Voltage stability analysis considering dynamic interaction for power systems integrated with multi-PMSGs
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This paper presents the dynamic voltage stability index based on the voltage oscillation loop for power systems integrated with multiple permanent magnet synchronous generators (PMSGs) to assess the dynamic interaction on voltage stability. First, the model of power systems integrated with multi-PMSGs using a Q–V sensitivity matrix as feedback to connect all PMSGs is established. The transfer function model between voltage and reactive power is derived, and the voltage oscillation loop can be derived, which exists in each PMSG. Next, the dynamic voltage stability index is theoretically derived and given, the impact of dynamic interaction among multi-PMSGs on voltage stability can be quantified, and the source of voltage oscillation can be identified and determined. Then, the voltage stability is recovered by shedding the trouble-making PMSG. The parameters of PMSGs could be tuned with the guidance of the dynamic voltage stability index to reduce the risk of voltage oscillation. Finally, the example of a test system with PMSGs is used to validate the correctness of the dynamic stability index.
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1 Introduction

Wind power has been applied extensively in many nations as a rich and clean renewable energy source (Kroposki et al., 2017; Wang X. et al., 2015). Among all kinds of wind turbine generators (WTGs), permanent magnet synchronous generators (PMSGs) can be widely used because of its high efficiency and reliability (Li et al., 2012; Sanchez et al., 2012). However, the risk of power angle instability, voltage instability, and frequency instability might increase when power electronic converters are used to link massive amounts of wind generators to the power system. At home and abroad, accidents caused by grid-connected WTGs have been reported recently (Wang L. et al., 2015; Adams et al., 2012), such as the wind farm located in Hebei Province of North China (Wang L. et al., 2015), the Harmi area of West China (Liu et al., 2017), and Texas of the USA (Adams et al., 2012), subsequently causing the tripping of a great number of WTGs and damage to crowbar circuits. These accidents have brought serious challenges to power angle stability, voltage stability, and frequency stability (Rakhshani et al., 2019), which are coupled with each other during the disturbances. In order to improve the stability of renewable energy grid-connected systems,
scholars have conducted extensive research. Belkhier and Oubelaid (2024) provided a solution to address the intermittent and stochastic nature of renewable energy management and improve the efficiency of energy systems. A novel control approach is proposed by Khosravi et al. (2023) to improve the stability of hybrid AC/DC microgrids. Dashtdar et al. (2022) provided a new protection method for DC microgrids based on the Fourier transform. Wijnhoven et al. (2014) discussed a selection of control aspects that are important for the negative sequence current injection of type 4 wind turbines. A new WT and VSC-HVDC control method is proposed by Erlich (2017), which can determine the reference voltage directly.

Meanwhile, voltage stability is gaining more attention due to the high penetration of wind generation, and scholars have studied some strategies to improve voltage stability (Ou et al., 2016; Liu and Sun, 2014). Ou et al. (2016); Sravan Kumar et al. (2014) improved voltage stability through the coordination of reactive power. The method of coordinated dynamic VAR source placement is applied for voltage
FIGURE 3
MSC vector control of PMSG-k.

FIGURE 4
GSC vector control of PMSG-k.

FIGURE 5
Configuration of the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-k.
stability enhancement by Liu et al. (2018); Chi and Xu (2020). The voltage stability and control of offshore wind farms with AC collection and HVDC transmission are investigated through impedance models by Liu and Sun (2014). Voltage stability is more complex with the dynamic interaction among the dynamic units, such as synchronous and wind generators (Qian et al., 2016). Hence, proposing the voltage stability index to evaluate dynamic interaction quantitatively is necessary.

Numerous researchers have developed techniques for assessing the voltage stability of power systems with wind generators, including the continuous power flow method, probability analysis method, and bifurcation theory method. Vittal et al. (2010) provided a detailed methodology to assess the influence of wind turbine generators on the static voltage stability of the power system by combining economic dispatch, power flow, unit dedication, and past time-series information. Rawat and Vadhera (2020) proposed a method to analyze the stable state probabilistic voltage stability margins considering generation and load demand uncertainty. The model is presented to analyze the static voltage stability of the system integrated with WTGs based on bifurcation theory by Tourandaz Kenari et al. (2019), and the probabilistic index can be used to examine the probability risk of voltage collapse.
However, the methods proposed above focus on steady voltage stability. With the dynamic time scale of the power system becoming shorter due to the significant penetration of wind power, the dynamic characteristics of voltage stability cannot be neglected. The scholars have conducted meaningful research studies on the dynamic voltage stability of the power system integrated with WTGs. Venkatesh et al. (2007) presented a dynamic voltage collapse index programmed into a microprocessor-based relay to reflect the risk of voltage collapse over the feeder connecting WTGs. Baa Wafaa and Dessaint (2018) proposed an improved voltage stability index to assess the stability of the system with WTG integration by considering optimal power flow. Su et al. (2019) focused on the dynamic interaction between WTGs and induction motor loads and examined the dynamic voltage stability process from the modal coupling aspect.

As the quantity of WTG grows, the influence of dynamic interactions between multiple wind turbine generators on voltage stability is becoming more dominant. However, the dynamic interactions that exist in multiple wind turbine generators are rarely considered in terms of voltage stability, especially when quantifying the influence of each remaining wind generator on the voltage stability of a selected wind generator in a system with multiple wind turbine generators. Therefore, the paper presents the dynamic voltage stability index for a system integrated with multi-PMSGs based on the voltage oscillation loop, and the index proposed can quantify the influence of dynamic interaction among multi-PMSGs on voltage stability.

The proposed method is more suitable for the analysis of dynamic voltage stability than the continuous power flow method (Vittal et al., 2010), probability analysis method (Rawat and Vadhera, 2020), and bifurcation theory method (Tourandaz Kenari et al., 2019), rather than being limited to analyzing steady voltage stability. Compared with the methods proposed by Venkatesh et al. (2007); Baa Wafaa and Dessaint (2018), this
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**TABLE 1** Computational results of the dynamic index from each of the rest of PMSGs—study case 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of the influence</th>
<th>Amount of the index</th>
<th>Source of the influence</th>
<th>Amount of the index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMSG-2</td>
<td>2.0210</td>
<td>PMSG-4</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSG-3</td>
<td>26.8907</td>
<td>PMSG-5</td>
<td>-0.1001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 7**

Four-machine two-area system integrated with PMSGs.
method can be used to analyze the impact of dynamic interaction on
dynamic voltage stability. Su et al. (2019) analyzed the dynamic
interaction between WTGs and induction motor loads but did not
quantitatively analyze the dynamic interaction among each WTG.
Harmonic modeling of the wind turbine induction generator is
presented by García et al. (2018), and the method analyzes the
harmonic interaction between the wind power generator and the
electric system, but it is difficult to analyze the dynamic interaction
among wind power generators considering the voltage characteristics.
Therefore, the proposed method has improved the shortcomings of the
methods proposed by Su et al. (2019); García et al. (2018).

In Section 2, the interconnected model of the power system
integrated with multi-PMSGs is proposed, and the voltage
oscillation loops that exist between PMSGs are derived. In
Section 3, the dynamic voltage stability index based on the
voltage oscillation loop is introduced, and the theoretical
derivation and calculation steps of the index are presented. Based
on the voltage stability index, the source of voltage oscillation can be
identified and the parameter tuning of PMSGs can be guided. In
Section 4, the validity of the proposed dynamic voltage stability
index is tested under different scenarios in the test system. Section 5
summarizes the novelty and main contributions. The novelty and
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**Figure 8**
Dynamic responses of reactive power of PMSG-1 with different PMSG shed.
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**Figure 9**
Dynamic responses of voltage of PMSG-1 with different PMSG shed.
The contributions of the paper are mainly reflected in four aspects: 1) the transfer function model between voltage and reactive power at the point of common coupling is derived by combining the dynamic equation of PMSGs and the power equation at the point of common coupling; then the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG is derived. 2) The dynamic model of power systems integrated with multi-PMSGs using the Q–V sensitivity matrix as feedback to connect all PMSGs is established. 3) The dynamic voltage stability index is theoretically derived and given, the impact of dynamic interaction among multi-PMSGs on voltage stability can be...
quantified, the source of voltage oscillation can be identified and determined, and then the voltage stability is recovered by shedding the trouble-making PMSG. 4) The parameters of PMSGs could be tuned with the guidance of the dynamic voltage stability index to reduce the risk of voltage oscillation.

2 Model of power systems with multi-PMSGs

The configuration of the power system integrated with multi-PMSGs is shown in Figure 1, and PMSGs are connected to N nodes. $X_{lk}$ and $R_{lk}$ are the inductance and resistance of the kth line, which connects the PMSG and power system. $V_k$ is the voltage of PMSG-$k$, and $P_k + jQ_k$ and $I_k + jI_{kq}$ indicate the power and current output of kth PMSG, respectively.

An interconnected model of the transfer function of the whole system is established to analyze the voltage stability of power system integrated with multi-PMSGs.

2.1 Dynamic model of the power system

Figure 1 depicts the precise structure of the power system. There are M SGs and N points of common coupling (PCC) of PMSGs. Denote $I_{ih} + jI_{iq}$ and $P_{it} + jQ_{it}$ as the power and the current output of ith SG. $X_{it}$ and $R_{it}$ are the inductance and resistance of the
The state-space model of SG-$i$ can be established as follows (Du et al., 2016):

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\Delta X_{ci} = A_i \Delta X_{ci} + b_{pi} \Delta P_{ci} + b_{qi} \Delta Q_{ci} + \Delta V_{ci},
$$

(1)

$$
\Delta V_{ci} = c_{T_i}^T \Delta X_{ci} + d_{pi} \Delta P_{ci} + d_{qi} \Delta Q_{ci},
$$

(2)

where $\Delta$ denotes the deviation of variables and $\Delta X_{ci}$, ($i=1, 2, \ldots, M$) represents the SG-$i$ variable matrix.

From Eq. 1, the model of SG-$i$ can be obtained as

$$
\Delta V_{ci} = G_{pi} s \Delta P_{ci} + G_{qi} s \Delta Q_{ci},
$$

(3)

where $G_{pi}(s) = c_{T_i}^T (sI - A_i)^{-1} b_{pi} + d_{pi}$

$G_{qi}(s) = c_{T_i}^T (sI - A_i)^{-1} b_{qi} + d_{qi}$.

By combining Eqs 1, 2, there is a clear expression for the SG-$i$ model. Therefore, the model of the whole system is derived by combining PMSGs and SGs.

### 2.2 Voltage oscillation loop of the PMSG

Figure 2 depicts the PMSG-$k$ structure coupled to the power system. $X_{fk}$ represents the reactance, $C_k$ refers to the DC capacitor, and $V_{dck}$ is the magnitude of the DC capacitor voltage.

Consider the PCC-$k$ ($V_{PCC-k}$)’s voltage direction to be the $d$-axis of the PMSG-$k$’s $d-q$ coordinate. Thus,

$$
V_{dk} = V_d, \quad V_{qk} = 0,
$$

(3)

where subscripts $d$ and $q$ stand for $d$- and $q$-axis components in the $d-q$ coordinate, respectively.

The model of PMSG-$k$ can be determined as follows (Li et al., 2012; Du et al., 2017):

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\Delta X_k = A_k \Delta X_k + b_k \Delta V_k
$$

$$
\Delta V_k = c_{T_k}^T \Delta X_k + d_k \Delta V_k
$$

(4)

$$
\Delta P_k = c_{pk}^T \Delta X_k + d_{pk} \Delta V_k
$$

$$
\Delta Q_k = c_{qk}^T \Delta X_k + d_{qk} \Delta V_k
$$

where $\Delta X_k$, ($k=1, 2, \ldots, N$) represents the SG-$k$ state value matrix.

From Figure 2, the equations of active and reactive power outputs of PMSG-$k$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\Delta P_k = V_{d0} \Delta I_{dk} + I_{d0} \Delta V_k
$$

$$
\Delta Q_k = -V_{q0} \Delta I_{qk} - I_{q0} \Delta V_k
$$

(5)

where the variable at the steady state is denoted by subscript 0.

In this way, the currents of PMSG-$k$ can be obtained as

$$
X_{fk} \frac{dI_{dk}}{dt} = \omega_0 (V_{dk} - V_{d0}) + \omega_0 X_{fk} I_{pk}
$$

$$
X_{fk} \frac{dI_{qk}}{dt} = \omega_0 (V_{qk} - V_{q0}) - \omega_0 X_{fk} I_{qk}
$$

(6)

where $\omega_0$ is the synchronous frequency.

By combining Eqs 3–6, the linearized line current equations could be written as Eq. 7.

$$
X_{fk} \frac{d\Delta I_{dk}}{dt} = \omega_0 (\Delta V_{d0} - \Delta V_k) + \omega_0 X_{fk} \Delta I_{qk}
$$

$$
X_{fk} \frac{d\Delta I_{qk}}{dt} = \omega_0 \Delta V_{q0} - \omega_0 X_{fk} \Delta I_{dk}
$$

(7)

Figure 3 shows the configuration of the MSC vector control of PMSG-$k$, and there are three control loops in Figure 3: part 1 is speed...
control, part 2 is q-axis current control, and part 3 is d-axis current control.

From Figure 3, the model of the three loops of the MSC vector control can be obtained as Eq. 8.

\[
\Delta V_{\text{pk}} = \Delta \omega_{\text{pk}} \left( K_{\text{p-3}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-3}}}{s} \right) - \Psi f \Delta \omega_{\text{pk}}
\]

where \( V_{\text{pk}} \) and \( I_{\text{pk}} \) represent the q- and d-axis components of the reference voltage of MSC, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the configuration of the GSC vector control of PMSG-k. There are four control loops in Figure 4: part 1 is DC voltage control, part 2 is d-axis current control, part 3 is reactive power control, and part 4 is q-axis current control.

From Figure 4, the model of four loops can be obtained as Eqs. 9–10.

\[
\Delta V_{\text{pk}} = \Delta V_{\text{dk}} \left( K_{\text{p-1}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-1}}}{s} \right)
\]

\[
\Delta V_{\text{pk}} = \left( \Delta V_{\text{df}} - \Delta I_{\text{df}} \right) \left( K_{\text{p-2}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-2}}}{s} \right) + \Delta V_{\text{dk}} - X_{\text{dk}} \Delta I_{\text{dk}}
\]

By ignoring the transient process of the PWM algorithm, the following equations can be obtained as

\[
V_{\text{ref}} = V_{\text{ref}}, \quad V_{\text{ref}} = V_{\text{ref}}.
\]

By combining Eqs 6, 7, 11, the linearized equation containing \( \Delta V_{\text{sk}}, \Delta V_{k}, \Delta I_{dk}, \) and \( \Delta I_{apk} \) can be written as Eq. 12.

\[
\Delta V_{\text{sk}} + I_{\text{qsk}} \left( K_{\text{p-3}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-3}}}{s} \right) \left( K_{\text{p-4}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-4}}}{s} \right) \Delta V_{k} = X_{\text{jk}} \Delta I_{dk} - \left( V_{\text{sk}} \left( K_{\text{p-3}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-3}}}{s} \right) + 1 \right) \left( K_{\text{p-4}} + \frac{K_{\text{i-4}}}{s} \right) \Delta I_{dk}.
\]
where \( \Delta P_p, \Delta Q_p, \Delta \theta_p, \) and \( \Delta V_p \) are the vectors of the variables of active power, reactive power, angle, and magnitude of voltage, respectively. The subscript \( P \) represents the vectors regarding the PCC of PMSGs. \( \Delta V_p = [\Delta V_1 \ldots \Delta V_k \ldots \Delta V_N]^T \), and \( V_k \) is the magnitude of PCC-k voltage in Figure 1.

The voltage stability of the power system is affected by active and reactive power. However, at each operating point, active power could be constant to analyze voltage stability considering the relationship between \( \Delta Q_p \) and \( \Delta V_p \). Although \( \Delta P_p \) is ignored, the influence of the change in system load or power transmission level is included in the relationship between \( \Delta Q_p \) and \( \Delta V_p \). Based on the above considerations, if \( \Delta P_p = 0 \), then Eq. 17 can be written as

\[
\Delta Q_p = A_R \Delta V_p, \tag{17}
\]

where \( A_R = A_{QV,p} - A_{QP,p}A_{PV,p}A_{PV,p} \). \( A_R \) is the Q–V sensitivity matrix of the PCC in Figure 1; moreover, it relates to voltage magnitude and reactive power injection.

By combining Eqs 15, 17, the interconnected model of the system integrated with multi-PMSGs can be shown in Figure 6.

There are two parts in Figure 6: part 1 refers to the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-k and part 2 is the whole power system except PMSG-k. In Figure 6, part 2 has an influence on the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-k. Moreover, the mathematical expressions
of influence from the network and each PMSG except PMSG-k, respectively, are derived in Section 3. All the PMSGs are connected through the network, and $A_k$ relating to $\Delta Q_k$ and $\Delta V_P$ represents the connection of the network. The mathematical expression of $\Delta Q_P$ in Figure 6 is shown as Eq. 18.

$$\Delta Q_P = [ \Delta Q_1 \ldots \Delta Q_k \ldots \Delta Q_N ]^T.$$  \hfill (18)

The mathematical expression of $\Delta V_P$ in Figure 6 is shown as Eq. 19.

$$\Delta V_P = [ \Delta V_1 \ldots \Delta V_k \ldots \Delta V_N ]^T.$$ \hfill (19)

### 3 Dynamic voltage stability index

From Figures 5, 6, there is a voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-k, which is affected by the rest of the system. To quantify the influence, the dynamic voltage stability index is proposed, and the voltage stability can be analyzed quantitatively. The more detailed derivation can be shown as follows.

For the loop of PMSG-k in Figure 5, Eq. 15 (Sran Kumar et al., 2014) can be rewritten as

$$(s^2 + \mu_k s + K_k) \Delta V_k = H_k(s) \Delta Q_k,$$ \hfill (20)

where, $\mu_k = \omega_0 K_{P-k} / X_{f-k}$, $K_k = \omega_0 K_{P-k} / X_{f-k}$, and

$$H_k(s) = 1 - \frac{\omega_0 V_{k-p} + \omega_0 V_{k-p} G_{VQS}(s)}{I_{qk} X_{f-k}}$$

Then, Eq. 17 can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta Q_k \\ \Delta Q_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{R(k,\alpha)} & A_{R(k,\beta)} \\ A_{R(\alpha,k)} & A_{R(\beta,k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta V_k \\ \Delta V_k \end{bmatrix}. \hfill (21)$$

where subscript k represents the variables of PMSG-k and subscript S represents the variables of all the PMSGs except PMSG-k, S = 1, 2, ... k-1, k+1, N. Furthermore,

$$A_{R(k,\alpha)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{r(k,\alpha)} & \cdots & A_{r(k,\alpha)} & \cdots & A_{r(k,\alpha)} \\ A_{r(\alpha,k)} & \cdots & A_{r(\alpha,k)} & \cdots & A_{r(\alpha,k)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{R(\beta,k)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{r(\beta,k)} & \cdots & A_{r(\beta,k)} & \cdots & A_{r(\beta,k)} \\ A_{r(k,\beta)} & \cdots & A_{r(k,\beta)} & \cdots & A_{r(k,\beta)} \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

From Figure 6, the equation $\Delta V_k = G_{QVS}(s) \Delta Q_k$ can be translated into the matrix form as

$$\Delta V_k = G_{QVS}(s) \Delta Q_k,$$ \hfill (22)

where

$$G_{QVS}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{QV1}(s) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & G_{QVN}(s) \end{bmatrix}.$$
In order to calculate the influence from network and each PMSG except PMSG-\(k\), respectively, the derivation is shown as follows. By combining Eq 23, 24, we obtain Eqs 30, 31.

\[
F(s) = A_{R,k,GQV}(s)(I - A_{R,k,GQV}(s))^{-1} = \left[ f_1(s) \cdots f_{k-1}(s) \ f_{k+1}(s) \cdots f_N(s) \right].
\]

(30)

Hence,

\[
H_{k}(s) = A_{v,k}(s) + \sum_{i=k}^{N} f_i(s)A_k(s),
\]

where \(f_i(s)A_{v,k}(s)\) refers to the effect coefficient of PMSG-\(i\) and \(A_{v,k}(s)\) is the effect coefficient of the network.

Thus, the dynamic voltage stability index, i.e., the influence from network and each PMSG except PMSG-\(k\), respectively, can be obtained as Eqs 32–33.

\[
H_{k,net} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \text{Im}[\lambda_k],
\]

(32)

\[
H_{k,PMG} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \text{Im}[\lambda_k].
\]

(33)

where \(H_{k,net}\) refers to the influence from the network on the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-\(k\) and \(H_{k,PMG}\) is the influence from PMSG-\(i\). The symbol \(\text{Im} [\ ]\) means the imaginary part of the variable.

4 Example of the test system

The formation of the example of the test system with PMSGs is illustrated in Figure 7. There are four SGs and five PMSGs in the power system. The model of the PMSG provided by Li et al. (2012) is adopted, and the values of the parameters of PMSGs are adjusted differently according to the parameters of SGs and loads.

4.1 Study case 1—calculation of the dynamic voltage stability index

In this study case, the oscillation loop of the PMSG-1 is chosen for the calculation of the dynamic index of the rest of the PMSGs. The equation of the chosen voltage oscillation loop of the PMSG-1 is obtained from Eq. 25.

\[
s^2 + D_1s + K_1 = s^2 + 173.33s + 1841.6 = 0.
\]

(34)

Thus, the following estimation of voltage oscillation mode \(\lambda_1\) can be obtained by solving Eq. 34. The frequency of the voltage oscillation is 16.62 Hz.

\[
\lambda_1 = -86.67 - j104.43 \text{ (rad./s)}.
\]

By combining the estimation of the oscillation mode and Eq. 33, the dynamic index from each of the rest of the PMSGs to the chosen voltage oscillation loop could be calculated, and the computational results are shown in Table 1. From Table 1, it is evident that PMSG-2, PMSG-3, and PMSG-4 provide the positive index, and PMSG-3 provides the maximum positive index, but PMSG-5 provides the negative index.

To validate the effectiveness of the calculation of the dynamic index, each of the rest of the PMSGs is shed subsequently. The results of the non-linear simulation carried out are shown in Figures 8, 9. At \(t = 1\) s, the reactive power of PMSG-1 decreases by 0.1 p.u. for 0.2 s. Figures 8, 9 show dynamic responses of reactive power and voltage of PMSG-1 with different PMSGs shed, respectively. The system tends to be unstable after a small disturbance without PMSGs shed. From the calculated voltage stability index in Table 1, the index related to PMSG-5 is negative which implies the troublemaker of the oscillation. Hence, the dynamic index of different PMSGs can be calculated, and the source of voltage oscillation can be identified using the dynamic index.

4.2 Study case 2—impact of reactance of the filtering reactor

PMSG-\(k\) is connected to the power system via the transmission line, which is represented by the filtering reactor \(X_{fl}\). In study case 1, \(X_{fl}\) is 0.32. In order to evaluate the impact of the reactance of the filtering reactor on the dynamic index, \(X_{fl}\) is changed from 0.32 to 0.18 in study case 2. Figure 10 shows the variation curve of the dynamic index of PMSG-5. It can be seen that decreasing \(X_{fl}\) can reduce the amplitude of the negative index supplied by PMSG-5.

Then, the computational results of the dynamic index are shown in Table 2 after \(X_{fl}\) is changed to 0.18. Comparing Tables 1, 2, the dynamic index from PMSG-2, PMSG-3, and PMSG-4 is almost constant, but the negative index supplied by PMSG-5 is reduced.

In order to study the impact of the decreasing negative index, which is caused by the decreasing reactance to the voltage oscillation loop of PMSG-1, a non-linear simulation is carried out, and the dynamic responses of reactive power and voltage of PMSG-1 under different conditions of filtering reactors are shown in Figure 11.

Furthermore, the control parameters of PMSG have been appropriately adjusted, \(K_{p,i}\) is changed to 1 and \(K_{i,i}\) is changed to 200. Then, the computational results of the dynamic index are shown in Table 3; it can also be seen that the negative index supplied by PMSG-5 is decreased when \(X_{fl}\) is changed from 0.305 to 0.18. The results of the non-linear simulation carried out are shown in Figure 12; at \(t = 1\) s, the reactive power of PMSG-1 decreases by 0.1 p.u. for 0.2 s. The system tends to be more stable after a small disturbance when \(X_{fl}\) is 0.18.

It is evident that decreasing the reactance of the line that connects the source of oscillation to the external grid could reduce the risk of voltage oscillations. The results in Figures 10–12 confirm the correctness of the calculation of the dynamic index.

4.3 Study case 3—impact of parameters of the GSC vector control

From the derivation of the voltage oscillation loop shown in Section 2, the parameters \(K_{p,i}\) and \(K_{i,i}\) (parameters of part 3 in Figure 4) of the selected PMSG may have an influence on the voltage
oscillation loop of the selected PMSGs. The parameters of PMSG-1 in case study 1 are as follows: \( K_p \neq 0.1 \) and \( K_i = 250 \).

To examine the influence of the parameters \( K_p \neq 0.3 \) and \( K_i \) on the dynamic index, \( K_p \) is changed from 0.1 to 0.35 and \( K_i \) is changed from 250 to 200 in case study 3. Figure 13 shows the variation curve of the dynamic index of PMSG-5 when the parameters are changing. There are 12 variation curves in Figure 13, the first six curves represent the variation of the dynamic index of PMSG-5 when \( K_p \) is constant and \( K_i \) is increasing, while the last six curves represent the variation of the dynamic index when \( K_p \) is constant and \( K_i \) is increasing under different conditions of \( X_f \).

From Figure 13, it can be seen that the risk of voltage oscillations can be reduced by decreasing \( K_p \) and increasing \( K_i \). Furthermore, adjusting the parameter \( K_p \) and \( K_i \) could be more flexible and effective at reducing the negative dynamic index rather than decreasing \( X_f \).

Table 4 shows the computational results of the dynamic index in eight cases with different conditions of \( K_p, K_i, X_f \), and \( X_f \), where \( H_2, H_3, H_4, \) and \( H_5 \) refer to the dynamic index of PMSG-2–PMSG-5, respectively. From Table 3, only PMSG-5 provides the negative index in cases 1–6 and cases 9–12, and the negative index is supplied by PMSG-4 in cases 7 and 8.

To validate the correctness of the calculation of the dynamic index, a non-linear simulation is carried out. Figures 14A–K shows the dynamic responses of PMSG-1 under different cases presented by Table 4, including the dynamic responses of reactive power and voltage. The risk of oscillation increases when the negative index supplied by the source of oscillation (i.e., PMSG-5) increases in cases 1–6 and cases 9–12. Moreover, the source of oscillation is PMSG-4 in cases 7 and 8, and the system tends to be unstable, obviously after disturbance in case 8, which has a larger negative index. Hence, the correctness of the calculation is verified, and the dynamic voltage stability index can be applied under different conditions.

5 Conclusion

This paper presents a dynamic voltage stability index based on the voltage oscillation loop for a power system with multi-PMSGs, and the correctness of the index is confirmed by the test system. The primary contributions are listed as follows:

1. The voltage oscillation loop existing in the PMSGs is derived through merging the dynamic model of PMSGs. Furthermore, the Q–V sensitivity matrix is used as feedback to connect all the PMSGs, and then the model applied to study voltage stability is obtained.
2. The dynamic interaction on voltage stability for the power system integrated with multi-PMSGs could be quantified by applying the dynamic index proposed in this paper. The influence of the rest of each PMSG on the voltage oscillation loop of the selected PMSG could be calculated, and the source of voltage oscillation that provides the maximum negative index could be identified.
3. The proposed dynamic voltage stability index is able to be applied to direct the control parameters adjustment of selected PMSG to ensure that voltage stability is maintained under different conditions.

The main drawback of this strategy is that it is based on the model of wind power grid-connected systems to quantitatively analyze the dynamic voltage stability among PMSGs. This method is more suitable for offline analysis of the system and makes it difficult to conduct real-time online quantitative analysis of the voltage characteristics of wind power grid-connected systems. Therefore, the authors will conduct research on online quantitative analysis of the dynamic voltage stability of the wind power grid-connected system based on measurement data in the next step.
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