



## Whole Genome Assembly of the Snout Otter Clam, *Lutraria rhynchaena*, Using Nanopore and Illumina Data, Benchmarked Against Bivalve Genome Assemblies

Binh Thanh Thai<sup>1</sup>, Yin Peng Lee<sup>2,3</sup>, Han Ming Gan<sup>2,3</sup>, Christopher M. Austin<sup>2,3</sup>, Laurence J. Croft<sup>2,3</sup>, Tuan Anh Trieu<sup>4,5</sup> and Mun Hua Tan<sup>2,3\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Shikai Liu, Ocean University of China, China

#### Reviewed by:

ShengPing Zhong, Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine, China Yulin Jin, Emory University, United States

> \***Correspondence:** Mun Hua Tan mun.tan@deakin.edu.au

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Livestock Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 23 August 2019 Accepted: 22 October 2019 Published: 20 November 2019

#### Citation:

Thai BT, Lee YP, Gan HM, Austin CM, Croft LJ, Trieu TA and Tan MH (2019) Whole Genome Assembly of the Snout Otter Clam, Lutraria rhynchaena, Using Nanopore and Illumina Data, Benchmarked Against Bivalve Genome Assemblies. Front. Genet. 10:1158. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.01158 <sup>1</sup> Fisheries and Technical Economical College, Tu Son, Vietnam, <sup>2</sup> Centre of Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia, <sup>3</sup> Deakin Genomics Centre, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Biology, Ha Noi National University of Education, Ha Noi, Vietnam, <sup>5</sup> Science and Technique Department, Hung Vuong University, Viet Tri, Vietnam

Keywords: snout otter clam, genome, hybrid assembly, aquaculture, Illumina, Oxford Nanopore

### INTRODUCTION

Production of cultured bivalve molluscs was 17.1 million tons in 2016 accounting for 21.4% of global aquaculture production (FAO, 2018). The lack of genomic resources coupled with limited understanding of the molecular basis of gene expression and phenotypic variation have limited advances in aquaculture-based productivity of marine bivalves. Understanding the molecular basis of phenotypic variation and gene function is therefore important for selective breeding programs for traits such as increased growth and disease resistance. Similarly, whole genome assemblies support GWAS studies to identify trait-specific loci and for genomic-based selective breeding. To this end, whole-genome sequencing has been conducted on several commercial bivalve species, including the edible oysters Crassostrea virginica (Gómez-Chiarri et al., 2015), Crassostrea gigas (Gerdol et al., 2015), pearl oysters (Takeuchi et al., 2012) and clams (Mun et al., 2017). However, in general, genomic data for bivalve molluscs, which includes a taxonomically diverse group of species, are sparse (Takeuchi et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Murgarella et al., 2016; Du et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Mun et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Uliano-Silva et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Powell et al., 2018; Renaut et al., 2018; Bai et al., 2019). In this study we present the first genomic resources for a species of clam from the superfamily Mactroidea and for a Vietnamese shellfish species and generate a draft reference genome to form the basis of on-going selective breeding studies. This study also demonstrates the efficacy of using Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) reads to scaffold bivalve genome assemblies and shows the value of these relatively inexpensive long reads for spanning large repetitive regions and overcoming complex assembly issues caused by high heterozygosity, which typically confounds short read only assemblies. The quality of our assembly is also benchmarked against other bivalve genome assemblies and we present an initial phylogenomic analysis for the class Bivalvia, which illustrates the value and potential of the increasing number of high quality genomic data sets for phylogenetics.

1

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Extraction, Library Preparation, and Sequencing

Muscle tissue was collected from a sample obtained from a snout otter clam farm in Van Dong district, Quang Ninh province in Vietnam. For short read sequencing of the clam genome, genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted according to Sokolov's improved method (Sokolov, 2000). Two sequencing libraries were prepared. These include a PCRfree library prepared using NuGen Celero DNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (Tecan Genomics, San Carlos, CA) according to manufacturer's instruction and a PCR-based library prepared with NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Preparation Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipwich, MA). For transcriptomic data, RNA was extracted from digestive gland tissue using the Zymo Quick-RNA Miniprep kit, followed by RNA library constructed using the Nugen Universal Plus mRNA-Seq Kit (Tecan Genomics, San Carlos, CA) according to manufacturer's instruction. Both DNA and RNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform at the Deakin Genomics Centre with  $2 \times 150$  bp configuration. For Nanopore long read sequencing, gDNA was extracted from the muscle tissue of the same individual using the column-based Zymo Quick DNA miniprep plus. Approximately 1 µg of the purified gDNA was used as the input for library preparation using the LSK109 kit followed by sequencing on a FLO-MIN106 revD SpotON R9.4 Flow Cell for 48 h.

### **Genome Size Estimation**

Short reads generated by the Illumina NovaSeq SGS platform were preprocessed for genome size estimation. The fastp v0.19.4 tool (Chen et al., 2018) was used to trim polyG tails from the 3' end of short reads (*-poly\_g\_min\_len 1*), followed by adapter and quality trimming with Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) (*ILLUMINACLIP:2:30:10, AVGQUAL:20*). Reads of mitochondrial origin were removed *via* alignment against the *Lutraria rhynchaena* mitogenome (Gan et al., 2016) using bowtie2 v2.3.3.1 (default parameters) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Jellyfish v2.2.6 (Marçais and Kingsford, 2011) was used to count the frequency of 19-, 21- and 25-mers in the preprocessed reads and finally, these k-mer histograms were uploaded to the GenomeScope webserver (*max kmer coverage* disabled) (Vurture et al., 2017) for an estimation of the *L. rhynchaena* haploid genome size.

### *De Novo* Hybrid Assembly of the Snout Otter Clam Genome

Long reads generated by the ONT MinION sequencing device were basecalled and trimmed (adapter and quality) with Guppy v3.0.3 (*high accuracy mode, min\_qscore 7*), which is available *via* the ONT community site (https://community.nanoporetech. com). A hybrid *de novo* assembly approach was performed with the MaSuRCA v3.2.8 assembler (*USE\_LINKING\_MATES* = 0, *cgwErrorRate* = 0.15, *KMER\_COUNT\_THRESHOLD* = 1)

(Zimin et al., 2013), using the previously trimmed long Nanopore reads and polyG-trimmed short Illumina reads as input. The MaSuRCA assembler first reduces high coverage Illumina reads into longer super-reads, then aligns these to long Nanopore reads to build even longer mega-reads (Zimin et al., 2017). These are then assembled by the CABOG assembler within MaSuRCA. Additionally, this version of MaSuRCA uses the high coverage of the longer more error prone Nanopore reads to build consensus sequences for regions not captured by short accurate Illumina reads. As a result, this approach combines the benefits from the accuracy of Illumina reads and the length of Nanopore reads. Completeness of the assembly was assessed with BUSCO v3.0.2 (Simão et al., 2015), using single-copy orthologs from the Metazoan dataset (metazoa\_odb9).

As bivalve genomes have been previously shown to be highly heterozygous (Zhang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017; Powell et al., 2018), an observation also apparent from the bimodal distribution of k-mer profiles obtained from the GenomeScope analysis in this study (**Data Sheet 1**), it was necessary to optimize the assembly by removing haplotigs or duplications in the haploid representation of this genome. This was achieved by aligning the long reads back to the assembly with minimap2 v2.15 (*-x map\_ont*, *-secondary = no*) (Li, 2018) and passing the alignment through the Purge Haplotigs pipeline v1.0.4 (*-l 5, -m 20, -h 150*) (Roach et al., 2018) to remove artifactual scaffolds.

## Estimation of Heterozygosity and Repeat Content

Presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in the snout otter clam genome was estimated by aligning short reads to the final curated assembly with bowtie2 v2.3.3.1 (Chen et al., 2018) and called with the *mpileup* (*-max-depth 200*) and *call* (*-mv*) commands within bcftools v1.9 (Li, 2011), retaining high quality calls with sufficient read depth ( $QUAL \ge 20$ , DP  $\ge 10$ , AF  $\ge 0.25$ ). Repeat families within the final assembly were identified *de novo* with RepeatModeler v1.0.11 (default parameters) (Smit and Hubley, 2019), which uses both RECON v1.08 (Bao and Eddy, 2002) and RepeatScout v1.0.5 (Price et al., 2005) to search for repeats within a given assembly. From this, a set of consensus sequences for each identified family was then used to mask repeats within the assembly with RepeatMasker v4.0.9 (*-e rmblast*) (Smit et al., 2018).

### Benchmarking Against Other Bivalve Genomes

The assembly sizes, scaffold  $N_{50}$  lengths and other characteristics of the *L. rhynchaena* assembly were compared with 13 other published bivalve genomes representing six orders and eight families. These comprise: *Argopecten purpuratus* (Li et al., 2018), *Bathymodiolus platifrons* (Sun et al., 2017), *Chlamys farreri* (Li et al., 2017), *C. gigas* (Zhang et al., 2012), *Limnoperna fortunei* (Uliano-Silva et al., 2017), *Modiolus philippinarum* (Sun et al., 2017), *Mytillus galloprovincialis* (Murgarella et al., 2016), *Patinopecten yessoensis* (Wang et al., 2017), *Pinctada fucata* (Takeuchi et al., 2012; Du et al., 2017), *Ruditapes philippinarum* (Mun et al., 2017), *Saccostrea glomerata* (Powell et al., 2018), *Scapharca broughtonii* (Bai et al., 2019) and *Venustaconcha ellipsiformis* (Renaut et al., 2018). Assessments of repeat content and BUSCO completeness (metazoa\_odb9) were repeated for these 13 bivalve genomes as described above.

### **Transcriptome Assembly**

One RNA-seq library was sequenced and a transcriptome was generated to assist in gene prediction. Paired-end, strand-specific RNA reads were polyG-, adapter- and quality-trimmed with the same tools and parameters as outlined for genomic reads. The resulting reads were assembled *de novo* with the Trinity v2.8.5 assembler (*-SS\_lib\_type FR*) (Grabherr et al., 2011). Short reads were subsequently mapped back to the assembly with bowtie2 v2.3.3.1 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Additionally, GMAP v2019-06-10 (default parameters) (Wu and Watanabe, 2005) was used to align the assembled transcriptome to the current genome assembly.

### **Gene Prediction and Annotation**

Gene prediction was carried out with the MAKER v2.31.10 annotation pipeline (Holt and Yandell, 2011). Providing this pipeline with the previously identified repeat families, the assembled transcript sequences and a set of protein sequences from the 13 published bivalve genomes (Data Sheet 2) (Takeuchi et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Murgarella et al., 2016; Du et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Mun et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Uliano-Silva et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Powell et al., 2018; Renaut et al., 2018; Bai et al., 2019), MAKER identifies repeats and subsequently aligns transcripts and proteins to the genome to produce initial gene models and sequences in its first iteration (est2genome = 1, protein2genome = 1). These gene models were used to train two ab initio gene prediction softwares AUGUSTUS (using BUSCO with -long and metazoa\_odb9) (Stanke et al., 2006) and SNAP (-categorize 1000, -export 1000) (Korf, 2004), followed by a second iteration of MAKER incorporating gene models generated from ab initio predictors. Gene models were again retrained with a second iteration of AUGUSTUS and SNAP before MAKER was repeated for a third iteration.

Sequences with Annotation Edit Distance (AED) values  $\leq 0.5$  were retained. AED values are evidence-based (Eilbeck et al., 2009), whereby a small value suggests a lesser degree of difference between the predicted gene and the protein and/or transcript evidence used during prediction. These protein sequences were further aligned against proteins in UniProtKB (Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL) (Consortium, 2018) for homology using DIAMOND v0.9.24.125 (*-max\_target\_seqs 1*, *-evalue 1e^{-10}*) (Buchfink et al., 2014) and searched for protein domains and signatures with InterProScan v5.36-75.0 (*-t p*) (Jones et al., 2014).

# Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Phylogenetic Analyses

BUSCO-predicted protein-coding genes were used to construct a multi-gene supermatrix in order to infer the phylogenetic position of *L. rhynchaena* in relation to bivalve species for which published genomes are available (**Data Sheet 2**), with the exception of *M*.

galloprovincialis. This was excluded from this analysis since its assembly reported substantially lower BUSCO completeness. The owl limpet (Lottia gigantea), two-spot octopus (Octopus bimaculoides) and fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster) were included as outgroup species. Each orthologous group was aligned with MAFFT v7.394 (default parameters) (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and trimmed with Gblocks v0.91b (default parameters) (Castresana, 2000), allowing no gaps in the alignments. Only orthologous protein groups with 100% representation (i.e. contains proteins from all 13 bivalves and three outgroups) were incorporated to form the final supermatrix. This supermatrix (22,668 amino acids, 129 orthologous groups), partitioned by proteins (-spp), was supplied to IQ-TREE v1.5.5 (-bb 1000, -alrt 1000, -m TESTMERGE) (Nguyen et al., 2014) for model testing and to infer phylogenetic relationships using maximum likelihood (ML) with nodal support assessed using Ultrafast Bootstrap support (UFBoot) (Minh et al., 2013) and SH-aLRT (Guindon et al., 2010) values. The same supermatrix was supplied to ExaBayes v1.5 (Aberer et al., 2014) to infer a Bayesian tree (BI) from four independent runs of two million generations. After discarding 25% of initial samples as burn-in, convergence was determined when the average standard deviation of split frequencies (asdsf) value fell below 1%.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Genome Size and Sequencing Coverage

This study generated a large volume of genomic data made up of 122 Gbp of short paired-end reads (150 bp) and 14 Gbp of long reads (average: 4,960 bp, longest: 58,804 bp, shortest: 200 bp) (**Data Sheet 3**). In addition, 34 Gbp of transcriptomic reads were generated to facilitate gene prediction. Raw Illumina and Nanopore reads generated in this study are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRP201027) under BioProject PRJNA548223.

The snout otter clam genome size was estimated to range from 545 to 547 Mbp based on 19-, 21- and 25-mers as summarised in **Data Sheet 1**, with histograms provided in **Data Sheet 4**. Based on these estimates this study generated short and long read data with sequencing depths of over  $200 \times$ and  $25 \times$ , respectively. The haploid genome size for the snout otter clam is at the lower end of a large range of estimated genome sizes for the species of the family Mactridae and also within the phylum Bivalvia more generally (**Data Sheet 5**).

## Genome and Genes of the Snout Otter Clam

The snout otter genome assembly has used the largest volume of ONT data generated for a bivalve species to date and joins the blood clam (*S. broughtonii*) (Bai et al., 2019) as the only other bivalve genome assembly to incorporate the use of long Nanopore reads and has generated the largest volume of Nanopore data for a bivalve species to date. As the first study to use a combination of only Illumina paired-end reads and Nanopore long reads, it also demonstrates the efficacy of the addition of Nanopore reads as a cost-effective option to achieve a high quality and contiguous genome assembly in combination with Illumina reads. This hybrid assembly approach has generated one of the best bivalve draft

Hybrid Assembly of the Lutraria rhynchaena Genome

genomes currently available consisting of only 1,502 scaffolds (N<sub>50</sub> length: 1.84 Mbp) and a total assembly size of 586.5 Mbp (Table 1), slightly exceeding the kmer-based genome size estimates. The assembly displayed a high overall completeness of 95.9%. However, 2.9% of the metazoan BUSCOs detected in this assessment occurred in duplicates within the assembly, likely to be a result of the elevated heterozygosity of the genome, which is not uncommon for marine invertebrate species. These duplicated regions can cause issues in downstream analyses such as variant discovery and therefore were removed from the assembly before its use for other applications. This then produced a final curated assembly of 544 Mbp contained in 622 scaffolds (N<sub>50</sub> length: 2.14 Mbp) (Table 1), to which 95.6% of Illumina short reads were successfully aligned using bowtie2 v2.3.3.1 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). BUSCO reports a similar overall completeness of 95.8% but with 1.5% BUSCOs detected in duplicates, half of that reported prior to the haplotig purging strategy. This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession VIBL00000000 under BioProject PRJNA548223.

Variant calling analysis detected a total 4,903,576 SNPs, which translates into a heterozygosity estimate of 0.90%. In contrast, heterozygosity was estimated at 1.60% using the kmer-based approach with GenomeScope (**Data Sheet 1**). Since variant calling methods are typically more conservative than kmer-based ones, the former approach may have excluded some true positives and, thereby leading to an underestimation of genetic variation. Nevertheless, the heterozygosity rates estimated by both methods (0.90 and 1.60%) is within the range of values that have been reported for other bivalves (0.51 to 2.02%). Repeat

TABLE 1 | Summary of assembly and annotation of the snout otter clam genome.

|                            | Lutraria rhynchaena |                              |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| -                          | MaSuRCA             | MaSuRCA + Purge<br>Haplotigs |
| Genome Size Estimation     |                     |                              |
| Based on 21-mer counts     | 546,627,519 bp      |                              |
| Genome Assembly            |                     |                              |
| Assembly size              | 586,528,440 bp      | 543,903,147 bp               |
| Number of scaffolds        | 1,502               | 622                          |
| Scaffold N50 length        | 1,841,940 bp        | 2,143,760 bp                 |
| Average scaffold length    | 390,498 bp          | 874,442 bp                   |
| Longest scaffold           | 13,022,122 bp       | 13,022,122 bp                |
| Shortest scaffold          | 1,560 bp            | 2,218 bp                     |
| Genome Completeness (Meta: | zoan BUSCOs: 978 to | tal)                         |
| Complete BUSCOs            | 95.9%               | 95.8%                        |
| Complete and single BUSCOs | 93.0%               | 94.3%                        |
| Complete and duplicated    | 2.9%                | 1.5%                         |
| BUSCOs                     |                     |                              |
| Fragmented BUSCOs          | 0.3%                | 0.3%                         |
| Missing BUSCOs             | 3.8%                | 3.9%                         |
| Genome Annotation          |                     |                              |
| Number of predicted PCGs   | -                   | 26,380                       |
| Number of annotated PCGs   | -                   | 23,701                       |
| Hits to UniProtKB          | -                   | 18,595                       |
| Hits to protein domains/   | -                   | 23,114                       |
| signatures                 |                     |                              |
| Average length of PCGs     | -                   | 379.61 aa                    |
| Longest PCG                | -                   | 9,456 aa                     |
|                            |                     |                              |

modelling and masking of the genome masked 29.4% of the *L*. *rhynchaena* assembly.

This study also generated 34.6 Gbp of short paired-end, strand specific RNA reads. The resultant transcriptome assembly produced a set of 295,234 transcripts containing 83.9% complete BUSCO genes. A total of 96.4% of these RNA reads were mapped back to this set of assembled transcripts and of these, 79% were aligned to the genome in a splice-aware manner. Both alignment rates indicate that the quality of the set of transcripts is sufficient to improve the gene prediction. Based on hints and evidence from the clam transcripts and protein sequences from other bivalves, gene prediction from the assembled genome resulted in a final set of 26,380 protein-coding genes (AED  $\leq$ 0.5), 89.8% of which were functionally annotated (i.e. a protein would have at least one associated functional annotation) (**Table 1**). The assembled transcriptome, predicted protein-coding genes and annotation information are available as **Data Sheet 6** and **Data Sheet 7**.

### **Comparison Against Other Bivalves**

A summary of assembly sizes, scaffold N<sub>50</sub> lengths, sequencing technologies and other information for all 13 assemblies is available in Data Sheet 2, with a comparison of repeat content, genome and assembly sizes and BUSCO completeness for all 14 bivalve genomes is visualised in Figure 1. The assembly for M. galloprovincialis has a high level of missing BUSCO genes (14.8% complete, 29.6% fragmented, 55.6% missing) whereas the V. ellipsiformis assembly contained relatively more fragmented BUSCO genes (22.8%). This potentially points to limitations of the data types used, since the former was assembled with only short Illumina paired-end reads, while the latter had both paired-end (PE) and mate-pair (MP) reads but was assisted by only 0.3× of PacBio long reads. While three other assemblies also had only PE and MP reads, most of the remaining assemblies employed the use of other scaffolding strategies including a greater volume of long PacBio reads, fosmid and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries (see Data Sheet 2 for details). Furthermore, there is a large discrepancy in estimated genome size and assembly size for R. philippinarum (Figure 1), where the reported assembly is almost twice the size of the expected genome size. For this assembly, a high proportion of duplicated BUSCO genes was also detected (19.1%), highlighting the potential importance of haplotig purging within bivalve assemblies to remove paralogous scaffolds. Nevertheless, this step should be executed with caution as there is also the potential to "over-purge" and exclude actual parts of the genome.

### Phylogeny of Bivalvia Based on Nuclear Loci

Phylogenies inferred by both methods showed strong UFBoot, SH-aLRT and Bayesian posterior probability (BPP) support for most nodes, the exception being the sister relationship between the bivalve orders Mytilida and Pectinida (**Figure 1**). The snout otter clam, *L. rhynchaena*, is the only representative for Mactridae and forms a sister relationship with *R. philippinarum* from the Veneridae, consistent with their placement in the order Venerida. This initial phylogenomic analysis of the Bivalvia contains species from the three subclasses Imparidentia, Palaeoheterodonta, and Pteriomorpha. All bivalve species are placed within groups



consistent with their taxonomic classification at the family and ordinal levels and observed relationships among these three subclasses are consistent with findings from recent studies based on Sanger and transcriptome sequencing (Kocot et al., 2011; González Vanessa et al., 2015; Combosch et al., 2017; Lemer et al., 2019). While these studies include greater taxon sampling from a range of bivalve subclasses, the data matrices used to infer phylogeny are often gappy with a higher level of missing data (~16 to 75%). In contrast, our study successfully used information from a substantial number of genes (> 100) across across a wide density of bivalve taxa with minimal gaps. Nevertheless, our approach to phylogenomics is limited for the time being by the scarcity in genome level resources for bivalve species generally and specifically in relation to missing representatives from important bivalve subclasses including Protobranchia, Archiheterodonta and Anomalodesmata.

This initial phylogenomic analysis for the Bivalvia demonstrates the value and potential of this approach as a greater number of high quality genomic data sets become available that can be used for phylogenetic studies, especially for the resolution of basal relationships in what has been a challenging group (Plazzi et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012) Multiple sequence alignment and the resulting Newick tree from our analyses are available as **Data Sheet 8**.

### CONCLUSION

We present the first draft genome of the snout otter clam (L. rhynchaena) based on relatively large volumes of short and long genomic reads from Illumina and ONT platforms, respectively, providing sufficient sequencing depth to facilitate the generation of one of the best quality genome assemblies for a bivalve mollusk. The use of long Nanopore reads in a hybrid assembly, presents an effective yet economical approach to achieving a good quality assembly and highlights the importance of long reads in spanning large repeat regions and resolving other complex regions that arises for species with high levels of heterozygosity. This highly contiguous and complete assembly makes this draft genome an important and valuable resource to support ongoing genomic and molecular-based breeding studies for aquaculture. In addition, a transcriptomic data set was generated and assembled to support more refined gene prediction, further adding to the currently scarce transcriptomic resources available for the Mactridae family. Ultimately, we expect results of this study to be used as valuable genomic references for a range of genetic, genomic, phylogenetic and population studies of the snout otter clam and other bivalve species.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the NCBI BioProject PRJNA548223 (WGS accession: VIBL00000000, SRA accession: SRP201027).

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BT and CA conceived the project. TT collected the samples. YL and HG performed the sequencing. MT analyzed the data. MT

### REFERENCES

- Aberer, A. J., Kobert, K., and Stamatakis, A. (2014). ExaBayes: Massively Parallel Bayesian Tree Inference for the Whole-Genome Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31 (10), 2553–2556. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu236
- Bai, C.-M., Xin, L.-S., Rosani, U., Wu, B., Wang, Q.-C., Duan, X.-K., et al. (2019). Chromosomal-level assembly of the blood clam, *Scapharca (Anadara) broughtonii*, using long sequence reads and Hi-C. *GigaScience* 8 (7). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giz067
- Bao, Z., and Eddy, S. R. (2002). Automated de novo identification of repeat sequence families in sequenced genomes. *Genome Res.* 12 (8), 1269–1276. doi: 10.1101/gr.88502

and CA wrote the manuscript. MT, CA, BT, YL, HG, TT and LC read and reviewed the manuscript.

### FUNDING

This study was funded through a grant to Binh Thanh Thai from the Government of Vietnam through its Aquaculture Biotechnology programs (01/2017/HD-TS-CNSH) and the Deakin Genomics Centre, Deakin University, Australia.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research utilized computational resources and services provided by the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI), which is supported by the Australian Government. We would also like to thank Robert Ruge of Deakin University for assistance and use of the SIT HPC Cluster.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2019.01158/ full#supplementary-material

**DATA SHEET 1** | Estimation of genome size, repeat content and heterozygosity by GenomeScope.

DATA SHEET 2 | Comparisons of published bivalve genome assemblies.

DATA SHEET 3 | Sequence methods and library kits used in the hybrid genome assembly.

DATA SHEET 4 | 19-, 21-, 25-mer histograms generated by Jellyfish.

DATA SHEET 5 | Distribution of genome sizes of bivalve species.

DATA SHEET 6 | Snout otter clam transcriptome.

DATA SHEET 7 | Protein sequences predicted from the snout otter clam genome and associated annotation.

DATA SHEET 8 | Supermatrix and phylogenetic tree for the bivalve clade.

- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30 (15), 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu170
- Buchfink, B., Xie, C., and Huson, D. H. (2014). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12, 59. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176
- Castresana, J. (2000). Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 17 (4), 540–552. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34 (17), i884–i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Combosch, D. J., Collins, T. M., Glover, E. A., Graf, D. L., Harper, E. M., Healy, J. M., et al. (2017). A family-level Tree of Life for bivalves based on a Sanger-sequencing approach. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 107, 191–208. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2016.11.003

- Consortium, T. U. (2018). UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D506–D515. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1049
- Du, X., Fan, G., Jiao, Y., Zhang, H., Guo, X., Huang, R., et al. (2017). The pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata martensii* genome and multi-omic analyses provide insights into biomineralization. *GigaScience* 6 (8). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/gix059
- Eilbeck, K., Moore, B., Holt, C., and Yandell, M. (2009). Quantitative measures for the management and comparison of annotated genomes. *BMC Bioinf.* 10, 67–67. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-67
- FAO. (2018). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food security and nutrition for all. *Food Agric. Organ. U. N.* 200.
- Gan, H. M., Tan, M. H., Thai, B. T., and Austin, C. M. (2016). The complete mitogenome of the marine bivalve *Lutraria rhynchaena* Jonas 1844 (Heterodonta: Bivalvia: Mactridae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27 (1), 335– 336. doi: 10.3109/19401736.2014.892104
- Gerdol, M., Venier, P., and Pallavicini, A. (2015). The genome of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* brings new insights on the massive expansion of the C1q gene family in Bivalvia. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 49 (1), 59–71. doi: 10.1016/j. dci.2014.11.007
- Gómez-Chiarri, M., Warren, W. C., Guo, X., and Proestou, D. (2015). Developing tools for the study of molluscan immunity: The sequencing of the genome of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 46 (1), 2–4. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.05.004
- González Vanessa, L., Andrade Sónia, C. S., Bieler, R., Collins Timothy, M., Dunn Casey, W., Mikkelsen Paula, M., et al. (2015). A phylogenetic backbone for Bivalvia: an RNA-seq approach. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 282 (1801), 20142332. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.2332
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644. doi: 10.1038/ nbt.1883
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. (2010). New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biol. 59 (3), 307–321. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syq010
- Holt, C., and Yandell, M. (2011). MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genomedatabase management tool for second-generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinf.* 12 (1), 491. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-12-491
- Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., et al. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30 (9), 1236–1240. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30 (4), 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kocot, K. M., Cannon, J. T., Todt, C., Citarella, M. R., Kohn, A. B., Meyer, A., et al. (2011). Phylogenomics reveals deep molluscan relationships. *Nature* 477, 452. doi: 10.1038/nature10382
- Korf, I. (2004). Gene finding in novel genomes. BMC Bioinf. 5 (1), 59. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-5-59
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Lemer, S., Bieler, R., and Giribet, G. (2019). Resolving the relationships of clams and cockles: dense transcriptome sampling drastically improves the bivalve tree of life. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 286 (1896), 20182684. doi: 10.1098/ rspb.2018.2684
- Li, C., Liu, X., Liu, B., Ma, B., Liu, F., Liu, G., et al. (2018). Draft genome of the Peruvian scallop Argopecten purpuratus. GigaScience 7 (4). doi: 10.1093/ gigascience/giy031
- Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinf. (Oxford England)* 27 (21), 2987–2993. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr509
- Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34 (18), 3094–3100. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191
- Li, Y., Sun, X., Hu, X., Xun, X., Zhang, J., Guo, X., et al. (2017). Scallop genome reveals molecular adaptations to semi-sessile life and neurotoxins. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 1721. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01927-0

- Marçais, G., and Kingsford, C. (2011). A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics* 27 (6), 764–770. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011
- Minh, B. Q., Nguyen, M. A. T., and von Haeseler, A. (2013). Ultrafast Approximation for Phylogenetic Bootstrap. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30 (5), 1188–1195. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst024
- Mun, S., Kim, Y.-J., Markkandan, K., Shin, W., Oh, S., Woo, J., et al. (2017). The Whole-Genome and Transcriptome of the Manila Clam (*Ruditapes philippinarum*). Genome Biol. Evol. 9 (6), 1487–1498. doi: 10.1093/gbe/ evx096
- Murgarella, M., Puiu, D., Novoa, B., Figueras, A., Posada, D., and Canchaya, C. (2016). A First Insight into the Genome of the Filter-Feeder Mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis. PloS One* 11 (3), e0151561. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0151561
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. (2014). IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32 (1), 268–274. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu300
- Plazzi, F., Ceregato, A., Taviani, M., and Passamonti, M. (2011). A Molecular Phylogeny of Bivalve Mollusks: Ancient Radiations and Divergences as Revealed by Mitochondrial Genes. *PloS One* 6 (11), e27147. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0027147
- Powell, D., Subramanian, S., Suwansa-ard, S., Zhao, M., O'Connor, W., Raftos, D., et al. (2018). The genome of the oyster *Saccostrea* offers insight into the environmental resilience of bivalves. *DNA Res.* 25 (6), 655–665. doi: 10.1093/ dnares/dsy032
- Price, A. L., Jones, N. C., and Pevzner, P. A. (2005). De novo identification of repeat families in large genomes. *Bioinformatics* 21 (suppl\_1), i351–i358. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bti1018
- Renaut, S., Guerra, D., Hoeh, W. R., Stewart, D. T., Bogan, A. E., Ghiselli, F., et al. (2018). Genome Survey of the Freshwater Mussel Venustaconcha ellipsiformis (Bivalvia: Unionida) Using a Hybrid De Novo Assembly Approach. Genome Biol. Evol. 10 (7), 1637–1646. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evy117
- Roach, M. J., Schmidt, S. A., and Borneman, A. R. (2018). Purge Haplotigs: allelic contig reassignment for third-gen diploid genome assemblies. *BMC Bioinf*. 19 (1), 460. doi: 10.1186/s12859-018-2485-7
- Sharma, P. P., González, V. L., Kawauchi, G. Y., Andrade, S. C. S., Guzmán, A., Collins, T. M., et al. (2012). Phylogenetic analysis of four nuclear protein-encoding genes largely corroborates the traditional classification of Bivalvia (Mollusca). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 65 (1), 64–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.05.025
- Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., and Zdobnov, E. M. (2015). BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31 (19), 3210–3212. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
- Smit, A., and Hubley, R. (2019). RepeatModeler-1.0. 11. Institute for Sys-tems Biology. http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler/. Accessed 15.
- Smit, A., Hubley, R., and Green, P. (2018). "2013–2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013".).
- Sokolov, E. P. (2000). An improved method for DNA isolation from mucopolysaccharide-rich molluscan tissues. J. Molluscan Stud. 66 (4), 573–575. doi: 10.1093/mollus/66.4.573
- Stanke, M., Keller, O., Gunduz, I., Hayes, A., Waack, S., and Morgenstern, B. (2006). AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34 (suppl\_2), W435–W439. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl200
- Sun, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, T., Zhang, Y., Mu, H., Zhang, Y., et al. (2017). Adaptation to deep-sea chemosynthetic environments as revealed by mussel genomes. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0121. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0121
- Takeuchi, T., Kawashima, T., Koyanagi, R., Gyoja, F., Tanaka, M., Ikuta, T., et al. (2012). Draft Genome of the Pearl Oyster *Pinctada fucata*: A Platform for Understanding Bivalve Biology. *DNA Res.* 19 (2), 117–130. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dss005
- Uliano-Silva, M., Dondero, F., Dan Otto, T., Costa, I., Lima, N. C. B., Americo, J. A., et al. (2017). A hybrid-hierarchical genome assembly strategy to sequence the invasive golden mussel, *Limnoperna fortunei. GigaScience* 7 (2). doi: 10.1093/ gigascience/gix128
- Vurture, G. W., Sedlazeck, F. J., Nattestad, M., Underwood, C. J., Fang, H., Gurtowski, J., et al. (2017). GenomeScope: fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads. *Bioinformatics* 33 (14), 2202–2204. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btx153

- Wang, S., Zhang, J., Jiao, W., Li, J., Xun, X., Sun, Y., et al. (2017). Scallop genome provides insights into evolution of bilaterian karyotype and development. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0120. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0120
- Wu, T. D., and Watanabe, C. K. (2005). GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* 21 (9), 1859–1875. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti310
- Zhang, G., Fang, X., Guo, X., Li, L., Luo, R., Xu, F., et al. (2012). The oyster genome reveals stress adaptation and complexity of shell formation. *Nature* 490, 49. doi: 10.1038/nature11413
- Zimin, A. V., Marçais, G., Puiu, D., Roberts, M., Salzberg, S. L., and Yorke, J. A. (2013). The MaSuRCA genome assembler. *Bioinformatics* 29 (21), 2669–2677. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt476
- Zimin, A. V., Puiu, D., Luo, M.-C., Zhu, T., Koren, S., Marçais, G., et al. (2017). Hybrid assembly of the large and highly repetitive genome of *Aegilops tauschii*,

a progenitor of bread wheat, with the MaSuRCA mega-reads algorithm. *Genome Res.* 27 (5), 787–792. doi: 10.1101/gr.213405.116

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Thai, Lee, Gan, Austin, Croft, Trieu and Tan. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.