Epigenetic control of Epstein–Barr virus transcription – relevance to viral life cycle?

Alison J. Sinclair*

School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK

Edited by:

Silvia Carolina Galvan, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico

Reviewed by:

Yi Huang, University of Pittsburgh, USA Mariana Brait, Johns Hopkins University, USA

*Correspondence:

Alison J. Sinclair, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton, East Sussex BN1 9QG, UK e-mail: a.j.sinclair@sussex.ac.uk DNA methylation normally leads to silencing of gene expression but Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) provides an exception to the epigenetic paradigm. DNA methylation is absolutely required for the expression of many viral genes. Although the viral genome is initially un-methylated in newly infected cells, it becomes extensively methylated during the establishment of viral latency. One of the major regulators of EBV gene expression is a viral transcription factor called Zta (BZLF1, ZEBRA, Z) that resembles the cellular AP1 transcription factor. Zta recognizes at least 32 variants of a 7-nucleotide DNA sequence element, the Zta-response element (ZRE), some of which contain a CpG motif. Zta only binds to the latter class of ZREs in their DNA-methylated form, whether they occur in viral or cellular promoters and is functionally relevant for the activity of these promoters. The ability of Zta to interpret the differential DNA methylation of the viral genome is paramount for both the establishment of viral latency and the release from latency to initiate viral replication.

Keywords: Epstein-Barr virus, CpG-DNA methylation, DNA binding, transcription factor, replication cycle, cancer

In cellular genomes, the methylation of 5' cytosines in CpGdinucleotides leads to recruitment of methyl-DNA binding proteins that co-operate with other epigenetic events to promote the repression of transcriptional activity (reviewed in Wade, 2001; Klose and Bird, 2006; Jones, 2012; Muers, 2013). Although the double-stranded DNA genome of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) γ herpesvirus resides in the nucleus of human cells and carries the hallmarks of cellular chromatin, the viral genome provides an exception to this rule during the replication phase of its life cycle.

EPSTEIN–BARR VIRUS ASSOCIATION WITH MAN

Epstein–Barr virus is an almost ubiquitous human virus, which is transferred from person to person in saliva. Infection results in virus entry into both B-lymphocytes and epithelial cells. EBV promotes the proliferation of infected B-lymphocytes and readily generates immortalized cell lines when infection is undertaken in an *in vitro* culture system. The majority of these immortalized cells are recognized by the host immune system and destroyed but some enter the memory B-cell pool, down regulate EBV gene expression and persist in a latent state. Viral latency can be a longterm event and the association of EBV with an infected individual is considered to be for life. EBV is associated with the development of several types of cancer associated with lymphocytes or epithelial cells, principally Burkitt's lymphoma, Hodgkin's disease, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Primary infection with EBV can also result in infectious mononucleosis (Rickinson and Kieff, 2007).

EPIGENETIC CHANGES DURING THE EBV LIFE CYCLE

Epstein–Barr virus interacts with cells in a complex manner: the virus is either in a latent state in which only a small sub-set of the viral genes are expressed or it undergoes a lytic replication cycle in which the entire repertoire of EBV genes is expressed and viral

progeny are generated (Rickinson and Kieff, 2007). Crucially, the switch from latency to the lytic replication cycle is triggered by physiological stimuli, which can be reproduced in *in vitro* culture systems. It is at this point that the normal epigenetic paradigm is broken.

Following infection, the viral double strand DNA genome is established in the nucleus of the cell where it circularizes to form an episome and then replicates once per cell cycle in synchrony with the host genome. During this time, the majority of the viral promoters are silent, with just a few directing the expression of the latency-associated genes. Many studies of individual viral promoters have demonstrated an inverse correlation between promoter activity and the presence of DNA methylation at CpGdinucleotides within the promoter (reviewed in Minarovits, 2006; Niller et al., 2009). Indeed, recent genome-wide analyses support the contention that the EBV genome is extensively methylated during latency, with only the few active promoter regions spared (Fernandez et al., 2009; Kalla et al., 2010; Woellmer et al., 2012). In contrast, following the onset of the lytic replication cycle, the viral genome becomes largely un-methylated at CpG-dinucleotides (Fernandez et al., 2009). Thus, the majority of the viral genome cycles between an un-methylated and a heavily methylated state (Figure 1).

This biphasic methylation state poses an intriguing question. If the promoters of the genes required for lytic replication are silenced by DNA methylation during latency, how is the silencing overturned? There are no reasons to suspect that the mechanisms involved in gene repression are specific to EBV. First, repressive histone modifications, such as the heterochromatin-associated tri-methylation of lysine 9 (H3K9me3) and polycomb-associated tri-methylation of histone 3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3) marks have been identified on the EBV genome (Murata et al., 2012;



Ramasubramanyan et al., 2012b; Woellmer et al., 2012; reviewed in Murata and Tsurumi, 2013). Second, histone remodeling and the appearance of activating marks such as tri-methylation of lysine 4 on histone 3 (H3K4me3) occurs during the latency/lytic cycle transition (Woellmer et al., 2012). Third, and most importantly, sensitive methylation mapping suggests that no change in DNA methylation status occurs prior to the activation of lytic cycle gene expression (Woellmer et al., 2012).

The surprising finding was that the EBV genome requires DNA methylation to reactivate it from latency (Kalla et al., 2010, 2012). This has been fine-mapped to several EBV lytic cycle gene promoters. In comparison with the control of host gene expression, a requirement for DNA methylation at viral promoters presents a paradox. The key to resolving this paradox rests with the unique properties of the EBV-encoded transcription factor, Zta (BZLF1, ZEBRA, Z, EB1).

THE Zta TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR

Zta is a member of the bZIP family of transcription factors, but it has an unusual dimerization domain, driving the exclusive formation of homodimers (Petosa et al., 2006). Zta contains a classical transactivation domain, which interacts with RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) associated proteins presumably stabilizing RNA pol II at Zta associated promoters (Lieberman and Berk, 1991). Zta interacts with sequence specific motifs (Zta-response elements, ZREs), resembling AP1 sites, within the promoters of responsive genes. Seminal studies from the Kenney lab revealed that at some promoters, the association of Zta with DNA is dependent on CpG methylation (Bhende et al., 2004, 2005; Dickerson et al., 2009). This key observation led to the recognition of different categories of ZRE, depending on the presence of a CpG-dinucleotide in the sequence. The class I (Karlsson et al., 2008) or simple ZREs (Bergbauer et al., 2010), do not contain a CpG and the binding of Zta is independent of methylation. Class III (Karlsson et al., 2008) or Me-ZREs (Bergbauer et al., 2010) do contain a CpG and the binding of Zta is strictly dependent on methylation. At a minority of ZREs, referred to as class II (Karlsson et al., 2008), DNA methylation has an intermediate impact. Importantly, this classification scheme also applies to ZREs in the host cell genome. For example, *Egr1*, which is activated by Zta (Kim et al., 2007) contains a CpG-ZRE that is methylation dependent (Heather et al., 2009). It is not known whether additional mechanisms are in place to aid Zta activation of DNA-methylated compared to non-methylated promoters.

Zta expression is restricted to two phases of the EBV life cycle; immediately after infection and during the EBV lytic replication cycle. Zta is not expressed during viral latency, indeed enforced expression of Zta promotes cells to initiate the lytic replication cycle. Following physiological stimulation of cells harboring latent EBV, Zta is the first viral lytic replication cycle gene to be expressed and then activates the expression of many viral genes. Zta is expressed initially when the viral genome is heavily methylated and remains expressed when the genome is largely non-methylated. Zta interacts with several hundred sites on the viral genome and at about half of these site binding is dependent on the DNA methylation status (Bergbauer et al., 2010; Flower et al., 2011; Ramasubramanyan et al., 2012a). Many of them occur within important promoters that control the expression of genes essential for the EBV lytic replication cycle (Bergbauer et al., 2010; Flower et al., 2011; Ramasubramanyan et al., 2012a,b). Thus, a sub-set of viral lytic replication cycle promoters is dependent on DNA methylation for activation by Zta (Figure 1). This could explain the requirement for genome methylation during the EBV life cycle.

It is puzzling to understand how these methylation-dependent promoters evolved. Why is it advantageous to encode a transcription factor with both methylation-dependent and -independent recognition sites if both classes of ZRE should be equally "visible" to Zta in the methylated state? To understand the driving force behind the differential binding of Zta at ZREs, we need to consider the situation where the EBV genome is non-methylated and the CpG-ZREs become "invisible" (**Figure 1**).

RELEVANCE OF THE NON-METHYATED EBV GENOME

There are two stages in the life cycle of EBV when the differential recognition of methylation sensitive and insensitive ZREs in promoters could occur; in both the viral genome is non-methylated and Zta is expressed (**Figure 1**).

(i) During the late stage of the EBV lytic replication cycle, large numbers of non-methylated viral genomes and Zta protein accumulate within the nucleus. Whether the demethylation occurs via an active or passive process has not been determined. However, it is clear that Zta interacts with the non-methylated EBV genomes that are present during late lytic cycle (Ramasubramanyan et al., 2012a). Indeed, genome-wide comparisons of Zta binding sites revealed that methylation-independent ZREs are preferentially recognized at this stage (Ramasubramanyan et al., 2012a). This suggests that there could be a switch in Zta-orchestrated gene expression between the early and late stages of lytic replication cycle but this will require further investigation.

(ii) Immediately following infection of cells, the nonmethylated EBV genome enters the nucleus, accompanied by a transient burst of Zta expression (Wen et al., 2007; Halder et al., 2009; Kalla et al., 2010). The short-lived nature of this event has thus far precluded a biochemical analysis of Zta binding patterns, but it is clear that only a sub-set of the lytic cycle genes are expressed at this stage and there is no associated generation of infectious virions (Halder et al., 2009; Shannon-Lowe et al., 2009; Kalla et al., 2012). This phase has been termed an abortive

REFERENCES

- Bergbauer, M., Kalla, M., Schmeinck, A., Gobel, C., Rothbauer, U., Eck, S., et al. (2010). CpG-methylation regulates a class of Epstein–Barr virus promoters. *PLoS Pathog*. 6:e1001114. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001114
- Bhende, P. M., Seaman, W. T., Delecluse, H. J., and Kenney, S. C. (2004). The EBV lytic switch protein, Z, preferentially binds to and activates the methylated viral genome. *Nat. Genet.* 36, 1099–1104. doi: 10.1038/ ng1424
- Bhende, P. M., Seaman, W. T., Delecluse,
 H. J., and Kenney, S. C. (2005).
 BZLF1 activation of the methylated form of the BRLF1 immediate-early promoter is regulated by BZLF1 residue 186. *J. Virol.* 79, 7338–7348. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.12.7338-7348.2005
- Dickerson, S. J., Xing, Y., Robinson, A. R., Seaman, W. T., Gruffat, H., and Kenney, S. C. (2009). Methylationdependent binding of the Epstein– Barr virus BZLF1 protein to viral promoters. *PLoS Pathog*, 5:e1000356. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000356
- Fernandez, A. F., Rosales, C., Lopez-Nieva, P., Grana, O., Ballestar,

E., Ropero, S., et al. (2009). The dynamic DNA methylomes of double-stranded DNA viruses associated with human cancer. *Genome Res.* 19, 438–451. doi: 10.1101/gr.083 550.108

- Flower, K., Thomas, D., Heather, J., Ramasubramanyan, S., Jones, S., and Sinclair, A. J. (2011). Epigenetic control of viral life-cycle by a DNAmethylation dependent transcription factor. *PLoS ONE* 6:e25922. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025922
- Halder, S., Murakami, M., Verma, S. C., Kumar, P., Yi, F., and Robertson, E. S. (2009). Early events associated with infection of Epstein–Barr virus infection of primary B-cells. *PLoS ONE* 4:e7214. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007214
- Heather, J., Flower, K., Isaac, S., and Sinclair, A. J. (2009). The Epstein– Barr virus lytic cycle activator Zta interacts with methylated ZRE in the promoter of host target gene egr1. *J. Gen. Virol.* 90, 1450–1454. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.007922-0
- Jones, P. A. (2012). Functions of DNA methylation: islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13, 484–492. doi: 10.1038/nrg3230

lytic cycle or pre-latency step (Woellmer and Hammerschmidt, 2013) and it is postulated that the lack of DNA methylation on the viral genome prevents Zta from activating the full set of lytic replication cycle genes. The advantage to the virus might be that the expression of a limited set of genes provides a boost to the growth or survival of infected cells prior to latency becoming fully established. Indeed, Zta is known to activate the expression of host cytokine genes (Murata and Tsurumi, 2013; Woellmer and Hammerschmidt, 2013) and has a role in the development of lymphomas in a model system (Ma et al., 2011).

CONCLUSION

The EBV genome provides an exception to the epigenetic paradigm of DNA methylation correlating with a silencing of gene expression. The virus also exploits a unique transcription factor to activate genes embedded in methylated DNA. The ability of Zta to differentially recognize methylated sequence elements together with the biphasic methylation cycle of the viral genome suggest that the selection of these properties was driven by the need to differentially regulate binding to different sub-sets of ZREs. Indeed Zta expression during the pre-latency stage and the lytic cycle results in the expression of different sub-sets of target genes, these are related to the location of methylation-dependent or independent ZREs in their promoters and the methylation status of the viral genome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author's research is funded by grants from the Wellcome Trust, UK, the Medical Research Council, UK, and Leukemia and Lymphoma Research UK.

- Kalla, M., Gobel, C., and Hammerschmidt, W. (2012). The lytic phase of Epstein–Barr virus requires a viral genome with 5-methylcytosine residues in CpG sites. *J. Virol.* 86, 447–458. doi: 10.1128/JVI. 06314-11
- Kalla, M., Schmeinck, A., Bergbauer, M., Pich, D., and Hammerschmidt, W. (2010). AP-1 homolog BZLF1 of Epstein–Barr virus has two essential functions dependent on the epigenetic state of the viral genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 850–855. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0911948107
- Karlsson, Q. H., Schelcher, C., Verrall, E., Petosa, C., and Sinclair, A. J. (2008). The reversal of epigenetic silencing of the EBV genome is regulated by viral bZIP protein. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 36, 637–639. doi: 10.1042/BST0360637
- Kim, J. H., Kim, W. S., Kang, J. H., Lim, H. Y., Ko, Y. H., and Park, C. (2007). Egr-1, a new downstream molecule of Epstein–Barr virus latent membrane protein 1. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 623–628. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007. 01.020
- Klose, R. J., and Bird, A. P. (2006). Genomic DNA methylation: the

mark and its mediators. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 31, 89–97. doi: 10.1016/ j.tibs.2005.12.008

- Lieberman, P. M., and Berk, A. J. (1991). The Zta trans-activator protein stabilizes TFIID association with promoter DNA by direct protein–protein interaction. *Genes Dev.* 5, 2441–2454. doi: 10.1101/gad.5.12b.2441
- Ma, S. D., Hegde, S., Young, K. H., Sullivan, R., Rajesh, D., Zhou, Y., et al. (2011). A new model of Epstein– Barr virus infection reveals an important role for early lytic viral protein expression in the development of lymphomas. J. Virol. 85, 165–177. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01512-10
- Minarovits, J. (2006). Epigenotypes of latent herpesvirus genomes. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 310, 61–80. doi: 10.1007/3-540-31181-5_5
- Muers, M. (2013). Gene expression: disentangling DNA methylation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 14, 519. doi: 10.1038/nrg3535
- Murata, T., Kondo, Y., Sugimoto, A., Kawashima, D., Saito, S., Isomura, H., et al. (2012). Epigenetic histone modification of Epstein–Barr virus BZLF1 promoter during latency and reactivation in Raji cells. J. Virol.

86, 4752–4761. doi: 10.1128/JVI. 06768-11

- Murata, T., and Tsurumi, T. (2013). Epigenetic modification of the Epstein–Barr virus BZLF1 promoter regulates viral reactivation from latency. *Front. Genet.* 4:53. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2013.00053
- Niller, H. H., Wolf, H., and Minarovits, J. (2009). Epigenetic dysregulation of the host cell genome in Epstein–Barr virus-associated neoplasia. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 19, 158–164. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2009.02.012
- Petosa, C., Morand, P., Baudin, F., Moulin, M., Artero, J. B., and Muller, C. W. (2006). Structural basis of lytic cycle activation by the Epstein–Barr virus ZEBRA protein. *Mol. Cell* 21, 565–572. doi: 10.1016/ j.molcel.2006.01.006
- Ramasubramanyan, S., Kanhere, A., Osborn, K., Flower, K., Jenner, R. G., and Sinclair, A. J. (2012a). Genomewide analyses of Zta binding to the Epstein–Barr virus genome reveals interactions in both early and late lytic cycles and an epigenetic switch

leading to an altered binding profile. J. Virol. 86, 12494–12502. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01705-12

- Ramasubramanyan, S., Osborn, K., Flower, K., and Sinclair, A. J. (2012b). Dynamic chromatin environment of key lytic cycle regulatory regions of the Epstein–Barr virus genome. J. Virol. 86, 1809–1819. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06334-11
- Rickinson, A., and Kieff, E. (eds). (2007). *Epstein–Barr Virus*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Shannon-Lowe, C., Adland, E., Bell, A. I., Delecluse, H. J., Rickinson, A. B., and Rowe, M. (2009). Features distinguishing Epstein–Barr virus infections of epithelial cells and B cells: viral genome expression, genome maintenance, and genome amplification. J. Virol. 83, 7749–7760. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00108-09
- Wade, P. A. (2001). Methyl CpG binding proteins: coupling chromatin architecture to gene regulation. Oncogene 20, 3166–3173. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204340

- Wen, W., Iwakiri, D., Yamamoto, K., Maruo, S., Kanda, T., and Takada, K. (2007). Epstein–Barr virus BZLF1 gene, a switch from latency to lytic infection, is expressed as an immediate-early gene after primary infection of B lymphocytes. J. Virol. 81, 1037–1042. doi: 10.1128/ JVI.01416-06
- Woellmer, A., Arteaga-Salas, J. M., and Hammerschmidt, W. (2012). BZLF1 governs CpG-methylated chromatin of Epstein–Barr virus reversing epigenetic repression. *PLoS Pathog.* 8: e1002902. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1002902
- Woellmer, A., and Hammerschmidt, W. (2013). Epstein–Barr virus and host cell methylation: regulation of latency, replication and virus reactivation. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 3, 260–265. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2013. 03.005

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any

commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 10 May 2013; accepted: 04 August 2013; published online: 27 August 2013.

Citation: Sinclair AJ (2013) Epigenetic control of Epstein–Barr virus transcription – relevance to viral life cycle? Front. Genet. **4**:161. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2013.00161

This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics.

Copyright © 2013 Sinclair. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.