

# Modeling and simulation of multi-scale environmental systems with Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets

#### Mostafa Herajy<sup>1\*</sup> and Monika Heiner<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said, Egypt, <sup>2</sup> Computer Science Institute, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus-Senftenberg, Cottbus, Germany

Predicting and studying the dynamics and properties of environmental systems necessitates the construction and simulation of mathematical models entailing different levels of complexities. Such type of computational experiments often require the combination of discrete and continuous variables as well as processes operating at different time scales. Furthermore, the iterative steps of constructing and analyzing environmental models might involve researchers with different background. Hybrid Petri nets may contribute in overcoming such challenges as they facilitate the implementation of systems integrating discrete and continuous dynamics. Additionally, the visual depiction of model components will inevitably help to bridge the gap between scientists with distinct expertise working on the same problem. Thus, modeling environmental systems with hybrid Petri nets enables the construction of complex processes while keeping the models comprehensible for researchers working on the same project with significantly divergent educational background. In this paper we propose the utilization of a special class of hybrid Petri nets, Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets ( $\mathcal{GHPN}$ ), to model and simulate environmental systems exposing processes interacting at different time-scales.  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  integrate stochastic and deterministic semantics as well as some other types of special basic events. To this end, we present a case study illustrating the use of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ in constructing and simulating multi-timescale environmental scenarios.

Keywords: modeling and simulation, Hybrid Petri Nets, multi-scale environmental systems, Chagas disease, Triatoma infestans

# Introduction

The process of constructing and analyzing environmental systems is increasingly becoming a complex procedure (Seppelt et al., 2009; Uusitalo et al., 2015). On the one hand, it can require the amalgamation of different simulation techniques to accurately and efficiently find a solution to the problem under consideration (see e.g., Gillet, 2008; Gregorio et al., 1999). On the other hand, complex environmental systems require the collection and analysis of various data and information that cannot be tackled by researchers coming from just one area of expertise (Seppelt et al., 2009).

While the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) approach is widely used to construct and simulate many problems in the environmental domain, certain classes of such problems cannot be adequately addressed using this approach alone. For instance in Khoury et al. (2013) construct a simple, but elegant ODEs model to study food and population dynamics in honey bee colonies. However, such a continuous approach cannot capture the effect of seasonal variations

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Christian E. Vincenot, Kyoto University, Japan

# Reviewed by:

Guennady Ougolnitsky, Southern Federal University, Russia Luis Gomez, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

#### \*Correspondence:

Mostafa Herajy, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, 23 December St., Port Said 42521, Egypt mherajy@sci.psu.edu.eg

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Environmental Informatics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Environmental Science

> **Received:** 08 May 2015 **Accepted:** 13 July 2015 **Published:** 28 July 2015

#### Citation:

Herajy M and Heiner M (2015) Modeling and simulation of multi-scale environmental systems with Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets. Front. Environ. Sci. 3:53. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2015.00053

1

on many parameters. Contrary, in Schmickl and Crailsheim (2007) and Russell et al. (2013) a discrete simulation of recurrence and difference equations has been deployed to emulate the discrete changes in bee population taking into account seasonal variations. Nevertheless, certain scenarios necessitate the interplay of different simulation strategies to efficiently and accurately simulate a given problem. For example, the ODE approach can be used to efficiently execute model components with fast dynamics where elucidated discrete simulation does not affect the result, while stochastic simulation has to be used to discretely model components whose individual and random occurrence plays a key role for the overall result. Such type of models possess more than one time scale. Therefore, it requires hybrid simulation to successfully reproduce their dynamics. This results in two or more simulation regimes which have to work simultaneously to solve a given problem. However, these regimes are not isolated, instead, they closely interact and influence the dynamics of each other (Herajy and Heiner, 2012).

Furthermore, with the increasing demand for interdisciplinary science, modeling complex environmental systems may involve researchers with different scientific and educational background. For instance, researchers from ecosystems, mathematics, and computer science may collaborate in constructing and analyzing a computational experiment. Nonetheless, maintaining the communication in such interdisciplinary teams is one of the key issues in constructing environmental models (Seppelt et al., 2009). Thus, a visual language may be of help to accelerate the communication between team members with diverse professional background. As an example, consider the problem of water resource management. Numerical modeling and simulation play a remarkable role in predicting future water demand as well as managing water quality (Qi and Chang, 2011; Liu et al., 2015). However, the procedure of constructing a realistic and accurate model for this purpose mandates that a team of experts coming from different fields (e.g., environmental science, mathematics, geography, hydrological modeling, and computer science) collaborate closely together.

One of those modeling tools that can contribute in overcoming these challenges are Petri nets. Petri nets (Murata, 1989) are a visual modeling language highly suitable to model concurrent, asynchronous and distributed systems. In addition to their graphical representation, Petri nets enjoy a well established mathematical theory to analyze the constructed model. However, the basic place/transition nets are not very helpful in constructing and executing quantitative models exposing certain level of complexities. Therefore, many extensions have been proposed over the years to overcome these limitations. For instance, continuous Petri nets (Alla and David, 1998) can be used as an alternative technique which exactly corresponds to the ODEs approach (Gilbert and Heiner, 2006; Soliman and Heiner, 2010). Similarly, stochastic Petri nets (Ajmone et al., 1995) provide a graphical tool to permit the stochastic exploration of a constructed model. Nowadays, a variety of Petri nets with different extensions have been used to model various technical and biological systems (e.g., see Reddy et al., 1993; Matsuno et al., 2003; Fujita et al., 2004; Herajy et al., 2013).

Hybrid Petri Nets ( $\mathcal{HPN}$ ) (David and Alla, 2010) are another interesting class of Petri nets. HPN permit the integration of discrete and continuous variables (places) in addition to discrete and continuous processes (transitions) into one model. In a typical scenario, discrete places serve as signals that control the firing of continuous transitions. HPN allow the efficient simulation of systems which entail large number of states by approximating them via continuous simulation, while discrete events can be pertained using discrete transitions. In Matsuno et al. (2003), HPN are adapted to provide a very specific approach dedicated to the simulation of biological systems. In general, hybrid modeling using  $\mathcal{HPN}$  is a promising technique since it permits the simulation of more complex systems (see e.g., Tian et al., 2013). Furthermore, models with interacting components working at different scales can be easily executed via HPN. Nevertheless, so far, little attention has been paid to the employment of this approach in the context of modeling environmental systems.

In this paper, we focus on a particular class of hybrid Petri nets, Generalized Hybrid Petri nets ( $\mathcal{GHPN}$ ) (Herajy and Heiner, 2012), as a promising tool for model-based exploration of environmental systems. GHPN provide various transitions, arcs, and places, which together have the power to substantially facilitate the modeling of different processes in the environmental science. One important aspect of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  is their ability to simulate systems that expose different time scales: fast and slow. The former time scale is continuously simulated, while the latter one is stochastically and individually executed. Furthermore, the interaction between continuous and stochastic dynamics is appropriately captured. We illustrate the use of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ in modeling environmental systems via a case study, the Chagas disease infection cycle. All the discussed features in this paper are implemented in a general platform-independent Petri net editing tool called Snoopy (Heiner et al., 2012) which can be downloaded free of charge for academic use from Snoopy (2015).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: after this introduction, the different aspects of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  are discussed by presenting a formal definition as well as the different modeling elements of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ . Afterwards, the main steps involved in the simulation of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  are briefly summarized. In the Result section, we provide a case study to illustrate the use of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  for modeling environmental systems, namely the simulation of infection transmission of Chagas disease. This example explains the motivation behind most of the  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  modeling components. Finally, we conclude with a few remarks concerning the utilization of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  to implement the simulation of multiscale environmental models.

# Methods

In this section we provide an overview of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  including the formal definition as well as their different modeling elements. We concentrate in this part on the use of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  for the modeling of environmental systems. Thus, the semantics of places, transitions and arcs are discussed according to this context.

#### Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets Elements

As a Petri net class, the specification of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  involves defining the three main components, namely: places, transitions, and arcs. **Figure 1** illustrates the different entities that can be found in a typical  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  model. In the sequel, we briefly discuss the semantics and usage of each constituent.

#### Places

Places correspond to the model variables. They are further classified into discrete and continuous. On the one hand, discrete places are drawn as single line circles. They are used to represent discrete variables (e.g., the number of trees in a forest, the number of eggs laid by a bee, or a species population). Discrete places can hold nonnegative integer numbers called tokens. On the other hand, continuous places are drawn with shaded line circles and are used to depict continuous variables (e.g., the amount of water in a lake, the concentration of contaminated water, or the number of infected individuals in an epidemic model). Therefore, they can hold nonnegative real values. In certain modeling scenarios, continuous places serve as an approximation of discrete places where the numbers of tokens reach large values. The value assigned to a place is called *place marking*. In  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  models, the system state is described at any time point during the simulation as the union of discrete and continuous place marking.

#### Transitions

Transitions correspond to the basic events. GHPN employ five transition types for convenient modeling of different types of systems: stochastic, immediate, deterministically time delayed,

scheduled, and continuous transitions. The first four transition types are discrete ones. However, they differ from each other by the time delay assigned to them.

Stochastic transitions fire at discrete time steps, however, after random time delays. These random delays are exponentially distributed. Stochastic transitions can represent events that take place at random time steps. During execution, the simulator calculates the time at which the next event will occur, and subsequently it decides the event type (which transition to fire). Theoretically, an effective conflict (David and Alla, 2010) between two stochastic transitions is not possible in a such random firing scheme. Immediate transitions are also fired in a discrete manner, but with zero delays. They fire directly as soon as they are enabled. Similarly, deterministically time delayed transitions are fired after a deterministic time delay. The delay of this transition type could be set to zero. Nevertheless, when an immediate transition and a deterministically delayed transition are concurrently enabled, the immediate one will have higher priority to fire first. Moreover, scheduled transitions are a special type of deterministically time delayed transitions which fire at certain time point(s) previously programmed by the user.

In contrast, continuous transitions fire continuously with respect to time. The firing speeds of continuous transitions are specified by their rates. Besides, the semantics of continuous transitions is represented by a set of ODEs that account for inand outflow of each place.

#### Arcs

Arcs model the relation between the model variables and the basic events. Arcs connect places with transitions and maybe vice versa



depending on their type. There are six type of arcs in  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ : standard, read, inhibitor, equal, reset, and modifier arcs.

Standard arcs connect places with transitions and vice versa. They control the enabling of the target transition as well as affecting the preplaces (postplaces) when the target (source) transition fires. Standard arcs can be discrete or continuous. Discrete arcs adopt positive integer values as arc weights, while continuous arcs use positive rational numbers as arc weights. The rules that determine the type of arc weights are illustrated in **Figure 2**.

In contrast, read arcs affect only the enabling of the target transition. A transition connected with a preplace via a read arc is enabled only (with respect to this preplace), if the marking of this preplace is greater than or equal to the corresponding arc weight. Similarly, inhibitor arcs govern the enabling of transitions. However, a transition connected with a preplace using an inhibitor arc is enabled only if the current marking of the preplace is less than the arc weight. Equal arcs enforce more stronger conditions on the enabling of transitions. A transition connected with a preplace via an equal arc is enabled only if the current marking of the preplace is exactly equal to the arc weight.

The other two remaining arcs do not influence the enabling of the connected transitions. For example, reset arcs set the value of the preplace marking to zero when the corresponding transition fires. They are useful to implement certain model semantics. Similarly, modifier arcs do not affect the enabling nor the firing of a transition. They facilitate the use of a preplace in defining a transition rate function while preserving the structure-related constraints of the transitions' rate functions.

#### Marking-dependent Arc Weights

 ${\cal GHPN}$  permit arc weights to be specified as an algebraic expression involving place names rather than just a constant. This feature is called marking-dependent arc weights (Valk, 1978; Matsuno et al., 2003; Herajy et al., 2013). Implementing certain model semantics without the help of marking-dependent arc weights may become intricate and even impossible in certain circumstances.

For instance, consider the following model expression that requires to be implemented using Petri nets:

```
IF x>=y AND y<5 THEN
z:=x+y
END IF
```

When x, y, and z are continuous variables, it is impossible to represent the above semantics using just arcs with constant weights. However, using marking-dependent arc weights this can be easily modeled as it is depicted in **Figure 3**.

As another interesting example of the usefulness of markingdependent arcs consider the transformation of the whole population from one age category to another one after an elapsed period of time. For example in Xiang et al. (2013), new-born snails are considered as old snails at the beginning of the year. This process can be intuitively modeled using marking-dependent arc weights where the outgoing and the ingoing arc weights equal the value of the preplace.







Obviously, marking-dependent arc weights extend  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  and permit the modeling of a larger class of environmental systems that require the corresponding semantics.

#### **Formal Definition**

In this section we formally define the syntax of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ . The formal semantics including the enabling and firing rules as well as the conflict resolution are given in Herajy and Heiner (2012).

Definition 1 (Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets). Generalized Hybrid Petri Nets are a 6-tuple  $\mathcal{GHPN} = [P, T, A, F, V, m_0]$ , where P, T are finite, non-empty and disjoint sets. P is the set of places, and T is the set of transitions with:

- $P = P_{disc} \cup P_{cont}$  whereby  $P_{disc}$  is the set of discrete places to which non-negative integer values are assigned, and  $P_{cont}$  is the set of continuous places to which non-negative real values are assigned.
- $T = T_D \cup T_{cont}$ ,
  - $T_D = T_{stoch} \cup T_{im} \cup T_{timed} \cup T_{scheduled}$  with:
  - 1. *T*<sub>stoch</sub> is the set of stochastic transitions, which fire randomly after exponentially distributed waiting time.
  - 2.  $T_{im}$  is the set of immediate transitions, which fire with waiting time zero; they have highest priority among all transitions.
  - 3. *T*<sub>timed</sub> is the set of deterministically delayed transitions, which fire after a deterministic time delay.
  - 4. *T*<sub>scheduled</sub> is the set of scheduled transitions, which fire at predefined time points.
  - 5. *T<sub>cont</sub>* is the set of continuous transitions, which fire continuously over time.
- $A = A_{disc} \cup A_{cont} \cup A_{inhibit} \cup A_{read} \cup A_{equal} \cup A_{reset} \cup A_{modifier}$ is the set of directed arcs, with:
  - 1.  $A_{disc} \subseteq ((P \times T) \cup (T \times P))$  defines the set of discrete arcs.
  - 2.  $A_{cont} \subseteq ((P_{cont} \times T) \cup (T \times P_{cont}))$  defines the set of continuous arcs.
  - *3.*  $A_{read} \subseteq (P \times T)$  defines the set of read arcs.
  - 4.  $A_{inhibit} \subseteq (P \times T)$  defines the set of inhibits arcs.
  - 5.  $A_{equal} \subseteq (P_{disc} \times T)$  defines the set of equal arcs.
  - 6.  $A_{reset} \subseteq (P \times T_D)$  defines the set of reset arcs,
  - 7.  $A_{modifier} \subseteq (P \times T)$  defines the set of modifier arcs.
- the function F

$$F: \begin{cases} A_{cont} \rightarrow D_q, \\ A_{disc} \rightarrow D_n, \\ A_{read} \rightarrow D_q, \\ A_{inhibit} \rightarrow D_q, \\ A_{equal} \rightarrow D_n, \\ A_{reset} \rightarrow \{1\}, \\ A_{modifier} \rightarrow \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

assigns a marking-dependent function to each arc, where  $D_n$  and  $D_q$  are sets of functions defined as follows:

$$D_n = \{d_n | d_n : \mathbb{N}_0^{|^{\bullet} t_j|} \to \mathbb{N}, t_j \in T\},\$$

$$D_q = \{d_q | d_q : \mathbb{R}_0^{|^{\bullet} t_j|} \to \mathbb{Q}^+, t_j \in T\}.$$

- *V* is a set of functions  $V = \{g, d, w, f\}$  where :
  - 1.  $g: T_{stoch} \rightarrow H_s$  is a function which assigns a stochastic hazard function  $h_{s_t}$  to each transition  $t_j \in T_{stoch}$ , whereby  $H_s = \{h_{s_t} | h_{s_t} : \mathbb{R}_0^{|^{\bullet}t_j|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+, t_j \in T_{stoch}\}$  is the set of all stochastic hazard functions, and  $g(t_j) = h_{s_t}, \forall t_j \in T_{stoch}$ .
  - 2.  $w: T_{im} \to H_w$  is a function which assigns a weight function  $h_w$  to each immediate transition  $t_j \in T_{im}$ , such that  $H_w = \{h_{w_t}|h_{w_t}: \mathbb{R}_0^{|^{\bullet}t_j|} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+, t_j \in T_{im}\}$  is the set of all weight functions, and  $w(t_j) = h_{w_t}, \forall t_j \in T_{im}$ .
  - 3.  $d : T_{timed} \cup T_{scheduled} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , is a function which assigns a constant time to each deterministically delayed and scheduled transition representing the (relative or absolute) waiting time.
  - 4.  $f:T_{cont} \to H_c$  is a function which assigns a rate function  $h_c$  to each continuous transition  $t_j \in T_{cont}$ , such that  $H_c = \{h_{c_t} | h_{c_t} : \mathbb{R}_0^{|^{\bullet}t_j|} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+, t_j \in T_{cont}\}$  is the set of all rates functions and  $f(t_j) = h_{c_t}, \forall t_j \in T_{cont}.$
- $m_0 = m_{disc} \cup m_{cont}$  is the initial marking for both the continuous and discrete places, whereby  $m_{cont} \in \mathbb{R}_0^{|P_{cont}|}, m_{disc} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{|P_{disc}|}$ .

Here,  $\mathbb{N}_0$  denotes the set of non-negative integer numbers,  $\mathbb{R}_0$  denotes the set of non-negative real numbers,  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  denotes the set of positive rational numbers, and  $\bullet t_j$  denotes the set of pre-places of a transition  $t_j$ .

A distinguishing feature of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  compared with other hybrid Petri net classes is its support of the full interplay between stochastic and continuous transitions. Such interplay is implemented by updating and monitoring the rates of stochastic transitions The crucial point for our paper is how stochastic transitions are simulated when mixed with continuous ones. So the next section focuses in particular on the simulation of stochastic transitions, while numerically solving the set of ODEs induced by the continuous transitions (for more details see Herajy and Heiner, 2012). By this way, accurate results are obtained during simulation.

#### Simulation

The simulation of a  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  model has to take into account the different types of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  transitions. Although it is easy to simulate individual transition types when they are isolated, it becomes more challenging to simulate a model combining discrete and continuous transitions. Thus, the most important aspect is how discrete and continuous transitions are interleaved during the simulation, particularly, stochastic and continuous ones.

Continuous transitions are fired continuously. Thus, they necessitate the simultaneous (numerical) solution of a system of ODEs representing the continuous part of a  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  model. From this perspective, the simulation of discrete transitions are considered as events which are triggered whenever a discrete transition is enabled and needs to be fired. Therefore, we have different events corresponding to each transition type. When an event occurs, a dispatcher is called to handle the

appropriate actions. The corresponding system of ODEs is generated using (1).

$$\frac{dm(p_i)}{d\tau} = \sum_{t_j \in \bullet p_i} F(t_j, p_i) \cdot v_j(\tau) \cdot read(u, m(p_i)) \cdot inhibit(u, m(p_i)) - \sum_{t_i \in p_i \bullet} F(p_i, t_j) \cdot v_j(\tau) \cdot read(u, m(p_i)) \cdot inhibit(u, m(p_i))$$
(1)

where  $m(p_i)$  represents the marking of the place  $p_i$ ,  $v_j(\tau) = f_j$  is the marking-dependent rate function of the continuous transition  $t_j$ , and the functions  $read(u, p_i)$ ,  $inhibit(u, p_i)$ , which consider the effects of read and inhibitor arcs, respectively, are defined as follows:

For a given transition  $t_i \in T^C$ ,

$$read(u, m(p_i)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m(p_i) \ge u \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

with  $u = F(p_i, t_j) \land (p_i, t_j) \in A_{read}$ , and

$$inhibit(u, m(p_i)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m(p_i) < u \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

with  $u = F(p_i, t_j) \land (p_i, t_j) \in A_{inhibit}$ .

Furthermore, two issues are of paramount importance concerning this simulation procedure: how an event is detected during the numerical solution of the set of ODEs and how we know that a stochastic transition is enabled and needs to be fired.

Concerning the former issue, a special type of ODE solver should be used that supports a root finding feature (Mao and Petzold, 2002). The occurrence of enabling conditions of discrete transitions are then formulated as a root that can be detected by the ODE solver. As soon as a root is encountered by the ODE solver, the control is transferred to the discrete regime to fire the enabled transition(s). Afterwards, the ODE solver continues the integration using the new system state.

Moreover, stochastic transitions are considered as a special type of discrete events called stochastic events. Stochastic events are detected by introducing a new ODE, described by Equation (2), to the set of ODEs.

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_t^{t+\tau} a_0^s(\mathbf{x}) dt - \xi = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\xi$  is a random number exponentially distributed with a unit mean, and  $a_0^s(\mathbf{x})$  is the cumulative (the sum) rate of all stochastic transitions.

The newly added ODE monitors the difference between the summation of all the rates of the stochastic transitions and a small, exponentially distributed random number. When Equation (2) equals zero, the continuous simulation is interrupted to call the dispatcher to fire the enabled stochastic transition. Afterwards, the simulation is resumed as previously discussed.

#### Results

In this section we apply  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  to model and simulate a case study from the environmental domain.  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  can be used for models which are completely deterministic, completely stochastic, or a combination of them. The chosen example illustrates the use of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  to represent and simulate the dynamics of environmental and ecological systems. We show how stochastic and continuous transitions are used to provide the interplay between a discrete regime representing the environment fluctuations and a deterministic one representing the simulation of large populations. Additionally, deterministically time delayed transitions and immediate transitions proved to be useful in modeling real-life examples.

# Modeling the Transmission of Chagas Disease Infection

#### Background

The Chagas disease has been a major public health concern in Latin America for some decades (Nouvellet et al., 2015). The transmission of Chagas infection among humans involves complex ecological and epidemiological interacting processes (Cohen and Gürtler, 2001; Nouvellet et al., 2015). The Chagas disease is caused by the protozoan Trypanosoma Cruzi (T. Cruzi for short). The main insect vector responsible for the transmission of T. Cruzi is a bug known as Triatoma infestans (Cohen and Gürtler, 2001; Castañera et al., 2003). A vector is an insect that transmits a disease, while the disease transmitted via such an insect is referred to as a vector-borne disease. Vectors are living organisms that can transmit infectious diseases between humans or from animals to humans. Many of these vectors are bloodsucking insects. Within a household, Chagas disease is mainly transmitted to humans via the bitting by infected bugs (Castañera et al., 2003). Bugs acquire infections by the feeding on infected mammals (humans or dogs) (Cohen and Gürtler, 2001). Chickens are another feeding source for Triatoma infestans. However, blood meals taken from chickens do not transmit the infection to bugs. Therefore, chickens can serve as an alternative feeding source to Triatoma infestans such that bitting rates of vectors to humans and infected dogs are minimized (Cohen and Gürtler, 2001). Nevertheless, the four species involved in the Chagas disease cycle are: humans, dogs, chickens, and infected vectors. Besides, the population of Triatoma infestans is oscillating seasonally with the highest population of vectors recorded in warm seasons (spring and summer) (Cohen and Gürtler, 2001).

Mathematical modeling of the transmission of the Chagas disease is an important tool to understand the biological and ecological factors influencing the spread of infections among humans and household animals. To this end, many mathematical models have been constructed (see e.g., Cohen and Gürtler, 2001; Castañera et al., 2003; Coffield et al., 2013; Nouvellet et al., 2015). However, all of these models utilize solely either the deterministic or the stochastic approach. For the former modeling paradigm, authors argue that the population size of the interacting species is large enough so that the ODE approach can be deployed to study the model dynamics (Coffield et al., 2013). In contrast, the latter models assume that the population size of interacting species is relatively small. Thus, stochastic simulation will be more accurate (Castañera et al., 2003). For instance, in Cohen and Gürtler (2001) the number of humans of a household consists of just five persons divided into different age categories. Each category contains only one human. Nevertheless, modeling the transmission of the Chagas disease can encompass variables interacting at different time scales. For instance, vertebrate species (humans, dogs, and chickens) can be found in scales of tens or hundreds at the very most, because the majority of realistic models operate on the level of small villages. In contrast, vector population is abundant and exists at the scale of thousands. Thus, hybrid modeling is a desirable approach worth being investigated to gain deeper understanding of the Chagas disease transmission.

#### Model Specification

In this section we use  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  to model the transmission of Chagas infection between humans, dogs, and vectors. Our  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  model is based on the deterministic one by Coffield et al. (2013) as it accounts for the high-level transmission of infections without considering the detailed stages of nymph bugs.

Coffield et al. (2013) simulated the evolution of the total population of vectors, humans, and dogs involved in the transmission of Chagas disease. The chicken population is considered to be constant. Their population change is not taken into account since they do not acquire infection. **Figure 4** provides a  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  representation of the Chagas transmission cycle. To simplify the discussion, we divide the human population into two groups: infected (denoted by the place  $H_i$ ), and susceptible (denoted by the place  $H_s$ ). Similarly, we divide



**FIGURE 4** | *GHPN* model of Chagas disease transmission. Continuous and discrete places are used to model the population of vectors and mammals, while continuous transitions are adopted to represent the processes operating on the model species. The simulation time is monitored by the discrete place *time* which is increased by one time step (one day) when the deterministically delayed transition *increase\_days* fires. Stochastic and continuous transitions describe

physical processes that operate on the model species. Places given in gray are logical places which help to simplify the connections between model components. Please note the use of modifier arcs to include non-preplaces into the transition rate functions. Modifier arcs make this kind of dependency explicit. Moreover, arc weights and initial markings specified by constants make the model easy to configure using different constant values.

the population of dogs into infected dogs  $(D_i)$ , and susceptible ones  $(D_s)$ . In contrast, we consider the total population of vectors (V) and the infected ones  $(V_i)$  to minimize the connection among the model components. We adopt the concept of logical places (places represented in gray colors) to keep the connection between model components intelligible. Moreover, the current simulation time (in days) is represented by the discrete place: *time*. The deterministically time delayed transition *increase\_days* increases the simulation time by a one-day step. The value of the place *time* is reset after a duration of 365 days. Read and reset arcs as well as the immediate transition *reset\_days* implement the reset semantics of the current year as it can be seen in **Figure 4**. Furthermore, continuous and stochastic transitions model the dynamics of the different processes involved in the Chagas disease infection cycle.

In the sequel we elucidate the definition of each transition rate. Moreover, we discuss our motivation of modeling certain processes as stochastic transitions and others as continuous ones by showing the effect of the random firing of stochastic transitions on the overall dynamic results. Similar to Coffield et al. (2013), we consider the total population of humans, dogs, and chickens as being constant during the whole simulation period. The total human population is considered to be roughly constant as the sum of the number of infected humans and the number of susceptible humans does basically not change, if we assume equal rates for birth and death. Likewise, the dog population is also considered to be constant. However, the number of chickens are not divided into infected and susceptible, since chickens cannot be infected. More information is provided in the equations below.

First, the growth of the total vector population is defined by Equation (3) (Coffield et al., 2013).

$$d_h \times (V) \times (1 - \frac{V}{K}) \tag{3}$$

Where  $d_h$  is the vector hatching rate, and K specifies the maximum number of bugs that can be supported in a village. Equation (3) is used to define the rate of the transition *born\_V*. The hatching rate coefficient  $d_h$  is defined in terms of the biting rates b, which is varying seasonally (see below). Please note that we assume that the rate at which vectors hatch at time t is equal to the number of eggs laid at time  $t+\tau$ .

Furthermore, vectors undergo two types of death: degradation due to natural death and by the oral consumption by dogs (Coffield et al., 2013). These two processes are represented by the two transitions: *dogs\_consume\_V*, and *V\_die*, respectively. The rates of the transitions *dogs\_consume\_V*, and *V\_die* are defined by Equations (4) and (5), respectively.

$$\left(\frac{E \times V}{V + A}\right) \times D \tag{4}$$

$$\left(\frac{d_m}{2} \times \left(1 - \frac{V}{K}\right) + d_m\right) \times V \tag{5}$$

where *E* is the maximum number of vectors consumed by one dog per day, *A* is the vector number at which dogs consume at the rate E/2 vectors per day, and  $d_m$  is the mortality rate coefficient.

The mortality rate coefficient of infected and uninfected vectors is not constant. Instead, it is changing with respect to time according to the current season (Castañera et al., 2003; Coffield et al., 2013). Figure 5 illustrates the time-dependent mortality rate of Triatoma infestants, while Figure 6 is a Petri net sub model used to reproduce the piecewise function in Figure 5. To model the seasonal variation in mortality rate, we adopt read and inhibitor arcs to define the time period of each piece of the piecewise function. The current value of the mortality rate is represented by the continuous place  $d_m$ . Two constant values,  $dm_initial$ ,  $dm_max$  are used to denote the



FIGURE 5 | The effects of seasonal variability on: (A) the mortality rate coefficient, and (B) the biting rate coefficient. These curves can be modeled as piecewise linear functions (Coffield et al., 2013). They can be produced using the Petri net submodel in Figure 6. The time boundaries where the functions change their

behavior from decreasing to increasing or vice versa is shown in the x-axis. The exact time points where the functions change their behavior is illustrated in the upper axis. Similarly, the start and end values of each piece is shown in the y-axis. The exact values of these parameters are given in the Supplementary Material.



initial and maximum values of dm, respectively. The x-axis of the piecewise function in Figure 5 is divided into three intervals  $[0, dm_t_1], [dm_t_1, dm_t_2], and [dm_t_2, year_days].$  Where year\_days denotes the number of days per year (in our model we consider each year to consist of 365 days). Read arcs are used to specify the interval's lower value, while inhibitor arcs are used to specify the interval's upper values. Afterwards, each continuous transition piece1\_dm, piece2\_dm, and piece3\_dm get assigned the rates,  $(dm_max - dm_initial)/dm_{t_1}$ ,  $dm_max/(dm_{t_2} - dm_initial)/dm_{t_1}$  $dm_t_1$ ), and  $dm_inial/(year_days - dm_t_2)$ , respectively. For the simulation results in this paper we assign the values 0.0003, 0.0017,136, 228, and 365 to  $dm_{initial}$ ,  $dm_{max}$ ,  $dm_{1}$ ,  $dm_{t_2}$ , and year\_days respectively. A similar procedure is applied to capture the seasonal variation in the biting rate, as it can be noted in Figures 5, 6. The complete list of all constant values is provided in the Supplementary Table 1.

Similarly, the infected vector population can grow, naturally die, or be consumed by dogs. The increase of infected bugs is a result of the transmission of T. Cruzi parasites to some of the uninfected vectors. In our model, this process is represented by the transition *Infection\_V<sub>i</sub>* with a firing rate defined by Equation (6).

$$b \times (V - V_i) \times (P_{hv} \times H_i + P_{dv} \times d_f \times D_i)$$
(6)

where  $P_{hv}$  is the human to vector infection probability,  $P_{dv}$  is the dogs to vector infection probability, and  $d_f$  is the human factor of one dog.

Moreover, the natural death of vectors and the loss of vectors due to the consumption by dogs are modeled by the two transitions:  $Vi\_die$  and  $dogs\_consume\_V_i$ , respectively. The rate of  $Vi\_die$  is defined by Equation (7), similar to the death of the total vectors V, while the rate of  $dogs\_consume\_V_i$  is defined by Equation (8).

$$\left(\frac{d_m}{2} \times \left(1 - \frac{V}{K}\right) + d_m\right) \times V_i \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{E \times D \times V_i}{V + A} \tag{8}$$

Now we consider the dynamics of humans and dogs. Susceptible humans  $(H_s)$  can be bitten by vectors and become infected  $(H_i)$ . The infection process is denoted by the transition *Human\_infection*. The firing rate of this transition is given by Equation (9)

$$b \times P_{\nu h} \times H_s \times V_i \tag{9}$$

where  $P_{\nu h}$  is the probability of a susceptible human to be infected. A human infected by Chagas disease unfortunately cannot be recovered in the future. Both susceptible and infected humans can die with rates defined by Equations (10) and (11), respectively.

$$\gamma_{H_s} \times H_s$$
 (10)

$$\gamma_{H_i} \times H_i \tag{11}$$

where  $\gamma_{H_s}$ , and  $\gamma_{H_i}$  are the mortality rates of susceptible and infected humans, respectively. Equations (10) and (11) define the rates of the transitions: *death\_Hs*, and *death\_Hi*, respectively.

Under the assumption that the number of humans are constant during the whole simulation period, the growth rate of susceptible and infected humans can be made equal to their corresponding death rate. However, according to Coffield et al. (2013), infection can be transferred from a mother to her fetus. Thus, we can model the growth of susceptible and infected human using Equations (12) and (13), respectively,

$$(1 - T_{hi}) \times (\gamma_{H_i} \times H_i + \gamma_{H_s} \times H_s)$$
(12)

$$(T_{hi}) \times (\gamma_{H_i} \times H_i + \gamma_{H_s} \times H_s)$$
(13)

where  $T_{ni}$  is the congenital transmission probability for infected humans. Equations (12) and (13) imply that we take  $T_{ni}$ , the total of died humans (infected and susceptible) as new born infected humans, while the remaining  $1 - T_{ni}$  are added to the suspected humans.

Likewise, susceptible dogs can be infected with T. Cruzi parasites. However, an infection is transmitted to dogs either by the bitting by vectors or by the oral consumption of infected vectors by dogs. This process is modeled by the transition *dogs\_infection* in **Figure 4**. The transition rate is given by Equation (14).

$$b \times d_f \times P_{vdb} + \frac{P_{vdc} \times E \times D_s \times V_i}{V + A}$$
(14)

where  $P_{vdb}$  denotes the vector to dog infection probability, and  $P_{vdc}$  the vector to dog infection probability via oral consumption. Obviously, the first term of Equation (14) represents the dogs infection via bug biting while the second term represents dog infection via oral consumption. Similar to humans, dogs (susceptible and infected) may die. The death of susceptible and infected dogs is represented by the transitions *death\_Ds*, and *born\_Di*, respectively. The firing rates of these transitions are given by Equations (15) and (16), respectively.

$$\gamma_{D_s} \times D_s$$
 (15)

$$\gamma_{D_i} \times D_i \tag{16}$$

Similar to humans, and under the assumption that the overall dog population is constant during the whole simulation period, we set the rate of growth equal to the rate of death. However, new born

TABLE 1 | Detailed specification of the main transitions of the model in Figure 4.

dogs can be infected if they are born to an infected mother. Thus, the growth rates of susceptible and infected dogs are defined by Equations (17) and (18), respectively.

$$(1 - T_{di}) \times (\gamma_{Di} \times D_i + \gamma_{D_s} \times D_s)$$
(17)

$$(T_{di}) \times (\gamma_{Di} \times D_i + \gamma_{D_s} \times D_s)$$
(18)

where  $T_{di}$  is the congenital transmission probability for infected dogs. The complete model definition is provided in **Figure 4**, while the meaning and rate function of each transition are summarized in the **Tables 1**, **2**.

#### Model Simulation

The  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  model in **Figure 4** is executed using Snoopy's hybrid simulation engine (Herajy and Heiner, 2012; Heiner et al., 2012) to produce the dynamics of the Chagas disease cycle. An initial simulation of this model using the purely deterministic approach reveals that the values of the model transition firing rates are clearly distinguishable. **Figure 7** compares the cumulative firing rates of the model transitions for a simulation period of 30 years (10,950 days). This comparison shows that certain transitions fire very slowly, while others fire very fast. These different timescales can be interpreted as a result of a small population in the preplaces of the corresponding transitions, or they may be due to the relatively small values of the rate coefficients. For a better view of the quantitative differences among the transition rates, we summarize the cumulative firing rates of the net transitions in **Table 3**.

The simulation statistics in **Table 3** show that growth and death of humans and dogs occur infrequently compared with the death and growth of vectors. For instance, the total firing rates of human growth and death is 0.0021%, compared to 32.88% for

| #  | Transition name | Туре       | Rate                                                                                 | Purpose                                  |
|----|-----------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Death_Hs        | Stochastic | $\gamma_{H_S} \times H_S$                                                            | Death of susceptible humans              |
| 2  | Born_Hs         | Stochastic | $(1 - T_{hi}) (\gamma_{H_j} \times H_1 + \gamma_{H_S} \times H_S)$                   | Growth of susceptible humans             |
| 3  | Death_Hi        | Stochastic | $\gamma_{H_i} 	imes H_i$                                                             | Death of infected humans                 |
| 4  | Born_Hi         | Stochastic | $(T_{hi}) (\gamma_{H_j} \times H_1 + \gamma_{H_S} \times H_S)$                       | Growth of infected humans                |
| 5  | Human_infection | Stochastic | $b \times P_{vh} \times H_s \times V_i$                                              | Infection of susceptible humans          |
| 6  | Death_Ds        | Stochastic | $\gamma_{D_S} \times D_S$                                                            | Death of susceptible dogs                |
| 7  | Born_Ds         | Stochastic | $(1 - T_{di})(\gamma_{Di} \times D_i + \gamma_{D_S} \times D_S)$                     | Growth of susceptible dogs               |
| 8  | Death_Di        | Stochastic | $\gamma_{D_i} \times D_i$                                                            | Death of infected dogs                   |
| 9  | Born_Di         | Stochastic | $(T_{di}) (\gamma_{Di} \times D_i + \gamma_{D_S} \times D_S)$                        | Growth of infected dogs                  |
| 10 | Dogs_infection  | Stochastic | $b \times d_f \times P_{vdb} + \frac{P_{vdc} \times E \times D_S \times V_i}{V + A}$ | Infection of susceptible dogs            |
| 11 | V_die           | Continuous | $\left(\frac{d_m}{2} \times \left(1 - \frac{V}{K}\right) + d_m\right) \times V$      | Death of total vectors                   |
| 12 | Born_V          | Continuous | $d_h \times (V) \times (1 - \frac{V}{K})$                                            | Growth of total vectors                  |
| 13 | Dogs_consume_V  | Continuous | $\left(\frac{E \times V}{V + A}\right) \times D$                                     | Dogs oral consumption of total vectors   |
| 14 | Vi_die          | Continuous | $\left(\frac{dm}{2} \times \left(1 - \frac{V}{K}\right) + d_m\right) \times V_i$     | Death of infected vectors                |
| 15 | Dogs_consume_Vi | Continuous | $\frac{E \times V}{V + A} \times D \times \frac{V_i}{V}$                             | Dogs oral consumption of infected vector |
| 16 | Infection_Vi    | Continuous | $b \times (V - V_i)(P_{hv} \times H_i + P_{dv} \times d_f \times D_i)$               | Vector infection                         |
|    |                 |            |                                                                                      |                                          |

Frontiers in Environmental Science | www.frontiersin.org

| # | Transition name | Туре          | Rate                                               | Purpose                                                   |
|---|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Piece1_dm       | Continuous    | (dm_max-dm_initial)<br>dm_t1                       | First time period of the vector mortality rate variation  |
| 2 | Piece2_dm       | Continuous    | $\frac{dm\_max}{(dm\_t_2-dm\_t_1)}$                | Second time period of the vector mortality rate variation |
| 3 | Piece3_dm       | Continuous    |                                                    | Third time period of the vector mortality rate variation  |
| 4 | Piece1_b        | Continuous    | $\frac{b\_initial}{b\_t_1}$                        | First time period of the vector biting rate variation     |
| 5 | Piece2_b        | Continuous    | $\frac{b\_max}{(b\_t_3-b\_t_2)}$                   | Second time period of the vector biting rate variation    |
| 6 | Piece3_b        | Continuous    | (b_max-b_min)<br>(b_t_4-b_t_3)                     | Third time period of the vector biting rate variation     |
| 7 | Piece4_b        | Continuous    | (b_initial-b_min)<br>(year_days-b_t <sub>4</sub> ) | Fourth time period of the vector biting rate variation    |
| 8 | Increase_days   | Deterministic | 1                                                  | Increase the current time by one day                      |
| 9 | Reset_days      | Immediate     | 1                                                  | Reset the current time to zero after the end of the year  |

TABLE 2 | The specification of the transitions involved in mortality and the biting rate of the submodel in Figure 6.



# TABLE 3 | Comparison of the cumulative transition firing rates (in percentage) of the model in Figure 4.

|           | Human (%) | Dogs (%) | Vectors (%) | Infected vectors (%) |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------------------|
| Growth    | 0.0021    | 0.023    | 32.88       | 16.33                |
| Death     | 0.0021    | 0.023    | 26.34       | 13.33                |
| Infection | 0.0048    | 0.0448   | 17.38       | -                    |

The firing rates of processes related to humans or dogs are calculated by taking the average of infected and susceptible species. The simulation time of this experiment is 11,000 days.

the growth rate of vectors. The reason for such a difference is that over a period of 30 years the age of humans and dogs is much larger than the age of bugs. Similarly, the accumulative firing rates of human and dog infections are very low in comparison with the firing rate of vector infections. This is a result of the abundance of the vector population in comparison with the human and dog populations.

Furthermore, the statistics in **Figure 7** and **Table 3** suggest that slow firing processes can be better represented by stochastic transitions, while faster ones should be better modeled via continuous transitions. Therefore, in **Figure 4** all processes related to human and dog populations (e.g., growth, death, and infection) are modeled using stochastic transitions. In contrast, vector-related processes (e.g., vector growth, vector death, and vector infection) are modeled via continuous transitions.

To examine the implication of introducing stochastic transitions to the Chagas disease model, we compare the time course simulation result produced by the purely deterministic approach with the result of the hybrid simulator. **Figures 8**, **9** give the time course simulation results of the population of dogs and infected vectors simulated using both the deterministic and hybrid simulation techniques.

In **Figure 8A**, the population of infected dogs implies the same qualitative conclusions for the deterministic and hybrid results. Both simulation results suggest that the population of infected vectors oscillates with respect to time. However, they differ in the specific quantitative values. Hybrid simulation results imply that the population of infected dogs enter the steady state at a lower value compared with the simulation results produced by the deterministic approach.

To better understand such differences in the quantitative results, we compare the results of the ODE approach with the individual runs of the hybrid simulation. **Figure 8B** presents two single runs of the hybrid simulation. These individual runs show that the population of infected dogs fluctuates as the result of simulating growth, death, and infection processes via stochastic transitions. In fact, modeling such processes in this way is more natural than using the deterministic approach to simulate them. Indeed, growth, death, and infection of dogs and humans are inherently stochastic processes. Moreover, the relatively small population of dogs motivates the use of stochastic transitions to simulate this type of processes.

To examine the influence of such fluctuation on the population of dogs and humans and on the rest of those model components, which remain modeled using the ODE approach, we plot the simulation results of infected vectors for the purely deterministic and the hybrid simulation results. **Figure 9** shows that the population of infected vectors produced through the hybrid simulation technique oscillates at a lower amplitude than the purely deterministic counterpart. This implies that the noise related to the stochastically modeled part also influences the deterministically simulated components.

In summary, although deterministic and hybrid simulation techniques applied to the Chagas disease provide similar qualitative conclusions, the latter technique exhibits more accurate results due to the more realistic representation and simulation of inherently fluctuating natural processes.

# Discussion

In this paper we propose the utilization of a special class of hybrid Petri nets, Generalized hybrid Petri nets, for the modeling and simulation of multi-timescale environmental systems.  $\mathcal{GHPN}$  provide flexible and rich modeling features to represent and execute the different processes that are frequently encountered during the construction of dynamic models to explore environmental systems. The major advantage of using Petri nets compared with other techniques to represent and simulate environmental models is the graphical depiction of the system components' interactions supporting the communication in a multidisciplinary research team. Hybrid Petri nets extend the







FIGURE 8 | Simulation results of the Chagas model in Figure 4 for the dog population: (A) continuous and average hybrid time course result (1000 runs), (B) deterministic and two single runs of hybrid simulation.

modeling power of standard Petri nets by providing a number of specific elements that can be used to represent physical processes operating at different timescales, which subsequently widens the classes of models that can make use of the Petri net approach and its unifying power.

The case study presented in the Result section explains the motivation behind the different elements of  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ . For instance, read and inhibitor arcs are used to define boundary conditions for time periods, where vector mortality rates behave in a certain way (increasing or decreasing). Discrete transitions like immediate and deterministically delayed ones can be used to model the duration of time periods. The chosen case study, the Chagas model, involves processes that occur at different scales making the hybrid simulation technique most appropriate to execute such models. In this paper, the processes related to humans and dogs are represented by stochastic transitions. The effects of the other processes could also be investigated by modeling them as stochastic transitions. However, this would increase the simulation runtime for the model. In fact,  $\mathcal{GHPN}$ provide a favorable tradeoff between a simulation's accuracy and runtime.

The discussed case study is implemented using the Petri net tool Snoopy (Heiner et al., 2012) which supports the construction and simulation of different Petri net classes including stochastic, continuous, and hybrid Petri nets. Snoopy can be download free of charge for academic use from Snoopy (2015). A GHPNmodel constructed with Snoopy can be simulated via a purely deterministic, stochastic, or hybrid simulator. This feature permits to experiment with different simulation techniques using one and the same model. We applied this specific feature to execute the case study in this paper using the deterministic and the hybrid simulator. Besides, a model constructed in Snoopy can be remotely simulated via Snoopy's Simulation and Steering Server (S<sup>4</sup>) (Herajy and Heiner, 2014a,b). S<sup>4</sup> provides a further flexible tool to remotely simulate and steer Petri net models constructed using Snoopy. The Snoopy file implementing this model can be downloaded from http://www-dssz.informatik.

# References

- Ajmone, M., Balbo, G., Conte, G., Donatelli, S., and Franceschinis, G. (1995). Modelling with Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets. Wiley Series in Parallel Computing, John Wiley and Sons.
- Alla, H., and David, R. (1998). Continuous and hybrid Petri nets. J. Circ. Syst. Comp. 8, 159–188. doi: 10.1142/S0218126698000079
- Castañera, M. B., Aparicio, J. P., and Gürtler, R. E. (2003). A stage-structured stochastic model of the population dynamics of triatoma infestans, the main vector of Chagas disease. *Ecol. Model.* 162, 33–53. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00388-5
- Coffield, D. J. Jr., Spagnuolo, A. M., Shillor, M., Mema, E., Pell, B., Pruzinsky, A., et al. (2013). A model for Chagas disease with oral and congenital transmission. *PLoS ONE* 8:e67267. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067267
- Cohen, J. E., and Gürtler, R. E. (2001). Modeling household transmission of american trypanosomiasis. *Science* 293, 694–698. doi: 10.1126/science.1060638
- David, R., and Alla, H. (2010). Discrete, Continuous, and Hybrid Petri Nets. Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer.
- Fujita, S., Matsui, M., Matsuno, H., and Miyano, S. (2004). Modeling and simulation of fission yeast cell cycle on hybrid functional

tu-cottbus.de/DSSZ/Software/Examples. Thus, all our results presented in this paper are reproducible.

In the original model of Coffield et al. (2013), the vector growth rate at time t depends on the hatching rate at a previous time  $t - \tau$ . The value of  $\tau$  is approximated to be 20 days (Spagnuolo et al., 2011). This can be simulated as a delayed differential equation with a constant delay. In the discrete world, this delay can be accounted for in the model semantics using a deterministically time delayed transition with a delay of 20 days. However, using continuous transitions to simulate the growth rate of vectors, we need to adjust the semantics of such a transition type to take into account such a delay period while generating and solving the corresponding system of ODEs. This could be added in a future extension of the continuous Petri nets in Snoopy.

# **Author Contributions**

The authors of this paper have equally contributed to the manuscript preparation.

### Acknowledgments

This work has been partially funded by the GE-SEED grant (7934) which is administrated by STDF (Science and Technology Development Fund) and DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service).

# **Supplementary Material**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fenvs. 2015.00053

#### Supplemental Data

Supplemental material (S1) contains the constant coefficients required to specify transition rates of the Chagas disease model.

Petri net. IEICE Trans. Fundam. Electron. Commun. Comput. Sci. E87-A, 2919-2927.

- Gilbert, D., and Heiner, M. (2006). "From Petri nets to differential equations an integrative approach for biochemical network analysis," in *Proc. ICATPN 2006*, *Vol. 4024, of LNCS*, eds S. Donatelli and P. S. Thiagarajan (Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer), 181–200.
- Gillet, F. (2008). Modelling vegetation dynamics in heterogeneous pasture-woodland landscapes. *Ecol. Model.* 217, 1–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.05.013
- Gregorio, S. D., Serra, R., and Villani, M. (1999). Applying cellular automata to complex environmental problems: the simulation of the bioremediation of contaminated soils. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 217, 131–156. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00154-6
- Heiner, M., Herajy, M., Liu, F., Rohr, C., and Schwarick, M. (2012). "Snoopy – a unifying Petri net tool," in *Proc. PETRI NETS 2012, Vol. 7347,* of LNCS, eds S. Haddad and L. Pomello (Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer), 398–407.
- Herajy, M., and Heiner, M. (2012). Hybrid representation and simulation of stiff biochemical networks. J. Nonlin. Anal. 6, 942–959. doi: 10.1016/j.nahs.2012.05.004

- Herajy, M., and Heiner, M. (2014a). Petri net-based collaborative simulation and steering of biochemical reaction networks. *Fundam. Informa.* 129, 49–67. doi: 10.3233/FI-2014-960
- Herajy, M., and Heiner, M. (2014b). "A steering server for collaborative simulation of quantitative Petri nets," in *Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency, Vol. 8489, of Lecture Notes in Computer Science,* eds G. Ciardo and E. Kindler (Switzerland: Springer International Publishing), 374–384.
- Herajy, M., Schwarick, M., and Heiner, M. (2013). Hybrid Petri Nets for modelling the eukaryotic cell cycle. *ToPNoC* VIII, 123–141. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-40465-8/7
- Khoury, D. S., Barron, A. B., and Myerscough, M. R. (2013). Modelling food and population dynamics in honey bee colonies. *PLoS ONE* 8:e59084. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059084
- Liu, H., Benoit, G., Liu, T., Liu, Y., and Guo, H. (2015). An integrated system dynamics model developed for managing lake water quality at the watershed scale. *J. Environ. Manage.* 155, 11–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.02.046
- Mao, G., and Petzold, L. (2002). Efficient integration over discontinuities for differential-algebraic systems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 43, 65–79. doi: 10.1016/S0898-1221(01)00272-3
- Matsuno, H., Tanaka, Y., Aoshima, H., Doi, A., Matsui, M., and Miyano, S. (2003). Biopathways representation and simulation on hybrid functional Petri net. *In Silico Biol.* 3, 389–404.
- Murata, T. (1989). Petri nets: properties, analysis and applications. *Proc. IEEE* 77, 541–580. doi: 10.1109/5.24143
- Nouvellet, P., Cucunubá, Z. M., and Gourbière, S. (2015). "Chapter four ecology, evolution and control of Chagas disease: a century of neglected modelling and a promising future," in *Mathematical Models for Neglected Tropical Diseases: Essential Tools for Control and Elimination, Part A, Vol. 87, of Advances in Parasitology*, eds R. M. Anderson and M. G. Basez (Academic Press), 135–191.
- Qi, C., and Chang, N.-B. (2011). System dynamics modeling for municipal water demand estimation in an urban region under uncertain economic impacts. J. Environ. Manage. 92, 1628–1641. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.01.020
- Reddy, V., Mavrovouniotis, M., and Liebman, M. (1993). "Petri net representations in metabolic pathways," in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology*, eds L. Hunter, D. Searls, and J. Shavlik (Bethesda, MD: The AAAI Press), 328–336.
- Russell, S., Barron, A. B., and Harris, D. (2013). Dynamic modelling of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) colony growth and failure. *Ecol. Model.* 265, 158–169. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.06.005

- Schmickl, T., and Crailsheim, K. (2007). Hopomo: a model of honeybee intracolonial population dynamics and resource management. *Ecol. Model.* 204, 219–245. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.01.001
- Seppelt, R., Müller, F., Schröder, B., and Volk, M. (2009). Challenges of simulating complex environmental systems at the landscape scale: a controversial dialogue between two cups of espresso. *Ecol. Model.* 220, 3481–3489. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.09.009
- Snoopy (2015). Snoopy Website. Available online at: http://www-dssz.informatik. tu-cottbus.de/snoopy.html[Accessed: 28/3/2015].
- Soliman, S., and Heiner, M. (2010). A unique transformation from ordinary differential equations to reaction networks. *PLoS ONE* 5:e14284. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014284
- Spagnuolo, A., Shillor, M., and Stryker, G. (2011). A model for Chagas disease with controlled spraying. J. Biol. Dyn. 5, 299–317. doi: 10.1080/17513758.2010.505985
- Tian, Z., Faure, A., Mori, H., and Matsuno, H. (2013). Identification of key regulators in glycogen utilization in *E. coli* based on the simulations from a hybrid functional Petri net model. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 7:S1. doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-7-S6-S1
- Uusitalo, L., Lehikoinen, A., Helle, I., and Myrberg, K. (2015). An overview of methods to evaluate uncertainty of deterministic models in decision support. *Environ. Model. Soft.* 63, 24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.09.017
- Valk, R. (1978). "Self-modifying nets, a natural extension of Petri nets," in Proc. of the Fifth Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (London, UK: Springer-Verlag), 464–476.
- Xiang, J., Chen, H., and Ishikawa, H. (2013). A mathematical model for the transmission of schistosoma japonicum in consideration of seasonal water level fluctuations of Poyang Lake in Jiangxi, China . *Parasitol. Int.* 62, 118–126. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2012.10.004

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Herajy and Heiner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.