



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Fabio Grizzi,
Humanitas Research Hospital, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE
Ravi Kumar Sharma
✉ ravisharma1j@gmail.com

RECEIVED 13 December 2023
ACCEPTED 21 December 2023
PUBLISHED 11 January 2024

CITATION
Sharma RK, Chhabra P and Rana SS (2024) Editorial: Pancreatic cystic lesions: aiding in the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. *Front. Gastroenterol.* 2:1355275.
doi: 10.3389/fgstr.2023.1355275

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Sharma, Chhabra and Rana. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Pancreatic cystic lesions: aiding in the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer

Ravi Kumar Sharma^{1*}, Puneet Chhabra²
and Surinder Singh Rana³

¹Department of University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India,

²Department of Gastroenterology, Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS, Trust, Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ³Department of Gastroenterology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

KEYWORDS

pancreatic cystic lesions, mucinous cyst, CEA, cyst fluid analysis, EUS-FNA, KRAS

Editorial on the Research Topic

Pancreatic cystic lesions: aiding in the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic Cystic Lesions (PCLs), recognized as precursor lesions for pancreatic cancer, represent a diverse group of cystic tumors with varying etiologies and malignant potential (1). Over recent decades, advancements in imaging modalities have led to a significant increase in PCL diagnoses. (2) Currently, most diagnosed PCLs are small, with about 50% of patients being asymptomatic and incidental (3). Despite their small size and asymptomatic nature, these PCLs can potentially transform into pancreatic cancer. The management of PCLs is determined by their etiology and malignant potential. For example, mucinous cysts and solid pseudopapillary tumors (SPTs) necessitate surgical intervention, while inflammatory pseudocysts are typically treated endoscopically. Benign cysts, such as serous cystadenomas (SCA), are monitored through follow-ups (4).

Diagnostic approaches for PCLs have significantly evolved over the last two decades. While cytology has limited sensitivity due to low cellularity and radiology struggles to accurately differentiate PCLs, both prove highly useful in the context of malignant or high-risk PCLs.

Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS) has emerged as a crucial tool, providing high-quality images of PCLs, pancreatic duct structure, communication with cysts, pancreatic parenchyma, vascularity, and calcification. EUS-Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA) is instrumental in acquiring cyst fluid for further analysis (4). This fluid offers an opportunity to solve the diagnostic puzzle of PCLs through a multimodal analysis involving EUS-FNA, string tests, biochemical assessments, cytological examinations, tumor markers, and molecular analysis. String tests, which can diagnose mucinous cysts immediately after cyst fluid acquisition, have a sensitivity of about 58%-65% (5).

Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) is considered a reliable marker in the differential diagnosis of mucinous and non-mucinous PCLs. Despite controversies over lower versus higher cutoff values compromising its diagnostic importance, CEA remains the most studied and trusted marker of cyst fluid analysis. Studies from 2000 to 2014 showed cutoff ranges >192-800 ng/mL with sensitivity ranges of 48-73% and specificity between 84-98%. (3, 4). However, studies from 2014 onwards showed lower cutoffs from 30-45ng/ML with

sensitivity ranges of 85–89% and specificity of 96–98% ($P<0.0001$). This lower cutoff could be attributed to the small size of PCLs. (5, 6).

Lower cyst fluid glucose levels have been associated with high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of mucinous versus non-mucinous PCLs (7). Although there is less research on this than CEA, meaning CEA remains the superior marker for differentiating mucinous cysts.

Point mutations in KRAS and GNAS have shown sensitivity of 70–100% and specificity of 95–100% for the diagnosis of mucinous cysts. (5, 8). The NGS gene panel of cyst fluid, including point mutations in KRAS, GNAS, BRAF, NRAS, CDKN2A, CTNNB1, SMAD4, TP53, PIK3CA, RNF43, and VHL, as well as loss of heterozygosity and aneuploidy, can provide an accurate diagnosis of PCLs. The VHL point mutation was exclusively noted in SCA, and GNAS was found to be specific for IPMN. (5, 8, 9).

This current Research Topic includes a variety of contributions in the form of original articles, review articles, novel methods, and case studies. Dhani et al. performed a protein-based exosome biomarker test in liquid biopsy samples for the early diagnosis of pre-cancerous lesions, potentially improving patient outcomes. Sheik et al. used a low volume assay for the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer, demonstrating that as little as 50 μ L of cyst fluid is sufficient to diagnose non-mucinous PCLs without malignant potential. This study could be particularly useful in cases where cyst fluid is scarce. Zhang et al. used an artificial intelligence algorithm for the diagnosis of Serous cystic neoplasm and Mucinous cystic neoplasm, proving that it was more effective than conventional radiology. This study showed that AI tools can be useful in early diagnosis of PCLs. Dai et al. showed that fatty acid metabolism subtypes have prognostic and therapeutic relevance in pancreatic cancer.

The precise differential diagnosis of PCLs necessitates a comprehensive evaluation of all radiological and cytological findings, complemented by an analysis of cyst fluid, which includes Cyst fluid CEA, glucose levels, and molecular markers. This complexity indicates that AI could significantly contribute to future diagnostic processes.

Author contributions

RKS: Writing – original draft. PC: Writing – review & editing.
SSR: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Mizuno S, Isayama H, Nakai Y, Yoshikawa T, Ishigaki K, Matsubara S, et al. Prevalence of pancreatic cystic lesions is associated with diabetes mellitus and obesity: an analysis of 5296 individuals who underwent a preventive medical examination. *Pancreas* (2017) 46(6):801–5. doi: 10.1097/MPA.0000000000000833
- Laffan TA, Horton KM, Klein AP, Berlanstein B, Siegelman SS, Kawamoto S, et al. Prevalence of unsuspected pancreatic cysts on MDCT. *Am J Roentgenol* (2008) 191(3):802–7. doi: 10.2214/AJR.07.3340
- Brugge WR. Diagnosis and management of cystic lesions of the pancreas. *J Gastrointest Oncol* (2015) 6(4):375–388. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2078-6891.2015.057
- Attasarraya S, Pais S, LeBlanc J, McHenry L, Sherman S, DeWitt JM. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration and cyst fluid analysis for pancreatic cysts. *J Pancreas*. (2007) 8(5):553–63. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2006.11.021
- Sharma RK, Bush N, Rana SS, Srinivasan R, Nada R, Gupta R, et al. Lower cyst fluid carcinoembryonic antigen cutoff is helpful in the differential diagnosis of mucinous versus non-mucinous pancreatic cysts. *Indian J Gastroenterol* (2022) 41(4):397–404. doi: 10.1007/s12664-022-01269-w
- Kim D, Margolskee E, Goyal A, Siddiqui MT, Heymann JJ, Rao R, et al. Optimal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) cutoff values in the diagnosis of neoplastic mucinous pancreatic cysts differ among assays. *J Clin Pathol* (2023), jcp-2023-209136. doi: 10.1136/jcp-2023-209136
- McCarty TR, Garg R, Rustagi T. Pancreatic cyst fluid glucose in differentiating mucinous from nonmucinous pancreatic cysts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* (2021) 94:698–712. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2021.04.025
- de la Fuente J, Majumder S. Molecular diagnostics and testing for pancreatic cysts. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol* (2020) 18(1):158–71. doi: 10.1007/s11938-020-00270-6
- Springer S, Wang Y, Dal Molin M, Masica DL, Jiao Y, Kindz I, et al. A combination of molecular markers and clinical features improve the classification of pancreatic cysts. *Gastroenterology* (2015) 149(6):1501–10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.041