Why does Earth have such an incredible variety of plants and animals? One little newt helps tell the story. In Crater Lake, the world’s clearest lake, a uniquely colored newt was discovered. Early explorers named it the Mazama newt. For more than 100 years, the newt has been considered a subspecies of the more common rough-skinned newt. A “subspecies” refers to a collection of animals or plants that can interbreed but can often be separated from other individuals of the same species by appearance. Nobody knew exactly how unique the Mazama newt was until scientists took another look. Using a mix of clues, like DNA, skin color, and even poison levels, scientists are cracking the mystery of how the Mazama newt differs from its neighbors. Learning about the newt’s unique characteristics and about other members of the Crater Lake ecosystem is important for protecting biodiversity and lake health.
SO MANY SPECIES ... SO MANY ENVIRONMENTS

For centuries, scientists have been cataloging Earth’s species. Tens of thousands of new species are discovered each year. Some scientists believe the Earth contains 8–10 million species [1]. Plants and insects have the largest variety of species within the macroscopic (large enough to see with our eyes) groups of life. Tropical forests and coral reefs are the most species-rich places on Earth.

The vast number of species shows that Earth contains an unimaginably large number of habitats. These various habitats provide conditions that support the life of one or many species. Different conditions influence where a species can feed, live, grow, and reproduce and do so for generations. Throughout Earth’s history, disturbances like earthquakes, fires, and floods have been destroying habitats and removing species. At the same time, disturbances create new habitats for species to colonize. Some disturbances create barriers that prevent groups of animals from the same species from interacting. Scientists call isolated groups of the same species populations.

If two groups of a single species are separated long enough, new species can evolve. This process takes many thousands of years. It occurs when separation prevents individuals from the same species from breeding and exchanging genes. Genes are unique regions of DNA that code for the traits (physical characteristics) of organisms. Genes can be used to understand how individuals or populations are related. When populations are separated for many generations, genes, traits, and behaviors become different between the two groups. As disturbances on earth occur, so too will the separation of populations and the evolution of new species.

VOLCANOES, EARTHQUAKES, AND FLOOPS ... OH MY!

Volcanoes, earthquakes, floods, melting glaciers, and fires are disturbances that dramatically change habitats. Volcanoes, like Mt. St. Helens, explode, rearrange mountain tops, and deposit ash over large areas. Melting glaciers uncover ground that has not been exposed for thousands of years. Humans also play a role in the creation of habitats. Dams are built, holding back rivers to create lakes in previously dry areas. Disturbances can create new habitats that species can move into.

In Crater Lake National Park, a massive volcanic eruption removed the top of a mountain to create present-day Crater Lake. This started 30,000 years ago, when Mt. Mazama began erupting and changing the landscape where the park now sits. After the last major eruption 8,000 years ago, and with its magma chamber nearly empty, Mt. Mazama collapsed. It left a crater, called a caldera, 8–10 km wide and over 1 km deep. Over the next 1,000 years, snowmelt accumulated and formed
a lake within the caldera—Crater Lake (Figure 1). This lake was isolated from surrounding lakes and nestled within the tall, steep walls of the former volcano’s crater. Animals and plants colonized this new habitat, and this is how the story of the Mazama newt began.
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**Figure 1**
On the right is an artist’s imagined view of Mt. Mazama, a mountain that stood approximately 3,700 m tall in southwest Oregon, USA, before it erupted and collapsed nearly 8,000 years ago. The Crater Lake caldera was formed from this collapse. On the left is a cross-sectional view of Crater Lake today. After the Crater Lake caldera formed, additional eruptions formed Wizard Island. Wizard Island and other volcanoes continue to grow to this day—one of which is shown underwater in this image (Image credit: Elena Hartley at www.elebarts.com).

**THE MAZAMA NEWT**

Early park naturalists focused on one particular Crater Lake colonizer. They noted the unique appearance of Mazama newts and thought that they were a *subspecies* of rough-skinned newts [2]. Subspecies are related species that can interbreed but can typically be distinguished by differences in appearance and DNA sequence. Rough-skinned newts are a common species across the U.S. Pacific Northwest and Canada, from central California to southeast Alaska. Rough-skinned newts are brown on top with a bright, orange-colored underbelly (Figure 2). The orange belly warns others that the newt is poisonous. That is right, the skin of rough-skinned newts contains a deadly poison (called tetrodotoxin) that protects them from predators, including garter snakes. Outside the caldera, rough-skinned newts spend summers in small ponds eating insects. They spend winters in the forest, inside rodent burrows. In high-elevation lakes like Crater Lake, rough-skinned newts have adapted to overwinter within the lake itself!

The current hypothesis for how the Mazama newt evolved is that nearby rough-skinned newts entered the newly formed lake after the dust from the Mt. Mazama explosion had settled. Because of the steep walls of the crater, newts that entered likely did not migrate back out. This means that two groups of rough-skinned newts were separated. The group within the crater began accumulating differences in genes, traits, and behaviors that allowed those newts to survive in Crater Lake, a very different habitat from the one in which their ancestors lived.
We used a series of clues to test the hypothesis that Crater Lake’s newts are different from newts in ponds and lakes outside of the caldera. Specifically, we compared the orange belly color [3], which advertises the newts’ toxicity, as well as the newts’ weight-to-length ratio, which is an indicator of their health. We also compared the poison levels between groups of newts [4]. Additionally, we explored information locked inside newt DNA. While biologists have used clues like color and size for centuries to separate species or populations, genetic tools provide even more powerful clues. Exploring the DNA code can uncover similarities and differences among populations based on their shared history. Think of a family tree that goes back thousands of years!

**CRATER LAKE’S NEWTS ARE ... DIFFERENT**

Crater Lake’s newts have darker bellies, often with black leopard-like splotches or tar-like smears (Figure 2). Newts in Crater Lake are also skinnier compared to newts in habitats outside of the caldera. Interestingly, tetrodotoxin levels in Crater Lake newts are among the lowest levels measured.

Traits such as weight, color, and poison levels are signs that Crater Lake newts might indeed be an unusual group. Such differences can also be due to different environments even within the same population. The evidence that most clearly tells us that Crater Lake newts are different from all other rough-skinned newt populations can be seen by looking at their genes. Every gene has multiple forms that are slightly different
in their genetic code. These differing forms of the same gene are called **alleles**. Groups of newts that are closely related will have similar combinations of alleles. A population of newts that is isolated will have a different set of alleles common to that population. The most common alleles in newts in Crater Lake are different from those of newts anywhere else in Oregon (Figure 3)! In fact, we can tell if a newt came from Crater Lake just by looking at these genetic clues. This is even more striking because all other rough-skinned newts sampled in Oregon tend to be similar genetically, even from ponds hundreds of kilometers apart.

**DIFFERENT, BUT HOW DIFFERENT?**

Differences in traits like color, weight, and poison levels show that newts in Crater Lake have adapted to conditions in Crater Lake. In nature, small variations in traits and genetic codes are expected among individuals or populations. However, the stark differences reported here are evidence that individuals from different populations of the same newt species are not regularly interbreeding and exchanging genes.

When breeding is prevented by a barrier, like a mountain top or a highway, differences in characteristics, behaviors, or allele numbers and proportions can change over time. In the case of the Mazama newt, the steep, rocky caldera walls may have served as a natural barrier. We hypothesize that the near-vertical walls blocked all but an occasional newt from trekking down into the lake. Our DNA data
suggest that this trek into the lake does rarely happen, but the reverse scenario, in which newts from Crater Lake move up the caldera walls to breed in outside ponds, does not happen.

So, if Mazama newts are measurably different than rough-skinned newts but they are not a different species, then what are they? We believe that the differences described here are representative of an isolated population adapted to local conditions—conditions present only within Crater Lake. Adaptations to unique conditions are called **adaptive variation**. Isolation of newts within Crater Lake means that the alleles of the Crater Lake newt population are accumulating measurable differences, generation after generation. Since this population is still part of the wide-ranging rough-skinned newt species, it also means that Crater Lake’s newts are expanding the overall **genetic diversity** for this species. This increased genetic diversity may be slight, but genetic diversity is a component of the overall biodiversity of national parks. Biodiversity, the total number of genes, species, and habitats of an area, is important because it provides a measure of ecosystem health and protecting genetic diversity helps species to better survive changing environments especially with ongoing climate change. In Crater Lake, dark-colored newts have confused scientists for more than a century, but now we better understand how a volcanic disturbance added to the genetic diversity of rough-skinned newts.
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