Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio Albuquerque, University of Beira Interior, Portugal

REVIEWED BY Bhuvan Vemuri, Micron, United States Arlindo Gomes, University of Beira Interior, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Nils Volkenborn,

🛙 nils.volkenborn@stonybrook.edu

RECEIVED 10 December 2024 ACCEPTED 28 February 2025 PUBLISHED 19 March 2025

CITATION

Lin J-A and Volkenborn N (2025) Nitrate removal in woodchip-based bioreactors and greenhouse gas formation tradeoffs between under- and over-treatment. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 13:1543143. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2025.1543143

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Lin and Volkenborn. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Nitrate removal in woodchip-based bioreactors and greenhouse gas formation tradeoffs between under- and over-treatment

Jing-An Lin^{1,2} and Nils Volkenborn^{1,2}*

¹School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States, ²New York State Center for Clean Water Technology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States

Woodchip-based media are increasingly used to remove excess nitrate from groundwater but data on nitrogen removal rates and greenhouse gas formation for different woodchip types, nitrogen loading and temperatures is limited. Here, we present data from a 1-year long column experiment in which the nitrogen removal performance of 4 different aged woodchip media was assessed for a range of nitrogen loading rates at different temperatures. Nitrate removal and greenhouse gas formation (CH₄, N₂O) were measured under nitrate-replete (excess nitrate in effluent), nitrate-limited (complete nitrate removal) and nitrate-deplete conditions (no nitrate in influent). At 14°C, nitrate removal rates were highest in oak (4.3 g N m⁻³ day⁻¹) followed by maple/cherry (3.2 g N m⁻³ day⁻¹), oak/pine (2.2 g N m⁻³ day⁻¹) and pine (0.4 g N m⁻³ day⁻¹). At 20°C, nitrate removal rates increased by a factor of 2.6 times in oak, oak/pine and maple/cherry and by a factor of 6 in pine. CH_4 and N_2O typically did not co-occur in effluent. Maximal effluent CH₄ concentrations were observed under nitrate-deplete conditions and CH₄ formation rates increased with temperature. In contrast, concentrations of N_2O , a denitrification intermediate, were only significantly elevated in partially denitrified effluent under nitrate-replete conditions. The data provided here can help to make more informed decisions on the optimal design of woodchip-based nitrate removing bioreactors to maximize nitrate removal and minimize greenhouse gases formation associated with unavoidable under- and over-treatment of nitrate.

KEYWORDS

groundwater nitrate, woodchip, permeable reaction barrier (PRB), denitrification, greenhous gases, methane - CH4

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic nitrogen has led to the eutrophication of coastal waters worldwide (Howarth and Marino, 2006; Malone and Newton, 2020; Nixon, 1995). Submarine groundwater discharge can be a major pathway of nitrogen input (Paerl, 1997; Rodellas et al., 2015; Slomp and Van Cappellen, 2004). Denitrifying permeable reactive barriers (PRBs) that intercept groundwater nitrate plumes before they enter surface water are a promising approach to help reduce nitrogen loading in coastal waters (Graffam et al., 2020; Robertson et al., 2008; Schipper and Vojvodic-Vukovic, 2001). Unlike ion exchange or

membrane treatment technologies, which require water to be pumped and are therefore are energy intensive (Chung et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2020), PRBs operate passively, making them a cost-effective alternative for long-term nitrate removal.

Woodchips are an inexpensive and readily available medium for PRBs and provide a lasting carbon source for heterotrophic denitrifying soil bacteria (Christianson et al., 2017; Lopez-Ponnada et al., 2017). As groundwater flows into the woodchip media, oxygen (O_2) is quickly consumed by aerobic heterotrophic bacteria, and - when nitrate is present - denitrification becomes the dominant pathway of organic matter mineralization, converting problematic nitrate into inert dinitrogen gas (N_2). Woodchipbased media have been found to also remove other pollutants such as perchlorate (Roberston et al., 2008), some PPCPs (Clyde et al., 2021), and pesticides (Wrightwood et al., 2021; Ewere et al., 2024).

The nitrogen removal effectiveness of woodchip-based bioreactors is well established (Christianson et al., 2012; Manca et al., 2021; Robertson and Cherry, 1995; Schipper and Vojvodić-Vuković, 1998), but the efficiency depends on many factors, including woodchip type, age and size, media porosity, and is furthermore temperature dependent (Addy et al., 2016; Lopez-Ponnada et al., 2017). Few studies have quantified the temperature dependency of nitrogen removal rates of different woodchip media (Cameron and Schipper, 2010; Robertson et al., 2008; Schmidt and Clark, 2013).

Knowledge of nitrate removal rates is important to make informed decisions about the design of nitrogen removing bioreactors. For example, the thickness of a PRB should be chosen to allow for the complete removal of nitrate by providing sufficiently long hydraulic retention times (HRTs) for a given groundwater nitrogen concentration and groundwater velocity. Theoretically, the optimal PRB thickness is one that depletes nitrate and completes denitrification without providing excess total organic carbon (TOC), which could stimulate alternative anaerobic organic pathways, specifically methanogenesis.

In reality however, groundwater velocities can vary seasonally or short-term, such as seasonal aquifer recharge or after heavy precipitation events (Wittenberg et al., 2019), or with tides at nearshore locations (Graffam et al., 2020). Groundwater nitrate concentrations often vary in space and time (Santos et al., 2008; Sharples et al., 2007) and microbial rates can vary seasonally due to changes in groundwater temperatures (Hassanpour et al., 2017). Given these variations in nitrogen loading and microbial activity, some degree of under- or overtreatment by a PRB with fixed and uniform thickness is unavoidable.

Undertreatment occurs when nitrate is not completely removed, and denitrification intermediates, specifically the greenhouse gas (GHG) nitrous oxide (N_2O) may be released from bioreactors. Conversely, overtreatment occurs when nitrate becomes depleted within the woodchip media and - under freshwater conditions methanogenesis can become the dominant organic matter mineralization pathway. The trade-off between under- and overtreatment in relation to GHG release under nitrate-replete and nitrogen-limited conditions is poorly constrained, but a better assessment could help to inform PRB or bioreactor design.

Here, we present insights from a 1-year long laboratory column experiment in which nitrogen removal and GHG formation were

assessed for four different types of aged woodchip media at three different temperatures. Triplicates of 1-m-long columns filled with oak, maple/cherry mix, oak/pine mix, and pine woodchips were exposed to a range of hydraulic loading rates and influent nitrate concentrations establishing nitrate-replete (excess nitrate in effluent), nitrate-limited (no nitrate in effluent), and nitratedeplete (no nitrate in influent) conditions to determine nitrate removal and GHG production rates under these contrasting conditions. We hypothesized that CH₄ and N₂O concentrations in column effluent would be minimal when nitrate loading matches the nitrate removal capacity of the woodchip media and increasing CH₄ and N₂O concentrations under nitrate-deplete and nitratereplete conditions, respectively. The data presented here will help optimizing PRB design, by considering the trade-offs of under- and overtreatment of groundwater nitrogen plumes.

2 Materials and Method

2.1 Column setup

Four types of woodchips (oak, maple/cherry mix, oak/pine mix and pine, chip size 4–20 mm) were retrieved in 2019 from woodchip-boxes which had been deployed since 2015 in a pond in Easthampton (NY, United States). In an area with appreciable groundwater discharge so that discharged water passed the woodchip boxes before being released into the overlying water. The woodchips used in this study were therefore aged under watersaturated and likely anoxic conditions for multiple years.

Transparent polycarbonate cores (length 1.1 m, ID 3.85 cm) were filled with an average of 967 g (range 945–991 g, wet weight) of the woodchip - pea gravel mixtures (2:1 by volume). Three replicates were set up for each woodchip type (Figure 1) and columns were set up in a temperature-controlled room. Influent was supplied bottomup using a computer-controlled multi-head peristaltic pump (Masterflex 7550).

The experiment was started at a temperature of 20°C before a subset of hydraulic loading and nitrate concentration combinations were assessed at 7°C and 14°C. Rates of nitrate-nitrite (NO_x) removal and TOC, N₂O and CH₄ production were estimated from the differences between influent and effluent concentrations.

The experiment started in March 2020. Due to a COVID-related university shutdown, the delivery pump was stopped a few weeks later and pumping was resumed in June 2020. During this initial "hibernation" period, the columns remained water-saturated, except for three columns (two oak and one maple/cherry) that drained. Water saturation was re-established in these columns 1 week before the experiment was restarted.

2.2 Column test operational settings

Over the course of the experiment, influent NO_x concentrations and pumping rates were varied between 0 and 30 mg N L⁻¹ and 280–2,240 mL column⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 1), corresponding to theoretical HRTs of 2.0–0.25 days and linear groundwater velocities of 55–440 cm day⁻¹. The selected NO_x concentrations (up to 30 mg N L⁻¹) are representative for



(A) Schematic and (B) picture of the experimental setup of the 1-year long column experiment with triplicates of different types of aged woodchip media (oak/pine, pine, oak, maple/cherry) mixed with pea gravel (2:1 by volume). Influent was applied bottom up with a multi-head peristaltic pump at rates between 280 mL d⁻¹ column⁻¹ to establish hydraulic retention times between 0.25 and 2 days.

groundwater NO_x concentrations in polluted aquifers (Burow et al., 2010). The simulated groundwater velocities cover the range that has been documented in nearshore aquifers (Buxton and Modica, 1992) and resulted in HRTs similar to HRTs applied in previous column and bioreactor studies (Healy et al., 2012; Hoover et al., 2016; Robertson et al., 2008). Since groundwater velocities at nearshore locations can be influenced by tides and vary over time (Graffam et al., 2020), a dynamic HRT treatment was included by cycling between 1-day, 0.5-day, 1-day and 2-day HRT every 3 h, resulting in an average HRT of 0.9 days.

During the initial 28 days, the columns received a constant supply of sodium nitrate solution at a concentration of 25 mg N L⁻¹. Starting on Day 28, ammonium (NH₄⁺) in the form of ammonium chloride was added at a concentration of 10 mg N L⁻¹ in addition to NO_x to assess nitrogen transformations other than denitrification within the woodchip media.

The experiment was conducted at three different temperatures: 20°C for the first 213 days (Day 0–213), 7°C for the following 96 days (Day 214–309), and 14°C for the final 42 days (Day 310–351). After each temperature change, the columns were supplied with influent for at least 2 weeks before samples were collected.

The selected combinations of NO_x influent concentrations and pumping rates (and corresponding linear velocities and HRTs) allowed us to establish three distinct operational settings and experimental conditions for different woodchip type media at different temperatures, defined and motivated as follows: $\rm NO_x\text{-}limited$ conditions were established when $\rm NO_x$ was completely removed within columns. For this study, we defined $\rm NO_x\text{-}limited$ conditions as times when $\rm NO_x$ was applied and effluent $\rm NO_x$ concentrations were <1 mg N $\rm L^{-1}$. As our setup did not allow for sampling along the flow path within columns, it remains speculative how much of a column was $\rm NO_x\text{-}limited$. Despite this uncertainty, these settings can be viewed as representative of field conditions, where $\rm NO_x$ depletion may occur in downstream portions of the woodchip media.

 $\rm NO_x$ -replete conditions were established when "excess" $\rm NO_x$ was present in effluent. This was achieved by increasing pumping rates (lowering HRT) and/or increasing influent $\rm NO_x$ concentrations. $\rm NO_x$ removal rates under $\rm NO_x$ -replete conditions were used to assess maximal $\rm NO_x$ removal rates, where $\rm NO_x$ would not limit denitrification. Such conditions can occur in in-ground systems when a PRB is too thin, groundwater with more elevated $\rm NO_x$ concentrations passes through, or groundwater velocities increase.

 $\rm NO_x$ -deplete conditions were established by not adding $\rm NO_x$ and $\rm NH_4^+$ to the influent water during specific time periods (Day 130–170 at 20°C, Day 294–309 at 7°C, and Day 310–324 at 14°C). $\rm NO_x$ -deplete conditions were used to determine maximal CH₄ formation rates. While it is unlikely that $\rm NO_x$ -deplete conditions occur in the field, such conditions may be encountered in the downstream portions of a PRB when incoming $\rm NO_x$ is low and/or groundwater velocities decrease.

Influent and effluent samples (15–65 mL) for the analysis of nitrogen (NO $_x$ and $\rm NH_4^+)$ and TOC were collected on a weekly or

biweekly basis. When pumping rates were changed, samples were collected after the water in columns was replaced at least 3 times. 500 mL of effluent was collected for CH_4 and N_2O analyses on day 55, 93, 107, 113, 129, 170, 309, and 324 in 1-L Tedlar bags connected to the effluent tubing.

Effluent volumes collected over a 21-h period (Day 352–353) were used to measure gas volume discharges, derived from the difference between the expected volume (multiplication of pumping rate and time) and collected volume.

2.3 Porosity

At the end of the experiment, primary porosity (drainable water) and the volume of gas filled pore spaces were determined for each column. First, the columns were fully drained to determine the volume occupied by drainable water. Second, a rhodamine solution was injected bottomup, and time-lapse images were taken at 60-s intervals to determine the time it took for the dye to appear in the effluent. The tracer solution was prepared by adding 1.4 mL of the stock solution (20% Rhodamine WT) in 14 L of DI water. Effluent tubes were illuminated with an array of bluelight emitting diodes; further details are provided in (Graffam et al., 2020). The time required for the tracer to appear in the effluent, along with the pumping rate during injection, was used to calculate the volume of water in a fully water-saturated column (i.e., primary porosity). The difference between the initial drain and primary porosity was used to determine gasfilled pore space at the time of the initial drain.

These column-specific data were used to derive the true HRTs for a given pumping rate in each column and assess whether variations in NO_x removal were related to these differences in the media's hydraulic properties.

2.4 Analytical methods

TOC Nitrogen (NO_x, NH_4^+) and were analyzed spectrophotometrically. Nitrogen was measured using Lachat Quikchem 8500 Series 2 autoanalyzer using standard methods (EPA 350.1 and 353.2). TOC was measured using Shimadzu TOC autoanalyzer using standard methods (EPA 415.3). To analyze dissolved GHG samples, 10 mL of sample was equilibrated with a N₂ headspace within a 60-mL syringe for at least 30 min. The headspace gas samples were then analyzed with a Shimadzu gas chromatograph (GC-2014). The concentrations of dissolved gases were calculated using an equation derived from Roberts and Shiller (2015) and the solubility constants for CH₄ and N₂O, as detailed in Wiesenburg and Guinasso Jr (1979) and Weiss and Price (1980), respectively. In addition, pH was measured for influent and effluent samples.

 NO_{x} removal rates and net GHG (CH₄, N₂O) and TOC production rates were calculated based on the difference between influent and effluent concentrations, multiplied by the pumping rate during sampling, and divided by column volume. Net GHG fluxes were calculated similarly but divided by the column's cross-sectional area.

Temperature dependency of NO_x removal and CH₄ production rates were assessed using Q_{10} values (Davidson et al., 2006), which describes how much a reaction rate increases with a 10°C temperature rise, according to Equation 1.

$$Q_{10} = \left(\frac{R_{T2}}{R_{T1}}\right)^{\left(10/(T2-T1)\right)}$$
(1)

where R = Reaction rate and T1 and T2 are two different temperatures.

2.5 Statistical analysis

To assess when steady-state conditions were established at the onset of the experiment, repeated measures ANOVA (RM-ANOVA) was used to analyze the impact of woodchip type and time on NO_x removal rates during the initial 45 days of the experiment. Post-Hoc pairwise tests (Tukey Honestly Significant Difference) were used to determine the day after which NO_x removal rates were statistically similar on consecutive sampling days.

Two-factorial ANOVA was used to assess the impact of 1) HRT, woodchip type and the interaction term and 2) temperature, woodchip type and the interaction term on steady-state NO_x removal rates, and CH_4 and TOC production (differences between effluent and influent). One-factorial ANOVA was used to assess the differences of porosity and air volume between different woodchip types.

The analyses of the effects of HRT and woodchip type on NO_x removal rate included the period when the temperature was held at 20°C. Whenever rate estimates were available from multiple time points, the average rate of each column was used.

For the analysis of temperature and woodchip type effects on NO_x removal rates, data collected at 1-day HRT under NO_x -replete conditions was included, making sure that rates were not limited by NO_x availability. Whenever rate estimates were available from multiple time points, the average rate of each column was used.

The analysis of the effects of temperature and woodchip type on TOC and CH_4 production included data collected under NO_x -deplete conditions, thus representing maximal net production.

Levene's test was used to verify the homoscedasticity of data across different woodchip types, HRTs and temperatures. To meet homoscedasticity criteria, NO_x removal rate data for analysis of HRT × woodchip type was square root transformed, while NO_x removal rate and CH₄ production data for analysis of temperature × woodchip type were transformed using fourth root. TOC production data for analysis of temperature × woodchip type was log-transformed. If any estimated rates were negative for one or more columns, a value of 1 was added to all data prior to transformation.

When experimental factors or the interaction between factors had a significant effect, post-hoc pairwise tests (Tukey Honestly Significant Difference) were used to identify differences between treatments. Statistical analyses for NO_x removal rate and CH_4 production were performed using TIBCO StatisticaTM 14.0.0, while analyses for TOC production, porosity and air volume were performed using Python package 'statsmodels'.

3 Results

3.1 NO_x removal

During the first 45 days of the experiment with a 1-day HRT at 20°C, NO_x -replete conditions were maintained in most columns (Figure 2A). NO_x removal rates significantly differed between



We inder the NO_x concentrations (mean \pm standard deviation (3D), in - 3) and (0, NO_x) renovariates (mean \pm 3D, in - 3) of uniferent woodchip types are indicated by different marker shapes. Black line represents influent NO_x concentrations. Over the course of the 1-year long experiment the temperature was changed from 20°C to 7°C to 14°C, indicated by different background colors. Dashed lines indicate times when HRTs were changed. Dyn represents dynamic HRT with an average of 0.9 days.

woodchip types (RM-ANOVA, F (3, 8) = 11.0, p < 0.01), due to significantly lower NO_x removal in pine than all other woodchip types (post-hoc p < 0.01). NO_x removal rates varied significantly over time across all woodchip types (F (6, 48) = 106.9, p < 0.001) but became stable on day 35 as indicated by insignificant pairwise comparison between sampling points after day 35 (post-hoc p > 0.96).

Average NO_x removal (expressed as concentration declines) increased from 18.8 mg N L⁻¹ prior to day 35 to 19.8 mg N L⁻¹ after day 35 in oak columns at 1-day HRT. In contrast, NO_x removal decreased from 22.8 to 17.3 mg N L⁻¹ in maple/cherry columns, from 19.6 to 13.3 mg N L⁻¹ in oak/pine columns, and from 13.7 to 5.6 mg N L⁻¹ in pine columns. The two oak columns that dried out during the hibernation period exhibited consistently higher NO_x removal rates than the undisturbed column. Similarly, the maple/ cherry column that dried out during the hibernation period exhibited 8% higher NO_x removal rate compared to the other two maple/cherry columns during the first 21 days but consistently showed a lower removal rate thereafter. Once stable nitrogen removal rates were established (Day 35), HRTs were varied between 0.25 and 2 days. NO_x removal rates significantly varied as a function of HRT (F (3, 32) = 20.3, p < 0.001, Figure 2B) and woodchip type (F (3, 32) = 45.9, p < 0.001) without a significant interaction between both factors (F (9, 32) = 0.63, p = 0.76).

NO_x removal rates were significantly higher at 1-day HRT than at HRTs of 0.5 or 0.25 days (post-hoc p < 0.05), while NO_x removal rates at dynamic HRT were similar to those at a 1-day HRT (post-hoc, p = 0.79). At 20°C, NO_x-replete conditions were maintained at HRTs of 0.25, 0.5 and 1 day for most of the columns, while NO_x-limited conditions were established in all woodchip media at 2-day HRT, except pine (Figure 2).

NO_x removal rates in oak (7.0 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) and maple/cherry (6.2 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) were similar (post-hoc p = 0.64) but significantly higher than in oak/pine (4.7 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹; post-hoc p < 0.01) and pine (1.3 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹; post-hoc p < 0.001). NO_x removal rates were 9.0, 7.9, 6.1, and 2.6 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ at 1-day HRT, 6.8, 6.3, 4.4, and 0.6 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ at 0.5-day HRT, 3.2, 3.0, 2.8, and 0.5 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ at



0.25-day HRT for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively (Supplementary Table 1). NO_x removal rates at dynamic HRT were 9.0, 7.5, 5.5 and 1.6 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively.

Temperature had a significant impact on NO_x removal rates across woodchip types (F (2, 24) = 261.6, p < 0.001, Figure 3) with significantly higher rates at 20°C than at 14°C (post-hoc p < 0.001) and significantly higher rates at 14°C than at 7°C (post-hoc p < 0.001).

Across temperatures, NO_x removal rates were dependent on woodchip type (F (3, 24) = 94.4, p < 0.001) without a significant interaction between woodchip type and temperature (F (6, 24) = 2.3, p = 0.07).

At 20°C, the average NO_x removal rates from the final five measurements (Day 175–213) were 10.7, 7.9, 6.0 and 2.4 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively (Figure 3), with concentration declines of 23.5, 17.4, 13.3 and 5.2 mg N L⁻¹ over the 110 cm long columns.

At 14°C, NO_x-replete conditions were maintained at 1-day and 2-day HRT. At 1-day HRT, NO_x removal rates were 4.3, 3.2, 2.2 and 0.4 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively (Figure 3), with concentration declines of 9.3, 7.0, 4.9, and 0.9 mg N L⁻¹. At 2-day HRT, NO_x removal rates were 4.7, 3.5, 3.1 and 0.6 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively.

At 7°C, NO_x-replete conditions were maintained in all woodchip types at all tested HRTs. At 1-day HRT, NO_x removal rates were 1.4, 1.1, 0.9 and -0.1 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively (Figure 3), with concentration declines of 3.1, 2.4, 1.9, and -0.3 mg N L⁻¹. At 2-day HRT, NO_x removal rates were 1.8, 1.3, 1.1 and -0.1 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively.

The columns consistently received an average NH_4^+ supply of 10 mg N L⁻¹ from Day 29, with exceptions of periods without nitrogen supply. NH_4^+ exhibited relatively modest consumption across all columns (Supplementary Figure 1). For example, at

20°C, the average consumption ranged from 0.3 to 2.8 mg N $\rm L^{-1}$ across different HRTs. At 14°C, $\rm NH_4^+$ consumption ranged between 0.8 and 2.5 mg N $\rm L^{-1}$, while at 7°C, $\rm NH_4^+$ exhibited both consumption and production, with < 1.5 mg N $\rm L^{-1}$ concentration differences between influent and effluent.

3.2 CH₄ formation

Under NO_x-deplete conditions, effluent CH₄ concentrations were highly temperature-dependent and strongly varied between woodchip types (Figure 4A). Effluent CH₄ concentrations were significantly affected by woodchip type (F (3, 24) = 39.4, p < 0.001) and temperature (F (2, 24) = 97.0, p < 0.001), while the interaction of woodchip type and temperature was barely significant (F (6, 24) = 2.4, p = 0.06). CH₄ effluent concentrations significantly differed across temperatures in all tested woodchip types (post-hoc p < 0.05) in the order of maple/cherry > oak/pine > oak > pine.

At 20°C, effluent CH₄ concentrations were 1.0, 3.9, 2.9 and 0.4 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively, corresponding to CH₄ production rates of 0.2, 0.9, 0.6 and 0.08 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 2). At 14°C, CH₄ concentrations were 0.2, 0.7, 0.3 and 0.1 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively, with CH₄ production rates of 0.04, 0.2, 0.07 and 0.02 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹. At 7°C, CH₄ concentrations were 0.06, 0.3, 0.09 and 0.002 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine and pine, respectively, corresponding to CH₄ production rates of 0.01, 0.08, 0.02 and 0.0002 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹.

Under NO_x-replete and NO_x-limited conditions at 20°C, effluent CH₄ concentrations were much lower than under NO_x-limited conditions and inversely correlated with effluent NO_x concentrations (Figure 5A), i.e., elevated effluent CH₄ concentrations were only evident when NO_x was limited (effluent <1 mg N L⁻¹). At 0.5-day, 0.25-day and dynamic HRTs, columns remained NO_x-replete and CH₄ concentrations were always below 0.4 mg C L⁻¹. Average effluent CH₄ concentrations



for oak, maple/cherry and oak/pine were 0.7, 2.2, and 1.4 mg C L⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 3) at 2-day HRT with NO_x-limited conditions and 0.4, 0.9, and 0.4 at 1-day HRT with NO_x-replete (oak/pine, 1 maple/cherry column) or NO_x-limited conditions (oak and 2 of the maple/cherry columns). Pine columns never became NO_x-limited and average effluent CH₄ concentrations remained below 0.005 mg C L⁻¹.

3.3 N₂O formation

Influent N_2O concentrations ranged from 0.61 to 0.86 µg N L⁻¹, with N_2O being either consumed or produced throughout the experiment.

Elevated N₂O concentrations in effluent were detected only under NO_x-replete conditions. The relationship between effluent NO_x and effluent N₂O was relatively weak (R² = 0.08, Figure 5B), but effluent N₂O concentrations remained consistently low under NO_x-limited conditions. For example, at 2-day HRT, effluent N₂O concentrations were below 0.3 μ g N L⁻¹. When NO_x was limited at 1-day HRT, effluent N₂O levels from oak and maple/ cherry columns were below 7 μ g N L⁻¹.

Maximum average N_2O concentrations were 36.1, 13.0, 74.6, and 51.2 µg N L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, without a consistent relationship with HRTs (Supplementary Table 3). The highest N_2O production rates were observed at a 0.25-day HRT, with average effluent N_2O concentrations reaching 19.9, 11.9, 74.6, and 35.6 μg N L^{-1} for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, corresponding to N_2O production rates of 34.0, 19.8, 130.7, and 61.7 mg N m $^{-3}$ d $^{-1}$.

3.4 TOC release

Influent TOC concentrations varied between 0.9 and 2.3 mg C L⁻¹, and TOC concentrations generally increased in the columns throughout the experiment. Under NO_x-deplete conditions at 20°C, TOC concentrations increased by 44.3, 4.5, 1.9, and 1.4 mg C L⁻¹ in oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine columns, respectively (Figure 4B), corresponding to TOC production rates of 10.1, 1.0, 0.4, and 0.3 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 4). At 14°C, TOC concentrations increased by 21.6, 8.5, 7.7, and 0.6 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, yielding production rates of 4.9, 1.9, 1.7, and 0.1 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹. At 7°C, TOC concentrations increased by 6.3, 3.0, 1.7, and 0.5 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, corresponding to production rates of 1.4, 0.7, 0.4, and 0.1 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹.

TOC production under NO_x -deplete conditions was significantly affected by the interaction between temperature and woodchip type (F (6, 24) = 8.6, p < 0.001). This interactive effect was characterized by pronounced increase in TOC production with temperature in oak columns (Figure 4B) with mean effluent



concentrations of 8 mg C L⁻¹ at 7°C, 22.8 mg C L⁻¹ at 14°C, and 45.7 mg C L⁻¹ at 20°C. In contrast, mean effluent TOC concentrations in all other woodchip types at all temperatures remained <10 mg C L⁻¹. TOC production in maple/cherry and oak/pine columns were highest at the intermediate temperature of 14°C. In pine, mean effluent TOC concentrations remained <2.8 mg C L⁻¹ across temperatures (Figure 4B).

Under NO_x-replete and NO_x-limited conditions at 20°C, effluent TOC concentrations were inversely correlated with effluent NO_x concentrations (Figure 5C) and were only >8.8 mg C L⁻¹ under NO_x -limited conditions (effluent $NO_x < 1 \text{ mg N } L^{-1}$). At 2-day HRT, mean effluent TOC concentrations were 65.4, 20.6, 14.7, and 3.2 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, corresponding to TOC production rates of 14.6, 4.4, 3.1, and 0.5 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 4). These values were higher than those observed under NO_x-deplete conditions at 20°C. At 1-day HRT (Day 107), mean effluent TOC concentrations were 21.2, 3.2, 2.2, and 1.8 mg C L⁻¹ for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively, with TOC production rates of 9.2, 1.0, 0.6, and 0.4 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹. At 0.5-day and dynamic HRTs, effluent TOC concentrations remained below 2 mg C L⁻¹ for maple/cherry, oak/ pine and pine, and mean TOC production rates were lower than 0.5 g C $m^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}\ d^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}.$ Mean effluent TOC concentrations of oak were 5.1 and 10.7 mg C L^{-1} at 0.5-day and dynamic HRTs, corresponding to TOC production rates of 3.8 and 4.9 g C m⁻³ d⁻¹, respectively (Supplementary Table 4).

3.5 Woodchip media porosity

Primary porosity varied between 0.35 and 0.52, with an average value of 0.45 across all columns (Table 1). Significant differences in primary porosity were observed among different woodchip types (F (3, 9) = 7.7, p < 0.01). The average porosity of oak (0.38) was significantly lower than that of oak/pine (0.48) and pine (0.49) (posthoc, p < 0.05), and barely significantly lower than maple/cherry (0.46) (post-hoc, p = 0.06). The porosities of maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine were similar (post-hoc, p > 0.6). The differences in HRTs between columns were influenced by the variations in porosities, accounting for up to 37% of the observed differences from the average HRTs (Supplementary Table 1).

Air-filled pore spaces ranged between 0 and 0.07, representing $11.5\% \pm 6.6\%$, $6.6\% \pm 2.8\%$, $2.6\% \pm 4.4\%$, and $0\% \pm 4.0\%$ of primary porosity for oak, maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine, respectively.

The oak columns with porosities of 0.35 and 0.38 exhibited on average 1.4-fold higher NO_x removal rates than the third less densely packed oak column with a porosity of 0.42 at 20°C and 14°C, and 2.3-fold higher NO_x removal rates at 7°C.

Woodchip type	Primary porosity from rhodamine tracer test (cm³/cm³)	Pore space occupied by drainable water (cm³/cm³)	Air-filled pore space (cm³/cm³)	Air-filled pore space percentage of primary porosity
Oak	0.38 ± 0.03	0.34 ± 0.05	0.04 ± 0.02	11.5% ± 6.6%
Maple/cherry	0.46 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.004	0.03 ± 0.01	6.6% ± 2.8%
Oak/pine	0.48 ± 0.03	0.47 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.02	2.6% ± 4.4%
Pine	0.49 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.01	0 ± 0.02	0% ± 4.0%

TABLE 1 Primary porosity, pore space occupied by drainable water, and air filled pore space in relation to primary porosity (mean ± SD, n = 3) for different woodchip media.

4 Discussion

4.1 Factors influencing NO_x removal in woodchip-based bioreactors

All woodchip types continued to remove NOx throughout this 1year long experiment after they had been deployed in the field for 5 years. A previous study has reported sustained NOx removal by woodchips for over 15 years (Robertson et al., 2008). At the onset of the experiment, NOx removal rates were elevated before stabilizing after approximately 1 month (Figure 2). Stagnant and presumably anoxic conditions without flow during the 3 months prior to the start of the experiment likely resulted in a buildup of labile organic matter, which promoted NOx removal during the first weeks. Interestingly, columns that dried out during the experimental setup initially showed higher NOx removal rates than those that remained water-saturated, suggesting that unsaturated, oxic conditions amplified this phenomenon. This is consistent to the study (Hathaway et al., 2017), in which aerobic portion of the bioreactor facilitate carbon degradation, enhancing NOx removal rates. NOx removing activity reestablished within 10 days, indicating a high resilience of the denitrifying microbial community to long-term NOx-deplete conditions in water-saturated columns as well as to exposure to O2 in the accidentally drained columns.

Once NO_x removal stabilized, NO_x removal rates were consistently higher in hardwood (oak and maple/cherry) than in softwood (pine), and intermediate in the hardwood/softwood mixture (oak/pine). Under NO_x -replete conditions at 20°C, NO_x removal rates in hardwood (mean of oak and maple/cherry: 9.3 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) and softwood (pine: 2.4 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) were within the range reported in previous studies conducted at similar temperatures (i.e., 2.9–21 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for hardwood and 2.4–16.1 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for softwood; Table 2).

These differences in NO_x removal between woodchip media were consistent across temperatures. At 14°C, NO_x removal rates for hardwood (3.7 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) and softwood (0.4 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹) were close to the range reported for temperatures between 10°C and 17°C (1.7–12.4 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for hardwood and 1.0–3.0 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for softwood; Table 2). Average NO_x removal rates at 7°C were 1.3 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ in hardwood and 0.9 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ in the hardwood/softwood mixture, while there was no NO_x removal in pine. These rates are close to the range of 0.22–1.1 g N m⁻³ d⁻¹ reported for sawdust at temperatures between 6°C and 10°C (Robertson et al., 2008).

Previous studies report inconclusive results with respect to NO_x removal rates by hard-versus softwood woodchips (Table 2), including no significant differences in between hardwoods and softwoods (Cameron and Schipper, 2010), or higher rates in softwood than hardwood (Robertson, 2010), suggesting that factors other than woodchip type, such as woodchip age, surface area, and pore structure, may influence NOx removal rates (Halaburka et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2025). Softwood, such as pine, generally has a lower density, which facilitates greater O₂ penetration, leading to higher decay rates with initially elevated NO_x removal but much faster carbon depletion (Addy et al., 2016). Thus, the age of woodchips may explain the lower NOx removal rates observed for softwood in our study, because pine woodchips in our study had been deployed for 5 years, while pine woodchips used in studies by Cameron and Schipper (2010) and Robertson (2010) were <10 months old.

NO_x removal rates were strongly temperature dependent. The Q10 values of oak, maple/cherry and oak/pine were on average 4.5–4.7 (Table 3), which is similar to Q_{10} value of 4.7 reported by Schmidt and Clark (2013) and 4.95 reported by Robertson et al. (2008), but higher than values of 1.3-2.9 reported by Cameron and Schipper (2010) and Hoover et al. (2016). For pine, the Q10 value derived from the temperature range between 14°C and 20°C was 20.3, one order magnitude higher than Q₁₀ value of 1.2 or 2 reported for pine (Elgood et al., 2010; Warneke et al., 2011). This high Q₁₀ value was driven by the very low NO_x removal rate in pine at 14°C. Apparently, denitrification in aged pine woodchips used in our study was highly temperature dependent and completely ceased at 7°C (Figure 3). Low NO_x removal by pine at low temperatures is consistent with previous findings (Schmidt and Clark, 2013). Pine woodchips in our study (5 years) were older than in the studies by Elgood et al. (2010) (2 years), and Warnecke et al. (2011) (2.5 years), which may explain why appreciable NO_x removal in our study was only observed at 20°C.

Under NO_x-replete conditions, effluent TOC concentrations always remained <15 mg C L⁻¹ (Figure 5C). TOC concentrations increased under NO_x-limited conditions, suggesting the occurrence of fermentation, which produces soluble organic compounds (Tugtas et al., 2010). Low TOC release under NO_x-deplete conditions from all woodchip media (Figure 4B) was likely the major reason for the low NO_x-removal rates at 7°C.

HRT also had a significant effect on NO_x removal rates under NO_x -replete conditions. In theory, doubling HRT should have resulted in a 50% lower NO_x concentration decline, while the

HRT (hr)	Woodchip type	Ageª (yr)	Influent NO _x (mg N L ⁻¹)	T (°C)	Effluent NO _x (mg N L ⁻¹)	NO _x removal rate (g N m ⁻³ d ⁻¹)	References	
24.7	Hardwood (mean of maple/ cherry and oak)	5	29.2-31.6	20	8.8	9.3	This study	
				14	21.9	3.7		
				7	28.8	1.3		
	Softwood (pine)			20	24.0	2.4		
				14	29.1	0.4		
				7	31.9	-0.1		
	Hardwood/Softwood (oak/			20	15.9	6.0		
	pine)			14	25.1	2.2		
				7	29.7	0.9		
21.6	Hardwood/Softwood (oak/ pine)	2	51.9	15	20.4	3.6	Graffam et al. (2020)	
50.4	Hardwood (oak)	n.a	50	10	35.3	4.01	Greenan et al. (2009)	
33-54	Hardwood	0.83	141-159	23.5	128	4.4	Cameron and Schipper (2010)	
				14	149	3.3		
-	Softwood (pine)			23.5	126	4.9		
				14	150	3.0		
n.a. ^c	Hardwood (Eucalyptus) Softwood (pine)	2.5	17.2	27.1	10.4–11.4	2.9 ^b	Warneke et al. (2011)	
			14.4	16.8	9.8–10.0	1.7 ^b		
			17.2	27.1	11.4–12.2	2.4 ^b		
			14.4	16.8	10.1-10.7	1.4^{b}		
12.4	Hardwood	2.5	30.7	10	24.9	8.3	Hoover et al. (2016)	
			33.0	15	24.5	12.4		
			28.3	20	13.5	21.0		
13.0-17.5	Softwood (pine)	0.82	19.5-32.5	10	n.a. ^c	1.0	Healy et al. (2012)	
33.6-36	Sawdust	15	10.2	20-22	2.5-5.0	3.5-6.0	Robertson et al. (2008)	
				6-10	8-8.5	0.22-1.1		
22.8-24.2	Hardwood	Fresh	resh 23.7		5.8	10.8	Robertson (2010)	
	Softwood (pine)	Fresh			3	16.1		
	Avon	2			11.3	8.5		
	Wildwood	7			14.2	6.4		
12	Cottonwood	Fresh	50	5	49.4	0.6	Kouanda and Hua (2021)	
		Fresh		22	40.2	9.8		
		1		22	46.4	3.5		

TABLE 2 NO_x removal rates reported from woodchip column or barrel studies under different operational settings of HRT, woodchip type and age, influent NO_x and temperature.

^aAge refers to the time the woodchips used to stimulate denitrification.

^bThe values were estimated from the data in Table 1 of Warneke et al. (2011).

^cThe value cannot be found in the study.

treated volume of water would have doubled, leading to similar NO_x removal rates. The fact that NO_x removal significantly declined at short HRTs (0.5 and 0.25 days) was likely driven by deeper

penetration of O_2 into the woodchip columns at high flow velocities, leading to a smaller volume of (anoxic) woodchip media contributing to denitrification (Graffam et al., 2020).

Q ₁₀	NO _x removal rate			CH_4 production rate			
Temperature range	7°C–14°C	14°C–20°C	7°C–20°C	7°C–14°C	14°C–20°C	7°C–20°C	
Oak	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.0	16.4	8.6	
Maple/cherry	4.6	4.6	4.6	2.9	16.5	6.5	
Oak/pine	3.9	5.3	4.5	6.9	37.5	15.0	
Pine	NAª	20.3	NAª	NA ^b	6.7	NA ^b	

TABLE 3 Q_{10} values of NO_x removal rates and CH₄ production rates across different temperature ranges for various woodchip media. They represent the factor by which the reported rates would increase when the temperature increases by 10°C.

 $^{a}Q_{10}$ was not evaluated due to negative NO_{x} removal rates at 7°C.

 ${}^{b}\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ was not evaluated due to extremely low baseline rates at 7°C.

4.2 Factors controlling CH₄ release from woodchip-based bioreactors

Across all tested woodchip types at 20°C, effluent CH₄ concentrations of >1 mg C L⁻¹ were only detected if NO_x concentrations in samples were <0.2 mg NL⁻¹, and always mg C L^{-1} in samples were < 0.7 with NO_x concentrations >1 mg N L⁻¹ (Figure 5A), consistent with the expected sequence of organic mineralization pathways based on energy yield from organic matter oxidation with NOx and CO2 (Korom, 1992). Effluent CH₄ concentrations in our study (0.0002-3.9 mg C L⁻¹) were within the range reported in previous studies under NOx-limited conditions (Davis et al., 2019; Elgood et al., 2010). Area-normalized dissolved CH₄ fluxes ranged from 0.0002 to 0.92 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (Supplementary Tables 2, 3), similar to ranges reported by Elgood et al. (2010) and Gibert et al. (2019).

Oak exhibited lower CH4 production than oak/pine and maple/ cherry. This was somewhat surprising because under NO_x-deplete conditions and across the tested temperatures, effluent from oak woodchip media had the highest TOC concentrations (up to 56.3 mg C L⁻¹ at 20°C, Figure 4B). These data suggest that CH₄ production in oak was not limited by TOC availability. We suspect that the low pH measured in oak under NOx-deplete and -limited conditions may have inhibited methanogenesis as reported by Ye et al. (2012). At 20°C under NOx-deplete conditions, average pH in effluent from oak was 4.2, while maple/cherry, oak/pine, and pine maintained a pH of 5.3, 5.5, and 6.4, respectively (Supplementary Figure 2). High TOC production in oak may have led to an accumulation of fermentative by-products like acetate, which can lower pH (Tugtas et al., 2010). This more acidic environment likely contributed reduced CH₄ production in oak columns despite high TOC availability.

The highest effluent CH_4 concentrations were found in maple/ cherry and oak/pine under NO_x -deplete conditions at 20°C. Effluent from these two media had comparably low TOC concentrations, indicating efficient conversion of TOC into CH_4 in the absence of NO_x .

Under NO_x -replete conditions effluent CH_4 concentrations remained low, likely because denitrification was the major pathway of TOC mineralization, although any produced CH_4 could have been oxidized anaerobically, e.g., by nitrate or nitrite reduction (N-AOM, or N-DAMO) (Timmers et al., 2017; Welte et al., 2016).

Effluent CH₄ and TOC concentrations measured at different temperatures suggest differential temperature sensitivity of the major microbial functional groups in the tested woodchip media. CH₄ formation under NO_x-deplete conditions was most temperature dependent (Figure 4A). Average Q₁₀ values were 10, 8.6, 19.8, and 6.7 for oak, maple/cherry, and oak/pine, and pine, respectively (Table 3). Q₁₀ values for CH₄ production were even higher when based on the rates measured at 14°C and 20°C (16.4, 16.5, and 37.5 for oak, maple/cherry, and oak/pine, respectively) indicating the most pronounced increase in methane formation for the temperature increase from 14 to 20°C. TOC production was less temperature-dependent than methane formation and effluent TOC concentrations in oak/pine and maple/cherry were highest at the intermediate temperature (14°C, Figure 4B). High rates of CH₄ formation apparently constrained effluent TOC concentrations in oak/pine and maple/cherry. In contrast, TOC production in oak had an average Q10 of 4.5, similar to the Q10 of NOx removal rates indicating a more balanced TOC release and NOx-removal in oak across the tested temperature range, leading to lower CH₄ production.

4.3 N_2O release from woodchip-based bioreactors

Elevated N₂O concentrations were only detected under NO_x-replete conditions (Figure 5B), consistent with the fact that N₂O is a denitrification intermediate and therefore is expected to be present in partially denitrified effluent. Mean N₂O concentrations varied between 0.12 and 74.6 μ g L⁻¹ which is similar to the range of 40–200 μ g N L⁻¹ reported by Davis et al. (2019) and <1–36 μ g N L⁻¹ reported by Elgood et al. (2010). The maximum N₂O production rate recorded for oak/pine at a HRT of 0.25 days was 130.7 mg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ which is within the range of 28.95–478.43 mg N m⁻³ d⁻¹ reported by Davis et al. (2019) for HRTs of 0.33 and 0.083 days, respectively under NO_x-replete conditions.

The corresponding N₂O fluxes from woodchip media ranged from $-0.17-142.1 \text{ mg N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. At 1-day HRT, N₂O fluxes varied 1.2–9.6 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ which align well with N₂O fluxes of -5.4-14.6 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ reported by Elgood et al. (2010), 9.6 \pm 9.1 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ reported by Gibert et al. (2019), and 0.11–2.15 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ reported by Healy et al. (2012). For similar or longer HRTs. However, in our study higher dissolved N₂O fluxes of up to 142.1 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ were found at the shortest

tested HRTs of 0.5 and 0.25 days. These short HRTs corresponded to hydraulic loading rates of approx. 220 and 440 cm day⁻¹, and at these high velocities, O_2 can be expected to penetrate halfway or even deeper into the columns (Graffam et al., 2020). Deep penetration of oxic water may have interfered with denitrification and may have contributed to high dissolved N_2O fluxes at short HRTs.

4.4 Effects of hydraulics properties of woodchip-based media on nitrogen removal and GHG release

The physical characteristics of woodchip columns, including porosity and woodchip size has been shown to influence NO_x removal rates (Lopez-Ponnada et al., 2017). Increased porosity generally increases HRT, thereby enhancing NO_x removal (Cameron and Schipper, 2012). Conversely, lower porosity, resulting from smaller woodchip size and a more densely packed matrix, can also improve NO_x removal by increasing the surface area of the media (Peterson et al., 2015). Our findings indicate that more densely packed oak columns enhance NO_x removal, despite reduced HRT.

Throughout the experiment gas bubbles were frequently observed through the transparent walls of the columns. Gas bubbles were trapped between woodchips and pea gravel, but moved upwards, e.g., when disturbed during sampling. Eventually gas left the columns through effluent tubing which was obvious during sampling. As a consequence, the liquid volumes collected over a 21-h period (mean: 472 mL) varied by up to 60 mL across columns. The average gas volume collected over a 21-h period was 23 mL, without significant differences between woodchip types (F (3, 8) = 0.63, p = 0.6). The bubbles were likely largely composed of N2 and CO2 that outgassed from supersaturated solution in the denitrifying bioreactors but could also have included N₂O and CH₄. Over the 6-48 h sampling period, different volumes of gas exited each column which may in part explain the high degree of variability in CH₄ and N₂O effluent concentrations from woodchip column replicates. The potential significance of trapped gas release from bioreactors and the roles of woodchip pore structure and sizes have been proposed by McGuire and Reid (2019). Unfortunately, the gas phase in tedlar bags was not analyzed separately in our study. However, the composite liquid and gas sample collected over 6-48 h period was typically stored for several days. Over that time an equilibrium between the gas and liquid phase should have been established and the GHG concentrations measured from a liquid phase subsample represented an inclusive measure of GHG release as our approach included "hot moments" of GHG fluxes (McGuire and Reid, 2019). To better understand NOx removal and GHG formation and transport in different woodchip media, future research is needed to explore the complex interplay between woodchip surface properties, woodchip pore structures, microbial diversity and activity in woodchip media microhabitats at different temperatures and nitrate loading.

4.5 Under- and overtreatment by denitrifying bioreactors and GHG release

GHGs produced in bioreactors may be unproblematic if GHGs are not released to the atmosphere but are removed downstream,

e.g., within the aquifer downstream of PRBs, or-if outgassed - in soil above a bioreactor (Brunton et al., 2024). Nevertheless, any denitrifying bioreactor can - and should - be designed to maximize NO_x removal and minimize the formation of GHGs by providing a sufficiently long HRT to remove all incoming NO_x but avoiding significant overtreatment. GHG formation is minimized when the thickness of a PRB allows for complete removal without overtreatment.

This study highlights the trade-off between under- and overtreatment of a NO_x plume. CH₄ and N₂O did not co-occur at significant concentrations in effluent. Elevated N₂O concentrations were present in effluent only when NO_x was not fully removed consistent with the notion that N₂O is an intermediate during denitrification and thus is expected to be present in partially denitrified effluent. CH₄, on the other hand, only built up when NO_x became depleted within columns and maximal when columns were supplied with NO_x-deplete water, consistent with the idea that under freshwater conditions CO₂ is the next favorable abundant electron acceptor in a woodchip-pea gravel media, once NO_x is depleted.

Groundwater NO_x concentrations and velocities typically vary in space and time and some degree of under and overtreatment is unavoidable. A chosen PRB thickness (or HRT) could lean towards over or undertreatment if the release of either CH₄ or N₂O would pose significantly higher global warming risk. In our study, peak molar concentrations of CH₄ with a global warming potential (GWP) of 27 under NO_x-deplete conditions (overtreatment) were 64-fold higher than peak concentrations of N₂O with a GWP of 273 under NO_x-replete conditions (undertreatment). In a hypothetical scenario with a groundwater NO_x concentration of 10 mg N L⁻¹ and a groundwater discharge of 1 ft³ ft⁻² d⁻¹, the optimal thickness of oak and maple/cherry woodchip-based barriers to achieve complete NO_x removal at 20°C would be 0.9 ft and 1.3 ft, respectively.

If the NO_x concentration were to decrease by half, leading to NO_x depletion halfway through the bioreactors, maximal CH₄ flux is estimated to be 40 mg CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹ for oak and 219 mg CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹ for maple/cherry. Conversely, if NO_x concentration were to increase and NOx was only partially removed, maximal N2O production would be 12 mg $N_2O\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ for oak and 6.2 mg $N_2O\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ for maple/cherry. The related GWP for CH4 release is 1.1 g CO2eq $m^{-2}\;d^{-1}$ for oak and 5.9 g $CO_{2eq}\;m^{-2}\;d^{-1}$ for maple/cherry, and for N_2O release 3.2 g $CO_{2eq}\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ for oak and 1.7 g $CO_{2eq}\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ for maple/cherry. N₂O release during undertreatment and CH₄ release during overtreatment of a NOx plume have therefore relatively similar GWP, so that neither under- or over-treatment is preferable. The optimal designed bioreactor (e.g., HRT or PRB thickness) is therefore somewhat resilient against fluctuations around the expected NOx loading as neither under- nor overtreatment poses a significantly higher global warming risk. A more comprehensive risk assessment should consider the fate of GHGs downstream of the woodchip denitrifying media. For example, CH₄ can be oxidized to CO₂ or N₂O can be reduced to N2 if suitable conditions for these processes exist in the aquifer downstream, in the unsaturated soil above subsurface installations, in marine sediments, or in the overlying water column. More work is needed to assess if (or which) GHGs are more likely to be removed and not be released into the atmosphere.

5 Conclusions

Hardwood woodchip media that had been deployed in a field, and thus had been exposed to water saturated anoxic conditions for 5 years, continued to remove NOx at considerable rates, i.e., 4.3 g N $m^{-3}\ day^{-1}$ at 14°C by oak. NO_x removal rates differed among woodchip types, increased with temperature and were furthermore impacted by the porosity of media with higher N removal rates at lower porosity (i.e., denser packing), despite shorter HRTs. In this study, oak woodchips showed the best performance with high NO_x removal rates and comparably low GHGs formation. NO_x removal by pine was severely reduced at 14°C and ceased at 7°C. This study highlights a tradeoff between under and overtreating NOx plumes in terms of GHG formation: CH4 was only released when water was overtreated and N2O was only released when water was undertreated. Given the variability of groundwater NO_x concentrations, velocities, and temperatures in the field, some degree of under and overtreatment and associated release of GHGs will be unavoidable. However, whether or not GHGs released from media in the subsurface represent a global warming risk will depend on the fate of GHGs downstream of an installation. If a large fraction of the released GHGs would be released to the atmosphere, the estimated fluxes would be at the upper range of reported fluxes from wetlands but given the comparable small cross-section area of subsurface installations even if scaled up significantly, the resultant fluxes would be small. More comprehensive risk assessment should also consider the global warming risk of doing nothing, i.e., enhanced GHGs release than can be attributed to more eutrophic conditions without nitrogen removing interventions (Bonaglia et al., 2024; Brunton et al., 2024).

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

J-AL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing. NV: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing–review and editing.

References

Addy, K., Gold, A. J., Christianson, L. E., David, M. B., Schipper, L. A., and Ratigan, N. A. (2016). Denitrifying bioreactors for nitrate removal: a meta-analysis. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 873–881. doi:10.2134/jeq2015.07.0399

Bonaglia, S., Cheung, H. L. S., Politi, T., Vybernaite-Lubiene, I., McKenzie, T., Santos, I. R., et al. (2024). Eutrophication and urbanization enhance methane emissions from coastal lagoons. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.* 10, 140–150. doi:10.1002/ lol2.10430

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation [NYS-DEC01-C00366GG-3350000] and supported by NYS Center for Clean Water Technology.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Molly Graffam (Cornell Cooperative Extension of Suffolk County) for assistance in column setup and advice on GHG measurement. Ron Paulsen P.G. Principle - Coastline Evaluation Corp is thanked for providing aged woodchip media. We also want to thank NYS Center for Clean Water Technology's personnel, specifically Caitlin Asato for conducting nitrogen and TOC measurement, Rachel Smolinski for helping with experiment maintenance and nitrogen measurements, and Stuart Waugh for general advice on this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1543143/ full#supplementary-material

Brunton, A. M., Zilles, J. L., Cooke, R. A., and Christianson, L. E. (2024). Nitrous oxide and methane production and consumption at five full-size denitrifying bioreactors treating subsurface drainage water. *Sci. Total Environ.* 919, 170956. doi:10.1016/j. scitotenv.2024.170956

Burow, K. R., Nolan, B. T., Rupert, M. G., and Dubrovsky, N. M. (2010). Nitrate in groundwater of the United States, 1991–2003. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* 44, 4988–4997. doi:10. 1021/es100546y

Buxton, H. T., and Modica, E. (1992). Patterns and rates of ground-water flow on long island, New York. *Groundwater* 30, 857–866. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.1992. tb01568.x

Cameron, S. G., and Schipper, L. A. (2010). Nitrate removal and hydraulic performance of organic carbon for use in denitrification beds. *Ecol. Eng.* 36, 1588–1595. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.03.010

Cameron, S. G., and Schipper, L. A. (2012). Hydraulic properties, hydraulic efficiency and nitrate removal of organic carbon media for use in denitrification beds. *Ecol. Eng.* 41, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.11.004

Chen, S., Wang, M., Wu, M., Lu, Y., Fu, A., Gobler, C. J., et al. (2025). Greenhouse gas emission and denitrification kinetics of woodchip bioreactors treating onsite wastewater. *Water Res.* 268, 122562. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2024.122562

Christianson, L., DeVallance, D., Faulkner, J., and Basden, T. (2017). Scientifically advanced woody media for improved water quality from livestock woodchip heavy-use areas. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* 11, 2. doi:10.1007/s11783-017-0909-7

Christianson, L., Helmers, M., Bhandari, A., Kult, K., Sutphin, T., and Wolf, R. (2012). Performance evaluation of four field-scale agricultural drainage denitrification bioreactors in Iowa. *Trans. ASAE.* 55, 2163–2174. doi:10.13031/2013.42508

Chung, J., Rittmann, B. E., Wright, W. F., and Bowman, R. H. (2007). Simultaneous bio-reduction of nitrate, perchlorate, selenate, chromate, arsenate, and dibromochloropropane using a hydrogen-based membrane biofilm reactor. *Biodegradation* 18, 199–209. doi:10.1007/s10532-006-9055-9

Clyde, P., Lee, C., Price, R., Venkatesan, A., and Brownawell, B. (2021). Occurrence and removal of PPCPs from on-site wastewater using nitrogen removing biofilters. *Water Res.* 206, 117743. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2021.117743

Davidson, E. A., Janssens, I. A., and Luo, Y. (2006). On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond Q10. *Glob. Change Biol.* 12, 154–164. doi:10. 1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01065.x

Davis, M. P., Martin, E. A., Moorman, T. B., Isenhart, T. M., and Soupir, M. L. (2019). Nitrous oxide and methane production from denitrifying woodchip bioreactors at three hydraulic residence times. *J. Environ. Manage.* 242, 290–297. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman. 2019.04.055

Elgood, Z., Robertson, W. D., Schiff, S. L., and Elgood, R. (2010). Nitrate removal and greenhouse gas production in a stream-bed denitrifying bioreactor. *Ecol. Eng.* 36, 1575–1580. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.03.011

Ewere, E., White, S., Mauleon, R., and Benkendorff, K. (2024). Soil microbial communities and degradation of pesticides in greenhouse effluent through a woodchip bioreactor. *Environ. Pollut.* 359, 124561. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2024. 124561

Gibert, O., Assal, A., Devlin, H., Elliot, T., and Kalinc, R. M. (2019). Performance of a field-scale biological permeable reactive barrier for *in-situ* remediation of nitrate-contaminated groundwater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 659, 211–220. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2018.12.340

Graffam, M., Paulsen, R., and Volkenborn, N. (2020). Hydro-biogeochemical processes and nitrogen removal potential of a tidally influenced permeable reactive barrier behind a perforated marine bulkhead. *Ecol. Eng.* 155, 105933. doi:10.1016/j. ecoleng.2020.105933

Greenan, C. M., Moorman, T. B., Parkin, T. B., Kaspar, T. C., and Jaynes, D. B. (2009). Denitrification in wood chip bioreactors at different water flows. *J. Environ. Qual.* 38, 1664–1671. doi:10.2134/jeq2008.0413

Halaburka, B. J., LeFevre, G. H., and Luthy, R. G. (2017). Evaluation of mechanistic models for nitrate removal in woodchip bioreactors. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* 51, 5156–5164. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b01025

Hassanpour, B., Giri, S., Pluer, W. T., Steenhuis, T. S., and Geohring, L. D. (2017). Seasonal performance of denitrifying bioreactors in the Northeastern United States: field trials. *J. Environ. Manage.* 202, 242–253. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.06.054

Hathaway, S. K., Bartolerio, N. A., Rodríguez, L. F., Kent, A. D., and Zilles, J. L. (2017). Denitrifying bioreactors resist disturbance from fluctuating water levels. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 5, 35. doi:10.3389/fenvs.2017.00035

Healy, M. G., Ibrahim, T. G., Lanigan, G. J., Serrenho, A. J., and Fenton, O. (2012). Nitrate removal rate, efficiency and pollution swapping potential of different organic carbon media in laboratory denitrification bioreactors. *Ecol. Eng.* 40, 198–209. doi:10. 1016/j.ecoleng.2011.12.010

Hoover, N. L., Bhandari, A., Soupir, M. L., and Moorman, T. B. (2016). Woodchip denitrification bioreactors: impact of temperature and hydraulic retention time on nitrate removal. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 803–812. doi:10.2134/jeq2015.03.0161

Howarth, R. W., and Marino, R. (2006). Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: evolving views over three decades. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51, 364–376. doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364

Huang, X., Guida, S., Jefferson, B., and Soares, A. (2020). Economic evaluation of ionexchange processes for nutrient removal and recovery from municipal wastewater. *npj Clean. Water* 3, 7. doi:10.1038/s41545-020-0054-x Korom, S. F. (1992). Natural denitrification in the saturated zone: a review. *Water Resour. Res.* 28, 1657–1668. doi:10.1029/92WR00252

Kouanda, A., and Hua, G. (2021). Determination of nitrate removal kinetics model parameters in woodchip bioreactors. *Water Res.* 195, 116974. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2021. 116974

Lopez-Ponnada, E. V., Lynn, T. J., Peterson, M., Ergas, S. J., and Mihelcic, J. R. (2017). Application of denitrifying wood chip bioreactors for management of residential non-point sources of nitrogen. *J. Biol. Eng.* 11, 16. doi:10.1186/s13036-017-0057-4

Malone, T. C., and Newton, A. (2020). The globalization of cultural eutrophication in the coastal ocean: causes and consequences. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7, 670. doi:10.3389/fmars. 2020.00670

Manca, F., Wegscheidl, C., Robinson, R., Argent, S., Algar, C., De Rosa, D., et al. (2021). Nitrate removal performance of denitrifying woodchip bioreactors in tropical climates. *Water* 13, 3608. doi:10.3390/w13243608

McGuire, P. M., and Reid, M. C. (2019). Nitrous oxide and methane dynamics in woodchip bioreactors: effects of water level fluctuations on partitioning into trapped gas phases. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 14348–14356. doi:10.1021/acs.est. 9b04829

Nixon, S. W. (1995). Coastal marine eutrophication: a definition, social causes, and future concerns. *Ophelia* 41, 199–219. doi:10.1080/00785236.1995.10422044

Paerl, H. W. (1997). Coastal eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: importance of atmospheric deposition and groundwater as "new" nitrogen and other nutrient sources. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 42, 1154–1165. doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42. 5_part_2.1154

Peterson, I. J., Igielski, S., and Davis, A. P. (2015). Enhanced denitrification in bioretention using woodchips as an organic carbon source. *J. Sustain. Water Built Environ.* 1, 04015004. doi:10.1061/JSWBAY.0000800

Roberts, H. M., and Shiller, A. M. (2015). Determination of dissolved methane in natural waters using headspace analysis with cavity ring-down spectroscopy. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 856, 68–73. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.10.058

Robertson, W. D. (2010). Nitrate removal rates in woodchip media of varying age. *Ecol. Eng.* 36, 1581–1587. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.01.008

Robertson, W. D., and Cherry, J. A. (1995). *In-situ* denitrification of septic-system nitrate using reactive porous-media barriers-field trials. *Ground Water* 33, 99–111. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.1995.tb00266.x

Robertson, W. D., Ptacek, C. J., and Brown, S. J. (2008). Rates of nitrate and perchlorate removal in a 5-year-old wood particle reactor treating agricultural drainage. *Groundw. Monit. Rem* 29, 87–94. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6592.2009. 01231.x

Robertson, W. D., Vogan, J. L., and Lombardo, P. S. (2008). Nitrate removal rates in a 15-year-old permeable reactive barrier treating septic system nitrate. *Groundw. Monit. Rem.* 28, 65–72. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6592.2008.00205.x

Rodellas, V., Garcia-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Feldman, M., and Weinstein, Y. (2015). Submarine groundwater discharge as a major source of nutrients to the Mediterranean Sea. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 112, 3926–3930. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1419049112

Santos, I. R. S., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J., Mwashote, B., Suryaputra, I. G. N. A., and Dittmar, T. (2008). Nutrient biogeochemistry in a Gulf of Mexico subterranean estuary and groundwater-derived fluxes to the coastal ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 53, 705–718. doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0705

Schipper, L. A., and Vojvodić-Vuković, M. (1998). Nitrate Removal from groundwater using a denitrification wall amended with sawdust: field trial. *J. Environ. Qual.* 27, 664–668. doi:10.2134/jeq1998.00472425002700030025x

Schipper, L. A., and Vojvodic-Vukovic, M. (2001). Five years of nitrate removal, denitrification and carbon dynamics in a denitrification wall. *Water Res.* 35, 3473–3477. doi:10.1016/s0043-1354(01)00052-5

Schmidt, C. A., and Clark, M. W. (2013). Deciphering and modeling the physicochemical drivers of denitrification rates in bioreactors. *Ecol. Eng.* 60, 276–288. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.07.041

Sharples, J., Tweddle, J. F., Mattias Green, J. A., Palmer, M. R., Kim, Y.-N., Hickman, A. E., et al. (2007). Spring-neap modulation of internal tide mixing and vertical nitrate fluxes at a shelf edge in summer. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 52, 1735–1747. doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.5.1735

Slomp, C. P., and Van Cappellen, P. (2004). Nutrient inputs to the coastal ocean through submarine groundwater discharge: controls and potential impact. *J. Hydrol.* 295, 64–86. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.02.018

Timmers, P. H. A., Welte, C. U., Koehorst, J. J., Plugge, C. M., Jetten, M. S. M., and Stams, A. J. M. (2017). Reverse methanogenesis and respiration in methanotrophic archaea. *Archaea* 2017, 1–22. doi:10.1155/2017/1654237

Tugtas, A. E., Tezel, U., and Pavlostathis, S. G. (2010). A comprehensive model of simultaneous denitrification and methanogenic fermentation processes. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 105, 98–108. doi:10.1002/bit.22443

Warneke, S., Schipper, L. A., Matiasek, M. G., Scow, K. M., Cameron, S., Bruesewitz, D. A., et al. (2011). Nitrate removal, communities of denitrifiers and adverse effects in

different carbon substrates for use in denitrification beds. *Water Res.* 45, 5463–5475. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.007

Weiss, R., and Price, B. (1980). Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Mar. Chem.* 8, 347–359. doi:10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9

Welte, C. U., Rasigraf, O., Vaksmaa, A., Versantvoort, W., Arshad, A., Op den Camp, H. J. M., et al. (2016). Nitrate- and nitrite-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 8, 941–955. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12487

Wiesenburg, D. A., and Guinasso Jr, N. L. (1979). Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water. J. Chem. Eng. Data 24, 356–360. doi:10.1021/je60083a006

Wittenberg, H., Aksoy, H., and Miegel, K. (2019). Fast response of groundwater to heavy rainfall. J. Hydrol. 571, 837–842. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.02.037

Wrightwood, O. M., Hattaway, M. E., Young, T. M., and Bischel, H. N. (2021). Assessment of woodchip bioreactor characteristics and their influences on joint nitrate and pesticide removal. ACS ES&T Water 2, 106–116. doi:10.1021/acsestwater.1c00277

Ye, R., Jin, Q., Bohannan, B., Keller, J. K., McAllister, S. A., and Bridgham, S. D. (2012). pH controls over anaerobic carbon mineralization, the efficiency of methane production, and methanogenic pathways in peatlands across an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic gradient. *Soil Biol. biochem.* 54, 36–47. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2012.05.015