



Uncertainty Analysis of Reactor Structure Material Activation Calculation Induced From Nuclear Data

Wu Jiebo^{1,2}, Yang Chao^{1,2*}, Yu Tao^{1,2*} and Chen Zhenping^{1,2}

¹School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of South China, Hengyang, China, ²Research Center for Digital Nuclear Reactor Engineering and Technology of Hunan Province, University of South China, Hengyang, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yapei Zhang, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

Reviewed by:

Liangzhi Cao, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China Kan Wang, Tsinghua University, China

*Correspondence:

Yang Chao yangc066@163.com Yu Tao yutao29@sina.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nuclear Energy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Energy Research

Received: 23 December 2021 Accepted: 27 January 2022 Published: 14 February 2022

Citation:

Jiebo W, Chao Y, Tao Y and Zhenping C (2022) Uncertainty Analysis of Reactor Structure Material Activation Calculation Induced From Nuclear Data. Front. Energy Res. 10:841998. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.841998 Highly accurate activation calculations are the basis of radiation protection optimization design, and the accuracy of activation calculations depends on nuclear data. Based on the ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3 decay evaluation libraries, the influence of different nuclear data on the reactor structural material activation calculation is analyzed, and the nuclear data-related sensitivity and uncertainty quantification of radioactivity, decay heat and photon sources are carried out by the global sensitivity analysis method and the Latin Hypercube Sampling method. Based on uncertainty data in ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3, quantifying the uncertainty of radioactivity, decay heat and photon source in the shutdown dose calculation of reactor structural materials, the maximum uncertainty of radioactivity and decay heat reach 4.54 and 1.45%, respectively. The half-life and decay energy of ⁵⁵Fe are the main sources of uncertainty.

Keywords: nuclear data, activation calculation, uncertainty quantification, sensitivity analysis, evaluation library

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear data are the basic input parameters of activation calculations, and their accuracy directly affects the activation calculation results (Liem and Sembiring, 2013; Tsilanizara and Huynh, 2021). Uncertainties are unavoidable when nuclear data are obtained through experimental measurements (Awan et al., 2012; Katakura, 2013), and nuclear data uncertainties are propagated in activation calculations, which eventually affect the accuracy of activation calculation results. Therefore, it is necessary to quantify the uncertainty in the activation calculation results caused by nuclear data. ORIGEN2 is a typical depletion and decay code widely used in the design of nuclear power plants and reprocessing plants (Croff, 1980; Croff, 1983). The code uses the matrix exponential method to solve the fuel inventory equation, which is a well-developed theoretical method, so its calculation accuracy depends mainly on the accuracy of the activation library (Mehboob et al., 2013; Foudil et al., 2017). The current activation library that comes with the ORIGEN2 code is processed based on the ENDF/B-VI.8 basic evaluation data library, which inevitably influences the activation calculation results. Therefore, the activation library for the ORIGEN2 code is obtained by processing nuclear data based on the latest version of the JEFF3.3 and ENDF/B-VII.0 decay evaluation libraries (McLane, 1996; Herman, 2009; Brown

TABLE 1 | Minimum samples with different confidence levels and tolerance intervals.

		Bilateral limit distribution				
Confidence	0.9	0.95	0.99	0.9	0.95	0.99
0.9	22	45	239	38	77	388
0.95	29	59	299	46	93	473
0.99	44	90	459	64	130	663

TABLE 2 | Nuclide composition of 6,115 structural materials.

Nuclide components									
nuclide	С	²⁸ Si	⁵⁰ Cr	⁵² Cr	⁵³ Cr	⁵⁴ Cr	⁵⁵ Mn	⁵⁴ Fe	⁵⁶ Fe
mass fraction	0.01%	0.02%	0.83%	15.92%	1.81%	0.45%	1.98%	4.07%	63.29%
nuclide	⁵⁷ Fe	⁵⁸ Fe	⁵⁸ Ni	⁶⁰ Ni	⁶¹ Ni	⁶² Ni	⁶⁴ Ni	⁹⁴ Mo	
mass fraction	1.45%	0.21%	6.77%	2.59%	0.11%	0.36%	0.09%	0.03%	



et al., 2018; Trkov et al., 2018; Plompen et al., 2020). The two new versions of the decay evaluation libraries are compared to the ENDF/B-VI.8. First, the improvement of the experimental method making the measured nuclear data closer to the real values. Second, the new version of the evaluation libraries gives the variance information that can evaluate the uncertainty of the nuclear data, which is the basis for the uncertainty analysis performed in the paper. Therefore, based on uncertainty data in ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3, the uncertainty analysis program SIMLAB is used to carry out uncertainty quantification analysis and sensitivity analysis of the activation source term TABLE 3 | The nuclides with large contributions to radioactivity, decay heat and photon source.

Nuclides ⁵⁶ Mn	0–0.5 h 50–54%	Nuclides ⁵⁴ Mn	0.5 h-30 d 1–5%	Nuclides ⁵⁵ Fe	30days-48a 15–80%
⁵⁵ Fe	15–17%	⁵⁵ Fe	14–47%		
⁵⁸ Co	2–3%	⁵⁸ Co	2–6%		
⁶⁴ Cu	0–1%				
⁶⁶ Cu	0–1%				
Fraction of d	ecay heat co 0–0.5 h	Nuclides	0.5 h-30 d	Nuclides	30days-48a
			0.5 h-30 d 1–29% 0–3%	Nuclides ⁶⁰ Co ⁵⁵ Fe	30days-48a 2–25% 1–16%
Nuclides ⁵⁶ Mn	0–0.5 h 95–96%	Nuclides ⁵⁴ Mn	1–29%	⁶⁰ Co	2–25%
Nuclides ⁵⁶ Mn	0–0.5 h 95–96% 1–2%	Nuclides ⁵⁴ Mn ⁵⁵ Fe ⁵⁸ Co	1–29% 0–3%	⁶⁰ Co	2–25%
Nuclides ⁵⁶ Mn ⁵⁸ Co	0–0.5 h 95–96% 1–2%	Nuclides ⁵⁴ Mn ⁵⁵ Fe ⁵⁸ Co	1–29% 0–3%	⁶⁰ Co	2–25%

radioactivity, decay heat and photon source of the reactor structural materials after shutdown.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

Activation Library Processing

The processing flow of the ORIGEN2 activation library is shown in **Figure 1**. Based on ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3 decay evaluation libraries, the half-life, decay energy, decay type, decay branching ratio and abundance of nuclides to be processed are read, and then the data are stored according to activation product group, fission product group and actinide



group like the format of the ORIGEN2 activation library. Finally, the card image format library is generated.

Uncertainty Analysis Theory

Uncertainty quantification refers to determining the effect of input uncertainty on the model output. The main data-driven algorithms for uncertainty quantification include: the sampling statistics method, the alternative model method, the UMAE method (uncertainty methodology based on accuracy extrapolation), and the deterministic approach (Briggs, 2009).

The Latin Hypercube Sampling method was chosen as the sampling method due to its widespread use in reactor physics. For the number of samples, a nonparametric statistical method (Wilks formula) was used to determine the minimum number of n that samples required, based on the confidence level b of the distribution interval and the probability of the output parameter a. The Wilks formula is (Wilks, 1942):

$$1 - a^n \ge b \tag{1}$$

$$(1 - a^n) - n(1 - a)a^{n-1} \ge b \tag{2}$$

Eq. 1 applies to the single-side limit distribution, and **Eq. 2** applies to the bilateral limit distribution.

The minimum sample size n satisfying different confidence levels and tolerance intervals calculated by the Wilks formula are shown in **Table 1**. Based on nonparametric statistical theory, when the minimum sample size is 59, the upper tolerance limit value of the target parameter takes the maximum value; when the minimum sample size is 93, the upper tolerance limit value of the target parameter takes the next largest value (Mui and Kozlowski, 2018).

Uncertainty Analysis Program SIMLAB

Based on the above approach, this paper uses the SIMLAB program developed by the JRC (Joint Research Center) in Europe to perform uncertainty analysis (Bieda, 2012), which applies the LHS method, and has been carried out for uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in the fields of economics as well as fuzzy inference systems. The SIMLAB program includes a statistical preprocessing module, an execution module, and a statistical postprocessing module. The statistical preprocessing module of the SIMLAB program provides parameter distribution types and sampling methods, and users can choose different parameter distributions in SIMLAB, including normal, uniform, and discrete distributions. You can also create a new functional relationship and visualize the parameter distributions in the statistical preprocessing module of SIMLAB. The execution



TABLE 4 Uncertainty input parameter information.								
Serial number	Nuclides	Parameter	Unit	Distribution	Uncertainty	Base value		
n1	⁵⁴ Mn	Half-life	S	Normal	±0.11%	2.696×10 ⁷		
n2	⁵⁴ Mn	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±0.05%	8.401×10^{-1}		
n3	⁵⁶ Mn	Half-life	S	Normal	±0.10%	9.284×10 ³		
n4	⁵⁶ Mn	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±1.14%	2.523×10 ⁰		
n5	⁵⁵ Fe	Half-life	S	Normal	±5.25%	8.653×10 ⁷		
n6	⁵⁵ Fe	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±6.56%	5.843 × 10 ⁻³		
n7	⁵⁸ Co	Half-life	S	Normal	±0.11%	6.122×10 ⁶		
n8	⁵⁸ Co	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±0.25%	9.788×10^{-1}		
n9	⁶⁴ Cu	Half-life	S	Normal	±0.30%	4.572×10 ⁴		
n10	⁶⁴ Cu	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±0.20%	2.629×10^{-1}		
n11	⁶⁶ Cu	Half-life	S	Normal	±0.39%	3.072×10 ²		
n12	⁶⁶ Cu	Decay energy	MeV	Uniform	±0.17%	1.165×10 ⁰		



module needs a seed number (usually with more than a five-digit number) and then samples to generate the required number of samples. The statistical postprocessing module of the SIMLAB program is used for uncertainty analysis and sensitivity assessment. Uncertainty analysis can visualize the probability distribution of parameters and give statistical results such as the mean value, variance, standard deviations and maximum and minimum values of parameters. Sensitivity assessment gives the correlation coefficient or sensitivity index of input and output parameters.



Activation Calculation Uncertainty Analysis

As in Figure 2, the uncertain input parameters in the activation calculation are randomly sampled through the model import module interface in the SIMLAB program to generate the sample files. First, the sample files are passed to the ORIGEN2 code, and the output files are obtained by calculation. Second, the output files are passed back to the SIMLAB program through the external interface of the execution module, and the SIMLAB program is applied to statistically analyze the correlation coefficients of the sample input parameters and the output parameters. Finally, a sensitivity analysis of the output parameters is performed. The calculation process is shown in Figure 3: 1) Determine the nuclides that have important effects on the radioactivity, decay heat and photon source in the activation calculation model; 2) Specify the range of values and probability distribution of nuclear data of nuclides, select the sampling method and obtain different sample combinations; 3) Pass the sample combinations obtained by sampling to the ORIGEN2 code for calculation and obtain the output file; 4) Pass the output file to the SIMLAB program and use the program to perform sensitivity analysis on the results and obtain the correlation coefficients of the input and output values.

REACTOR STRUCTURAL MATERIAL ACTIVATION CALCULATION UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

Based on the above analysis, different nuclear data have a great impact on the activation calculation. Therefore, it is necessary to quantify the uncertainties in activation calculations introduced by the differences in nuclear data, and to clarify the important influencing nuclides in activation calculations for reactor structural materials.

NUREG/CR-6115 Reactor Structural Material Model

The important structural components in a pressurized water reactor are covered in the NUREG/CR-6115 reactor model. The reactor core has a total of 204 boxes of $21.485 \times 21.485 \times 335.28$ cm³ fuel assemblies (Carew, 2001). The top and bottom of the core are reactor reflectors, and the radial periphery of the core is an envelope with a thickness of 1.5875 cm. The nuclide composition of the structural materials of the pressurized water reactor model is shown in **Table 2**.

Selection of Uncertainty Parameters

First, the NUREG/CR-6115 reactor structure material model was irradiated for 50 years with a neutron injection rate of 1×10^{13} cm⁻² s⁻¹ and a shutdown time of 48 years. Using ORIGEN2 to output the nuclides with large contributions to radioactivity, decay heat and photon source during the 48-years time period after reactor shutdown.

We can see in **Table 3**, that dividing the time after reactor shutdown into three phases, the nuclides that have a large influence on the radioactivity, decay heat and photon source are 54 Mn, 56 Mn, 55 Fe, 58 Co, 64 Cu and 66 Cu, so after comprehensive consideration, these six nuclides are finally selected as uncertainty input parameters.

The six nuclides were finally determined as uncertainty input parameters with normal distribution of half-life and uniform distribution of decay energy, where the uncertainty variance information of decay energy is given by the evaluation data library of ENDF/B-VIII.0, and the uncertainty variance information of half-life is not provided by the evaluation data library, so the uncertainty information is obtained by the differences of ENDF/B-VI.8, ENDF/ B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3, with a more conservative uncertainty range taken as shown in **Table 4**. It should be pointed out that the uncertainty given in **Table 4** is only part of the uncertainty sources, in which only six radionuclides that have a clear influence on radioactivity and decay heat in the reactor structure material are considered.

Finally, the important characteristic parameters of radioactivity, decay heat and photon source are used as uncertainty output parameters in the analysis of NUREG/CR-6115 reactor structural material activation calculations.

Uncertainty Analysis

The Latin hypercube method was selected to sample the input parameters, and according to **Table 1**, the minimum sample size to satisfy the two 95% bilaterally limited distributions was 93. For conservative estimation, 100 samples were taken as inputs (Zhao et al., 2021), and the 12 input parameters were sampled with the distribution shown in **Figure 4**.

To detect the distribution of the input parameters intuitively, the input parameters are normalized. Assuming that the upper limit value of the *i*th parameter is U_{ij} , the lower limit value is L_{ij} , and the parameter value of the *j*th sample is K_{ij} , the normalized result X_{ij} as **Eq. 3**:



Decay heat (D) Decay heat partial enlarged detail (E). Photon source (F) Uncertainty.

$$X_{ij} = \frac{K_{ij} - L_{ij}}{U_{ij} - L_{ij}} \tag{3}$$

It can be seen that the value of X_{ij} is between 0 and 1. The distribution state of the sample in the interval reflects the kind of distribution to which it belongs. The normalized distribution of hypercube sampling samples is shown in **Figure 5**. It is evident that the normalized half-life parameter sample points are

clustered in the middle and sparse on both sides, obeying a normal distribution; the decay energy sample points are distributed with equal probability in the interval, so the input parameters obey a uniform distribution, which verifies the rationality of the input parameter sampling.

Figure 6A, **Figure 6C**, and **Figure 6E** show the changes in radioactivity, decay heat, and photon source over time for 100 different nuclear data samples from the NUREG/CR-6115



reactor structural material activation calculations. Figure 6B and Figure 6D show partially enlarged details from 2 to 11 years for radioactivity and decay heat (within the gray rectangle in Figure 6A and Figure 6C), respectively. Figure 6F shows the uncertainty variation of the calculated results for radioactivity, decay heat, and photon source for 48 years after reactor shutdown.

The perturbation of nuclear data has a small effect on the photon source, and the uncertainty will reach a maximum of 4.54% at the 10th year of shutdown for radioactivity. The reason is that the halflife of ⁵⁵Fe has great uncertainty, and ⁵⁵Fe is the main contributor to radioactivity. From Table 4, it can be seen that ⁵⁵Fe has the longest half-life of 2.774 years among the six nuclides, and from Table 3, it can be seen that the reactor structure material has the largest proportion of Fe by mass. These two reasons lead to the fact that ⁵⁵Fe will have the largest impact on the inventory and radioactivity at this time. The uncertainty introduced by the half-life of ⁵⁵Fe as a main contributing nuclide will be shown during this time. The uncertainty of the decay heat is approximately 1% within 1 day of the shutdown, and the decay heat is mainly produced by ⁵⁶Mn and ⁵⁸Co. From **Table 4**, the half-lives of ⁵⁶Mn and ⁵⁸Co were 2.579 h and 70.856 days, respectively, so the uncertainty is introduced by ⁵⁶Mn and ⁵⁸Co during this time. The uncertainty of the decay heat starts to rise to the maximum value of 1.45% at 5 years, because the ⁵⁵Fe decay heat contribution is increasing (15.52%) at this time, which also introduces uncertainty to the decay heat. The maximum value of 0.19% uncertainty in the photon source occurs in the third year, which is the main photon source emitted by ⁵⁴Mn. From Table 4, the half-life of ⁵⁴Mn is 312.037 days. Additionally, the uncertainty is introduced by ⁵⁴Mn.

Sensitivity Analysis

The Spearman correlation coefficient is one of the commonly used global sensitivity analysis methods to test the sensitivity of multiple input parameters to the response parameters (Sedgwick, 2014). The Spearman correlation coefficient was used as an output parameter for the analysis of radioactivity, decay heat and photon source sensitivity, and the correlation coefficient takes values in the range of [-1,1]. The magnitude of the absolute value indicates the strength of the sensitivity; the positive sign of the value indicates a positive correlation, the negative sign indicates a negative correlation, and 0 indicates no correlation, and its expression is shown in **Eq. 4**.

$$y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{X_i} R_{Y_i} - n \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{X_i}^2 - n \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{Y_i}^2 - n \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2}}$$
(4)

Where, R_{X_i} is the size ranking of X_i in X; R_{Y_i} is the size ranking of Y_i in Y; Y is the correlation coefficient; and n is the number of samples.

As shown in Figure 7, in the field of statistics, the correlation coefficient is defined as extremely strong correlation from 0.8 to 1, strong correlation from 0.6 to 0.8, moderate correlation from 0.4 to 0.6, weak correlation from 0.2 to 0.4, and extremely weak correlation from 0 to 0.2. Since the uncertainty in radioactivity is highest at the 10th year after reactor shutdown, the correlation between the input and output values (the half-life and decay energy of the nucleus are input values, and the radioactivity, decay heat and photon source are output values) at that moment is calculated in Figure 7. Therefore, the half-life of ⁵⁵Fe is extremely strongly correlated with the decay heat and photon source, and the decay energy of ⁵⁵Fe is extremely strongly correlated with the decay heat; it can also support the fact that the uncertainty introduced by ⁵⁵Fe in the previous section is the largest. The half-life of ⁵⁴Mn is moderately correlated with radioactivity, and the half-life and decay energy of other nuclides are weakly and extremely weakly correlated with the response of the three output parameters.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, the activation library of the depletion and decay code ORIGEN2 was updated based on ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF3.3 decay evaluation libraries, and uncertainty quantification analysis of activation calculations and global sensitivity analysis of nuclear data were carried out. The conclusions are as follows.

- (1) The uncertainties of radioactivity, decay heat and photon source of the reactor structure material within 48 years of reactor shutdown due to nuclear data perturbation are obtained by uncertainty analysis, and the radioactivity will reach the maximum uncertainty of 4.54% at 10 years and the maximum uncertainty of 1.45% for decay heat at 5 years.
- (2) The Spearman correlation coefficient shows that the changes in its half-life and decay energy have the greatest influence on the radioactivity, decay heat and photon source of the

structural material after the reactor is deactivated. Therefore, for the design of the scheme of radiation shielding of the reactor structural material, the half-life and decay energy of ⁵⁵Fe should be determined more precisely to improve the accuracy of the activation calculation.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

- Awan, S. E., Mirza, N. M., and Mirza, S. M. (2012). Kinetic Study of Fission Product Activity Released inside Containment under Loss of Coolant Transients in a Typical MTR System. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 70 (12), 2711–2719. doi:10.1016/j. apradiso.2012.08.002
- Bieda, B. (2012). "Risk Analysis of the Waste to Energy Pyrolysis Facility Designs for City of Konin, in Poland, Using SimLab[®] Toolpack," in Novel Approaches and Their Applications in Risk Assessment (London, UK: intechopen), 245.
- Briggs, L. L. (2009). Uncertainty Quantification Approaches for Advanced Reactor Analyses. Lemont: Argonne National Laboratory.
- Brown, D. A., Chadwick, M. B., Capote, R., Kahler, A. C., Trkov, A., Herman, M. W., et al. (2018). ENDF/B-VIII.0: The 8 Th Major Release of the Nuclear Reaction Data Library with CIELO-Project Cross Sections, New Standards and Thermal Scattering Data. *Nucl. Data Sheets* 148, 1–142. doi:10.1016/j.nds.2018.02.001
- Carew, J. F. (2001). PWR and BWR Pressure Vessel Fluence Calculation Benchmark Problems and Solutions. North Bethesda: Division of Engineering Technology, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, US Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- Croff, A. G. (1983). ORIGEN2: a Versatile Computer Code for Calculating the Nuclide Compositions and Characteristics of Nuclear Materials. *Nucl. Tech.* 62 (3), 335–352. doi:10.13182/NT83-1
- Croff, A. G. (1980). User's Manual for the ORIGEN2 Computer Code (No. ORNL/TM-7175). Oak Ridge: Oak Ridge National Lab.
- Foudil, Z., Mohamed, B., and Tahar, Z. (2017). Estimating of Core Inventory, Source Term and Doses Results for the NUR Research Reactor under a Hypothetical Severe Accident. *Prog. Nucl. Energ.* 100, 365–372. doi:10. 1016/j.pnucene.2017.07.013
- Herman, M.Cross Sections Evaluation Working Group (2009). ENDF-6 Formats Manual Data Formats and Procedures for the Evaluated Nuclear Data File ENDF/B-VI and ENDF/B-VII (No. BNL-90365-2009). Brookhaven National Lab. (BNL), Upton, NY (United States). Upton, New York: National Nuclear Data Center.
- Katakura, J.-i. (2013). Uncertainty Analyses of Decay Heat Summation Calculations Using JENDL, JEFF, and ENDF Files. J. Nucl. Sci. Tech. 50 (8), 799–807. doi:10.1080/00223131.2013.808004
- Liem, P. H., and Sembiring, T. M. (2013). Development of New ORIGEN2 Data Library Sets for Research Reactors with Light Water Cooled Oxide and Silicide LEU (20w/o) Fuels Based on JENDL-3.3 Nuclear Data. Nucl. Eng. Des. 262, 52–62. doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2013.03.049
- McLane, V. (1996). ENDF-201, ENDF/B-VI Summary Documentation Supplement 1, ENDF/HE-VI Summary Documentation (No. BNL-NCS-17541-ED. 4-SUPPL. 1). Upton, New York: Brookhaven National Lab.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YC:Conceptualization, Methodology, Software WJ:Data curation, Writing Original draft preparation YT:Visualization, Investigation CZ:Supervision.

FUNDING

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (approved number: 12105136), the Hunan Province Natural Science Foundation of China (approved number: 2021JJ40449), and the Doctoral Research Fund of University of South China.

- Mehboob, K., Xinrong, C., Ahmed, R., and Ali, M. (2013). Numerical Simulation of Radioisotope's Dependency on Containment Performance for Large Dry PWR Containment under Severe Accidents. *Nucl. Eng. Des.* 262, 435–451. doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2013.05.021
- Mui, T., and Kozlowski, T. (2018). Confirmation of Wilks' Method Applied to TRACE Model of Boiling Water Reactor spray Cooling experiment. Ann. Nucl. Energ. 117, 53–59. doi:10.1016/j.anucene.2018.03.011
- Plompen, A. J. M., Cabellos, O., De Saint Jean, C., Fleming, M., Algora, A., Angelone, M., et al. (2020). The Joint Evaluated Fission and Fusion Nuclear Data Library, JEFF-3.3. *The Eur. Phys. J. A* 56 (7), 181. doi:10.1140/epja/ s10050-020-00141-9
- Sedgwick, P. (2014). Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient. Bmj 349, g7327. doi:10. 1136/bmj.g7327
- Trkov, A., Brown, D. A., and Brown, D. A. (2018). ENDF-6 Formats Manual: Data Formats and Procedures for the Evaluated Nuclear Data Files (No. BNL-203218-2018-INRE). Upton, NY: Brookhaven National Lab. doi:10. 2172/1425114
- Tsilanizara, A., and Huynh, T. D. (2021). New Feature of DARWIN/PEPIN2 Inventory Code: Propagation of Nuclear Data Uncertainties to Decay Heat and Nuclide Density. Ann. Nucl. Energ. 164, 108579. doi:10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108579
- Wilks, S. S. (1942). Statistical Prediction with Special Reference to the Problem of Tolerance Limits. Ann. Math. Statist. 13 (4), 400–409. doi:10.1214/aoms/ 1177731537
- Zhao, P., Lei, Z., Yu, T., Zhao, Y., Qin, Z., Li, Q., et al. (2021). Uncertainty Analysis in Coupled neutronic/thermal-hydraulic Calculations Based on Computational Fluid Dynamics. Ann. Nucl. Energ. 156, 108215. doi:10. 1016/j.anucene.2021.108215

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Jiebo, Chao, Tao and Zhenping. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.