



The Mutator Phenotype: Adapting Microbial Evolution to Cancer Biology

Federica Natali^{1,2} and Giulia Rancati^{1*}

¹ Institute of Medical Biology (IMB), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore, ²School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

The mutator phenotype hypothesis was postulated almost 40 years ago to reconcile the observation that while cancer cells display widespread mutational burden, acquisition of mutations in non-transformed cells is a rare event. Moreover, it also suggested that cancer evolution could be fostered by increased genome instability. Given the evolutionary conservation throughout the tree of life and the genetic tractability of model organisms, yeast and bacterial species pioneered studies to dissect the functions of genes required for genome maintenance (caretaker genes) or for cell growth control (gatekeeper genes). In this review, we first provide an overview of what we learned from model organisms about the roles of these genes and the genome instability that arises as a consequence of their dysregulation. We then discuss our current understanding of how mutator phenotypes shape the evolution of bacteria and yeast species. We end by bringing clinical evidence that lessons learned from single-cell organisms can be applied to tumor evolution.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Roberta Fraschini, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

Reviewed by:

Michael McDonald, Monash University, Australia Sylvester Holt, INSERM U1081 Institut de recherche sur le cancer et le Vieillissement, France

*Correspondence:

Giulia Rancati giulia.rancati@imb.a-star.edu.sg

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Genetic Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 15 October 2018 Accepted: 05 July 2019 Published: 06 August 2019

Citation:

Natali F and Rancati G (2019) The Mutator Phenotype: Adapting Microbial Evolution to Cancer Biology. Front. Genet. 10:713. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00713 Keywords: mutator phenotype, cell-to-cell heterogeneity, adaptation, selective pressure, asexually reproducing organisms

INTRODUCTION

The mutator phenotype was proposed by Loeb almost 40 years ago to reconcile the observations that while cancer cells display widespread DNA and chromosomal changes (Alexandrov et al., 2013; Loeb, 2016), the rate of spontaneous mutation in somatic cells is low (Milholland et al., 2017). This hypothesis also suggested that an increased genome instability favors cancer evolution. Indeed, by reshuffling cancer cell genomes, the mutator phenotype generates cell-to-cell heterogeneity, which is the presence of cells with different genotypes and phenotypes within a population (Loeb, 2016). Since clonal competition within a tumor mass favors the expansion of fitter cells, the presence of cells with different phenotypes within a cancer sample increases the likelihood that some of them might be more aggressive and ultimately leads to poor patient survival (Greaves, 2015; McGranahan and Swanton, 2017). Thanks to the ease with which they can be grown in the laboratory and the wealth of genetic resources, in the last decades, model organisms have been extensively used to identify and dissect the function of genes required for genome stability. For instance, some of the available yeast genome-wide libraries include the systematic knockout collection (Winzeler et al., 1999; Giaever et al., 2002), the green fluorescent protein-tagged collection (Huh et al., 2003), and loss-of-function alleles for essential genes (Ben-Aroya et al., 2008; Breslow et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011). Though progress in our ability to perform high-throughput screens and to manipulate mammalian cells has greatly improved (Behan et al., 2019), it is still difficult to envision a close future in which large-scale screens performed in model organisms could be easily and cost-effectively

1

Microbial Evolution Adapted for Cancer Biology

reproduced in mammalian cells. A more effective way is to directly translate findings of interesting candidates from model organisms to mammalian cells. For instance, a recent screen in budding yeast showed that, contrary to what was previously thought, heterozygous mutations in gatekeeper genes can cause genome instability (Coelho et al., 2019). Introduction of these mutations in human orthologs triggered genome instability also in human cells (Coelho et al., 2019). Interestingly, only some of the identified genes have been previously found mutated in cancer cells, supporting the idea that findings in budding yeast hold great potential for cancer cell biology.

CELLS LOSING BALANCE

Studies on model organisms have been instrumental to understand mechanisms generating cell-to-cell heterogeneity and its consequence in evolutionary outcomes. While an important route to heterogeneity in yeast is sexual outbreeding (Long et al., 2015; Vazquez-Garcia et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019), this point will not be discussed as evolution of cancer cells is comparable to evolution of asexually reproducing organisms. A route to heterogeneity common between single-cell model organisms and cancer cells involves the dysregulation of the genome integrity network. Initial identification of players of this network in yeast and bacteria was soon followed by the realization that cancer cells carry recurrent mutations in their respective human orthologs and provided ground for key findings. Some popular examples include the identification in cancer cells of cohesion mutations (Hill et al., 2016), of the links between microsatellite instability and the mismatch repair pathway (Kolodner and Marsischky, 1999), and of predicting novel therapeutic targets based on synthetic lethality (Ashworth et al., 2011; Beijersbergen et al., 2017). Though most of the core machinery required for genome maintenance and replication is conserved throughout evolution, there are important exceptions. The most notable differences include the lack of a welldefined nucleotide sequence of the origin of replication in human cells (Gerhardt et al., 2006) and the presence of the human DNA replication inhibitor, geminin (Symeonidou et al., 2012). Yeast cells also lack obvious orthologs of key human DNA repair enzymes, such as breast related cancer antigens 1/2 (BRCA1/2) or poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) (Morales et al., 2014; Walsh, 2015). Given the therapeutic importance of some of these proteins, "humanized" yeast strains carrying cancer-associated mutations in BRCA genes have been generated (Guaragnella et al., 2014; Maresca et al., 2018) and used to screen for novel therapeutics.

Due to space constraints, we briefly summarize the role of key DNA repair genes and DNA replication genes. For a detailed overview of the field, please refer to the reviews by Kunkel and Erie (2015), Lujan et al. (2016), and Liu et al. (2017). For a summary of the genes discussed in this paragraph, please refer to **Table 1**.

Mutations Affecting DNA Polymerases

DNA replication of the lagging and the leading strands depends on the activity of two high-fidelity DNA polymerases, DNA polymerases δ (Pol δ) and ϵ (Pol ϵ), respectively (Maslowska et al., 2018). The faithfulness of the process relies on the accuracy of nucleotide incorporation coupled with the 3'-5' exonuclease proofreading activity (Pavlov and Shcherbakova, 2010). Indeed, biochemical assays showed that while purified human $Pol\delta$ catalyzes one base substitution error every 22,000 nucleotides, the error rate decreased at least 10-fold in presence of a functional proofreading domain (Schmitt et al., 2009). Mouse models lacking a functional Pol δ proofreading activity develop spontaneous cancers at high frequency (Goldsby et al., 2002), confirming in vivo the importance of the domain for genome stability and cancer formation. Moreover, germline mutations in the proof reading domain of Pol δ and Pol ϵ have been identified in a number of families with increased susceptibility to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas (Palles et al., 2013; Heitzer and Tomlinson, 2014). Accordingly with an inability to repair mispaired bases inserted during DNA replication, tumors from affected patients increased the rate of base substitution mutations while maintaining microsatellite stability (Palles et al., 2013). Mutations in the proofreading activity of S. cerevisiae that mimic mutations in tumors resulted in a mutator phenotype and elevated spontaneous base substitution rates (Murphy et al., 2006; Nick McElhinny et al., 2007).

However, Pol δ and Pole mutations outside of the proofreading domain were also mapped in sporadic cancers and cancer cell lines (Briggs and Tomlinson, 2013). Introduction of one of such variant, pol3-R696W (human POLD1-R689W), in heterozygosity in *S. cerevisiae* increased 30-fold the rate of forward mutations. At a biochemical level, pol3-R696W was shown to be an error-prone DNA polymerase with an increased nucleotide misinsertion rate and a specific mutational pattern (Daee et al., 2010) that is consistent with the one observed in colorectal cancer lines bearing the POLD1-R689W variant (Mertz et al., 2017). Collectively, these observations suggest that mutations affecting both the polymerase and the 3'–5' exonuclease domains confer a mutator phenotype that can be translated from bacteria and yeast to human cells.

Mutations Affecting Mismatch Repair Genes

The mismatch repair (MMR) pathway is a conserved surveillance system that recognizes and resolves misincorporated bases (Fishel, 2015). In prokaryotes, the MMR machinery is relatively simple and involves proteins detecting DNA mismatches (MutS), processing the damage (MutH), and bridging these two proteins together (MutL) (Fukui, 2010). While mutations in mutS and mutL human orthologs were found in the germline of patients with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer/Lynch syndrome (HNPCC/LS), and other cancer-predisposing Lynch variant syndromes (Lynch and de la Chapelle, 2003; Morales-Burgos et al., 2008; Wimmer and Kratz, 2010), they also somatically occur in up to 15% of sporadic colorectal, gastric, or endometrial carcinomas (Boland et al., 1998). Experimentally, engineered mice lacking functional MMR proteins are genomically unstable and predisposed to spontaneous cancer onset (Lee et al., 2016). Modeling cancer-related MMR mutations in yeast has been instrumental to dissect the consequences on cellular physiology

TABLE 1 | The table reports a list of mutations discussed in the section "Cells losing balance" and details phenotypic consequences arising from such mutations.

Gene	S. cerevisiae mutator allel	Type of mutation	Mutated domain	Affected function	Phenotype	Human mutator allele	Clinical relevance
POL3	pol3-D321G (Murphy et al., 2006)	Amino acid substitution (Murphy et al., 2006)	Exol motif (Murphy et al., 2006)	Exonuclease proofreading activity (Murphy et al., 2006)	Increased forward mutation rate at <i>CAN1</i> gene (33-fold), reversion at trp1 locus (13-fold), and reversion at his2 locus (100- fold) compared to WT (Murphy et al., 2006)	POLD1-D316G (Barbari and Shcherbakova, 2017)	Mutation identified in colorectal cancer and endometrial cancer (Barbari and Shcherbakova, 2017)
	pol3-C324Y (Murphy et al., 2006)	As above (Murphy et al., 2006)	As above (Murphy et al., 2006)	As above (Murphy et al., 2006)	As above (Murphy et al., 2006)	POLD1-C319Y (Barbari and Shcherbakova, 2017)	Mutation observed in multiple myeloma and brain tumor (Barbari and Shcherbakova, 2017)
	pol3-L612M (Nick McElhinny et al., 2007)	As above (Nick McElhinny et al., 2007)	DNA polymerase motif (Nick McElhinny et al., 2007)	Partitioning of mismatches to the exonuclease active site (Nick McElhinny et al., 2007)	Increased forward mutation rate at <i>CAN1</i> gene (10-fold), compared to WT (Nick McElhinny et al., 2007)	L606M (Shlien et al., 2015)	Mutation observed in biallelic mismatch repair deficiency child brain tumor (Shlien et al., 2015)
	pol3-R696W (Daee et al., 2010)	As above (Daee et al., 2010)	DNA polymerase motif (Daee et al., 2010)	Fidelity of nucleotide incorporation (Daee et al., 2010)	Increased forward mutations at CAN1 locus (65- to 200-fold) compared to WT (Daee et al., 2010)	POLD1-R689W (Mertz et al., 2017)	Mutation identified in the colon cancer cell line DLD1 (Mertz et al., 2017)
MSH2	msh2-G693S (Drotschmann et al., 1999)	As above (Drotschmann et al., 1999)	Walker A motif of <i>MSH2</i> (Drotschmann et al., 1999)	Recognition of base– base mispairs and indels of various size (Drotschmann et al., 1999)	Increase in reverse mutations at lys2::InsE-A14 locus (44- to 10,000-fold) compared to WT (Drotschmann et al., 1999)	hMSH2-G674S (Gammie et al., 2007)	Mutation associated with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) (Gammie et al., 2007)
MSH2-MSH6	MSH2–MSH6 co-overexpression (Chakraborty et al., 2018)	Overexpression (Chakraborty et al., 2018)		Efficiency of other DNA damage repair pathways due to sequestration of factors, such as PCNA (Chakraborty et al., 2018)	As above (Chakraborty et al., 2018)	hMSH2–hMSH6 copy number amplification (Wagner et al., 2016)	Overexpression of MSH2 and MAH6 in oral squamous cell carcinoma from patient's biopsy correlates with poor prognosis (Wagner et al., 2016)
MLH1	mlh1-G64R (Clyne et al., 2009)	Amino acid substitution (Clyne et al., 2009)	ATP binding domain of <i>MLH1</i> (Clyne et al., 2009)	Exonuclease activity (Clyne et al., 2009)	Increase in forward -mutations at CAN1 locus (4- to 8-fold) and reverse mutations at -lys2::InsE-A14 locus (4,000 to 8,000-fold) compared to WT (Clyne et al., 2009)	hMLH1-G67R (Clyne et al., 2009)	Mutation identified in patients with HNPCC (Clyne et al., 2009)
	mlh1-G64E (Clyne et al., 2009)	As above (Clyne et al., 2009)	As above (Clyne et al., 2009)	As above (Clyne et al., 2009)	As above (Clyne et al., 2009)	hMLH1-G67E (Clyne et al., 2009)	Mutation identified in a patient with a family history of atypical cancers, carrying male breast cancer, leiomyosarcoma of the thigh, color cancer, and prostate cancer (Clvne

(Continued)

et al., 2009)

H1	MLH1	Overexpression	Formation of MMR	Increase in forward mutations	Overexpression of MLH1	MIh1 overexpression correlated
	overexpression	from the natural	complexes due to	at CAN1 locus (2- to	is triggered by increased	with genetic instability, advanced
	(Shcherbakova	promoter or from	excessive binding with	26-fold), reverse mutations at	genomic damage	tumor stage, and poor outcome
	and Kunkel, 1999)	ADH1 promoter	MIh1 (Shcherbakova	lys2::InsE-A14 (100 to 8,500-	(Wilczak et al., 2017)	in patients with prostatic cancer
		(Shcherbakova and	and Kunkel, 1999)	fold) and his7-2 (5- to 170-fold)		(Wilczak et al., 2017)
		Kunkel, 1999)		compared to WT (Shcherbakova		
				and Kunkel, 1999)		
	∆ mlh1	Homozygous	Exonuclease activity	As above (Shcherbakova and	Reduced expression of	Downregulation of MLH1
	(Shcherbakova	deletion (haploid)	(Shcherbakova and	Kunkel, 1999)	MLH1 due to promoter	associated with the promoter
	and Kunkel, 1999)	(Shcherbakova and	Kunkel, 1999)		hypermethylation	hypermethylation observed
		Kunkel, 1999)			(Gausachs et al., 2012)	in Lynch syndrome patients
						(Gausachs et al., 2012)
	MLH1/A mlh1 (12)	Heterozygous	As above	As above (Shcherbakova and	As above (Gausachs	As above (Gausachs et al., 2012)
		deletion (diploid)	(Shcherbakova and	Kunkel, 1999)	et al., 2012)	
		(Shcherbakova and	Kunkel, 1999)			
		Kunkel, 1999)				

of a non-functional mismatch repair pathway. For instance, mimicking MMR mutations found in HNPCC (Kurzawski et al., 2002) in yeast cells caused an increase in the rate of spontaneous (Drotschmann et al., 1999) and forward mutations (Clyne et al., 2009). Moreover, consistent with the observation that human cancer cell lines with dysregulation in the expression of the MMR proteins are genomically unstable (Ryan et al., 2017; Wilczak et al., 2017), tinkering with the expression levels of the yeast orthologs in *S. cerevisiae* resulted in significant increase of repeats' instability and forward mutations (Shcherbakova and Kunkel, 1999; Chakraborty et al., 2018).

THE RISE AND THE FALL OF THE MUTATOR PHENOTYPE

While maintenance of genome stability is key for reproductive success of prokaryotes and eukaryotes, laboratory and clinical evidence suggests that tinkering with such pathways favors cellular adaptation and population expansion during exposure to challenges. Below we discuss some such evidence.

Lessons from Model Organisms

Several clinical isolates and natural populations of pathogenic bacteria and fungi were reported to have an enhanced mutation rate mostly mapped to defects in the methyl-directed mismatch repair system (Oliver et al., 2000; Bjorkholm et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2013; Healey et al., 2016), suggesting that a mutator phenotype could be selected in fluctuating or hostile environments, such as the presence of drugs or adaptation to new ecological niches. Experimental studies have supported this idea. For instance, MSH2-defective Cryptococcus neoformans, Candida glabrata, and Cryptococcus deuterogattii strains increased mutation rates and underwent rapid adaptation to antifungal drugs (Healey et al., 2016; Billmyre et al., 2017; Boyce et al., 2017). Similarly, hypermutator Staphylococcus aureus bacteria strains impaired in the DNA mismatch repair pathway developed vancomycin resistance more rapidly than control strains (Schaaff et al., 2002). Moreover, mutant strains defective in DNA repair and characterized by increased mutation rates outcompeted wild-type strains and were fixed in 6 out of 12 E. coli populations in the Long-Term Evolution Experiment (Tenaillon et al., 2016). A link between increased mutation rates and adaptability comes also from observations that impairment in the activity of DNA repair pathways was often found to co-segregate with mutations conferring antibiotic resistance (Gould et al., 2007). At the theoretical level, a mutator phenotype potentially endows populations with a higher adaptability by generating cell-to-cell heterogeneity and a pool of allelic variants on which selection could select upon (Figure 1). Accordingly, mutator $msh2\Delta$ S. cerevisiae strains acquired resistance to the toxic arginine analog canavanine up to 20-fold faster than wild type (Bowers et al., 1999). Adaptive mutations encompassed single-nucleotide misincorporations and deletions of the canavanine influx pump gene (Sokolsky and Alani, 2000). Since such mutations are edited by the MMR pathway, these observations suggest that crippling with DNA replication or repair pathways could generate beneficial

TABLE 1 | Continued



allelic variants. However, mutators with no direct effect on cellular fitness in asexually evolving unicellular organisms could sweep in a population if they are linked to beneficial mutations, a process called mutator hitchhiking. A large body of evidence coming from theoretical and experimental studies in both bacteria and yeast showed that the probability of hitchhiking has been linked to the population size and the fitness effects of beneficial mutations in complex-to-predict scenarios (Taddei et al., 1997; Notley-McRobb et al., 2002; Shaver et al., 2002; Wahl et al., 2002; Andre and Godelle, 2006; Thompson et al., 2006; Gerrish et al., 2007; Gentile et al., 2011; Raynes et al., 2014; Bui et al., 2015; Good and Desai, 2016). For instance, large population sizes are known to increase clonal interference, which has been shown to either delay or enhance fixation of mutators in different conditions (Raynes et al., 2014; Good and Desai, 2016). However, in populations at local fitness minima or experiencing fluctuating environments, mutators can hitchhike to a higher frequency when linked to strong beneficial mutations. It comes, therefore, as no surprise that mutator multidrug-resistant bacterial strains are a common feature of chronic infections, like cystic fibrosis or urinary tract infections (Oliver et al., 2000; Labat et al., 2005; Feliziani et al., 2010; Macia et al., 2014), where bacterial strains endure pulses of antibiotic treatments. In the laboratory, coupling of cycles of antibiotic or carbon source selection with mutagenesis increased the percentage of strains carrying mutations in mismatch repair genes up to 50-100% (Mao et al., 1997), further supporting the notion that fluctuating environments positively select for mutator strains. However, since the vast majority of mutations are detrimental, genome instability in populations at their fitness peaks comes with a cost (Figure 1). Indeed, a general role for asexual pathogenic mutators in the emergence of drug resistance is still being debated, possibly because mutators are selected against once beneficial mutations have been acquired. For instance, mutS mutant S. aureus laboratory strains characterized by a 78-fold increased mutation frequency did not increase the rate of adaptation to vancomycin (O'Neill and Chopra, 2002). Also, MSH2 mutations in C. glabrata clades were shown to be present as polymorphisms within different natural populations that were equally sensitive or resistant to antifungal drugs (Carrete et al., 2018). At the same time, C. glabrata clinical isolates carrying MSH2 mutations did not show increased resistance to azole or echinocandin (Singh et al., 2018). Lastly, an in vivo model for chronic bone infection in the rat showed that MSH2 mutant S. aureus strains carried a decreased fitness and did not acquire antibiotic resistance (Daurel et al., 2007). The reported discrepancies on the effect of mutators on evolving populations of asexual singe-cell organisms could be linked to clonal interference, mutation effects, or population fitness or could be the result of the negative selection that mutators face once beneficial mutations have been acquired. Indeed, laboratory evidence showed that while mutator strains were initially selected for, both bacteria and yeast mutants experienced reduced transmission and recolonization abilities as well as rapid fitness decline upon prolonged passaging (Giraud et al., 2001; Trindade et al., 2010). These observations suggest that mutator strains could be counterselected once adaptation to the novel environment is achieved. Accordingly, mathematical modeling of E. coli population dynamics showed a sharp decline in the frequency of mutator strains once adaptation was achieved (Taddei et al., 1997). At a molecular level, experimental evolution correlated fitness drop of evolving strains with acquisition of detrimental mutations in genes required for optimal fitness (Andersson and Hughes, 1996; Funchain et al., 2000), suggesting that the mutational load of mutator strains could become a selective pressure itself. Accordingly, decreased cellular fitness after prolonged passaging of $msh2\Delta$ mutator S. cerevisiae strains in non-challenging environments was followed by restoration of genome stability by increasing the buffering ability of heat shock proteins (McDonald et al., 2012). Alternatively, restoration of genome stability arose either by acquisition of antimutator suppressor alleles or by replacing the mutator alleles with functional ones through horizontal gene transfer (Denamur et al., 2000; Wielgoss et al., 2013). Taken together, all of this evidence suggests that while the mutator phenotype is initially selected for during adaptation, it could be selected against once adaptive mutations are fixed.

Evidence From Cancer Evolution

Intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity has been observed in early (Jamal-Hanjani et al., 2017) as well as in advanced stages of tumor progression (Caswell and Swanton, 2017; Jamal-Hanjani et al., 2017). Its presence suggests that cancer proceeds through a branched evolutionary pathway (Nowell, 1976; McGranahan and Swanton, 2017). Specifically, single-cell-derived clones carrying different genomes, epigenomes, and karyotypes compete in a non-linear model that favors the expansion and the coexistence of clones containing distinct beneficial mutations under challenging environments (Merlo et al., 2006). The presence of cell-to-cell heterogeneity promotes cancer progression (Gerlinger et al., 2012; Loeb, 2016; McGranahan and Swanton, 2017) by potentially increasing the number of clones with penetrant driver mutations (Jamal-Hanjani et al., 2017), with resistance to drugs or poor environmental conditions (Scalerandi et al., 1999; Calcagno et al., 2010), or immune to interaction with host immune cells (Seliger, 2005).

Cancer cell populations evolve as asexually reproducing organisms and can be modeled as bacteria or mating-type locked laboratory yeast strains. As discussed above, studies on mutator populations in these model organisms indicate that while a mutator phenotype can initially promote adaptation to a variety of selective pressures, it has detrimental effects once adaptive mutations have been fixed. Does this dynamic also occur during cancer progression? We would like to propose this to be the case. In recent years, the tumultuous advances of deep-sequencing technologies increased our ability to perform and analyze large single-cell sequencing data sets (Gerlinger et al., 2012). By looking at mutations present in cancer cells in spatially distinct regions at different stages of cancer progression, a few lessons have emerged. First, consistent with a positive contribution of genome instability to cancer development and evolution in response to challenges, cancer cells display a high level of intraand inter-tumor heterogeneity (McGranahan and Swanton, 2017). Experimentally, several mouse models of genome instability display an increased spontaneous incidence of cancer onset (Liu et al., 2007; Weaver et al., 2007) and increased tumor relapse when challenged by oncogene withdrawal (Sotillo et al., 2010). This suggests that mutator phenotype could increase aggressiveness or drug resistance of cancer cells. Second, early evolution stages of different types of cancers display genome instability. Thanks to the long latency and frequent biopsies patients are subjected to, one of the cancer types that undergoes the most frequent longitudinal sampling is Barrett's esophagus. This neoplastic lesion frequently gives rise to esophageal adenocarcinoma and is associated with a high level of genomic instability (Reid et al., 2001). Consistent with the idea that mutator phenotype is an enabling characteristic of tumor development, heterogeneity of premalignant Barrett's esophagus populations is a prognostic marker that correlates with increased probability of esophageal adenocarcinoma development (Maley et al., 2006). Another line of evidence that a mutator phenotype is an early event comes from clinical evidence that mutations in mismatch repair genes and genome instability occur early in HNPCC and colon cancer evolution. For instance, microsatellite instability was found in premalignant adenomas (Shibata et al., 1996), consistent with the idea that mutations in mismatch repair genes occur prior to hallmark mutation markers for colon cancer (Huang et al., 1996). Lastly, mathematical modeling favors a positive contribution of mutator phenotype in early events of cancer progression leading to rapid tumor growth (Beckman, 2009). Therefore, similarly to yeast and bacteria adaptation to hostile environments, the mutator phenotype can facilitate early stages as well as later stages of cancer evolution. Consistently, it was recently shown that metastatic cells have higher mutations rates than non-metastatic cancer cells (Bertucci et al., 2019). However, extreme genomic instability was reported to have a negative effect on tumor growth, leading to massive cancer cell death (Kops et al., 2004; Janssen et al., 2009). Similarly to what observed in model organisms, it was proposed that excessive mutational burden decreased cellular fitness as cells cannot tolerate high levels of genome instability (Komarova and Wodarz, 2004). Accordingly, clinical evidence suggests that high levels of chromosomal instability are a marker for better prognosis than intermediate ones in nonsmall-cell lung carcinoma (Jamal-Hanjani et al., 2015). Similar observations have been made in other epithelial tumors, such as ovarian and squamous non-small-cell lung cancer and gastric adenocarcinoma (Birkbak et al., 2011). Taken together, all of this clinical evidence suggests that cancer cells, pretty much like mutator yeasts, can evolve adaptive mechanisms to decrease the rate of genome instability once fitter and more aggressive cancer clones have emerged. This view is also supported by recent studies showing that at different stages of tumor progression, cancer cells exhibit distinct types of genome instability (Nik-Zainal et al., 2012). For instance, sequencing of spatially distant clear-cell renal carcinoma masses within patients showed that, while the bulk of the primary tumor was stable and diploid, cells from metastatic regions derived from a tetraploid intermediate and were genomically unstable (Gerlinger et al., 2012). Moreover, phylogenetic reconstruction of breast cancer tissues carrying BRCA mutations showed that while early mutations during cancer development were consistent with patients' germline mutations, late-stage genome instability had a significantly different mutational pattern consistent with localized hypermutation with specific base substation (Nik-Zainal et al., 2012). Taken together, all of this clinical evidence suggests that different types of genome instability of tumor cells can be selected to better adapt to cycles of selective and non-selective environments as well as different selective pressures.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

As speculated above, to better adapt to a variety of different selective and non-selective environments, cancer cells could tinker with their genome instability to either generate cell-to-cell heterogeneity or stabilize fitter clones or change their mutational

REFERENCES

- Alexandrov, L. B., Nik-Zainal, S., Wedge, D. C., Campbell, P. J., and Stratton, M. R. (2013). Deciphering signatures of mutational processes operative in human cancer. *Cell. Rep.* 3 (1), 246–259. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.12.008
- Andersson, D. I., and Hughes, D. (1996). Muller's ratchet decreases fitness of a DNA-based microbe. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93 (2), 906–907. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.93.2.906
- Andre, J. B., and Godelle, B. (2006). The evolution of mutation rate in finite asexual populations. *Genetics* 172 (1), 611–626. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.046680
- Ashworth, A., Lord, C. J., and Reis-Filho, J. S. (2011). Genetic interactions in cancer progression and treatment. *Cell*. 145 (1), 30–38. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.03.020
- Barbari, S. R., and Shcherbakova, P. V. (2017). Replicative DNA polymerase defects in human cancers: consequences, mechanisms, and implications for therapy. DNA Repair (Amst.) 56, 16–25. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2017.06.003
- Beckman, R. A. (2009). Mutator mutations enhance tumorigenic efficiency across fitness landscapes. PLoS One 4 (6), e5860. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005860
- Behan, F. M., Iorio, F., Picco, G., Goncalves, E., Beaver, C. M., Migliardi, G., et al. (2019). Prioritization of cancer therapeutic targets using CRISPR–Cas9 screens. *Nature* 568 (7753), 511–516. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1103-9
- Beijersbergen, R. L., Wessels, L. F. A., and Bernards, R. (2017). Synthetic lethality in cancer therapeutics. *Annu. Rev. Cancer Biol.* 1 (1), 141–161. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-cancerbio-042016-073434
- Ben-Aroya, S., Coombes, C., Kwok, T., O'Donnell, K. A., Boeke, J. D., and Hieter, P. (2008). Toward a comprehensive temperature-sensitive mutant repository of the essential genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell* 30 (2), 248–258. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.02.021
- Bertucci, F., Ng, C. K. Y., Patsouris, A., Droin, N., Piscuoglio, S., Carbuccia, N., et al. (2019). Genomic characterization of metastatic breast cancers. *Nature* 569 (7757), 560–564. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1056-z
- Billmyre, R. B., Clancey, S. A., and Heitman, J. (2017). Natural mismatch repair mutations mediate phenotypic diversity and drug resistance in *Cryptococcus deuterogattii. Elife* 6, e28802. doi: 10.7554/eLife.28802

landscape. Since different types of mutations could allow cells to differently hike the fitness landscape (Pavelka et al., 2010), the ability of cancer cells to switch between different mutational patterns could equip them with different "gears" to successfully adapt to challenges. Therefore, to successfully eradicate cancer cells, strategies to curb their incredible genome plasticity should be found. Given the similarity in the evolution of mutator phenotypes between single-cell model organisms and cancer cells, we predict that dissecting the molecular mechanisms that allow yeast or bacteria to fine-tune their genome instability will pinpoint targets to curb cancer genome plasticity.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FN and GR conceived and wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study is funded by the NRF Investigatorship (ref. no. NRF-NRFI05-2019-0008) awarded to GR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Sebastien Teissier and Realite Design for assisting with Figure 1.

- Birkbak, N. J., Eklund, A. C., Li, Q., McClelland, S. E., Endesfelder, D., Tan, P., et al. (2011). Paradoxical relationship between chromosomal instability and survival outcome in cancer. *Cancer Res.* 71 (10), 3447–3452. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-10-3667
- Bjorkholm, B., Sjolund, M., Falk, P. G., Berg, O. G., Engstrand, L., and Andersson, D. I. (2001). Mutation frequency and biological cost of antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter pylori. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98 (25), 14607–14612. doi: 10.1073/pnas.241517298
- Boland, C. R., Thibodeau, S. N., Hamilton, S. R., Sidransky, D., Eshleman, J. R., Burt, R. W., et al. (1998). A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 58 (22), 5248–5257.
- Bowers, J., Sokolsky, T., Quach, T., and Alani, E. (1999). A mutation in the MSH6 subunit of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH2–MSH6 complex disrupts mismatch recognition. J. Biol. Chem. 274 (23), 16115–16125. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.274.23.16115
- Boyce, K. J., Wang, Y., Verma, S., Shakya, V. P. S., Xue, C., and Idnurm, A. (2017). Mismatch repair of DNA replication errors contributes to microevolution in the pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans. MBio* 8 (3), e00595–17. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00595-17
- Breslow, D. K., Cameron, D. M., Collins, S. R., Schuldiner, M., Stewart-Ornstein, J., Newman, H. W., et al. (2008). A comprehensive strategy enabling highresolution functional analysis of the yeast genome. *Nat. Methods* 5 (8), 711– 718. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1234
- Briggs, S., and Tomlinson, I. (2013). Germline and somatic polymerase epsilon and delta mutations define a new class of hypermutated colorectal and endometrial cancers. J. Pathol. 230 (2), 148–153. doi: 10.1002/path.4185
- Bui, D. T., Dine, E., Anderson, J. B., Aquadro, C. F., and Alani, E. E. (2015). A genetic incompatibility accelerates adaptation in yeast. *PLoS Genet.* 11 (7), e1005407. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005407
- Calcagno, A. M., Salcido, C. D., Gillet, J. P., Wu, C. P., Fostel, J. M., Mumau, M. D., et al. (2010). Prolonged drug selection of breast cancer cells and enrichment of

cancer stem cell characteristics. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 102 (21), 1637–1652. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djq361

- Carrete, L., Ksiezopolska, E., Pegueroles, C., Gomez-Molero, E., Saus, E., Iraola-Guzman, S., et al. (2018). Patterns of genomic variation in the opportunistic pathogen *Candida glabrata* suggest the existence of mating and a secondary association with humans. *Curr. Biol.* 28 (1), 15–27 e17. doi: 10.1016/j. cub.2017.11.027
- Caswell, D. R., and Swanton, C. (2017). The role of tumour heterogeneity and clonal cooperativity in metastasis, immune evasion and clinical outcome. *BMC Med.* 15 (1), 133. doi: 10.1186/s12916-017-0900-y
- Chakraborty, U., Dinh, T. A., and Alani, E. (2018). Genomic instability promoted by overexpression of mismatch repair factors in yeast: a model for understanding cancer progression. *Genetics* 209 (2), 439–456. doi: 10.1534/ genetics.118.300923
- Chopra, I., O'Neill, A. J., and Miller, K. (2003). The role of mutators in the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Drug Resist. Updat.* 6 (3), 137–145. doi: 10.1016/S1368-7646(03)00041-4
- Clyne, M., Offman, J., Shanley, S., Virgo, J. D., Radulovic, M., Wang, Y., et al. (2009). The G67E mutation in hMLH1 is associated with an unusual presentation of Lynch syndrome. *Br. J. Cancer* 100 (2), 376–380. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604860
- Coelho, M. C., Pinto, R. M., and Murray, A. W. (2019). Heterozygous mutations cause genetic instability in a yeast model of cancer evolution. *Nature* 566 (7743), 275–278. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-0887-y
- Daee, D. L., Mertz, T. M., and Shcherbakova, P. V. (2010). A cancer-associated DNA polymerase delta variant modeled in yeast causes a catastrophic increase in genomic instability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107 (1), 157–162. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907526106
- Daurel, C., Prunier, A. L., Chau, F., Garry, L., Leclercq, R., and Fantin, B. (2007). Role of hypermutability on bacterial fitness and emergence of resistance in experimental osteomyelitis due to *Staphylococcus aureus. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 51 (2), 344–349. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2007.00310.x
- Denamur, E., Lecointre, G., Darlu, P., Tenaillon, O., Acquaviva, C., Sayada, C., et al. (2000). Evolutionary implications of the frequent horizontal transfer of mismatch repair genes. *Cell*. 103 (5), 711–721. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00175-6
- Drotschmann, K., Clark, A. B., Tran, H. T., Resnick, M. A., Gordenin, D. A., and Kunkel, T. A. (1999). Mutator phenotypes of yeast strains heterozygous for mutations in the MSH2 gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96 (6), 2970–2975. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.6.2970
- Feliziani, S., Lujan, A. M., Moyano, A. J., Sola, C., Bocco, J. L., Montanaro, P., et al. (2010). Mucoidy, quorum sensing, mismatch repair and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from cystic fibrosis chronic airways infections. *PLoS One* 5 (9), e12669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012669
- Fishel, R. (2015). Mismatch repair. J. Biol. Chem. 290 (44), 26395–26403. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R115.660142
- Fukui, K. (2010). DNA mismatch repair in eukaryotes and bacteria. J. Nucleic Acids 2010, 260512. doi: 10.4061/2010/260512
- Funchain, P., Yeung, A., Lee Stewart, J., Lin, R., Slupska, M. M., and Miller, J. H. (2000). The consequences of growth of a mutator strain of *Escherichia coli* as measured by loss of function among multiple gene targets and loss of fitness. *Genetics* 154 (3), 959–970.
- Gammie, A. E., Erdeniz, N., Beaver, J., Devlin, B., Nanji, A., and Rose, M. D. (2007). Functional characterization of pathogenic human MSH2 missense mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 177 (2), 707–721. doi: 10.1534/ genetics.107.071084
- Gausachs, M., Mur, P., Corral, J., Pineda, M., González, S., Benito, L., et al. (2012). MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in the analytical algorithm of Lynch syndrome: a cost-effectiveness study. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 20 (7), 762–768. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2011.277
- Gentile, C. F., Yu, S. C., Serrano, S. A., Gerrish, P. J., and Sniegowski, P. D. (2011). Competition between high- and higher-mutating strains of *Escherichia coli*. *Biol. Lett.* 7 (3), 422–424. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2010.1036
- Gerhardt, J., Jafar, S., Spindler, M. P., Ott, E., and Schepers, A. (2006). Identification of new human origins of DNA replication by an origin-trapping assay. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26 (20), 7731–7746. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01392-06
- Gerlinger, M., Rowan, A. J., Horswell, S., Math, M., Larkin, J., Endesfelder, D., et al. (2012). Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. N. Engl. J. Med. 366 (10), 883–892. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1113205

- Gerrish, P. J., Colato, A., Perelson, A. S., and Sniegowski, P. D. (2007). Complete genetic linkage can subvert natural selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104 (15), 6266–6271. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0607280104
- Giaever, G., Chu, A. M., Ni, L., Connelly, C., Riles, L., Veronneau, S., et al. (2002). Functional profiling of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Nature* 418 (6896), 387–391. doi: 10.1038/nature00935
- Giraud, A., Matic, I., Tenaillon, O., Clara, A., Radman, M., Fons, M., et al. (2001). Costs and benefits of high mutation rates: adaptive evolution of bacteria in the mouse gut. *Science* 291 (5513), 2606–2608. doi: 10.1126/science.1056421
- Goldsby, R. E., Hays, L. E., Chen, X., Olmsted, E. A., Slayton, W. B., Spangrude, G. J., et al. (2002). High incidence of epithelial cancers in mice deficient for DNA polymerase delta proofreading. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99 (24), 15560–15565. doi: 10.1073/pnas.232340999
- Good, B. H., and Desai, M. M. (2016). Evolution of mutation rates in rapidly adapting asexual populations. *Genetics* 204 (3), 1249–1266. doi: 10.1534/genetics.116.193565
- Gould, C. V., Sniegowski, P. D., Shchepetov, M., Metlay, J. P., and Weiser, J. N. (2007). Identifying mutator phenotypes among fluoroquinolone-resistant strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* using fluctuation analysis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51 (9), 3225–3229. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00336-07
- Greaves, M. (2015). Evolutionary determinants of cancer. Cancer Discov. 5 (8), 806-820. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0439
- Guaragnella, N., Palermo, V., Galli, A., Moro, L., Mazzoni, C., and Giannattasio, S. (2014). The expanding role of yeast in cancer research and diagnosis: insights into the function of the oncosuppressors p53 and BRCA1/2. FEMS Yeast Res. 14 (1), 2–16. doi: 10.1111/1567-1364.12094
- Healey, K. R., Zhao, Y., Perez, W. B., Lockhart, S. R., Sobel, J. D., Farmakiotis, D., et al. (2016). Prevalent mutator genotype identified in fungal pathogen *Candida glabrata* promotes multi-drug resistance. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11128. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms11128
- Heitzer, E., and Tomlinson, I. (2014). Replicative DNA polymerase mutations in cancer. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 24, 107–113. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2013.12.005
- Hill, V. K., Kim, J. S., and Waldman, T. (2016). Cohesin mutations in human cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1866 (1), 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2016.05.002
- Huang, J., Papadopoulos, N., McKinley, A. J., Farrington, S. M., Curtis, L. J., Wyllie, A. H., et al. (1996). APC mutations in colorectal tumors with mismatch repair deficiency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93 (17), 9049–9054. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.17.9049
- Huh, W. K., Falvo, J. V., Gerke, L. C., Carroll, A. S., Howson, R. W., Weissman, J. S., et al. (2003). Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast. *Nature* 425 (6959), 686–691. doi: 10.1038/nature02026
- Jamal-Hanjani, M., A'Hern, R., Birkbak, N. J., Gorman, P., Gronroos, E., Ngang, S., et al. (2015). Extreme chromosomal instability forecasts improved outcome in ER-negative breast cancer: a prospective validation cohort study from the TACT trial. Ann. Oncol. 26 (7), 1340–1346. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdv178
- Jamal-Hanjani, M., Wilson, G. A., McGranahan, N., Birkbak, N. J., Watkins, T. B. K., Veeriah, S., et al. (2017). Tracking the evolution of non-small-cell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 376 (22), 2109–2121. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1616288
- Janssen, A., Kops, G. J., and Medema, R. H. (2009). Elevating the frequency of chromosome mis-segregation as a strategy to kill tumor cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106 (45), 19108–19113. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0904343106
- Kolodner, R. D., and Marsischky, G. T. (1999). Eukaryotic DNA mismatch repair. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 9 (1), 89–96. doi: 10.1016/S0959-437X(99)80013-6
- Komarova, N. L., and Wodarz, D. (2004). The optimal rate of chromosome loss for the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes in cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 101 (18), 7017–7021. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0401943101
- Kops, G. J., Foltz, D. R., and Cleveland, D. W. (2004). Lethality to human cancer cells through massive chromosome loss by inhibition of the mitotic checkpoint. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101 (23), 8699–8704. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0401142101
- Kunkel, T. A., and Erie, D. A. (2015). Eukaryotic mismatch repair in relation to DNA replication. Annu. Rev. Genet. 49, 291–313. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-genet-112414-054722
- Kurzawski, G., Suchy, J., Kladny, J., Safranow, K., Jakubowska, A., Elsakov, P., et al. (2002). Germline MSH2 and MLH1 mutational spectrum in HNPCC families from Poland and the Baltic states. *J. Med. Genet.* 39 (10), E65. doi: 10.1136/ jmg.39.10.e65
- Labat, F., Pradillon, O., Garry, L., Peuchmaur, M., Fantin, B., and Denamur, E. (2005). Mutator phenotype confers advantage in *Escherichia coli* chronic urinary tract infection pathogenesis. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 44 (3), 317–321. doi: 10.1016/j.femsim.2005.01.003

- Lee, K., Tosti, E., and Edelmann, W. (2016). Mouse models of DNA mismatch repair in cancer research. DNA Repair (Amst.) 38, 140–146. doi: 10.1016/j. dnarep.2015.11.015
- Li, J., Vazquez-Garcia, I., Persson, K., Gonzalez, A., Yue, J. X., Barre, B., et al. (2019). Shared molecular targets confer resistance over short and long evolutionary timescales. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 36 (4), 691–708. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msz006
- Li, Z., Vizeacoumar, F. J., Bahr, S., Li, J., Warringer, J., Vizeacoumar, F. S., et al. (2011). Systematic exploration of essential yeast gene function with temperature-sensitive mutants. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29 (4), 361–367. doi: 10.1038/ nbt.1832
- Liu, D., Keijzers, G., and Rasmussen, L. J. (2017). DNA mismatch repair and its many roles in eukaryotic cells. *Mutat. Res.* 773, 174–187. doi: 10.1016/j. mrrev.2017.07.001
- Liu, X., Holstege, H., van der Gulden, H., Treur-Mulder, M., Zevenhoven, J., Velds, A., et al. (2007). Somatic loss of BRCA1 and p53 in mice induces mammary tumors with features of human BRCA1-mutated basal-like breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104 (29), 12111–12116. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702969104
- Loeb, L. A. (2016). Human cancers express a mutator phenotype: hypothesis, origin, and consequences. *Cancer Res.* 76 (8), 2057–2059. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0794
- Long, A., Liti, G., Luptak, A., and Tenaillon, O. (2015). Elucidating the molecular architecture of adaptation via evolve and resequence experiments. Nat. Rev. Genet. 16 (10), 567–582. doi: 10.1038/nrg3937
- Lujan, S. A., Williams, J. S., and Kunkel, T. A. (2016). DNA polymerases divide the labor of genome replication. *Trends Cell. Biol.* 26 (9), 640–654. doi: 10.1016/j. tcb.2016.04.012
- Lynch, H. T., and de la Chapelle, A. (2003). Hereditary colorectal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (10), 919–932. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra012242
- Macia, M. D., Rojo-Molinero, E., and Oliver, A. (2014). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in biofilm-growing bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 20 (10), 981–990. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12651
- Maley, C. C., Galipeau, P. C., Finley, J. C., Wongsurawat, V. J., Li, X., Sanchez, C. A., et al. (2006). Genetic clonal diversity predicts progression to esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Nat. Genet.* 38 (4), 468–473. doi: 10.1038/ng1768
- Mao, E. F., Lane, L., Lee, J., and Miller, J. H. (1997). Proliferation of mutators in A cell population. J. Bacteriol. 179 (2), 417–422. doi: 10.1128/jb.179.2.417-422.1997
- Maresca, L., Lodovichi, S., Lorenzoni, A., Cervelli, T., Monaco, R., Spugnesi, L., et al. (2018). Functional interaction between BRCA1 and DNA repair in yeast may uncover a role of RAD50, RAD51, MRE11A, and MSH6 somatic variants in cancer development. *Front. Genet.* 9, 397. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00397
- Maslowska, K. H., Makiela-Dzbenska, K., Mo, J. Y., Fijalkowska, I. J., and Schaaper, R. M. (2018). High-accuracy lagging-strand DNA replication mediated by DNA polymerase dissociation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115 (16), 4212– 4217. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720353115
- McDonald, M. J., Hsieh, Y. Y., Yu, Y. H., Chang, S. L., and Leu, J. Y. (2012). The evolution of low mutation rates in experimental mutator populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr. Biol.* 22 (13), 1235–1240. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.04.056
- McGranahan, N., and Swanton, C. (2017). Clonal heterogeneity and tumor evolution: past, present, and the future. *Cell.* 168 (4), 613–628. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2017.01.018
- Merlo, L. M., Pepper, J. W., Reid, B. J., and Maley, C. C. (2006). Cancer as an evolutionary and ecological process. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 6 (12), 924–935. doi: 10.1038/nrc2013
- Mertz, T. M., Baranovskiy, A. G., Wang, J., Tahirov, T. H., and Shcherbakova, P. V. (2017). Nucleotide selectivity defect and mutator phenotype conferred by a colon cancer–associated DNA polymerase delta mutation in human cells. *Oncogene* 36 (31), 4427–4433. doi: 10.1038/onc.2017.22
- Milholland, B., Dong, X., Zhang, L., Hao, X., Suh, Y., and Vijg, J. (2017). Differences between germline and somatic mutation rates in humans and mice. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15183. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15183
- Morales-Burgos, A., Sanchez, J. L., Figueroa, L. D., De Jesus-Monge, W. E., Cruz-Correa, M. R., Gonzalez-Keelan, C., et al. (2008). MSH-2 and MLH-1 protein expression in Muir Torre syndrome-related and sporadic sebaceous neoplasms. P. R. Health Sci. J. 27 (4), 322–327.
- Morales, J., Li, L., Fattah, F. J., Dong, Y., Bey, E. A., Patel, M., et al. (2014). Review of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) mechanisms of action and rationale for targeting in cancer and other diseases. *Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene. Expr.* 24 (1), 15–28. doi: 10.1615/CritRevEukaryotGeneExpr.2013006875

- Murphy, K., Darmawan, H., Schultz, A., Fidalgo da Silva, E., and Reha-Krantz, L. J. (2006). A method to select for mutator DNA polymerase deltas in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genome* 49 (4), 403–410. doi: 10.1139/g05-106
- Nick McElhinny, S. A., Stith, C. M., Burgers, P. M., and Kunkel, T. A. (2007). Inefficient proofreading and biased error rates during inaccurate DNA synthesis by a mutant derivative of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase delta. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282 (4), 2324–2332. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M609591200
- Nik-Zainal, S., Van Loo, P., Wedge, D. C., Alexandrov, L. B., Greenman, C. D., Lau, K. W., et al. (2012). The life history of 21 breast cancers. *Cell*. 149 (5), 994–1007. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.023

Notley-McRobb, L., Seeto, S., and Ferenci, T. (2002). Enrichment and elimination of mutY mutators in *Escherichia coli* populations. *Genetics* 162 (3), 1055–1062.

- Nowell, P. C. (1976). The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science* 194 (4260), 23–28. doi: 10.1126/science.959840
- O'Neill, A. J., and Chopra, I. (2002). Insertional inactivation of mutS in *Staphylococcus aureus* reveals potential for elevated mutation frequencies, although the prevalence of mutators in clinical isolates is low. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 50 (2), 161–169. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkf118
- Oliver, A., Canton, R., Campo, P., Baquero, F., and Blazquez, J. (2000). High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Science* 288 (5469), 1251–1254. doi: 10.1126/science.288.5469.1251
- Palles, C., Cazier, J. B., Howarth, K. M., Domingo, E., Jones, A. M., Broderick, P., et al. (2013). Germline mutations affecting the proofreading domains of POLE and POLD1 predispose to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 45 (2), 136–144. doi: 10.1038/ng.2503
- Pavelka, N., Rancati, G., and Li, R. (2010). Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde: role of aneuploidy in cellular adaptation and cancer. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 22 (6), 809– 815. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2010.06.003
- Pavlov, Y. I., and Shcherbakova, P. V. (2010). DNA polymerases at the eukaryotic fork-20 years later. *Mutat. Res.* 685 (1-2), 45–53. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm. 2009.08.002
- Raynes, Y., Halstead, A. L., and Sniegowski, P. D. (2014). The effect of population bottlenecks on mutation rate evolution in asexual populations. *J. Evol. Biol.* 27 (1), 161–169. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12284
- Reid, B. J., Prevo, L. J., Galipeau, P. C., Sanchez, C. A., Longton, G., Levine, D. S., et al. (2001). Predictors of progression in Barrett's esophagus II: baseline 17p (p53) loss of heterozygosity identifies a patient subset at increased risk for neoplastic progression. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 96 (10), 2839–2848. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.04236.x
- Ryan, E., Sheahan, K., Creavin, B., Mohan, H. M., and Winter, D. C. (2017). The current value of determining the mismatch repair status of colorectal cancer: a rationale for routine testing. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 116, 38–57. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.05.006
- Scalerandi, M., Romano, A., Pescarmona, G. P., Delsanto, P. P., and Condat, C. A. (1999). Nutrient competition as a determinant for cancer growth. *Phys. Rev. E* 59 (2), 2206–2217. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.59.2206
- Schaaff, F., Reipert, A., and Bierbaum, G. (2002). An elevated mutation frequency favors development of vancomycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 46 (11), 3540–3548. doi: 10.1128/AAC.46.11. 3540-3548.2002
- Schmitt, M. W., Matsumoto, Y., and Loeb, L. A. (2009). High fidelity and lesion bypass capability of human DNA polymerase delta. *Biochimie* 91 (9), 1163– 1172. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2009.06.007
- Seliger, B. (2005). Strategies of tumor immune evasion. *BioDrugs* 19 (6), 347–354. doi: 10.2165/00063030-200519060-00002
- Shaver, A. C., Dombrowski, P. G., Sweeney, J. Y., Treis, T., Zappala, R. M., and Sniegowski, P. D. (2002). Fitness evolution and the rise of mutator alleles in experimental *Escherichia coli* populations. *Genetics* 162 (2), 557–566.
- Shcherbakova, P. V., and Kunkel, T. A. (1999). Mutator phenotypes conferred by MLH1 overexpression and by heterozygosity for mlh1 mutations. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19 (4), 3177–3183. doi: 10.1128/MCB.19.4.3177
- Shibata, D., Navidi, W., Salovaara, R., Li, Z.-H., and Aaltonen, L. A. (1996). Somatic microsatellite mutations as molecular tumor clocks. *Nat. Med.* 2 (6), 676–681. doi: 10.1038/nm0696-676
- Shlien, A., Campbell, B. B., de Borja, R., Alexandrov, L. B., Merico, D., Wedge, D., et al. (2015). Combined hereditary and somatic mutations of replication error repair genes result in rapid onset of ultra-hypermutated cancers. *Nat. Genet.* 47 (3), 257–262. doi: 10.1038/ng.3202

- Singh, A., Healey, K. R., Yadav, P., Upadhyaya, G., Sachdeva, N., Sarma, S., et al. (2018). Absence of azole or echinocandin resistance in *Candida glabrata* isolates in India despite background prevalence of strains with defects in the DNA mismatch repair pathway. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 62 (6), e00195–18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00195-18
- Sokolsky, T., and Alani, E. (2000). EXO1 and MSH6 are high-copy suppressors of conditional mutations in the MSH2 mismatch repair gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 155 (2), 589–599.
- Sotillo, R., Schvartzman, J. M., Socci, N. D., and Benezra, R. (2010). Mad2induced chromosome instability leads to lung tumour relapse after oncogene withdrawal. *Nature* 464 (7287), 436–440. doi: 10.1038/nature08803
- Symeonidou, I. E., Taraviras, S., and Lygerou, Z. (2012). Control over DNA replication in time and space. FEBS Lett. 586 (18), 2803–2812. doi: 10.1016/j. febslet.2012.07.042
- Taddei, F., Radman, M., Maynard-Smith, J., Toupance, B., Gouyon, P. H., and Godelle, B. (1997). Role of mutator alleles in adaptive evolution. *Nature* 387 (6634), 700–702. doi: 10.1038/42696
- Tenaillon, O., Barrick, J. E., Ribeck, N., Deatherage, D. E., Blanchard, J. L., Dasgupta, A., et al. (2016). Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. *Nature* 536 (7615), 165–170. doi: 10.1038/ nature18959
- Thompson, D. A., Desai, M. M., and Murray, A. W. (2006). Ploidy controls the success of mutators and nature of mutations during budding yeast evolution. *Curr. Biol.* 16 (16), 1581–1590. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.070
- Trindade, S., Perfeito, L., and Gordo, I. (2010). Rate and effects of spontaneous mutations that affect fitness in mutator *Escherichia coli. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 365 (1544), 1177–1186. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0287
- Vazquez-Garcia, I., Salinas, F., Li, J., Fischer, A., Barre, B., Hallin, J., et al. (2017). Clonal heterogeneity influences the fate of new adaptive mutations. *Cell. Rep.* 21 (3), 732–744. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.046
- Wagner, V. P., Webber, L. P., Salvadori, G., Meurer, L., Fonseca, F. P., Castilho, R. M., et al. (2016). Overexpression of MutSalpha complex proteins predicts poor prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 95 (22), e3725. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000003725
- Wahl, L. M., Gerrish, P. J., and Saika-Voivod, I. (2002). Evaluating the impact of population bottlenecks in experimental evolution. *Genetics* 162 (2), 961–971.

- Walsh, C. S. (2015). Two decades beyond BRCA1/2: homologous recombination, hereditary cancer risk and a target for ovarian cancer therapy. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 137 (2), 343–350. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.02.017
- Wang, S., Wang, Y., Shen, J., Wu, Y., and Wu, C. (2013). Polymorphic mutation frequencies in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*: the role of weak mutators in the development of fluoroquinolone resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 341 (1), 13–17. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968.12085
- Weaver, B. A., Silk, A. D., Montagna, C., Verdier-Pinard, P., and Cleveland, D. W. (2007). Aneuploidy acts both oncogenically and as a tumor suppressor. *Cancer Cell*. 11 (1), 25–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2006.12.003
- Wielgoss, S., Barrick, J. E., Tenaillon, O., Wiser, M. J., Dittmar, W. J., Cruveiller, S., et al. (2013). Mutation rate dynamics in a bacterial population reflect tension between adaptation and genetic load. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110 (1), 222– 227. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219574110
- Wilczak, W., Rashed, S., Hube-Magg, C., Kluth, M., Simon, R., Buscheck, F., et al. (2017). Up-regulation of mismatch repair genes MSH6, PMS2 and MLH1 parallels development of genetic instability and is linked to tumor aggressiveness and early PSA recurrence in prostate cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 38 (1), 19–27. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgw116
- Wimmer, K., and Kratz, C. P. (2010). Constitutional mismatch repair-deficiency syndrome. *Haematologica* 95 (5), 699–701. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2009.021626
- Winzeler, E. A., Shoemaker, D. D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., et al. (1999). Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* 285 (5429), 901–906. doi: 10.1126/ science.285.5429.901

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Natali and Rancati. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.