

## **OPEN ACCESS**

APPROVED BY

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE

Frontiers Editorial Office,

research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 29 July 2025 ACCEPTED 29 July 2025 PUBLISHED 07 August 2025

### CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2025) Retraction: Effects of inbound tourism on ecological footprint. An application of innovative dynamic panel threshold model.

Front. Environ. Sci. 13:1675527. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2025.1675527

### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Retraction: Effects of inbound tourism on ecological footprint. An application of innovative dynamic panel threshold model

Frontiers Editorial Office\*

# A Retraction of the Original Research Article

Effects of inbound tourism on the ecological footprint. An application of an innovative dynamic panel threshold model

by Li X, Meo MS, Aziz N, Arain H and Ferraz D (2022). Front. Environ. Sci. 10:910999. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.910999

The journal retracts the 2022 article cited above.

Frontiers Research Integrity Auditing team has investigated and uncovered a network of authors and editors who conducted peer review with undisclosed conflicts of interest and who have engaged in citation manipulation. The investigation identified this article as one for which the integrity of the peer review process has been undermined, resulting in the loss of confidence in the article's findings.

The authors received a communication regarding the retraction and were given a chance to respond, with some discussions still ongoing. This exchange has been recorded by the publisher. The investigation was not able to determine whether all authors, editors, or reviewers were aware of or involved in the misconduct, but this misconduct was significant enough to determine that the scientific integrity of the article cannot be guaranteed.

In adherence to the recommendations of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), the article is retracted. The retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor at Frontiers and the Field Chief Editor of Frontiers in Environmental Science.