



Causal Effects of Genetically Predicted Cardiovascular Risk Factors on Chronic Kidney Disease: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study

Hui-Min Liu^{1,2}, Qin Hu³, Qiang Zhang⁴, Guan-Yue Su⁵, Hong-Mei Xiao^{1,2}, Bo-Yang Li^{1,2}, Wen-Di Shen^{1,2}, Xiang Qiu^{1,2}, Wan-Qiang Lv^{1,2} and Hong-Wen Deng^{1,2,6*}

¹ Center of System Biology and Data Information, School of Basic Medical Science, Central South University, Changsha, China, ² Center of Reproductive Health, School of Basic Medical Science, Central South University, Changsha, China, ³ Kangda College of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ⁴ College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, ⁵ Institute of Biomedical Engineering, West China School of Basic Medical Sciences and Forensic Medicine, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁶ Tulane Center of Bioinformatics and Genomics, Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Robert Klein, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, United States

Reviewed by:

David Fardo, University of Kentucky, United States Jing Hua Zhao, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

> *Correspondence: Hong-Wen Deng hdeng2@tulane.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Applied Genetic Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 12 January 2019 Accepted: 16 April 2019 Published: 03 May 2019

Citation:

Liu H-M, Hu Q, Zhang Q, Su G-Y, Xiao H-M, Li B-Y, Shen W-D, Qiu X, Lv W-Q and Deng H-W (2019) Causal Effects of Genetically Predicted Cardiovascular Risk Factors on Chronic Kidney Disease: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. Front. Genet. 10:415. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00415

Observational studies have demonstrated that cardiovascular risk factors are associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, these observational associations are potentially influenced by the residual confounding, including some unmeasured lifestyle factors and interaction risk factors. Two-sample mendelian randomization analysis was conducted in this study to evaluate whether genetically predicted cardiovascular risk factors have a causal effect on the risk of CKD. We selected genetic variants associated with cardiovascular risk factors and extracted the corresponding effect sizes from the largest GWAS summary-level dataset of CKD. Cardiovascular risk factors contain high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). A Bonferroni corrected threshold of P = 0.006 was considered as significant, and 0.006 < P < 0.05 was considered suggestive of evidence for a potential association. Genetically predicted DBP was significantly associated with CKD [odds ratio (OR) was 1.35 (95% confidence interval (CI) (1.10, 1.65); P = 0.004)]. There was suggestive evidence for potential associations between genetically predicted higher HDL cholesterol [OR: 0.88, 95%CI (0.80, 0.98), P = 0.025 and lower adds of CKD, and between higher SBP [OR: 1.36, 95%Cl (1.07, 1.73), P = 0.013] and higher adds of CKD. However, genetically predicted LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, and fasting glucose did not show any causal association with CKD.

Keywords: two-sample mendelian randomization, genome-wide association study, cardiovascular risk factors, chronic kidney disease, causation

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease is a global-health challenge affecting at least 225.7 million people in the world, especially in developing countries, in 2010 (Hill et al., 2016). The prevalence rates of CKD have dramatically increased in the past several decades, which threaten human health seriously (Romagnani et al., 2017). Observational studies have detected a close relationship between increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and CKD, showing that major cardiovascular diseases account for approximately 50% of the causes of death in CKD patients (Heywood et al., 2007). Multiple cardiovascular risk factors, including lipids (Hager et al., 2017), glycemic traits (Ceriello et al., 2017), and blood pressure (Hall et al., 2014; Ceriello et al., 2017), have been reported associated with CKD in observational studies. However, a review reported a reverse effect of CKD on hypertension and dyslipidemia (Schiffrin et al., 2007), demonstrating that CKD can promote hypertension and dyslipidemia, which in turn can lead to the progression of renal failure (Schiffrin et al., 2007). The reverse association between cardiovascular risk factors and CKD might be influenced by potential confounders of unmeasured lifestyle factors.

Mendelian randomization analysis, analogous to randomized controlled trials (RCTs), has been widely performed to investigate the potential causality between genetically predicted environmental factors and diseases (Katan, 2004; Lawlor et al., 2008; Boef et al., 2015). As the genotype is randomly assigned during the meiotic process, MR analysis results will not be distorted by confounders (Emdin et al., 2017), a major limitation of traditional observational studies. Compared with one-sample MR, which extracts the effect estimates for IV-exposure association and IV-outcome association from the same sample, TSMR estimates that these associations in different samples and the estimates are then combined to infer the potential exposure-outcome causal association (Davey Smith and Hemani, 2014).

Recently, a TSMR analysis demonstrated that higher HDL cholesterol concentration was causally associated with better kidney function, while LDL cholesterol or triglyceride concentration showed no association with kidney function (Lanktree et al., 2018). Another MR analysis detected that genetically determined type 2 diabetes (T2D) was causally associated with decreased eGFR in populations of Chinese aged above 40 (Xu et al., 2016). However, no studies have been conducted utilizing TSMR to summarize the causal relationship between cardiovascular risk factors and CKD. Therefore, in this study, we performed TSMR analysis to examine the causal effect of cardiovascular risk factors, including lipids, glycemic traits, and blood pressure on CKD, based on GWAS summary-level data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Sources

We selected genetic variants associated with cardiovascular risk factors, including lipids, glycemic traits and blood pressure, and then extracted the corresponding effect sizes for CKD using the largest GWAS summary-level dataset (Dupuis et al., 2010; Soranzo et al., 2010; Willer et al., 2013; Ehret et al., 2016; Pattaro et al., 2016). No ethical approval was conducted in our study due to this being a re-analysis based on previous collected and published data. Lipids data of HDL cholesterol (n = 187, 167), LDL cholesterol (n = 173, 082), TC (n = 187, 365), and triglyceride (TG, n = 177, 861) were extracted from the GLGC consortium (Willer et al., 2013). We obtained glycemic traits data of fasting glucose (Dupuis et al., 2010) (*n* = 133, 010) and HbA1c (*n* = 46, 368) (Soranzo et al., 2010) from the MAGIC consortium. And blood pressure data (Ehret et al., 2016) of SBP (n = 317, 754) and DBP (n = 317, 756) were extracted from UK Biobank imputed genotype data¹. Additionally, people with eGFR based on serum creatinine (eGFRcrea) < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² were defined as CKD, and the dataset were acquired from CKDGen consortium (n = 117, 165) (Pattaro et al., 2016). All of the dataset were conducted on European populations from RCTs and populationbased cohorts. Genomic control to each sample was applied to correct for inflated test statistics due to potential population stratification in our datasets. Age, sex, and body mass index were all adjusted in regression models of GLGC, MAGIC and UK Biobank, and age and sex were also corrected in CKDGen (Dupuis et al., 2010; Soranzo et al., 2010; Willer et al., 2013; Ehret et al., 2016; Pattaro et al., 2016) (Supplementary Table S1).

Study Design

The genetic variants used as IVs in TSMR analysis must satisfy three assumptions as follows (**Figure 1**): (1) IVs are strongly associated with cardiovascular risk factors, including lipids, glycemic traits, and blood pressure. (2) The IVs are independent of any known confounders. (3) The selected IVs are conditionally independent of CKD, given cardiovascular risk factors and confounders. The second and third assumptions are known as independence from pleiotropy (Bowden et al., 2015). In this study, we used cardiovascular risk factors as the exposures, including HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC and TG for lipids, HbA1c and fasting glucose for glycemic traits, SBP and DBP for blood pressure, and CKD as the outcome to perform the TSMR analysis.

IVs Selection and Validation

Instrumental variables must be associated with cardiovascular risk factors, including HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, fasting glucose, SBP, and DBP. To ensure the close relationship between IVs and cardiovascular risk factors, we selected variants with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ in the corresponding GWAS summary-level dataset. In addition, pairwise-linkage

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GWAS, genome wide association study; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high density lipoprotein; IVs, instrumental variables; IVW, Inverse variance weighted; LD, linkage disequilibrium; LDL, low density lipoprotein; MR, mendelian randomization; OR, odds ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; TSMR, two-sample mendelian randomization.

¹http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2017/9/11/details-and-considerations-of-the-ukbiobank-gwas

²http://www.broadinstitute.org/mpg/snap/ldsearchpw.php



disequilibrium (LD) was calculated by PLINK 1.90 (Purcell et al., 2007) to ensure the independence among selected IVs and SNPs with $r^2 > 0.001$ will be removed from our analysis.

Selected IVs should be independent of any known confounders and conditionally independent of CKD, given the related traits of cardiovascular risk factors. The two assumptions indicate that IVs must influence CKD only through cardiovascular risk factors rather than another pathway (Geng et al., 2018). Firstly, we obtained the corresponding effect estimates of these variables on CKD. For the SNPs that were not available in the CKD, we used proxy SNPs that were highly correlated ($r^2 > 0.8$) based on the SNP Annotation and Proxy (SNAP) search system² (Johnson et al., 2008). Secondly, MR-Egger regression was performed to assess the horizontal pleiotropic (Bowden et al., 2015), which will be introduced in the following statistic analysis. Additionally, we excluded any palindromic SNPs that have minor allele frequency above 0.42 to ensure that the effects of the SNPs on the exposures correspond to the same allele as their effects on CKD (Davey Smith and Hemani, 2014). Moreover, to adjust for potential confounding, GWAS Catalog was used to check for the associations between selected IVs, smoking and type II diabetes (H1bAc and fasting glucose were excluded). Additionally, inspired by the idea of Palmer et al. (2012), we used the F statistic to investigate the association of selected IVs with the exposure on a web application³.

Pleiotropy Assessment

Mendelian randomization-Egger regression was performed to assess the horizontal pleiotropic pathway between IVs and CKD, independent of cardiovascular risk factors (Bowden et al., 2015). MR-Egger regression was developed from Egger regression, which has been used to examine the publication bias in metaanalysis (ref needed here). This approach is expressed as $\alpha_i = \beta \gamma_i + \beta_0$, α_i represents the estimated effect between IVs and CKD; γ_i represents the estimated effect between IVs and cardiovascular risk factors, including HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, fasting glucose, SBP, and DBP; slope β represents the estimated causal effect of cardiovascular risk factors on CKD; intercept β_0 could be explained as the estimated average value of horizontal pleiotropic. Intercept with P > 0.05 indicates no horizontal pleiotropy-corrected causal effect. However, this estimate may be underpowered if the selected SNPs collectively fail to explain a large proportion of the variance in the exposure (Bowden et al., 2015).

TSMR Analysis

In this study, IVW method was used for TSMR analysis to estimate the causal effect between cardiovascular risk factors and CKD (Burgess et al., 2013). The causal effect β was estimated as $w_i(\alpha_i/\gamma_i)$, where *i* refers to the *i*th IV, α_i defines as the association effect of IVs on CKD, γ_i represents the association effect of IVs on cardiovascular risk factors, and w_i means the weights of the causal effect of cardiovascular risk factors on CKD. MR Steiger test was also performed to infer the causal direction between exposures and OKD. It calculates the variance explained in the exposures and outcome by the instrumenting SNPs, and tests if the variance in the outcome is less than the exposures. Given the multiple testing situation, we used a conservative approach and applied a Bonferroni corrected significance level of 0.006 (0.05/8). 0.006 < *P* < 0.05 was considered as suggestive evidence for a potential association.

Robust Adjusted Profile Score

The TSMR might fail if the selected SNPs are weak instruments. Therefore, we carried out a recently proposed method called

³https://sb452.shinyapps.io/overlap

robust adjusted profile score (RAPS) (Zhao et al., 2019) which considers the measurement error in SNP-exposure effects and is unbiased even when there are many (e.g., hundreds of) weak instruments, and is robust to systematic and idiosyncratic pleiotropy. Detailed information about this method please refer to the original paper (Zhao et al., 2019).

Positive Control and Negative Control

Previous studies already reported established causal relationship between coronary artery disease (CAD) and CKD (Jansen et al., 2014), while there is little evidence indicating that cardiovascular risk factors are associated with myopia. To further demonstrate the validity of the selected IVs, we included coronary heart disease and myopia as positive and negative controls in our analysis. The summary statistics of them were respectively derived from CARDIoGRAMplusC4D consortium (Nikpay et al., 2015) and UK Biobank imputed genotype data¹, including 184, 305 and 335, 700 individuals from European population.

Sensitivity Analysis of TSMR

In the current study, weighted median and simple median methods were also applied as the follow-up sensitivity analysis (Geng et al., 2018). Compared with IVW, the weighted median and simple median methods have greater robustness to individual genetics with strongly outlying causal estimates and would generate a consistent estimate of the causal effect when valid IVs exceed 50% (Geng et al., 2018; Hemani et al., 2018). Furthermore, leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was performed to identify if the association was disproportionately influenced by a single SNP. The TSMR analysis is performed again but leaving out each SNP in turn and the overall analysis including all SNPs was shown for comparison (Mokry et al., 2016). All of the analysis was implemented by the "TwoSampleMR" package in R software environment.

RESULTS

Selection and Validation of IVs

We obtained 84, 66, 78, 54, 10, 31, 103, 111 LD-independent ($r^2 < 0.001$) IVs in total that achieved genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) from HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, fasting glucose, SBP and DBP datasets, respectively. Not all of the SNPs were directly found in the CKD dataset; detailed information of all independent IVs in this TSMR analysis were shown in **Supplementary Table S2**. In addition, the intercept term, estimated for the exposures from MR-Egger regression, demonstrated that no horizontal pleiotropic exists in our TSMR analysis (**Table 1**). Moreover, we did not detect a direct association between selected IVs and smoking or type II diabetes (H1bAc and fasting glucose were excluded) in GWAS Catalog.

F statistics were presented to demonstrate the strength of relationship between IVs and exposures, and F statistics greater than 10 are often considered as strong enough to mitigate against any bias of the causal IV estimate. Our selected IVs showed strong strength with F statistics ranging between 802 and 9447 (**Supplementary Table S3**).

TSMR Analysis

According to the IVW analysis results, the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) per 1-SD increase of DBP within CKD was 1.35 (1.10, 1.65) (P = 0.004). There was suggestive evidence for potential associations between genetically predicted higher HDL cholesterol [OR: 0.88, 95%CI (0.80, 0.98), P = 0.025] and lower adds of CKD, and between higher SBP [OR: 1.36, 95%CI (1.07, 1.73); P = 0.013] and higher adds of CKD. However, genetically predicted LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c and fasting glucose were not associated with CKD (**Figure 2**).

Robust Adjusted Profile Score

The results turned out to be consistent with the TSMR results that increased HDL cholesterol decreases the risk of CKD [OR = 0.89, 95% CI (0.79, 0.98), P = 0.025], but increased SBP [OR = 1.37, 95% CI (1.17, 1.56), P = 0.002] and DBP [OR = 1.33, 95% CI (1.14, 1.51), P = 0.003] increases the risk of CKD (**Figure 2**).

MR Steiger Directionality Test

The inferred causal direction between exposures (HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, fasting glucose, DBP, SBP) and CKD were "TRUE" in our MR Steiger test (**Supplementary Table S4**).

Sensitivity Analysis of TSMR

In sensitivity analysis, there was suggestive evidence for potential associations between higher genetically predicted DBP [Weighted median: OR = 1.37, P = 0.013, 95% CI (1.07, 1.76); Simple median: OR = 1.32, P = 0.028, 95% CI (1.03, 1.70)] and SBP [Weighted median: OR = 1.30, P = 0.050, 95% CI (1.10, 1.70); Simple median: OR = 1.45, P = 0.007, 95% CI (1.11, 1.89)] and higher odds of CKD. However, genetically predicted HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c and fasting glucose were not associated with CKD (**Figure 2**). Furthermore, leave-one-out analysis showed a consistent significant causal effect of cardiovascular risk factors on CKD, supporting the robustness of our IVW analysis findings (**Supplementary Table S5**).

TABLE 1 | Mendelian randomization (MR)-Egger regression intercepts.

Exposures	Outcome	MR-Egger regression			
		intercepts (95% CI)	P-value		
HDL cholesterol	CKD	-0.005 (-0.014, 0.004)	0.282		
LDL cholesterol	CKD	0.007 (-0.001, 0.016)	0.080		
TC	CKD	0.002 (-0.007, 0.011)	0.687		
TG	CKD	0.006 (-0.004, 0.016)	0.241		
HbA1c	CKD	-0.006 (-0.034, 0.023)	0.707		
Fasting glucose	CKD	-0.006 (-0.021, 0.01)	0.463		
DBP	CKD	-0.003 (-0.018, 0.013)	0.746		
SBP	CKD	-0.006 (-0.022, 0.011)	0.503		

A significant result (P > 0.05) indicates that the y-intercept of the MR-Egger regression line is not significantly different from zero and thus no pleiotropy exists. MR, mendelian randomization; HDL, high density lipoprotein; CKD, chronic kidney disease; LDL, low density lipoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; CI, confidence interval.

Exposures/Outco	me Methods	nSNP		P-value	OR(95%CI)
HDL cholesterol/CKD	Oliver the second se			0.055	0.005/0.740.4.000
	Simple median	84			0.865(0.746,1.003
	Weighted median	84		0.595	0.963(0.837,1.107
	Inverse variance weighted	84	***		0.884(0.795,0.984
928 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 378 - 37	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	84		0.038	0.899(0.813,0.994
LDL cholesterol/CKD	and a second and a second second	1.1.1			
	Simple median	66		0.584	1.041(0.902,1.2)
	Weighted median	66		0.869	1.012(0.876,1.169
	Inverse variance weighted	66		0.901	0.994(0.908,1.089
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	66	-8-	0.805	1.012(0.923,1.11)
TC/CKD			***		
	Simple median	78		0.842	1.014(0.881,1.169
	Weighted median	78	- -	0.888	0.99(0.862,1.138)
	Inverse variance weighted	78		0.251	0.946(0.862,1.04
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	78		0.258	0.946(0.859,1.042
TG/CKD					
	Simple median	54		0.158	1.138(0.951,1.362
	Weighted median	54		0.245	1.102(0.936,1.297
	Inverse variance weighted	54		0.379	1.06(0.931,1.204
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	54	444	0.295	1.068(0.944,1.21
HbA1C/CKD	recoust asjusted prome secre (re a c)		E	0.200	
norroroto	Simple median	10		- 0.316	1.237(0.816,1.876
	Weighted median	10		- 0.241	1.275(0.85,1.912
	Inverse variance weighted	10		0.337	1.168(0.851,1.6)
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)			0.367	
Easting alugada (CKD	Robust aujusted profile score (RAPS)	10		0.367	1.163(0.838,1.613
Fasting glucose/CKD	Cimete median	0.1	10.00 a 10.00 a 10.00	0.505	1 110/0 70 1 001
	Simple median	31		0.585	1.112(0.76,1.624
	Weighted median	31		0.432	1.151(0.809,1.639
	Inverse variance weighted	31		0.675	1.061(0.806,1.395
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	31		0.363	1.125(0.873,1.451
DBP/CKD	the plant of plant Administration		and the second	07772	
	Simple median	111		0.028	1.323(1.03, 1.699
	Weighted median	111		0.013	1.372(1.068,1.763
	Inverse variance weighted	111		0.004	1.35(1.102,1.654
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	111		0.005	1.34(1.09, 1.649)
SBP/CKD					
	Simple median	103		- 0.007	1.448(1.106,1.893
	Weighted median	103		0.055	1.3(0.995, 1.696)
	Inverse variance weighted	103		0.013	1.355(1.066,1.725
	Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	103		0.004	1.369(1.107,1.692
	72 GL 66 M		1		38 - 38 - 8
		19.0			
		0	1	2	
		2.5	00 (050/ 01)	1000	
			OR (95% CI)		

FIGURE 2 | Iwo-sample mendelian randomization of cardiovascular risk factors and the risk of CKD. CKD was defined as eGFRcrea < 60 mL/min/1.73 m². We used the 1 SD value from HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, TC, TG, HbA1c, fasting glucose, SBP and DBP GWAS summary-level statistics. Results are standardized to a 1-SD increase in exposures; CI, confidence interval; HDL, high density lipoprotein; IVs, instrumental variables; CKD, chronic kidney disease; LDL, low density lipoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Positive and Negative Control

Consistent with the conclusion of previous published paper (Jansen et al., 2014), our analysis demonstrated a causal relationship between all exposures and the positive control (coronary artery disease). As for negative control (myopia), our analysis showed no causal relationship between all exposures and myopia (**Supplementary Table S6**). Independent IVs of CAD and myopia were listed in **Supplementary Tables S7**, **S8**, respectively. Both negative and positive control analysis results suggest that the selected IVs of CKD are appropriate.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, by using genetic variants associated with cardiovascular risk factors as proxies, our TSMR analysis confirmed the causal association between DBP and increased risk of CKD. Genetically predicted SBP showed suggestive evidence for a possible causal association with CKD. We also detected suggestive evidence for an inverse causal association between genetically predicted HDL cholesterol and CKD. However, no evidence was found to support the associations between genetically predicted LDL cholesterol, TC, triglycerides, HbA1c, fasting glucose, and CKD.

Our conclusion of the causal relationship between LDL cholesterol, TC and CKD was consistent with the results of Lanktree et al. (2018). They also reported MR evidence for the association between genetically predicted higher HDL cholesterol and lower odds of CKD, but we did not draw the same conclusion in our sensitivity analysis (Lanktree et al., 2018). This inconsistency may be caused by a different selection of IVs and different analysis methods applied. Previous MR analysis, based on the GLGC and CKDGen dataset, reported a causal effect of HDL cholesterol on kidney function (Coassin et al., 2016). However, our study focused on CKD (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) rather than kidney function, which may be more directional compared with eGFR, due to the CKD's clearly stages definition in clinical diagnosis

(Coassin et al., 2016). Another MR analysis performed in a Chinese population demonstrated a causation between T2D and CKD, but we did not detect a significant causal relationship between glycemic traits (HbA1c and fasting glucose) and CKD in a European population (Xu et al., 2016), which demonstrates the importance of ethnicity in MR analysis.

Observational studies demonstrated that hypertension is almost invariably present in patients with renal failure, which is a powerful risk factor for cardiovascular diseases and CKD (Schiffrin et al., 2007). The renin-angiotensin system and sympathetic nervous system have been considered as important mechanisms involved in the elevation of blood pressure in subjects with CKD (Guyton and Coleman, 1999). The elevation of plasma catecholamine might increase nerve sympathetic traffic in CKD. Serving as a regulator of blood pressure (Converse et al., 1992; Neumann et al., 2004), renalase could metabolize catecholamines through dopamine, epinephrine, and norepinephrine (Xu et al., 2005). Investigators have discovered that renalase is an oxidase expressed mainly in glomeruli and proximal tubules of the kidney and cardiomyocytes (Vaziri et al., 2002). All the detected potential mechanisms suggest the relationship between blood pressure, cardiovascular disease and CKD, but the mechanism remains unclear (Schiffrin et al., 2007). Our current study successfully detected a causal relationship between genetically predicted blood pressure and CKD, which may provide novel evidence to further explain the mechanism of hypertension in CKD.

There are several strengths in our current study. First, we included multiple cardiovascular risk factors (8 in total) as the exposures, hence we were able to include a relatively large number of IVs. Then, to provide relatively consistent causal effect estimates, we also performed sensitivity analysis using several different approaches. In addition, we used a web application³ to investigate the magnitude of bias arising from sample overlap with a conservative value of concentration parameter, and it would not be substantial due to sample overlap in our study. Furthermore, CAD and myopia were used as positive and negative controls, respectively, to demonstrate the validity of selected IVs. However, this study also has some limitations. Firstly, as we only used summary statistics and had no access to the original individual clinical outcome measures, we could not conduct analyses stratified by subtypes of CKD. Secondly, different standards of quality control in individual-level GWAS

REFERENCES

- Boef, A. G., Dekkers, O. M., and Le Cessie, S. (2015). Mendelian randomization studies: a review of the approaches used and the quality of reporting. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 44, 496–511. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv071
- Bowden, J., Davey Smith, G., and Burgess, S. (2015). Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through egger regression. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 44, 512–525. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080
- Burgess, S., Butterworth, A., and Thompson, S. G. (2013). Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 37, 658–665. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21758

may affect our results. Therefore, the results cannot be easily generalized.

Using a genetic approach, we found DBP is causally associated with CKD risk. Furthermore, we provided suggestive evidence that SBP is causally associated with CKD risk and HDL cholesterol is inversely causally associated with CKD. However, additional human and animal studies are still needed to further confirm our TSMR results.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H-ML and H-WD: research idea and study design. H-ML: data acquisition, statistical analysis, and writing the manuscript. H-ML, H-WD, and QH: data analysis and interpretation. G-YS and H-MX: supervision or mentorship. H-ML, QZ, B-YL, W-DS, XQ, and W-QL: new manuscript revision and point to point response to the reviewers. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

H-WD was partially supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant Nos. P50AR055081, R01AR057049, R01AR059781, D43TW009107, P20GM109036, R01MH107354, R01MH104680, R01GM109068, and R01AR069055), the Edward G. Schlieder Endowment fund to Tulane University. We appreciate the support from Central South University in providing necessary support for this collaborative project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank MR-base dataset for providing relevant publicly available summary statistics. Thanks to all the consortiums that provide public data, which have been mentioned in the data sources in this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2019.00415/full#supplementary-material

Ceriello, A., De Cosmo, S., Rossi, M. C., Lucisano, G., Genovese, S., Pontremoli, R., et al. (2017). Variability in HbA1c, blood pressure, lipid parameters and serum uric acid, and risk of development of chronic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* 19, 1570–1578. doi: 10.1111/dom.12976

- Coassin, S., Friedel, S., Kottgen, A., Lamina, C., and Kronenberg, F. (2016). Is highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol causally related to kidney function? evidence from genetic epidemiological studies. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 36, 2252–2258. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.116.308393
- Converse, R. L. Jr., Jacobsen, T. N., Toto, R. D., Jost, C. M., Cosentino, F., Fouad-Tarazi, F., et al. (1992). Sympathetic overactivity in patients with chronic renal failure. N. Engl. J. Med. 327, 1912–1918. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199212313272704

- Davey Smith, G., and Hemani, G. (2014). Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, R89–R98. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu328
- Dupuis, J., Langenberg, C., Prokopenko, I., Saxena, R., Soranzo, N., Jackson, A. U., et al. (2010). New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 105–116. doi: 10.1038/n g.520
- Ehret, G. B., Ferreira, T., Chasman, D. I., Jackson, A. U., Schmidt, E. M., Johnson, T., et al. (2016). The genetics of blood pressure regulation and its target organs from association studies in 342,415 individuals. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1171–1184. doi: 10.1038/ng.3667
- Emdin, C. A., Khera, A. V., and Kathiresan, S. (2017). Mendelian randomization. *Jama* 318, 1925–1926. doi: 10.1001/jama.17219
- Geng, T., Smith, C. E., Li, C., and Huang, T. (2018). Childhood BMI and adult type 2 diabetes, coronary artery diseases, chronic kidney disease, and cardiometabolic traits: a mendelian randomization analysis. *Diabetes care* 41, 1089–1096. doi: 10.2337/dc17-2141
- Guyton, A. C., and Coleman, T. G. (1999). Quantitative analysis of the pathophysiology of hypertension 1969. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 10, 2248–2258.
- Hager, M. R., Narla, A. D., and Tannock, L. R. (2017). Dyslipidemia in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* 18, 29–40. doi: 10.1007/s11154-016-9402-z
- Hall, M. E., do Carmo, J. M., da Silva, A. A., Juncos, L. A., Wang, Z., Hall, J. E., et al. (2014). Obesity, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease. *Int. J. Nephrol. Renovas. Dis.* 7, 75–88. doi: 10.2147/IJNRD.S39739
- Hemani, G., Zheng, J., Elsworth, B., Wade, K. H., Haberland, V., Baird, D., et al. (2018). The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *elife* 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408
- Heywood, J. T., Fonarow, G. C., Costanzo, M. R., Mathur, V. S., Wigneswaran, J. R., Wynne, J., et al. (2007). High prevalence of renal dysfunction and its impact on outcome in 118,465 patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure: a report from the ADHERE database. *J. Card. Fail.* 13, 422–430. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2007.03.011
- Hill, N. R., Fatoba, S. T., Oke, J. L., Hirst, J. A., O'Callaghan, C. A., Lasserson, D. S., et al. (2016). Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 11:e0158765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158765
- Jansen, H., Samani, N. J., and Schunkert, H. (2014). Mendelian randomization studies in coronary artery disease. *Eur. Heart J.* 35, 1917–1924. doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehu208
- Johnson, A. D., Handsaker, R. E., Pulit, S. L., Nizzari, M. M., O'Donnell, C. J., and de Bakker, P. I. (2008). SNAP: a web-based tool for identification and annotation of proxy SNPs using HapMap. *Bioinformatics* 24, 2938–2939. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn564
- Katan, M. B. (2004). Apolipoprotein E isoforms, serum cholesterol, and cancer. 1986. Int. J. Epidemiol. 33:9. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh312
- Lanktree, M. B., Theriault, S., Walsh, M., Walsh, M., and Paré, G. (2018). HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides as risk factors for CKD: a mendelian randomization study. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 71, 166–172. doi: 10.1053/ j.ajkd.2017.06.011
- Lawlor, D. A., Harbord, R. M., Sterne, J. A., Timpson, N., and Davey Smith, G. (2008). Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat. Med.* 27, 1133–1163. doi: 10.1002/sim. 3034
- Mokry, L. E., Ross, S., Timpson, N. J., Sawcer, S., Davey Smith, G., Richards, J. B., et al. (2016). Obesity and multiple sclerosis: a mendelian randomization study. *PLoS Med.* 13:e1002053. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002053

- Neumann, J., Ligtenberg, G., Klein, I. I., Koomans, H. A., and Blankestijn, P. J. (2004). Sympathetic hyperactivity in chronic kidney disease: pathogenesis, clinical relevance, and treatment. *Kidney Int.* 65, 1568–1576. doi: 10.1111/j. 1523-1755.2004.00552.x
- Nikpay, M., Goel, A., Won, H. H., Hall, L. M., Willenborg, C., Kanoni, S., et al. (2015). A comprehensive 1,000 genomes-based genome-wide association metaanalysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1121–1130. doi: 10.1038/ng. 3396
- Palmer, T. M., Lawlor, D. A., Harbord, R. M., Sheehan, N. A., Tobias, J. H., Timpson, N. J., et al. (2012). Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. *Stat. Methods Med. Res.* 21, 223–242. doi: 10.1177/0962280210394459
- Pattaro, C., Teumer, A., Gorski, M., Chu, A. Y., Li, M., and Mijatovic, V. (2016). Genetic associations at 53 loci highlight cell types and biological pathways relevant for kidney function. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10023. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms10023
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., et al. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 81, 559–575. doi: 10.1086/519795
- Romagnani, P., Remuzzi, G., Glassock, R., Levin, A., Jager, K. J., Tonelli, M., et al. (2017). Chronic kidney disease. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 3:17088. doi: 10.1038/ nrdp.2017.88
- Schiffrin, E. L., Lipman, M. L., and Mann, J. F. (2007). Chronic kidney disease: effects on the cardiovascular system. *Circulation* 116, 85–97.
- Soranzo, N., Sanna, S., Wheeler, E., Gieger, C., Radke, D., Dupuis, J., et al. (2010). Common variants at 10 genomic loci influence hemoglobin A(1)(C) levels via glycemic and nonglycemic pathways. *Diabetes* 59, 3229–3239. doi: 10.2337/ db10-0502
- Vaziri, N. D., Ni, Z., Oveisi, F., Liang, K., and Pandian, R. (2002). Enhanced nitric oxide inactivation and protein nitration by reactive oxygen species in renal insufficiency. *Hypertension* 39, 135–141. doi: 10.1161/hy0102.100540
- Willer, C. J., Schmidt, E. M., Sengupta, S., Peloso, G. M., Gustafsson, S., Kanoni, S., et al. (2013). Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1274–1283. doi: 10.1038/ng.2797
- Xu, J., Li, G., Wang, P., Yao, X., Li, Y., Wu, Y., et al. (2005). Renalase is a novel, soluble monoamine oxidase that regulates cardiac function and blood pressure. *J. Clin. Invest.* 115, 1275–1280. doi: 10.1172/JCI24066
- Xu, M., Bi, Y., Huang, Y., Xie, L., Hao, M., and Zhao, Z. (2016). Type 2 diabetes, diabetes genetic score and risk of decreased renal function and albuminuria: a mendelian randomization study. *Ebiomedicine* 6, 162–170. doi: 10.1016/j. ebiom.2016.02.032
- Zhao, Q., Wang, J., Hemani, G., Bowden, J., and Small, D. S. (2019). Statistical inference in two-sample summary-data mendelian randomization using robust adjusted profile score. arXiv:1801.09652 [Preprint].

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Liu, Hu, Zhang, Su, Xiao, Li, Shen, Qiu, Lv and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.