



Dominant Channels Identification Method for Multi-Fractured Horizontal Wells in Tight Reservoirs: Progress and Challenges

Yiping Ye*

PetroChina Xinjiang Oilfield Company, Karamay Xinjiang, China

Keywords: dominant channels, unconventional reservoirs, multi-fractured horizontal wells, progress and challenges, tracer monitoring data

INTRODUCTION

As an important form of energy supply, tight reservoirs have been found in most countries and regions of the world (Zhang et al., 2015) (**Figure 1**). According to statistics, the total global reserves of tight resources are $67,840 \times 10^8$ barrels, and the technically recoverable reserves are $3,362 \times 10^8$ barrels. More than two-thirds of tight resources are concentrated in Russia, the United States, China, Libya, Argentina, and Australia (Kuuskraa et al., 2013).

At present, tight oil development is mainly based on volume fracturing (Jinhu et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2018; Sheng et al., 2019). After long-term waterflooding, the permeability and porosity of the reservoir increase significantly. The fractured tight reservoir has obvious heterogeneity and can easily form a dominant channel (Wang et al., 2011). After the formation of the dominant channel, the injected water is easier to quickly reach the production well along the large channel with less resistance, resulting in the ineffective circulation of injected water and reduced water flooding efficiency. Therefore, it is of great significance to identify the dominant channels for the further remaining oil development. There are many existing methods for identifying the dominant channels. According to the theoretical and technical characteristics of these methods, they can be roughly divided into five categories: the method of using tracer monitoring data, the method based on single well dynamic and static data, the method using well test data, the method using water absorption profile logging data, and the method using numerical simulation. This article summarizes the existing dominant channels identification methods and discusses the advantages and disadvantages of each method to summarize the latest progress and challenges of dominant channels identification.

Identification of Dominant Channels Using Tracer Monitoring Data

The tracer monitoring technology injects radioactive isotopes into water injection wells and then detects them in the surrounding production wells to determine the output of tracers and draw the output curve. Through the quantitative interpretation by the interwell tracer interpretation software, the high permeability regions in the formation can be qualitatively determined, and some formation parameters can be quantitatively calculated.

Brigham and Smith (1965) published related articles on the seepage status of tracer mining curve in a five-point well pattern, which included an important theoretical basis of tracer monitoring curve. Cooke (1971) put forward a method and theory of interwell tracer to study residual oil in reservoirs and made a great contribution to tracer application. Abbaszadeh-Dehghani and Brigham (1984) proposed the Abbaszadeh-Brigham flow tube model (A-B model). This model realizes the transformation of the interwell tracer monitoring method from qualitative analysis to quantitative interpretation and points out the direction for the application of tracer monitoring

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Xun Zhong,
Yangtze University, China

Reviewed by:

Guanglong Sheng,
Yangtze University, China
Qi Zhang,
China University of Geosciences
Wuhan, China

*Correspondence:

Yiping Ye
yipingye2002@sina.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Advanced Clean Fuel Technologies,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Energy Research

Received: 16 January 2022

Accepted: 31 January 2022

Published: 24 February 2022

Citation:

Ye Y (2022) Dominant Channels
Identification Method for Multi-
Fractured Horizontal Wells in Tight
Reservoirs: Progress and Challenges.
Front. Energy Res. 10:855901.
doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.855901

Identification of Dominant Channels Channel by Well Testing Data

Well testing can test high permeability channels and their directions through pressure drop and pressure recovery and can qualitatively predict reservoir dominant channels.

Shi et al. (2003) described the measured points using the pressure drop formula of unstable well testing and drew a curve. The curve was fitted with the typical curve obtained when there were dominant channels in the formation. The fitting process and fitting results were analyzed to identify and judge whether there were dominant channels around the well. Yang (2005) realized the identification of dominant channels in reservoirs according to the change of curve shape, trend, and slope by studying permeability change on a double logarithmic curve. Feng et al. (2010) established a well testing interpretation model of a micro compressible fluid in homogeneous reservoirs and proposed a new method to determine the permeability and effective thickness of dominant channels.

Using well test data to identify dominant channels is simple, fast, and very economical, which can make full use of production data. However, the premise requires the reservoir to be an elastic porous medium of equal thickness and horizontal, homogeneous, and isotropic, which is completely realized in the case of an ideal model. It is far from the actual reservoirs, and the calculated results will inevitably have errors. Therefore, a more accurate and rigorous well testing model is needed.

Identification of Dominant Channels Using Water Absorption Profile Logging Data

After the formation of dominant channels in long-term water injection development, some logging data measured in the field will change significantly. These data include the data obtained by testing the water injection profile and some conventional logging data. According to the changes of these data and inversion of formation parameters by fitting logging curves, dominant channels identification can be carried out.

Du and Jing (1999) obtained that, under the same lithology of sedimentary rocks, reservoir water flooding was the main reason for the change of the curve. Therefore, the curve analysis can show the change of the reservoir. Xu et al. (2002) applied natural gamma logging curve to dominant channels identification, which enriched the theoretical system of the dominant channels identification method. Joshi et al. (2010) used a special measurement tool to distinguish the heavy oil and water, which are difficult to distinguish because of the close density, and then measured the moisture content. The advanced mathematical method was used to systematically analyze the test results to effectively identify the high permeability layer and inter-layer channels.

The water absorption profile method is simple and intuitive to identify water channels, but it has many defects. The accuracy of dominant channels identification using this method needs to be further verified. The high cost and long time consumed in obtaining the water absorption profile logging data are the current challenges of this method.

Identification of Dominant Channels by Numerical Simulation

The numerical simulation method uses complex geological modeling and actual production simulation to quantitatively determine the dominant flow. Feng et al. (2009) established a fluid-solid coupling mathematical model, where the relationship between permeability and cumulative linear flow reflects the evolution process of large pores. Yu et al. (2016) introduced the permeability variation model into the numerical simulation and established a new method for calculating the plane distribution of dominant channels. Zhao et al. (2015) proposed a new connectivity model numerical simulation method. Based on this numerical simulation method, Xu et al. (2017) predicted polymer flooding channels for the first time and applied them in actual oilfields. Shen et al. (2018) identified the dominant channels of fractured reservoirs by calculating the water injection splitting of water injection wells to surrounding wells.

The traditional numerical simulation method to identify water dominant channels has a certain guiding role for reservoir development in the early stage and conventional reservoir development. However, for most wells in the middle and late stages of reservoir development, acid fracturing, profile control, and water plugging are carried out. This method cannot accurately identify dominant channels. Currently, connectivity methods are mainly limited to a single medium and unsuitable for reservoirs with fractures and matrices. Moreover, these methods can only be identified by splitting coefficients, and the identification process is not intuitive enough to accurately determine the type of dominant channels.

CONCLUSION

- (1) Using tracer monitoring data to identify dominant channels, the calculated parameters have high precision and a solid theoretical basis. Nevertheless, this method is not effective for unconventional reservoirs.
- (2) Identification of dominant channels using dynamic and static data takes advantage of the actual oilfield data, which has a certain credibility. However, this method is only applicable to reservoirs with complete and accurate field data.
- (3) Using well testing data to identify dominant channels are simple and fast. However, the method has many assumptions and is too ideal, which is far from the actual reservoirs.
- (4) Identification by the water injection profile method is simple and intuitive. However, the accuracy of water dominant channels identification by this method needs to be further verified, and the acquisition of water injection profile logging data is costly and time-consuming.
- (5) Identification of dominant channels by numerical simulation has a certain guiding role in the early stage of reservoir development. However, its accuracy is poor in the middle and late stages of reservoir development.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

REFERENCES

- Abbaszadeh-Dehghani, M., and Brigham, W. E. (1984). Analysis of Well-To-Well Tracer Flow to Determine Reservoir Layering. *J. Pet. Technol.* 36 (10), 1753–1762. doi:10.2118/10760-PA
- Brigham, W. E., and Smith, D. H. (1965). "Prediction of Tracer Behavior in Five-Spot Flow[C]," in Conference on production research and engineering, Tulsa, OK, May 1965 (Tulsa, Oklahoma: OnePetro). doi:10.2118/1130-MS
- Cooke, C. E., Jr (1971). *Method of Determining Fluid Saturations in Reservoirs* Cooke Jr, C. E. *Method of Determining Fluid Saturations in Reservoirs*. No. US 3590923.
- Dou, Z., Zeng, L., Zhang, Z., Xiong, W., Tian, G., Liu, X., et al. (2001). Research on the Diagnosis and Description of Wormhole. *Pet. Explor. Dev.* Shandong, China (01), 75–77.
- Du, Z., and Jing, W. (1999). Quantitatively Calculating Formation Water Resistivity of Water Flooded Zone with Log Data [J]. *Well Logging Tech.* (01), 43–45. doi:10.16489/j.issn.1004-1338.1999.01.010
- Feng, Q., and Li, S. (2005). Automatic Matching for Interwell Tracer Production Curve. *Pet. Exploration Dev.* 32 (5), 2. doi:10.3321/j.issn:1000-0747.2005.05.028
- Feng, Q., Qi, J., Yin, X., Yang, Y., Bing, S., and Zhang, B. (2009). Simulation of Fluid-Solid Coupling during Formation and Evolution of High-Permeability Channels. *Pet. Exploration Dev.* 36 (4), 2. doi:10.1016/s1876-3804(09)60114-2
- Feng, Q., Wang, S., Gao, G., and Li, C. (2010). A New Approach to Thief Zone Identification Based on Interference Test. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* 75 (1-2), 13–18. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2010.10.005
- Ghori, S. G., and Heller, J. P. (1990). "Computed Effect of Heterogeneity on Well-To-Well Tracer Results[C]," in Proceeding of 5th Canadian/American Conference on Hydrology.
- Hu, S., Zhu, R., Wu, S., Bai, B., Yang, Z., and Cui, J. (2018). Exploration and Development of continental Tight Oil in China. *Pet. Exploration Dev.* 45 (4), 790–802. doi:10.1016/S1876-3804(18)30082-X
- Izgec, B., and Kabir, C. S. S. (2011). Identification and Characterization of High-Conductive Layers in Waterfloods. *SPE Reservoir Eval. Eng.* 14 (01), 113–119. doi:10.2118/123930-PA
- Jinhu, D. U., He, L., Desheng, M. A., Jinhua, F. U., Yuhua, W., and Tiyaoyao, Z. (2014). Discussion on Effective Development Techniques for continental Tight Oil in China. *Pet. exploration Dev.* 41 (2), 217–224. doi:10.11698/PED.2014.02.09
- Joshi, S., Thabet, E., Abugreen, Y., Samir, M., Hassan, W., and Omara, M. (2010). *Identifying Reservoir Pathways in a Fractured Dolomitic Heavy Oil Reservoir*. Tulsa, OK: OnePetro. doi:10.2118/129098-MS
- Kuuskraa, V., Stevens, S. H., and Moodhe, K. D. (2013). Technically Recoverable Shale Oil and Shale Gas Resources: An Assessment of 137 Shale Formations in 41 Countries outside the United States: *US Energy Information Administration*. US Department of Energy.
- Liu, T., Jiang, H., Li, N., Lei, Z., and Li, X. (2008). Application of Interwell Tracer Testing in Describing Remaining Oil Distribution. *Pet. Geology. Oilfield Dev. Daqing* 27 (1), 74–77. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-3754.2008.01.020
- Liu, W., Lin, C., Yang, Y., and Fan, Q. (2010). A New Method for Quantitatively Identifying Advanced Channeling Paths in Thick Reservoirs with Low Permeability. *J. Oil Gas Tech.* 32 (1), 1–5. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-9752.2010.01.001
- Liu, Y., Sun, B., and Yu, Y. (2003). Fuzzy Identification and Quantitative Calculation Method for Big Pore Throat. *Oil Drilling Prod. Tech.* 25 (05), 54–59. doi:10.13639/j.odpt.2003.05.016
- Peng, S., Shi, Y., Han, T., Huang, J., Li, H., Li, X., et al. (2007). A Quantitative Description Method for Channeling-Path of Reservoirs during High Water Cut Period. *Acta Petrolei Sinica* 28 (5), 79–84. doi:10.3321/j.issn:0253-2697.2007.05.014
- Shen, W., Zhao, H., Liu, W., Xu, L., and Liao, M. (2018). Identification Method of Dominant Channeling in Fractured Vuggy Carbonate Reservoir Based on Connectivity Model. *Fault-Block Oil and Gas Field* 25 (4), 459–463. doi:10.6056/dkyqt201804011
- Sheng, G., Su, Y., and Wang, W. (2019). A New Fractal Approach for Describing Induced-Fracture Porosity/permeability/Compressibility in Stimulated Unconventional Reservoirs. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* 179, 855–866. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2019.04.104
- Shi, Y., Zeng, Q., and Zhou, X. (2003). Interpreting Model of Large Pore Well Testing Theory. *Oil Drilling Prod. Tech.* (03), 48–50. doi:10.13639/j.odpt.2003.03.017
- Wang, Y., Chen, F., Gu, H., Zhou, H., Nie, Z., Liu, F., et al. (2011). Using Tracer to Study Interwell Water Flow Predominant Channel. *Xinjiang Pet. Geology.* 32 (05), 512–514.
- Xu, B., Wu, T., and Tian, S. (2002). Application Study of the Natural Gamma Logging in Oilfield Development. *Fault-Block Oil and Gas* 9 (5), 86–88. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-8907.2002.05.026
- Xu, L., Zhao, H., Xie, X., Zhang, X., Cao, L., and Kang, X. (2017). A New Prediction and Identification Method of Polymer Flooding Crossflow Performance. *China Offshore Oil and Gas* 29 (6), 92–99. doi:10.11935/j.issn.1673-1506.2017.06.012
- Yang, S. (2005). Determining Change of Formation Permeability after Injection Water by Testing Method. *Well Test.* (06), 28–30. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1004-4388.2005.06.010
- Yousef, A. A., Gentil, P. H., Jensen, J. L., and Lake, L. W. (2006). A Capacitance Model to Infer Interwell Connectivity from Production and Injection Rate Fluctuations. *SPE Reservoir Eval. Eng.* 9 (06), 630–646. doi:10.2118/95322-PA
- Yu, C., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., and Wang, J. (2016). Study on Two Dimensional Channeling Distribution of Unconsolidated Sandstone Reservoir. *Geoscience* 30 (5), 1134–1140. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-8527.2016.05.018
- Zhang, J., Bi, H., Xu, H., Zhao, J., Yu, Y., Zhao, D., et al. (2015). New Progress and Reference Significance of Overseas Tight Oil Exploration and Development. *Acta Petrolei Sinica* 36 (02), 127–137. doi:10.7623/syxb201502001
- Zhao, H., Kang, Z., Zhang, X., Sun, H., Cao, L., Reynolds, A. C., et al. (2015). *INSIM: A Data-Driven Model for History Matching and Prediction for Waterflooding Monitoring and Management with a Field application[C]// SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*. Houston, TX: OnePetro. SPE-173213-MS.

Conflict of Interest: YY was employed by PetroChina Xinjiang Oilfield Company.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ye. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.