



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Michele Moretti,  
University of Pisa, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Aditya R. Khanal  
✉ akhanal1@tnstate.edu

RECEIVED 01 April 2025  
ACCEPTED 22 April 2025  
PUBLISHED 05 May 2025

CITATION  
Dhungana P and Khanal AR (2025)  
Corrigendum: Spending on farms ripples into  
the region: agritourism impacts.  
*Front. Environ. Econ.* 4:1604393.  
doi: 10.3389/frevc.2025.1604393

COPYRIGHT  
© 2025 Dhungana and Khanal. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Corrigendum: Spending on farms ripples into the region: agritourism impacts

Purushottam Dhungana and Aditya R. Khanal\*

Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN, United States

## KEYWORDS

agritourism, small farms, alternative enterprises, economic impact, multiplier effect, regional economies

## A Corrigendum on Spending on farms ripples into the region: agritourism impacts

by Dhungana, P., and Khanal, A. R. (2023). *Front. Environ. Econ.* 2:1219245.  
doi: 10.3389/frevc.2023.1219245

In the published article, there was an error in the **Table 2 caption** text as published. The corrected Table 2 caption text appears below.

“Table 2. IMPLAN sectors, total visitor spending calculations using Equation 8, and allotted to each sector category by the assumed percentages.”

In the published article, there were errors in the following sections of the article: **Abstract**, and **Conclusion**.

A correction has been made to the **Abstract**, *Result and Discussion*. The sentence previously stated:

“We found that an estimated \$65 million from visitors’ direct spending in agritourism farms contributes to the total industry output of \$118.54 million in Tennessee.”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“Our results, based on calculated visitor numbers and spendings assumed and the use of IMPLAN models, show that agritourism and related farm contributions could have total outputs of \$118.54 million in Tennessee.”

A correction has been made to the **Conclusion**, third paragraph. The sentence previously stated:

“Our estimation suggests that total direct visitor spending in Tennessee agritourism farms is around \$65 million. This \$65 million generates a total industry outputs of \$118.54 million,…”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“Our estimation based on calculations of visitor numbers and spendings assumed and the use of IMPLAN models show the total industry output of 118.54 million,…”

In the **Conclusion**, final paragraph, a sentence should be added, so that the text reads:

“Finally, we acknowledge some limitations and suggestions for future studies. Our estimations on visitor numbers and their average spending are calculations based on the formula we presented in projecting numbers with assumptions, may have limitations due to small sample biases or overestimations. A few limitations that are inherent in IMPLAN’s industry- and sector-level classification data and modeling system are beyond the scope of this study.”

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the overall scientific conclusions of the article. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.