



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 29 July 2025  
ACCEPTED 29 July 2025  
PUBLISHED 07 August 2025

CITATION  
Frontiers Editorial Office (2025) Retraction:  
Comparative analysis of trade liberalization,  
CO2 emissions, energy consumption and  
economic growth in Southeast asian and latin  
American regions: a structural equation  
modeling approach.  
*Front. Environ. Sci.* 13:1675260.  
doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2025.1675260

COPYRIGHT  
© 2025 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the terms  
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#)  
(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in  
other forums is permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original publication in this  
journal is cited, in accordance with accepted  
academic practice. No use, distribution or  
reproduction is permitted which does not  
comply with these terms.

# Retraction: Comparative analysis of trade liberalization, CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Southeast asian and latin American regions: a structural equation modeling approach

Frontiers Editorial Office\*

## A Retraction of the Original Research

Comparative analysis of trade liberalization, CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Southeast asian and latin American regions: a structural equation modeling approach

by Zeeshan M, Han J, Rehman A, Ullah I, Afridi FEA and Fareed Z (2022). *Front. Environ. Sci.* 10: 854590. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.854590

The journal retracts the 2022 article cited above.

Frontiers Research Integrity Auditing team has investigated and uncovered a network of authors and editors who conducted peer review with undisclosed conflicts of interest and who have engaged in citation manipulation. The investigation identified this article as one for which the integrity of the peer review process has been undermined, resulting in the loss of confidence in the article's findings.

The authors received a communication regarding the retraction and were given a chance to respond, with some discussions still ongoing. This exchange has been recorded by the publisher. The investigation was not able to determine whether all authors, editors, or reviewers were aware of or involved in the misconduct, but this misconduct was significant enough to determine that the scientific integrity of the article cannot be guaranteed.

In adherence to the recommendations of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), the article is retracted. The retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor at Frontiers and the Field Chief Editor of Frontiers in Environmental Science.