi:10.1016/j.egyr.2019.09.004
- Munir, Q., Lean, H. H., and Smyth, R. (2020). CO2 Emissions, Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in the ASEAN-5 Countries: A Cross-Sectional Dependence Approach. *Energ. Econ.* 85, 104571. doi:10.1016/ j.eneco.2019.104571
- Nasir, M. A., Canh, N. P., and Lan Le, T. N. (2021). Environmental Degradation & Role of Financialisation, Economic Development, Industrialisation and Trade Liberalisation. *J. Environ. Manage.* 277, 111471. doi:10.1016/ j.jenvman.2020.111471
- Nguyen, T. T., Pham, T. A. T., and Tram, H. T. X. (2020). Role of Information and Communication Technologies and Innovation in Driving Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth in Selected G-20 Countries. J. Environ. Manag. 261, 110162. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110162
- Shahbaz, M., Hye, Q. M. A., Tiwari, A. K., and Leitão, N. C. (2013). Economic Growth, Energy Consumption, Financial Development, International Trade and CO2 Emissions in Indonesia. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 25, 109–121. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.04.009
- Shahbaz, M., Mahalik, M. K., Shah, S. H., and Sato, J. R. (2016). Time-varying Analysis of CO2 Emissions, Energy Consumption, and Economic Growth

Nexus: Statistical Experience in Next 11 Countries. *Energy Policy* 98, 33–48. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2016.08.011

- Shahbaz, M., Sharma, R., Sinha, A., and Jiao, Z. (2021). Analyzing Nonlinear Impact of Economic Growth Drivers on CO2 Emissions: Designing an SDG Framework for India. *Energy Policy* 148, 111965. doi:10.1016/ j.enpol.2020.111965
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S. A., Mahmood, H., and Arouri, M. (2013). Does Financial Development Reduce CO2 Emissions in Malaysian Economy? A Time Series Analysis. *Econ. Model.* 35, 145–152. doi:10.1016/j.econmod.2013.06.037
- Shi, X., Wang, K., Cheong, T. S., and Zhang, H. (2020). Prioritizing Driving Factors of Household Carbon Emissions: An Application of the LASSO Model with Survey Data. *Energ. Econ.* 92, 104942. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104942
- Tibshirani, R. (1996). Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodological) 58 (1), 267–288. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1996.tb02080.x
- Vo, D. H., Vo, A. T., Ho, C. M., and Nguyen, H. M. (2020). The Role of Renewable Energy, Alternative and Nuclear Energy in Mitigating Carbon Emissions in the CPTPP Countries. *Renew. Energ.* 161, 278–292. doi:10.1016/ j.renene.2020.07.093
- Wang, R., Mirza, N., Vasbieva, D. G., Abbas, Q., and Xiong, D. (2020). The Nexus of Carbon Emissions, Financial Development, Renewable Energy Consumption, and Technological Innovation: What Should Be the Priorities in Light of COP 21 Agreements? *J. Environ. Manage.* 271, 111027. doi:10.1016/ j.jenvman.2020.111027
- Zaidi, S. A. H., Zafar, M. W., Shahbaz, M., and Hou, F. (2019). Dynamic Linkages between Globalization, Financial Development and Carbon Emissions: Evidence from Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Countries. J. Clean. Prod. 228, 533–543. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.210
- Zaman, K., and Moemen, M. A.-e. (2017). Energy Consumption, Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Economic Development: Evaluating Alternative and Plausible Environmental Hypothesis for Sustainable Growth. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 74, 1119–1130. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.072
- Zhang, J., Fan, Z., Chen, Y., Gao, J., and Liu, W. (2020). Decomposition and Decoupling Analysis of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Economic Growth in the Context of China and the ASEAN Countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 714, 136649. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136649

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Shum, Ma, Lin and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.