Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Aleksy Kwilinski, The London Academy of Science and Business, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Evgeny Kuzmin, 🛛 kuzmin.ea@uiec.ru Grigorios L. Kyriakopoulos, 🕲 gregkyr@chemeng.ntua.gr

RECEIVED 15 January 2024 ACCEPTED 22 January 2024 PUBLISHED 31 January 2024

CITATION

Akberdina V, Kuzmin E, Kyriakopoulos GL and Kumar V (2024), Editorial: Sustainability of digital transformation for the environment. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 12:1371047. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2024.1371047

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Akberdina, Kuzmin, Kyriakopoulos and Kumar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Sustainability of digital transformation for the environment

Victoria Akberdina¹, Evgeny Kuzmin^{1*}, Grigorios L. Kyriakopoulos^{2*} and Vikas Kumar³

¹Institute of Economics of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ekaterinburg, Russia, ²School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), Athens, Greece, ³Faculty of Business, Law and Social Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

digital transformation, environment, social, and governance, digital technologies, sustainable development goal, corporate social responsibility, green transition

Editorial on the Research Topic Sustainability of digital transformation for the environment

Digital transition and green transition are two major simultaneous processes unfolding today. Both of them play a key role in transforming the development paradigm. In our previous publications, digital transformation was interpreted as "the process of a system transition of the industry from one technological mode to another through the large-scale use of digital and ICT in order to increase its efficiency and competitiveness significantly" (Akberdina et al., 2023a). It is also noteworthy that sustainability, green economy, circular economy, and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) concepts are also an integral part of the new paradigm positively affecting the economy of companies, regions, and countries focusing on how low-carbon, resource-efficient, and socially inclusive economy can improve human wellbeing and provide social justice while reducing environmental threats and resource scarcity. While digitalization enhances productivity, reduces energy intensity, and stimulates economic growth, digital technologies utilize big data that increases energy consumption and digital equipment. Subsequently, natural environment damage occurs with production, maintenance, and disposal. Considering this imperative need to open up a scientific discussion, our Research Topic "Sustainability of Digital Transformation for the Environment" includes fifteen articles that are pictorially depicted in the form of a keyword cloud in Figure 1.

Five papers addressed the challenges of *assessing the influence of digital transformation on sustainability in countries and regions.*

Among them, researchers from Northwest Normal University, Key Laboratory of Resource Environment and Sustainable Development of Oasis, and Lanzhou University investigated the interregional and intersectoral interactions of the digital economy in China. Self-generating ability in the digital industry sector was the most significant and influential factor in the industrial growth of China's digital economy, followed by the interrelated effect between industry sectors. Contrarily, the inter-industry feedback effect weakly affects the economic system (Ma et al.).

Researchers from Huaqiao University and Minnan Normal University demonstrated the prevailing role of digital industrialization in the low-carbon development in the manufacturing industry (Lyu et al.). These authors claimed that digital transformation



can satisfactorily promote low-carbon manufacturing development in underdeveloped regions, and medium– and low-energyconsuming industries, but not in high-energy-consuming industries. This was evident not only in the China's provinces but also in other countries around the world.

Regarding the digitalization of the economy and air pollution, a bilateral effect on air pollution was reported, since air pollution can be characterized by emission reduction due to digitalization, but, along with the development of the digital economy, human capital levels, and general economic levels, the emission reduction effect of the digital economy on air pollution was strengthened such that the net effect changed from positive to negative, as mentioned by researchers from Anhui University of Science and Technology and Hohai University (Wang and Ding). Another significant study proved a non-linear relationship between the digital economy and green total factor productivity (GTFP), where the overall effect of the digital economy on GTFP was negative, implying that the growth of the digital economy inclines to a GTFP decline, as pointed out by researchers from Hunan University and Hunan Provincial Tax Service of State Taxation Administration (Wang and Ren). It is also noteworthy that energy transition can mitigate the negative impact of digital economic growth on GTFP, confirming the spatial heterogeneity of digitalization effects (Ma et al.).

Researchers from the Institute of Economics of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences and Ural Federal University identified the relationship between digital financing and environmental financing (Akberdina et al.). The authors proved that digital investments stimulate a comparable increase in environmental investment due to the effects created by digital technologies penetrating into environmental protection technologies.

Five studies on in the Research Topic were devoted to the relationship between digital transformation and green innovation.

Xiao et al. argued that the digital economy significantly improves GTFP, which is still valid after testing for robustness, including instrumental variables, taking the "Broadband China" pilot policy as a quasi-natural experiment. The authors proved that the digital economy promotes GTFP through green technological innovation, industrial structure upgrading, and energy conservation provision.

Yang and Liang from Nanjing Audit University explored the digital economy, environmental regulation, and green eco-efficiency and proposed that the moderating role of environmental legislation toward the growth of the digital economy can greatly increase green eco-efficiency. In addition, the authors assessed the regulating role of the government in converging the differences between regions as well as in promoting green sustainable development of a local economy.

Liu et al. from Zhongnan University of Economics and Law assessed the impact of the digital economy and city size on GTFP and proved that city size can positively modify the relationship between GTFP and the digital economy. Moreover, the growth of the digital economy and the full exploitation scale of the digital industries in first-tier cities can generate the spillover effect caused by digital technology in cities of the other tiers. Researchers from Dhurakij Pundit University and Lanzhou University examined whether the digitalization of enterprises could promote corporate green innovation (Fan et al.) and concluded that digital transformation encourages corporate green innovation by easing corporate financing constraints and enhancing corporate awareness through a high perception of social responsibility. Green innovation can be further promoted not only through corporate digitalization but also through the human capital of other high-tech companies, as stated by researchers from Qingdao University (Li et al.).

The topic of corporate governance was the research focus on three articles, devoted to the digitalization effect on corporate goals, namely ESG and corporate social responsibility (CSR).

The asymmetric effect between executive compensation stickiness (ECS) and ESG goals has encouraged executives to improve the ESG indices through digital transformation activities, as denoted by researchers from Hangzhou City University and Pingdingshan University (Chen et al.).

CSR, digital transformation, and innovation performance were jointly examined, and the results revealed that CSR positively moderates the role of digital transformation in innovation performance and that there is a time lag effect on the innovation performance (both product and process innovation performance), as demonstrated by researchers from Hangzhou City University and Pingdingshan University (Wang and Yan).

Fu and Li from the School of Urban Economics and Management and Beijing University of Civil Engineering and Architecture investigated whether ESG affects corporate financial performance and whether this relationship is moderated by digital transformation. They showed that ESG positively and significantly affects corporate financial performance and digital transformation drives this promoting effect. The authors also proved that the positive effect of current ESG on financial performance in the lag period will gradually weaken.

The topics of agriculture development amid digitalization with a special emphasis on behavioral aspects were the research objectives of two more publications. Indeed, the empirical evaluation of ethical practices and digitalization of the agricultural system was employed in the case of Pakistan by researchers from Zhejiang University while defining the ethical practices (knowledge-sharing, trustworthiness in loan providing, loyalty in professionalism, responsibility of actions, and accountability) that primarily affected the digitalization development of the agricultural system (Manzoor et al.).

Wang and Dong from the Institute of Land Engineering and Technology utilized agricultural digital services to investigate farmers' behavior based on the rural revitalization strategy and verified the dominant digital-use behavior factors, such as adoption intention and facility conditions. Performance expectation, social influence, and data quality were important pre-factors affecting farmers' behavior.

Digital transformation is a rapidly transforming and adaptable tool for the environment and sustainability, though it also involves challenges that are both complementary and convergent to each other. Among them is the digital transformation industry (DTI) that is extended to a plethora of applications, including those in the hospitality industry and "smart" cities, focusing on responding to environmental concerns about industrial innovations (Kyriakopoulos, 2023) and rethinking policies for clean energy supply that are scrutinized by decision-makers or policymakers who are looking at the potential of smart technologies (like that of Industry 4.0) in creating a green economy (Saraji et al., 2021). All these applications showed that digital transformation can play a decisive role in other, rather than purely environmental, contexts of everyday living like socialization, micro- and macro-economy, as well as the circular economy (Kyriakopoulos et al., 2019), and entrepreneurship issues (Kyriakopoulos, 2023). The proposals and the future prospects voiced in our Research Topic and the adoption of appropriate policy measures should be predominately focused on the following:

- Synchronising the digital and green transitions is more of a positive nature.
- Coordinating the digital and green transitions toward a sustainable and prosperous future.
- Identifying challenges and employing integrated methodological-managerial tools for a sustainable digital transformation.

Author contributions

VA: investigation, conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, project administration, visualization, writing–original draft, and writing–review. EK: investigation, data curation, formal analysis, project administration, writing–original draft, and writing–review and editing. GK: investigation, supervision, validation, writing–original draft, and writing–review and editing. VK: investigation, supervision, validation, writing–review and editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Akberdina, V., Kumar, V., Kyriakopoulos, G. L., and Kuzmin, E. (2023a). "Editorial: what does industry's digital transition hold in the uncertainty context?," in *Digital transformation in industry. DTI 2022. Lecture notes in information systems and organisation.* Editors V. Kumar, G. L. Kyriakopoulos, V. Akberdina, and E. Kuzmin (Cham: Springer), 61, 1–4. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-30351-7_1

Kyriakopoulos, G. L. (2023). "The contribution of digital transformation industry (DTI) in micro- and macro- economy. The contribution of digital transformation industry (DTI) in micro- and macro- economy. Chapter 9," in *Digital transformation in industry. DTI 2022. Lecture notes in information systems*

and organisation. Editors V. Kumar, G. L. Kyriakopoulos, V. Akberdina, and E. Kuzmin (Cham: Springer), 61, 101-108. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-30351-7_9

Kyriakopoulos, G. L., Kapsalis, V. C., Aravossis, K. G., Zamparas, M., and Mitsikas, A. (2019). Evaluating circular economy under a multi-parametric approach: a technological review. *Sustainability* 11 (21), 6139. doi:10.3390/su11216139

Saraji, M. K., Streimikiene, D., and Kyriakopoulos, G. L. (2021). Fermatean fuzzy critic-copras method for evaluating the challenges to industry 4.0 adoption for a sustainable digital transformation. *Sustainability* 13 (17), 9577. doi:10.3390/su13179577